Addition of Fish Protein Hydrolysate for Enhanced Water Retention in Sous Vide Processing of Salmon
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Abstract

Scientific evidence has shown that high sodium consumption from salt can lead to arterial hypertension. Most salt is consumed through processed food to enhance flavor or to increase water retention in the case of processed meats and seafood. In the processing of fish, such as salmon, considerable organic waste is generated that could be reused in the form of a product that could serve a useful purpose. The hypothesis of the work presented in this paper was that protein hydrolysate made from salmon waste could replace salt for the purpose of enhancing water retention in the (Sous Vide) processing of salmon filets. The main objective of this research was to observe the effect of different combinations of protein hydrolysate and salt concentration on the drained weight of the final product, and to determine that the results obtained were statistically and economically significant. Response surface methodology was used to study the simultaneous effects of different combinations of protein hydrolysate and salt concentrations on resulting drained weight. A 4x4 factorial design was used, where concentrations of protein hydrolysate were 0%, 7%, 10% and 14%, while salt concentrations were those established by the U.S. Department of Agricultural for salmon as regular, low, very low and no added sodium (1.9%, 0.6%, 0.2% and 0%, respectively). Results showed that the combination of 14% hydrolysate and 0.2% salt achieved nearly the same level of water retention as the standard industry practice of adding nearly 2% salt. This could mean that processors could reduce current levels of salt addition by 90% without compromising process performance, nor color or texture in the final product.
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Introduction

World population continues to increase bringing with it an increasing demand for processed foods, and associated amounts of organic waste being generated. Approximately 50% of raw material processed in the food industry is discarded as organic waste with the associated cost of waste disposal and adverse effects on the environment [1]. At the same time, our society is preoccupied with the need to combat chronic health issues, such as hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and various types of cancer. These health problems are among the principal causes of death in the world, and were responsible for 63% of deaths with 29% being persons less than 60 years of age [2]. Changes in diet and life style are among the most effective means for combating these chronic diseases. As such, increasing attention is being given to incorporating additives in processed foods that act as bioactive ingredients in helping to prevent these chronic diseases [3]. By-products from the food industry have the potential for being used as bioactive ingredients or additives in processed foods that can make them "functional foods", increasing their value and fostering a more positive image of the food industry. A functional food is defined by the International Food Information Council (IFIC) as a product containing a specific intentionally added substance for the purpose of improving its effect on promoting good health and well-being.

A common practice in the food industry is the addition of salt to thermally processed meat and fish products to prevent weight loss that would otherwise occur because of reduced water holding capacity caused by the heat treatment. In the majority of developed countries the greatest contributor to salt intake is the salt added to processed foods, which is out of the consumer’s control. This should encourage the food industry to produce new functional foods with lower salt content, putting just as much emphasis on ingredient formulation as on process development, including utilization of by-products. In this regard, the Chilean salmon industry is an interesting candidate for the application of such new processing technologies.

Chile is the second largest producer of salmon in the world, reaching nearly 300,000 tons in the year 2010, representing 23% of world production [4]. Approximately 45% of Chilean salmon is exported as frozen filets or held fresh under refrigeration, while only 18% is further processed for export as smoked, salted or canned [5]. The waste by-product from these processing operations amounts to 47% of the fish processed, and consists largely of fish heads, viscera, bones, scales, fins, peel and cartilage [6]. These by-products contain large quantities of proteinaceous material, and are often processed into fish meal, flour and oil, which are products of lower commercial value. Another alternative for utilization of these by-products is the manufacture of fish protein hydrolysate. Various studies have demonstrated that enzymatically hydrolyzed proteins from salmon muscle contain peptides with bioactive properties that act as antioxidants and antihypertensive, among others. They also possess desirable functional properties such as enhanced solubility and water holding capacity [7-9]. Other studies...
have been reported on the application of protein hydrolysates for use as functional ingredients in foods [10-12].

Among thermal processes used in the food industry, the process for making "Sous Vide" products appears as an interesting alternative for producing salmon filets "ready to serve", and would help supply the increasing consumer demand for these types of products [13], while offering processed salmon products with greater market value. Sous Vide processing involves application of a controlled cooking-pasteurization heat treatment to a raw food that is vacuum packaged in a heat-stable pouch or package. After this heat treatment, the product is rapidly cooled to temperatures around 0-3°C, and stored under refrigeration for up to five weeks before reheating and serving. Advantages of this treatment are greater convenience and improved sensory quality and nutrition retention over traditional thermally processed products [14]. One of the principal disadvantages is the appearance of undesirable "drip loss" within the package (water exuded from the product because of loss of water retention during the heat treatment).

The hypothesis of the work presented in this paper was that protein hydrolysate made from salmon waste could replace all or part of added salt for the purpose of enhancing water retention in thermal processes used in the Sous Vide processing of salmon filets, and without compromising color or texture in the final product. Therefore, the principal objective of this work was to determine the effect of different combinations of protein hydrolysate and salt concentration on the drained weight of final product after thermal processing without compromising color or texture, and to determine if the results obtained were statistically and economically significant.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of protein hydrolysate

The procedure utilized for producing the protein hydrolysate was based on the methodology reported by Castillo [15]. Frozen salmon muscle tissue (discards) were procured from a local salmon processing plant and kept frozen at -20°C until they were thawed at room temperature overnight prior to undergoing hydrolysis the next day. Food grade Alcalase® 2.4 L enzyme (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was selected for its ability to produce hydrolysates with specific functional properties, and because it is widely used in the hydrolysis of fish muscle [7,8,16,17]. Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed in a stirred batch reactor (1.5L glass beaker). Temperature was held constant at 40°C, while pH was adjusted to 7.5 with NaOH 1N in accordance with Kristinsson and Rasco [17].

The specification of all reactants used in the protein hydrolysate are shown in table 1.

The Degree of Hydrolysis (DH) was monitored as the final processing criteria in accordance with Kristinsson and Rasco [17]. These authors analyzed different functional properties of salmon protein hydrolysates obtained from several alkaline proteases, including Alcalase® 2, 4 L. Water Holding Capacity (WHC) obtained for hydrolysates with 5 and 10% DH, was not significantly different, but the hydrolysis time required to obtain 5% DH increases 4 to 5 fold to achieve 10% DH. Accordingly, 5% DH was used to generate the hydrolysate to be used as additive in Sous Vide salmon processing. Characterization of the hydrolysate obtained after 5%DH, is made by determining its alpha-amino groups (-NH₂) through the OPA method as shown by Nilssen et al. [18] (Figures 1 and 2).

Design of experiments

Response surface methodology was used to study the simultaneous effects of different combinations of protein hydrolysate and salt concentrations on resulting drained weight. A 4×4 factorial design was used, where concentrations of protein hydrolysate selected were 0%, 7%, 10% and 14%, while salt concentrations were those found for canned salmon in the Commercial Item Description (CID) established by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) for salmon as regular, low, very low and no added sodium, with nominal concentrations of 1.9%, 0.6%, 0.2% and 0%, respectively. To add the salt into the muscle tissue, the amount of salt needed is weighted and then dissolved in 0.7mL of distilled water and finally injected in the respective salmon sample. Precise concentrations of salt and their corresponding sodium content are given in table 2.

The levels chosen for added protein hydrolysate (0, 7, 10 and 14%) were those used by Szerman et al. [19], in their study with whey protein concentrate as an additive in vacuum-packed meat products for the production of salmon filets "ready to serve", and would help supply the increasing consumer demand for these types of products [13], while offering processed salmon products with greater market value.

The hypothesis of the work presented in this paper was that protein hydrolysate made from salmon waste could replace all or part of added salt for the purpose of enhancing water retention in thermal processes used in the Sous Vide processing of salmon filets, and without compromising color or texture in the final product. Therefore, the principal objective of this work was to determine the effect of different combinations of protein hydrolysate and salt concentration on the drained weight of final product after thermal processing without compromising color or texture, and to determine if the results obtained were statistically and economically significant.

Materials and Methods

Preparation of protein hydrolysate

The procedure utilized for producing the protein hydrolysate was based on the methodology reported by Castillo [15]. Frozen salmon muscle tissue (discards) were procured from a local salmon processing plant and kept frozen at -20°C until they were thawed at room temperature overnight prior to undergoing hydrolysis the next day. Food grade Alcalase® 2.4 L enzyme (Novozymes, Bagsvaerd, Denmark) was selected for its ability to produce hydrolysates with specific functional properties, and because it is widely used in the hydrolysis of fish muscle [7,8,16,17]. Enzymatic hydrolysis was performed in a stirred batch reactor (1.5L glass beaker). Temperature was held constant at 40°C, while pH was adjusted to 7.5 with NaOH 1N in accordance with Kristinsson and Rasco [17].

The specification of all reactants used in the protein hydrolysate are shown in table 1.

The Degree of Hydrolysis (DH) was monitored as the final processing criteria in accordance with Kristinsson and Rasco [17]. These authors analyzed different functional properties of salmon protein hydrolysates obtained from several alkaline proteases, including Alcalase® 2, 4 L. Water Holding Capacity (WHC) obtained for hydrolysates with 5 and 10% DH, was not significantly different, but the hydrolysis time required to obtain 5% DH increases 4 to 5 fold to achieve 10% DH. Accordingly, 5% DH was used to generate the hydrolysate to be used as additive in Sous Vide salmon processing. Characterization of the hydrolysate obtained after 5%DH, is made by determining its alpha-amino groups (-NH₂) through the OPA method as shown by Nilssen et al. [18] (Figures 1 and 2).

Design of experiments

Response surface methodology was used to study the simultaneous effects of different combinations of protein hydrolysate and salt concentrations on resulting drained weight. A 4×4 factorial design was used, where concentrations of protein hydrolysate selected were 0%, 7%, 10% and 14%, while salt concentrations were those found for canned salmon in the Commercial Item Description (CID) established by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) for salmon as regular, low, very low and no added sodium, with nominal concentrations of 1.9%, 0.6%, 0.2% and 0%, respectively. To add the salt into the muscle tissue, the amount of salt needed is weighted and then dissolved in 0.7mL of distilled water and finally injected in the respective salmon sample. Precise concentrations of salt and their corresponding sodium content are given in table 2.

The levels chosen for added protein hydrolysate (0, 7, 10 and 14%) were those used by Szerman et al. [19], in their study with whey protein concentrate as an additive in vacuum-packed meat products for the production of salmon filets "ready to serve", and would help supply the increasing consumer demand for these types of products [13], while offering processed salmon products with greater market value.

Table 1: Hydrolysis reactants.

| Total Mass | 1000 g |
| Protein mass | 55 g |
| %Protein (substrate) in hydrolysis solution | 5% |
| Salmon muscle | 369 g |
| Water volume | 720 mL |
| Enzyme volume | 928 µL |
| Enzymatic volume solution | 10 mL |
| % Salmon muscle protein | 16.4% |

Figure 1: Schematic diagram of process steps taken to obtain protein hydrolysate.
purpose of enhancing water retention. The four levels of salt and fish protein hydrolysate concentrations used in the design of experiments are summarized in table 3.

The experimental design consisted of two control variables with four levels for each variable that translated into the 4x4 factorial design of experiments detailed in table 4.

**Determination of water holding capacity (WHC)**

Water-holding capacity (WHC) is the capacity of muscle tissue to resist the loss of water. It is equally important with respect to commercial productivity as it is to consumer acceptance in the market place. The method used for measuring WHC in this study was taken from that reported by Almonacid et al. [20], in which a sample of muscle tissue is subjected to high-speed centrifugation as the driving force to mechanically expel water held within the sample. Specially designed 2-part centrifuge tubes were developed for this purpose, consisting of a larger outer tube partially filled with glass beads to create a porous bed through which the expelled water could flow. The bed of glass beads was topped with a smaller concentric glass tube (sample holder) fitted with a porous polyester membrane at the base covered with a paper filter upon which the sample of muscle tissue was placed (Figure 3).

The water-holding capacity (WHC) can be expressed as the percentage of water retained per 100 grams of water present in the sample prior to centrifugation, as indicated by equation 1.

\[
WHC = \frac{W_r}{W_i} \times 100
\]  

\[
W_r = M_c - M_d
\]  

\[
W_i = W_r + W_e
\]

where \( W_i \): Weight of water present prior to centrifugation (g), \( W_r \): Weight of water retained in the sample after centrifugation (g), \( M_c \): Weight of centrifuged sample (g), \( M_d \): Dry weight of sample (g).

**Experimental procedure**

Samples of salmon were taken from the thickest part of whole filets and cut into 4cm-squares of 0.5cm thickness. Samples were weighed prior to vacuum sealing in retortable pouches for precooking in a hot water bath at 70°C for 5 minutes. Then, immediately quenched in a cold water bath for cooling to approximately 18°C. The cool precooked filets were removed from the pouches, and cleaned of connective tissue.

---

**Table 2:** Levels of sodium content (Na) and their equivalent in added salt (NaCl) established by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) for salmon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Quantity of Na (mg/100 g)</th>
<th>(%NaCl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regular</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>1.875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No salt added</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Levels of salt (NaCl) and fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) used as control variables in the design of experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>%NaCl (p/p)</th>
<th>%FPH (p/p)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Factorial design of experiments for response surface methodology.
(released during the precook treatment) prior to being weighed once again to determine weight loss caused by precooking. They were then ready for repackaging in new pouches with added salt and/or protein hydrolysate according to the design of experiments.

A micropipette was used to transfer the required amount of fish protein hydrolysate to a small Petri dish, drawn from the Petri dish into a syringe, and injected into the sample at distinct locations around the surface of the filet. To accomplish the salt addition, the required amount of salt was placed in a Petri dish and dissolved in 0.7 ml distilled water. Then, the aqueous salt solution was drawn from the Petri dish into a syringe, and injected into the sample at distinct locations around the surface of the filet. For those samples requiring a mixture of salt and hydrolysate, the required amounts of each were mixed together in one Petri dish until all the salt had dissolved; then injected into the sample filet by syringe as before. The injected samples were then vacuum sealed in their new pouches, and refrigerated overnight at 2°C to allow diffusion for achieving a uniform distribution of salt and hydrolysate throughout the salmon tissue. The next day, the vacuum-sealed pouched samples were subjected to the Sous Vide heat treatment process.

The thermal-pasteurization time, evaluated at the muscle slowest heating point, was that to achieve 6D Log reduction of Listeria monocytogenes according to Nyati et al. [21]. The resulting process was 20 min in a hot water bath at 70°C, followed by a cooling step to 18°C in a cold water bath, and finally the processed product was placed under refrigerated storage at 2°C for three days to reach osmotic equilibrium between the additives and the salmon muscle tissue. At this point the filets were taken from their pouches for determination of drained weight, color, and texture.

Measurement of color and texture

Color measurements were made with CR-400 colorimeter (Chroma Meter; Konica Minolta Sensing Inc. Osaka, Japan) using the L*, a*, b* system of color parameters. Color on the surface of salmon filets varies substantially from zone to zone on the surface. Therefore, color measurements were taken at the same location point before and after heat treatment to be sure any color differences observed were caused by the heat treatment alone, and not because of differences in location of measurement. The average of three readings from the colorimeter was taken as the result of one measurement, and measurements were taken in triplicate on the central point of each sample filet.

Texture measurements were made on a Texture Analyzer CT3 (Brookfield, Middleboro, MA, USA) under operating conditions specified in table 5. Compression tests were carried out to measure the force required to achieve 30% deformation. This would correlate to a measurement of rigidity (force/deformation) at a specified degree of deformation. It would be perceived as “firmness” by the consumer, referred to as “firmness” in this and study [22].

Statistical analysis

Response variables: Response variables from the heat treatment experiments were analyzed by the method of Response Surface Methodology (RSM) using MINITAB 15° software (State College, USA), which performed a complete statistical analysis of all the data. Results obtained from executing the 4x4 factorial designs were entered into the MINITAB program, and analyzed through RSM to recognize how the response variables can vary as a function of variations in the control variables.

Color and texture: In order to recognize any effects from different combinations of salt and FPH on color and texture, and whether or not any differences would be statistically significant, results from color and texture measurements were analyzed using the statistical procedure called Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with the help of SPSS Statistics 17.0 commercially available software (SPSS: Statistical Package for Social Sciences, IBM Corporation, New York, United States).

Results and Discussion

The concentration of -NH₂ in the 5%DH (and 5% protein initial concentration) hydrolysate was found to be 0.075M. This was the hydrolysate used for injection in all respective experiments.

Process response variables

Response variables from the pre-cook and Sous Vide heat treatments consisted of:

- Weight loss from pre-cook heat treatment (%P1)
- Weight loss from the Sous Vide heat treatment (%P2)
- Total weight loss from both treatments combined (%PT)

Results obtained for these three parameters as a function of the various additive combinations used in the design of experiments are summarized in table 6.

Weight loss as a result of pre-cooking (%P1) was essentially the same for all samples at approximately 12%. No differences were expected since all samples were subjected to the very same thermal process conditions of pre-cooking, and contained no additives. In contrast, total weight loss (%PT) varied from nearly 16% to as much as 24% as a function of the various additive combinations used in the design of experiments. Results of particular interest are presented graphically in figure 4 (a- d). Figure 4(a) shows the effect of salt addition alone on
total weight loss, and reveals the degree to which weight loss diminishes as salt concentration increases from 0 to 1.9%. Conversely, figure 4(b) shows the effect of fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) addition alone on total weight loss, and reveals the degree to which weight loss diminishes as FPH concentration increases from 0 to 14%. Note that the minimum weight loss achieved by FPH alone (18.7%) is remarkably close to that of salt alone (16.8%). These findings confirm the effectiveness of adding fish protein hydrolysate for purposes of minimizing weight loss.

The effects of adding combinations of salt and FPH together for 7% and 14% FPH are shown in figures 4(c) and 4(d), respectively. Figure 4(d) for the case of 14% FPH shows that less than 18% weight loss can be achieved with only one tenth the amount of salt addition normally used in current practice (0.2 vs. 1.9%).

Figure 5 illustrates the significance of these findings by comparing total weight loss achieved from the combination of 14% FPH and 0.2% salt (17.9%) with that achieved from the control (Regular) containing nearly 2% salt addition (16.9%). By adding 14% fish protein hydrolysate made from salmon by-products (a natural protein ingredient), salt addition can be reduced by 90% at the small price of accepting just one percent less weight loss.

Color measurements
Color changes affected by the heat treatments and additive combinations are summarized in table 7, in which mean values and standard error are presented for each color parameter (ΔL, Δa, Δb). Values followed by different letters in the same column indicate significant differences. The ΔL parameter (brightness) was unaffected. However significant changes were found in the Δa (red) and Δb (yellow) parameters, but only between samples with and without additives. Both these parameters were intensified by the addition of either salt alone, FPH alone, or combinations of both. These color changes were likely the result of myoglobin denaturation and oxidation of carotenoid pigments. The increased intensity of the red and yellow color tones are desirable attributes with respect to consumer acceptance, and are achieved equally by addition of either salt alone, FPH alone, or combinations of both.

Texture measurement
Results from texture measurements on salmon filets processed with the various additive combinations are summarized in figure 6. Measurements are reported as “firmness”, defined as the force (Newtons) required to achieve a 30% deformation under compression.
testing with a Brookfield texturometer. Addition of either salt alone, FPH alone, or combinations of both increased firmness. This means that samples contain additives had greater firmness, which is another desirable attribute for greater consumer acceptance. This increase in firmness was likely a reflection of greater cellular turgor pressure achieved by the increased water holding capacity attributed to the addition of salt and/or hydrolysate.

Conclusions

Results from this study suggest the following conclusions:

- Addition of fish protein hydrolysate (FPH) into the muscle tissue of salmon filets undergoing Sous Vide processing will significantly improve drained weight when compared with filets processed without additives, and confirms the hypothesis put forward at the beginning of the study.
- Specifically, the addition of 14% FPH increases drained weight by five percentage points in comparison with no additives, and achieves nearly the same result as the common industry practice of 2% salt addition.
- Drained weight can be further improved when FPA and salt are used together as combined additives, allowing for lower and lower salt concentrations to achieve the same effect.
- Best results were obtained with a combination of 14% FPH and 0.2% salt, allowing normal salt addition in current industry practice to be be reduced by 90% at the small price of accepting just one percent less in drained weight.
- The addition of FPH alone or in combination with salt at the levels used in this study had no significant effect on color or texture when compared with control samples containing 1.9% salt used in current industry practice.

Acknowledgements

Author Sergio Almonacid is grateful for financial support provided by CONICYT through the FONDECYT project 1121147.

Author Pedro Valencia is grateful for financial support provided by CONICYT through the FONDECYT project 11110249.

References

Addition of Fish Protein Hydrolysate for Enhanced Water Retention in Sous Vide Processing of Salmon


