

# Effect of Four Mycorrhizal Products on *Fusarium* Root Rot on Different Vegetable Crops

Al-Hmoud G\* and Al-Momany A

University of Jordan, Faculty of agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Amman, Jordan

## Abstract

Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (VAM) are symbiotic fungi which interact with the root system of higher plants by producing external and internal hyphae, vesicles and arbuscules. This study aimed to determine the efficiency of different vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in improving plant resistance against *Fusarium oxysporum*. Four mycorrhizal products; Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof were used. *Glomus intraradices* was the mycorrhizal fungus. All products reduced *Fusarium* infection by increasing the plant height and reducing the root infection. Bacto\_Prof was the best product in the presence of *Fusarium* infection of tomato plants which showed an increase in plant height up to 44% and 154% in plant fresh root weight (FRW). *Fusarium* was reduced by 50% in Bacto\_Prof treated tomato plants and mycorrhizal colonization was enhanced from 31% to 42% in *Fusarium* infected tomato seedlings. In pepper experiment; Endomyk\_Basic was the best product in all treatments which enhanced mycorrhizal root colonization from 56% to 68%. *Fusarium* infection was suppressed in pepper treated plants with Endomyk\_Basic from 2.45% to 1.5%. Mycorrhizal colonization with all products was enhanced by the presence of *Fusarium* more than in non-infected plants. In squash experiment Endomyk\_Basic was the best product, but in root colonization Endomyk\_Conc performed the best form 52% to 64%. From the results of this study, it was concluded that all mycorrhizal products were significantly inhibited *Fusarium* infection by enhanced and increased mycorrhizal root colonization, so enhanced plant growth and increased root volume.

**Keywords:** Symbiotic fungi; Mycorrhizal fungi; *Glomus intraradices*; *Fusarium*

## Introduction

Mycorrhiza is a symbiotic association between a fungus and the root system of vascular plants belonging to phylum Glomeromycota [1,2] (www.Mycobank.org). Symbiosis, the mutual beneficial association. Mycorrhizal fungi are the most widespread fungal symbionts that colonizes root system of over 90% of plant species to the mutual benefit of both the plant host and fungus [3,4] either extracellularly as in ectomycorrhizal fungi or intercellularly as in endomycorrhizal fungi [arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi]. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) colonizes plant roots and extends into the surrounding bulk soil to the root depletion zone around the root system [5]. Different crops exhibited different VAM species and different stages of fungal invasion ranging from hyphae, arbuscules and vesicles or combinations of all structures [6]. The major role of VA-fungi is to supply plant roots with phosphorus, because phosphorus is an extremely immobile element in soils [7]. A Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhiza (VAM) fungus was first studied in Jordan by Dr. Al-Momany in 1993 [6].

However, the mutualistic symbiosis between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and plant roots plays an important role in nutrient cycling in the ecosystem and can protect plants against soil-borne pathogens [4]. Two main groups of soil-borne pathogens have been studied: nematodes and fungi such as *Phytophthora* spp, *Verticillium* wilt and *Fusarium* wilt. In the presence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), a reduction in pathogen population or in the disease severity on the host plant has been demonstrated [8]. Also, AMF protect unsupervised root from parasitic fungi by increase wall thickness in the cortical cells of the roots [9]. However, the efficacy of AMF as disease control agents depends on several abiotic and biotic factors, such as temperature, soil moisture, soil phosphorus content, mycorrhizal fungus, time of mycorrhizal inoculation, levels of mycorrhizal inoculum, and inoculum potential of pathogen [4].

The soil born pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* infect the vascular

system of vegetable crops severely especially in hot weather such as in Jordan Valley. *F. oxysporum* can persist in soil for long period of time as chlamydospores which enters the root system through wounds. The first symptoms appear as slight vein clearing on the outer younger leaflets, on older leaves epinasty caused by drooping of the petioles, followed by stunting of the plants, after that yellowing of the lower leaves and wilting of the whole plant then death occur.

Therefore, this work was conducted to determine the effect of mycorrhizal products in its recommended dose on the performance of infected tomato, pepper, squash and eggplant plants with *Fusarium oxysporum*.

## Materials and Methods

### Mycorrhizal products and *Fusarium* culture

Four different mycorrhizal products were exported from Terrabioscience UG, Bernbug-Germany; and each product was used in different doses according to the application rates and instructions indicated by the manufacturer; Bacto\_Prof (1 g/L soil), Endomyk\_Conc (1 g/L soil), Endomyk\_Prof (2 g/L soil) and Endomyk\_Basic (8 g/L soil). All the mycorrhizal products were in powder form except Endomyk\_Basic, the mycorrhizae was produced in granule form.

\*Corresponding author: Al-Hmoud G, University of Jordan, Faculty of agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Amman, Jordan, Tel: 962 6 535 5000; E-mail: Aeng\_ghina2009@yahoo.com, momanyah@ju.edu.jo

Received January 11, 2015; Accepted January 20, 2015; Published January 25, 2015

Citation: Al-Hmoud G, Al-Momany A (2015) Effect of Four Mycorrhizal Products on *Fusarium* Root Rot on Different Vegetable Crops. J Plant Pathol Microb 6: 255. doi:10.4172/2157-7471.1000255

Copyright: © 2015 Al-Hmoud G, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* was isolated from infested field soil planted with tomato, and *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cucumerinum* was isolated from infected field soil planted with cucumber in 2011 in Jordan Valley. *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici* was used to inoculate tomato, pepper and eggplant seedlings. While *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *cucumerinum* was used for inoculate squash seedlings.

### Glasshouse work

The effect of the four mycorrhizal products on *Fusarium* wilt (*Fusarium oxysporum*) was studied on different vegetable crops; tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) var. Majd, eggplant (*Solanum melongena*) var. Ajami, pepper (*Capsicum frutescens*) var. Hendi, and squash (*Cucurbita maxima*) var. Yasmina F1. The seedlings must be under drought conditions before using them. The *Fusarium* suspension was prepared in the same day of inoculation for the viability of micro and macro conidia. Seedlings were cleaned from peat moss around the root system to facilitate inoculation. *Fusarium* inoculum; PDA plates containing *Fusarium* growth were transferred to blender, mixed with distilled water for two minutes. The inoculum contained micro and macro conidia and mycelium. The concentration of *Fusarium* was determined by using a haemocytometer slide.  $10^9$  spores/ml was made to inoculate tomato, pepper and eggplant seedlings and  $10^6$  spores/ml used for squash seedlings. For each seedling, 50 ml of inoculum were added to the root zone of the four-week old mycorrhizal seedlings of tomato, pepper, eggplant and two-week old mycorrhizal seedlings of squash by pouring the suspension into a hole made around the root zone.

At the same time soil: sand mixture was treated with the normal recommended dose for each product to plant one seedling in each sterilized pot. For each crop, ten treatments were used; four products with *Fusarium*, four products without *Fusarium*, *Fusarium* alone and non-inoculated plants (control). For treatments using mycorrhizal products with *Fusarium*; half amount of the soil: sand: product mixture was transferred to the pot, then each seedling was dipped in 50 ml *Fusarium* suspension for two minutes and then add the rest of the suspension to the root zone, then completed the rest of the soil mixture. Each treatment was comprised of five replicate pots, grown under glasshouse conditions until harvest time (7-8 weeks). At the end of the experiment plants were taken to test them for Fusarial infectivity. Shoot length was measured; fresh shoot weight (FSW) and fresh root weights (FRW) were recorded. One gram of fine feeder roots from each treatment were taken to examine its mycorrhizal colonization, then put the fresh shoot and root of each treatment in separate closed paper bags, and dried for 24 hrs at 70°C in the oven to measure the dry shoot weight (DSW). All data were statistically analyzed by using the SAS program, comparison between means was done according to LSD at 5% level.

### Evaluation of the experiment

**Inoculation of mycorrhizal seedlings with *Fusarium oxysporum*:** Fresh infected seedlings with *Fusarium* were examined from the crown area (creamy to brown color), cleaned by tap water from soil, cut to pieces, sterilized by 0.5% hypox, dried on sterilized filter paper and then four pieces were placed (2 × 2 cm) on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates (39 gm/l), then kept for two weeks in the incubator at 25°C ± 2°C. *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *cucumerinum* needs higher incubation temperature than *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*; about 27-28°C. *Fusarium* growth appeared in different colors (white, pink, purple and sometimes yellow in *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *cucumerinum*). To make sure if it is *Fusarium*;

microscopic slides from those different colors were prepared under the microscope. Micro and macro conidia ranging from one cell to four or five conidial cells were seen in huge numbers. Then pure inoculum of *Fusarium* was prepared using sterilized cork borer. In each PDA plate one or two *Fusarium* discs were placed, and plates were incubated for another two weeks at 24-26°C ± 2°C.

**Evaluation of *Fusarium* infection:** At the end of glasshouse experiments, plants were taken to the laboratory to re-isolate *Fusarium* on PDA plates to ensure the *Fusarium* infection. Above the crown area by 2 cm, small pieces (1 × 1 cm) were cut, washed by tap water, sterilized by 0.5% hypox, dried on sterilized filter paper and then placed four pieces in each plate. The plates were incubated at 25°C for 5-7 days. After the incubation period, infected pieces were counted and examined microscopically in each petridish to ensure the *Fusarium* infection.

**Evaluation of VAM roots colonization:** Roots were washed carefully to remove soil particles, then heated in 10% KOH at 90°C for half an hour to destroy cell cytoplasm, so the plant cell will be cleared, then washed for 2-3 minutes in running tap water gently, then stained with 0.05% trypan blue in lactophenol according to Philips and Hayman method (1970). Ten root segments with 1 cm length were mounted on each slide and examined microscopically. The incidence and intensity of root colonization with mycorrhiza were calculated using a scale of (0-10) where zero means no colonization, 5 means 50% mycorrhizal root colonization and 10 means 100% mycorrhizal root colonization [10], the readings were taken from the average percentage of thirty roots for each treatment.

## Results

### Tomato experiment

Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* on tomato growth was presented in Table 1. There were no significant differences between plants inoculated with *Fusarium* and not inoculated with *Fusarium*; except in *Fusarium* severity. However; considering plant height parameters, Bacto\_Prof was highly significant than all other products by 44%, and all mycorrhizal products were significantly higher than control plants by 29, 31 and 26% in Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof, respectively. The increase in plant FSW was 27, 23, 20 and 19% for the four products; Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof in *Fusarium* treatments, respectively. However, the increase in plant FRW was enhanced more in mycorrhizal plants than in non-mycorrhizal plants by 154% for Bacto\_Prof and Endomyk\_Basic, while in Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof the increase was 134%. Infection percentage in non-mycorrhizal plants was the highest by 8% compared with the mycorrhizal plants by 4% for Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof and 5% for Endomyk\_Basic product.

### Pepper experiment

Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* on pepper growth was summarized in Table 2. There were significant differences in plant height in *Fusarium* treatments between mycorrhizal plants and non-mycorrhizal plants. Plant height of mycorrhizal plants infected with *Fusarium* in were improved by 20, 27, 21 and 25% by Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof products, respectively. Plant FSW in *Fusarium* treatment with Endomyk\_Basic was more significant than others by 115%. Plant DSW was enhanced significantly by all mycorrhizal products; Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof by 56, 102, 85 and 98%, respectively compared to control plants

Treatment	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	Height (cm)	FSW (g)	DSW (g)	FRW (g)	Severity (cm)	Infection%
Bacto_Prof	+	51.6 a	10.622 a	1.868 ab	4.904 a	2.1a	4%
	-	50.2 ab	10.162 ab	1.734 ab	4.678 a	0b	0
Endomyk_Basic	+	46.4 abc	10.286 ab	1.844 ab	4.898 a	2.3a	5%
	-	45.4 bc	9.484 bc	2.006 a	4.798 a	0b	0
Endomyk_Conc	+	47.1 abc	10.00 ab	1.842 ab	4.5 a	1.8a	4%
	-	42.5 cd	9.734 bc	1.648 ab	4.614 a	0b	0
Endomyk_Prof	+	45.2 bc	9.96 ab	1.656 ab	4.568 a	2a	4%
	-	42 cd	9.722 bc	1.636 ab	4.438 a	0b	0
Control	+	35.9 e	8.36 d	1.146 c	1.932 b	2.8a	8%
	-	39.8 ed	9.076 cd	1.35 bc	2.808 b	0b	0

Values are average of five plants, values within each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) according to LSD. (+) means inoculated with *F. oxysporum* while (-) means non-inoculated with *F. oxysporum*.

**Table 1:** Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* with the recommended dose of mycorrhizal products on growth of tomato plants.

Treatment	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	Height (cm)	Fruit wt (g)	FSW (g)	DSW (g)	FRW (g)	Severity (cm)	Infection%
Bacto_Prof	+	36.1 b	0.368a	9.982c	1.004ab	5.586b	0.56a	1.55%
	-	35.5 b	0.058abc	9.828c	1.032ab	5.5b	0b	0
Endomyk_Basic	+	38.4 a	0 c	11.258 a	1.296 a	6.578 a	0.56a	1.50%
	-	38.5 a	0 c	11.182ab	1.27 a	6.294ab	0b	0
Endomyk_Conc	+	36.4 b	0.036ab	10.112c	1.186ab	5.958ab	0.48a	1.32%
	-	35.8 b	0.234ab	9.994 c	1.118ab	5.85ab	0b	0
Endomyk_Prof	+	37.7 a	0 c	10.59 abc	1.268 a	6.266ab	0.66a	1.75%
	-	36.6 a	0.064 b	10.35 bc	1.262 a	5.846ab	0b	0
Control	+	30.2 d	0.028 bc	5.234 e	0.642 c	3.936c	0.74a	2.45%
	-	33.6 c	0 c	7.04 f	0.858 bc	4.008c	0b	0

Values are average of five plants, values within each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) according to LSD. (+) means inoculated with *F. oxysporum* while (-) means non-inoculated with *F. oxysporum*.

**Table 2:** Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* with recommended dose of mycorrhizal products on pepper growth.

infected with *Fusarium*. Plant FRW, was increased significantly by 42, 67, 51 and 59% with Bacto Prof, Endomyk Conc and Endomyk Prof products, respectively. *Fusarium* infection percentage in pepper control plants was the highest 2.45%, compared to 1.32 and 1.5% in Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Basic treatments, respectively.

### Eggplant experiment

Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* on pepper growth was presented in Table 3. There were no significant differences between plants treated with four mycorrhizal products and inoculated with *Fusarium* and not inoculated with *Fusarium* referring to height, FSW, DSW and FRW. The four products were not significantly different from each other and all were significantly different from control plants infected with *Fusarium*. Plant height in *Fusarium* treatment with mycorrhizal products were enhanced by 14% for Bacto\_Prof, 16% for Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof. Plant FSW in *Fusarium* treatment was raised 49% by Bacto\_Prof and 51% by Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof over non-mycorrhizal plants. Fresh root weight in *Fusarium* treatment was increased 49, 50, 45 and 51% by Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof, respectively. Infection percentage in control plants was the highest (5.80%) compared with 3.50, 2.22, 3.42 and 2.72% for Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof, respectively.

### Squash experiment

Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* on squash growth was presented in Table 4. There were no significant differences between FSW in *Fusarium* inoculated mycorrhizal plants versus non-inoculated mycorrhizal plants. Plant height in *Fusarium* treatment with Endomyk\_Basic was

24% higher than control plants inoculated with *Fusarium*, followed by 8, 7 and 6%, respectively for Endomyk\_Prof, Endomyk\_Conc and Bacto\_Prof. In *Fusarium* treatment, FSW was increased 13% by Bacto\_Prof and Endomyk\_Prof, 23% by Endomyk\_Basic and 12% by Endomyk\_Conc. Squash FRW in *Fusarium* treatment was enhanced by 69, 88, 73 and 67% for Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof, respectively compared to control plants infected with *Fusarium*. Infection percentage in non-mycorrhizal squash plants was the highest by 14.45% compared with 9.41, 10.13, 10.92 and 11.69% for Bacto\_Prof, Endomyk\_Basic, Endomyk\_Conc and Endomyk\_Prof products, respectively.

### Evaluations of VAM root colonization

Mycorrhizal root colonization was more efficient with *Fusarium* infection in all vegetable crops as present in Table 5. The examination of the control plant roots and the *Fusarium* inoculated plants for a possible contamination with AMF was negative. In tomato, Bacto\_Prof (+Fus) was the most effective product in root colonization (42%) followed by Endomyk\_Prof (+Fus) (37%), Endomyk\_Conc (+Fus) (30%) and then Endomyk\_Basic (+Fus) with 33%. In pepper, Endomyk\_Basic (+Fus) recorded the highest root colonization by 68% than other products, comparing the products with *Fusarium* infection and without *Fusarium*. It was clear that Endomyk\_Prof (+Fus) performed better than other products, while in squash, Bacto\_Prof (+Fus) was more efficient than other products by 65% followed by Endomyk\_Conc (+Fus) (64%), Endomyk\_Basic (+Fus) (60%) and Endomyk\_Prof (+Fus) was less effective by 55%. However, Endomyk\_Basic (+Fus) record the highest root colonization by 54% in eggplant, but Endomyk\_Conc performed better than other products with *Fusarium* infection.

Treatment	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	Height (cm)	FSW (g)	DSW (g)	FRW (g)	Severity (cm)	Infection %
Bacto_Prof	+	34.6 ab	9.356 a	1.098 ab	4.866 a	1.2 a	3.50%
	-	35.9 a	9.916 a	1.192 a	4.726 a	0 c	0
Endomyk_Basic	+	35.1 a	9.464 a	1.07 ab	4.924 a	0.78 a	2.22%
	-	35.3 a	9.61 a	1.054 abc	4.922 a	0 c	0
Endomyk_Conc	+	35.1 a	9.45 a	1.106 ab	4.738 a	1.2 a	3.42%
	-	35.4 a	9.478 a	1.152 a	4.8 a	0 c	0
Endomyk_Prof	+	35.2 a	9.434 a	1.128 a	4.952 a	0.96 a	2.72%
	-	35.1 a	9.454 a	1.13 a	4.906 a	0 c	0
Control	+	30.4 c	6.264 b	0.866 c	3.276 b	1.76 b	5.80%
	-	32.4 bc	7.014 b	0.922 bc	4.224 ab	0 c	0

Values are average of five plants, values within each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) according to LSD. (+) means inoculated with *F. oxysporum* while (-) means non-inoculated with *F. oxysporum*.

**Table 3:** Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* with recommended dose of mycorrhizal products on growth of eggplant.

Treatment	<i>F. oxysporum</i>	Height (cm)	FSW (g)	DSW (g)	FRW (g)	Severity (cm)	Infection%
Bacto_Prof	+	45.7 bc	13.404 ab	3.506 a	4.534 a	4.3a	9.41%
	-	48.2 bc	13.792 ab	3.476 a	4.568 a	0c	0
Endomyk_Basic	+	53.3 a	14.562 a	3.406 a	5.038 a	5.4a	10.13%
	-	49 a	13.876 a	2.988 a	4.832 a	0c	0
Endomyk_Conc	+	45.8 abc	13.44 ab	3.284 a	4.656 a	5a	10.92%
	-	49.4 ab	13.874 a	3.55 a	4.9 a	0c	0
Endomyk_Prof	+	46.2 bc	13.314 ab	3.196 a	4.484 ab	5.4a	11.69%
	-	49.2 ab	13.87 a	3.102 a	5.05 a	0c	0
Control	+	42.9 cd	11.882 c	3.43 a	2.684 b	6.2b	14.45%
	-	45.6 d	12.476 bc	3.486 a	3.594 b	0c	0

Values are average of five plants, values within each column followed by the same letter are not significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) according to LSD. (+) means inoculated with *F. oxysporum* while (-) means non-inoculated with *F. oxysporum*.

**Table 4:** Effect of *Fusarium oxysporum* with the recommended dose of mycorrhizal products on squash growth.

Treatment	Infection	Tomato	Pepper	Squash	Eggplant
Bacto_Prof	+ Fus	42%	46%	65%	39%
	- Fus	31%	37%	52%	35%
Endomyk_Basic	+ Fus	33%	68%	60%	54%
	- Fus	30%	56%	54%	50%
Endomyk_Conc	+ Fus	30%	53%	64%	51%
	- Fus	25%	45%	52%	38%
Endomyk_Prof	+ Fus	37%	62%	55%	49%
	- Fus	28%	49%	51%	43%

(+ Fus) means inoculated with *F. oxysporum* while (- Fus) means non-inoculated with *F. oxysporum*.

**Table 5:** Effect of *Fusarium* infection on mycorrhizal root colonization of different products in different vegetable crops.

## Discussion

All products reduced *Fusarium* infection by increasing the plant height and reducing the root percentage of infected plants. The competition for space and nutrients can change the morphology of root system, rhizosphere effect and the activation of plant defense mechanisms which are responsible for disease inhibition by VAM fungi [11]. Bacto\_Prof recorded the highest in all parameters than other products with 42% root colonization in tomato experiment. Microconidia of *Fusarium* wilt, has been shown to be stimulated by tomato root exudates, but when colonized by AMF; it was clear that root exudates of plants inhibited the germination of *Fusarium* microconidia more than root exudates of non-mycorrhizal plants [12]. In pepper experiment; Endomyk\_Basic was the most effective, but in VAM root colonization, Endomyk\_Prof was the first place by 72% then Endomyk\_Basic by 68%. Also Endomyk\_Basic was the most effective product on both squash and eggplant.

Plant height, shoot fresh weight, shoot dry weight and root fresh weight were significantly different in mycorrhizal than non-mycorrhizal plants in all products, while number of plant flowers number, fruit number and fruit weight there, were no differences between mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal plants. *Glomus intraradices* increased plant yield, height and shoot fresh weight. However; pepper plants showed the least yield and height in comparison to tomato and eggplant [13], while in this experiment tomato showed more increase in height compared to pepper and eggplant, but pepper showed the highest mycorrhizal root colonization. The mycorrhizal tomato and eggplant plants had shown an increase in fresh, dry weight and mean plant height compared to non-mycorrhizal which was in agreement with the findings of Kargiannidis et al. [14]. Leaf area, shoot and root dry matter yields were higher in mycorrhizal tomato plants than in non-mycorrhizal [15]. Shoot weight of mycorrhizal tomato treated with or without *F. oxysporum* were more than non-mycorrhizal plants with *Fusarium* [9].

VAM can influence diseases caused by soil-borne pathogens affecting the root system. In general, mycorrhizal plants suffer less damage and the pathogen development will be inhibited [16,17]. *Fusarium oxysporum* is a soil-borne pathogen causing wilting in the vascular system of higher plants and severe yield losses for farmers. Management of *Fusarium* wilt is mainly through chemical soil fumigation (particularly methyl bromide) and resistant cultivars are the most cost-effective and environmentally safe methods of control. However, new races of pathogens overcoming host resistance can develop. The difficulty in controlling *Fusarium* wilt has stimulated the researches in biological control by micro-organisms such as arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi that are important members in the rhizosphere and considered as effective symbionts by protecting the plants from root rot pathogens. The arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi increase plant growth and enhanced plant tolerance to various stress factors. AMF may limit fungal root diseases by strengthening morphological traits of plants with some physiological and microbial modifications in the rhizosphere and by altering the chemical composition of plant tissues [11].

As soon as the VAM colonizes the plant roots the pathogen will be excluded. As a result, the most effective control is achieved when AMF colonization takes place before the pathogen attack the host cells. There are many factors involved in as; changes in root exudates which can cause changes in the rhizosphere microbial community, changes to root biochemistry connected with plant defense mechanisms. Changes to plant defense mechanisms, in another words induced resistance, resulted from a priming effect of the VAM colonization to respond faster to infection by pathogenic fungi [2].

There were no significant differences between mycorrhizal plants inoculated with *Fusarium oxysporum* and mycorrhizal plants alone, and this may be according to some reasons; as early planting date, delayed the entrance of *Fusarium*, temperature or plant cultivar. The efficiency of VAM fungi can be influenced by plant pathogen, symbiotic fungi and environmental conditions [9]. While there were differences between mycorrhizal plants inoculated with *F. oxysporum* and plants inoculated with *F. oxysporum* alone. Pepper plants inoculated with *F. oxysporum* was not significantly different from plants inoculated with both VAM fungi and *F. oxysporum*. Mycorrhizal pepper and tomato plants inoculated with *F. oxysporum* showed higher fresh weight and plant height than plants inoculated with *F. oxysporum* only. *Fusarium* was more severe in non-mycorrhizal than in mycorrhizal tomato and pepper plants [16]. Pre-inoculation with VAM fungi was effective in delaying root infection with *Fusarium* [9].

The root colonization by the mycorrhizal products was not affected by the presence of *Fusarium* infection; the percentage of root colonization was higher in *Fusarium* infection in all examined plants. The number of *Fusarium* propagules was decreased significantly when plants were inoculated with *Glomus intraradices* and decreased root necrosis caused by *Fusarium* [18,19]. All mycorrhizal products were effective and significantly different than non-treated plants, and all mycorrhizal products were significantly inhibited *Fusarium* infection by enhanced the plant growth and increased root volume. Bacto\_Prof increase plant growth and reduced *Fusarium* infection in tomato, while Endomyk\_Basic was more efficient in pepper, squash and eggplant.

## References

1. Kirk PM, Cannon PF, David JC, Stalpers J (2001) Ainsworth and Bisby's Dictionary of the Fungi (9th edn). Wallingford UK CAB International.
2. Gosling P, Hodge A, Goodlass G, Bending GD (2006) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and organic farming. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 113: 17-35.
3. Smith SE, Read DJ (1997) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis (2nd edn). Academic Press London.
4. Garmendia I, Goicoechea N, Aguirreolea J (2004) Effectiveness of three *Glomus* species in protecting pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) against verticillium wilt. Biological Control 31: 296-305.
5. Bethlenfalvai GJ, Barea JM (1994) Mycorrhizae in sustainable agriculture I Effects on seed yield and soil aggregation. American Journal of Alternative Agriculture 9: 157-161.
6. Al Raddad, Al Momany A (1993) Distribution of different *Glomus* species in rainfed areas in Jordan. Dirasat 20: 165-182.
7. Wetterauerr DG, Killorn RJ (1996) Fallow- and flooded-soil syndromes: effects on crop production. Journal of Production Agriculture 9: 39-41.
8. Caron M (1989) Potential use of mycorrhizal in control of soil-borne diseases. Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology 11: 177-179.
9. Al Ameiri NS (1987) Interaction between vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and *Fusarium* root rot of tomato. Master dissertation University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.
10. Bierman B, Linderman R (1981) Quantifying vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae: proposed method towards standardization. New Phytologist Journal 87: 63-67.
11. Akkopru A, Demir S (2005) Biological Control of *Fusarium* Wilt in Tomato Caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. lycopersici by AMF *Glomus intraradices* and some Rhizobacteria. Journal of Phytopathology 153: 544-550.
12. Steinkellner S, Hage-Ahmed K, Garcia-Garrido JM, Illana A, Ocampo JA (2012). A comparison of wild-type, old and modern tomato cultivars in the interaction with the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus mosseae* and the tomato pathogen *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. lycopersici. Mycorrhiza 22: 189-194.
13. Al Raddad, Al Momany A (1987) Effect of three vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizal isolates on growth of tomato, eggplant and pepper in a field soil. Dirasat 14: 161-168.
14. Kargiannidis N, Bletsos F, Stavropoulos N (2002) Effect of Verticillium wilt (*Verticillium dahliae* Kleb.) and mycorrhiza (*Glomus mosseae*) on root colonization, growth and nutrient uptake in tomato and eggplant seedlings. Scientia Horticulture 94: 145-156.
15. Al Karaki GN (2000) Growth of mycorrhizal tomato and minerals acquisition under salt stress. Mycorrhiza 10: 51-54.
16. Al Raddad, Al Momany A (1988) Effect of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae on *Fusarium* wilt of tomato and pepper. Alexandria Journal of Agricultural Research 33: 249-261.
17. Karajeh M, Al Raddad, Al Momany A (1999) Effect of VA Mycorrhizal fungus (*Glomus mosseae* Gerd and Trappe) on *Verticillium dahliae* Kleb. Dirasat 26: 338-341.
18. Caron M, Fortin JA, Richard C (1986) Effect of *Glomus intraradices* on infection by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. radices-lycopersici in tomatoes over a 12-week period. Canadian Journal of Botany 64: 552-556.
19. Caron M, Fortin JA, Richard C (1987) Effect of phosphorus concentration and *Glomus intraradices* on *Fusarium* crown and root rot of tomatoes. Phytopathology Journal 76: 942-946.