Post-approval safe harbor…or not?
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Section 271(e)(1) of United States Code Title 35 provides a safe harbor when infringement is reasonably related to a FDA submission. This past session, the United States Supreme Court denied certiorari to two patent infringement cases claiming a safe harbor in regards to a drug post-FDA approval. Both accused infringers contend that if they infringed, the infringement was to provide FDA with post-approval data. The Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit denied safe harbor in Classen but allowed safe harbor in Amphastar. The U.S. government asserts that the two Federal Circuit decisions can be reconciled. However, there are two distinct judicial views on the Federal Circuit. This session will address whether the two decisions are reconcilable or if there is a continued split in the law.
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