Our experience: Minimally invasive aortic valve replacements
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The small incisions of minimally invasive surgery have the proposed benefit of less surgical trauma and an improved cosmetic outcome, corresponding to a faster postoperative recovery. Here, we report our experience performing minimally invasive aortic valve replacements, via a minimally invasive anterior thoracotomy or mini-sternotomy approach, in comparison to conventional sternotomy. A total of 189 aortic valve replacements were completed from January 2012 – December 2013, where 82(43.4%) were mini-thoracotomy, 44(23.3%) mini-sternotomy, and 63(33.3%) conventional sternotomy. Analysis of postoperative complications revealed that the mini-thoracotomy approach, when compared to the mini-sternotomy and conventional sternotomy, had a lower incidence of prolonged ventilator support [2.4% vs. 11.4% and 11.1%, respectively (p = 0.054)]. Further, the mini-thoracotomy approach, compared to the mini-sternotomy and conventional sternotomy, required a shorter ICU stay [38.3 vs. 62.8 and 92.7 hours, respectively (p<0.05)] and shorter postoperative length of stay [6.5 vs. 9.4 and 9.3 days, respectively (p<0.05)], resulting in an overall shorter hospitalization [8.8 vs. 12.8 and 14.7 days, respectively (p<0.05)]. Incidence of stroke [1.2% vs. 2.3% and 1.6%, respectively (p=1.0)], reoperation for bleeding [4.8% vs. 6.8% and 4.8%, respectively (p=0.84)], renal failure [6.1% vs. 9.1% and 6.4%, respectively (p=0.82)], and atrial fibrillation [21.9% vs. 34.1% and 23.8%, respectively (p=0.34)], were lower in the mini-thoracotomy group compared to the mini-sternotomy and conventional sternotomy; however, these differences were not statistically significant. Overall, minimally invasive techniques demonstrated a trend towards better survival [mini-thoracotomy 2.4%, mini-sternotomy 2.3%, and conventional sternotomy 4.8% (p=0.77)]. Therefore, we believe that minimally invasive aortic valve replacement is a safe and effective alternative to conventional sternotomy.
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