

Alternative Mating Strategies in Male Morphotypes of the Prawn *Macrobrachium idellaidella* (Hilgendorf, 1898)

Soundarapandian P*, Dinakaran GK and Varadharajan D

Centre of Advanced study in Marine Biology, Faculty of Marine Sciences, Annamalai University, Parangipettai –608 502, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

An alternative reproductive behaviour can be defined as any discontinuous variation in an aspect of reproductive behaviour among one sex within a single population. Alternative reproductive behaviours occur in a wide variety of taxa and, when referring to particular aspects of the mating behaviour are commonly termed alternative mating tactics. A close examination of *M. idellaidella* males reveals that three distinct morphotypes based upon size ranking, the ratio of claw length to body length (relative claw length) which included small male (SM), medium size male (MS) and bigger size male (BS). To know the alternative mating strategies 7 experiments were conducted. The following stocking combinations were tried in the present study: (1) 3 BS males (110-120mm) Vs female (55-90mm); (2) 3 MS males (70-80 mm) Vs female (55-90mm); (3) 6 SM males (45-55 mm) Vs female (55-90mm); (4) 1 blocked BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm); (5) 1 BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm); (6) 1 blocked BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm); (7) 1 BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm) respectively.

Keywords: Male; Morphotypes; Reproductive; Behaviours; *M. idellaidella*

Introduction

Although crustaceans were among the first recorded examples of alternative mating strategies (*Orchestrator darwinii*, Darwin, 1874; *Tanais* spp. Darwin, 1874), there is currently no artificial treatment of how such polymorphisms are expressed within this group. A social organization with obvious size dependent hierarchical characteristics and aggressive tendencies at both the feeding and breeding levels is known to exist in a number of species of insects [1,2] and in aquatic organisms [3-6]. When male reproductive success depends on male-male competition and aggression. This is usually the case in polygamous species. The individuals who are at a competitive disadvantage sometimes adopt an entirely different group of reproductive behaviours. However, when such alternative mating patterns are practiced as part of a developmental sequence, they can be considered parts of a single lifetime reproductive strategy [6]. The present study evaluates the relative reproductive potential of each male morphotypes of *M. idellaidella* by examining their mating strategy is close quarter in an aquarium. We have also tried to arrive at a qualitative evaluation of each of these morphotypes (representing three different developmental stages) according to each type's specific physical characteristics, typical behaviour and probability of achieving successful fertilization.

Materials and methods

A close examination of *M. idellaidella* males reveals that three

distinct morphotypes based upon size ranking, the ratio of claw length to body length (relative claw length). The three male categories includes (a) small males (SM), represented in the peak of the male distribution, characterized by clear chelae with a relative length of 4-5 cm (b) medium sized (MS) males, larger than SM males, characterized by chelae and by a relative claw length of 11.5-13 cm and (c) bigger sized (BS) males, the largest individuals of the male population, characterized by thick dark chelae with a relative claw length of 16-17.5 cm. Whereas the weight of small males is mainly restricted to the range between 1-10 g, the weight and sizes of MS and BS males vary widely with cultural procedures (Table 1). Nevertheless, the relative proportions of the three male morphotypes, SM, MS, and BS, remain nearly constant at 5:4:1, respectively, under a wide range of environmental conditions [7,8]. These ratios are maintained at a dynamic state, in which individual males are capable of undergoing a transformation from one morphotype to another, following an irreversible order: from SM to MS to BS. Such transformations would occur whenever large individuals either die or are selectively removed [9].

Source of animals

Almost similar age juveniles were stocked in the laboratory for the present study. This ensures uniformity in the population. The selection of males was performed according to the morphologically distinctive characteristics of the three male categories and females were based on their state of sexual maturation as determined by gonad development [10].

S.No	Category	BS male	MS male	SM male
1	Body size	Large	Medium	Small
2	Relative claw length (cm)	16-17.5	11.5-13	4-5
3	Mobility	Mainly resting and self-grooming	Mainly resting and self-grooming	Mainly exploring
4	Agonistic competition	Aggressive and dominant	Aggressive and subdominant	submissive
5	Courtship	Protecting and grooming	Never observed courting	Never observed courting
6	Copulatory attempts	Must turn over	Must turn over	Sneaking while turning itself underneath

Table 1: Qualitative comparison of physical and behavioral characteristics of the three male morphotypes of *M. idella idella*.

*Corresponding author: Soundarapandian P, Faculty of Marine Sciences, Centre of Advanced Study in Marine Biology, Annamalai University, Parangipettai-608 502, Tamil Nadu, India, Tel: 04144-243223; Fax: 04144-243553; E-mail: soundsuma@gmail.com

Received October 09, 2013; Accepted December 05, 2013; Published December 07, 2013

Citation: Soundarapandian P, Dinakaran GK and Varadharajan D (2013) Alternative Mating Strategies in Male Morphotypes of the Prawn *Macrobrachium idellaidella* (Hilgendorf, 1898). J Aquac Res Development 5: 204 doi:10.4172/2155-9546.1000204

Copyright: © 2013 Soundarapandian P, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Experimental groups

Mating probability of SM and MS males was examined in glass aquarium by stocking each of these morphotypes either alone with females or together with a dominant BS male. In other set of experimental group the dominant BS male was unable to release its own spermatophores. This was performed by sealing the gonopores with a drop of quick-set adhesive cyanoacrilate (commercial name: Super Glue). Such treatment did not interfere with the males display of courting behavior [10]. The rate of female fertilization by the subordinate SM and MS males in the presence of a blocked BS male indicates that the actual probability of SM and MS males to achieve a successful copulation in a situation more similar to that prevailing in nature where BS males are guarding and protecting receptive females. The females used in each experiment were sexually matured and mostly in the size range of 55 to 90mm.

The following stocking combinations were tried in the present study:

- (1) 3 BS males (110-120mm) Vs female (55-90mm)
- (2) 3 MS males (70-80 mm) Vs female (55-90mm)
- (3) 6 SM males (45-55 mm) Vs female (55-90mm)
- (4) 1 blocked BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm)
- (5) 1 BS male and 2 MS males Vs female (55-90mm)
- (6) 1 blocked BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm)
- (7) 1 BS male and 5 SM males Vs female (55-90mm)

Experimental setup

One glass aquarium (for a close observation) and two fiber glass tanks were setup for each stocking combinations. Whenever a male went through a morphotypic transformation, died, or suffered a physical injury (such as loss of claws while moulting or fighting), that was replaced by another male of a similar morphotype. Altogether, all males in each aquarium were replaced 5-6 times due to injuries within the entire observation period of 5 months. In addition to the males, a female with ripe gonads was present at any given time in each aquarium. Whenever a female became berried, it was replaced by an equivalent female, and was transferred into a separate aquarium to observe the embryonic development. When a receptive female in an observation aquarium either did not become berried, or lost all eggs within 48 hours from the pre-mating moult, it was replaced by another female and failure of fertilization was recorded. Altogether, 20-30 females were examined for each stocking with males.

Experimental conditions

Observations were carried out in glass aquarium (58 X 58 X 37 cm) equipped with aerators to satisfy the need of dissolved oxygen (5ppm) and also temperature control devices to maintain optimum temperature(27-28°C) throughout the experiment. In each aquarium was placed with six or seven plastic pipes (3cm in diameter and 5-15cm long) which served as shelters for newly moulted individuals. All experimental animals were fed daily with chopped fish and clam meat and occasionally supplemented with commercial pellet feeds. Uneaten feed and other accumulated particulate matter were removed daily from each aquarium and fiber glass tanks by siphoning. Glass aquarium and fiber glass tanks were checked daily for moults, male morphotypic transformations and berried females. Berried females were kept isolated

in fiber glass tanks (45 X 30 X 37 cm) which also equipped with aerators and temperature control devices to maintain conducive environment.


Observations and measurements



Behavioural observations were carried out especially when a female entered into pre-mating moult. Special attention was given to the relative position of each individual in the aquarium to the typical male-male interactions (agonistic behavior) and to the male-female interactions (protecting and mating). Whenever a female was removed, some 48 hours after a pre-mating moult, its physical state was recorded, i.e., lost appendages, broken antennae and other signs of physical injury. Embryonic development was observed two weeks later by a microscopical examination of eggs sampled from the females abdomen and served as the ultimate proof of successful fertilization. The percentages of successful fertilizations, failures to fertilize, female mortalities due to physical injuries made by males soon after pre-mating moult and other female mortalities unrelated to interactions with males were calculated.

Results

Experimental setup 1

In this setup 3 BS males (110-120 mm) were stocked with a matured female. When the males encountered the pre-mating females there was a strong competition between the males for mating. The stronger male started to court the female from other males. Once he was succeeded





than his contact and mounted the female. After mounting, the male turned over the female and started grooming the female brood chambers to remove the dust and other algal particles from the chamber before deposition of the spermatophores (Plate 1).

Experimental setup 2

In this setup 3, medium sized (MS) males (70-80 mm) were stocked with a matured female. When the males met with the pre-mating female there was a fight between the males for mating. At last the male which won the competition was turning over the female and finally went for mating without cleaning the brood chamber and courting was totally absent (Plate 2).

Experimental setup 3

In this experimental setup 6, small sized (SM) males (45-55 mm) were stocked with a matured female. When the males encountered the pre-mating female there was a competition between males. The successful male started attracting by contact and mounting the female, then tried to turn over the female. Since the males are smaller than the female it was very difficult for him to turn the females. So the male

tried to mate the female by sneaking while turning it underneath of the female and started mating without cleaning the brood chamber of the female. The small males failed to court the female and occasionally injured by the continuing attempts of the several SM males to approach her at the same time (Plate 3).

Experimental setup 4



In this setup 1 blocked BS male and 2 MS males were stocked with a matured female. Even though the BS male was unable to release its own spermatophore but it displayed all the characters like courting, mounting and turning the female and subscultly tried to attach its spermatophore. In this juncture MS males were successfully attach their spermatophore (Plate 4).

Experimental setup 5

In this setup, 1 BS male and 2 MS males were stocked with a matured female. When the bigger and medium sized males were approached the pre-mating females for mating, major behavioural differences were visible between BS and MS males. In the BS male's territorial area the MS males were immobile. Although MS males were attracted by the female, they were easily chased away by the dominant BS male. In many instances after one or two agonistic encounters the MS males ignore the mating couple. But when the BS male was absent a series of agonistic encounters among the MS males were resulted in dominant male trying to capture the female prior to mating (Plate 5).

Experimental setup 6

In this setup, 1 blocked BS male and 5 SM males were stocked






Plate 6: SM male tried to adopt sneak mating strategy by stealing over BS male.




Plate 7: A. BS male mating the female in the presence of SM males B. Sneak mating of SM male.



Plate 8: Contact.

with a matured female. As in the experimental setup (4) the BS male expressed its original character but unable to release its spermatophore because sealing of gonophore. For mating the SM male use this great opportunity and steal under the female for copulation and attached its spermatophore successfully (Plate 6).

In this setup, 1 BS male and 5 SM males were stocked with a matured female. When the bigger and smaller sized males were approach the pre-mating female, major behavioral differences were noticed between BS and SM males. BS male spend most of its time resting and grooming themselves, or actively courting and protecting the receptive female while displaying agonistic behaviour towards all other males. The SM males were highly mobile. They were the first to react to the introduction of food and were strongly attracted to

receptive females. They usually avoid physical contact with superior BS male by retreating into the water column whenever closely threatened by the BS male. However, there were always few SM males continuously hovering around the female whether the female was alone or protected by the BS male. Occasionally SM males were attempted to sneak under the female and attached its spermatophore to the female's abdomen. The successful aggressive BS male turned the female and attached their spermatophore (Plate 7).

General mating behaviour

The mating behaviour of *M. idellaidella* was divided into four phases in general as given below.

Contact: When the male recognizing the pre-mating female, it moved towards the female and started approaches her with his shoulders perked up. With his first antenna stretched forward gently grasps her uropod, pleopods etc, with his first pereopods (Plate 8).

Guarding: After male contacts the newly moulted female, the male guards the female. During this behaviour, the male encircled the female with his second pereopods in a way that her tail region faces his head region. This was continued for 1.30-3 hours until the shell slightly hardens (Plate 9).

Mounting: After shell getting slightly hardens, the male grasps the female and begins to mount the female. In the meantime he begins to search for the sternum of the female using the dactylae of his third and fourth pereopods on which his spermatophore is to be deposited. The male supports female body with his long second and fifth pereopods throughout mounting and mating. When he was recognizing her sternum near the bases of her last three pairs of pereopods he began to turn her upside down using his first, third and fourth pereopods. After that using third and fourth pereopods male was started to clean the brood chamber (Plate 10).

Mating: Mating took place when the female was turned on her back, so that her ventral side was up. Immediately after this the male strongly bends backwards with a vigorous beating of pleopods. Pressing down from above, he brought his genital pores very close to female ventral thoracic region without touching the sternum. Next moment the spermatophore was ejected and deposited on the ventral median thoracic region of the female. After mating the pair separated and again the male protects the female until the shell hardens completely (Plate 11).

Reproductive potential of the three male morphotypes

A comparison between the relative frequencies of successful






Plate 9: Guarding.



fertilizations by the three male morphotypes (Table 1), experiments 1, 2 and 3 clearly shows that the reproductive advantage of BS males over MS and SM males. The 91% of the females were successfully fertilized in the presence of BS males and only 4.3% failed to become berried. In the cases of SM and MS males, successful fertilization was happened in 34.5% and 38% of the females and infertility was recorded for 41% and 40.6% of the females, respectively. The reproductive success of the BS male was significantly higher than that of the other two morphotypes ($P < 0.05$).

In addition to the high probability of achieving a successful fertilization, BS proved to be better guards of receptive newly moulted females than SM and MS males. Only BS males were observed to protect the females during courtship activity. Moreover, only 1.4 % of females died as a consequence of male aggression whenever BS males were present, whereas females stocked with MS and SM males, had a mortality rate of 19.2 % and 23.1 %, respectively.

Reproductive potential of SM and MS males in the presence of a dominant BS male

Stocking of MS males with a blocked BS male (Table 2) ensures that females were fertilized exclusively by MS males. The frequency of successful fertilizations by MS males differed 38% versus 17.3% when held by themselves or in the presence of a blocked BS male, respectively. Failures of MS males to fertilize were recorded in 75.5% of the cases

when a BS male was present, as compared with 40.6% when MS males were kept alone. In short, BS males presence with MS males reduced the chances of fertilization by MS males.

The reproductive potential of SM males, in contrast to that of MS males, was not affected by the presence of a blocked BS male. Thirty-four percent of the females were fertilized when the SM males were held separately or were kept with a BS male, indicating that the chances for a successful mating of SM males, applying the 'sneak copulation' strategy, are independent of the presence of dominant BS males. Although blocked BS males were not achieved fertilization, they were still pursue their normal courting and protecting behaviour, as indicated by the negligible percentage of females which died of physical injuries in their presence (1.9 and 3.2 % in experiments 4 and 6, respectively).

In the experiment, where MS or SM males were kept together with untreated, normal BC males (Table 2, experiment 5 and 7), 98.9 % and 83.2 % of the females were successfully fertilized, indicating that females may readily become berried, under these experimental conditions, depending only on the ability of the male morphotypes present to complete successful mating (Figures 1-4). All reproductive relative behaviour is statistically significant (Table 3).

Discussion

Many scientists worked on alternative mating strategies world over [11-14]. These approaches have focused primarily on behavioural or developmental differences among individuals. Raanan et al. described three male morphs representing successive growth stages in freshwater prawn, *M. rosenbergii* [15,16]. Kuris et al demonstrated that developmental path among the male morphs in *M. rosenbergii* are determined by feeding schedule and social interactions among individuals [17]. These authors suggested that in fact, four morphs are identifiable [18,19] and that dominance hierarchies among the morphs exist, wherein removal of larger individuals induces smaller individuals to grow. However, not all individuals responded equally to this stimulus, as expected if males vary in their responsiveness to social and nutritional cues [17,20]. Other *Macrobrachium* species also appear to exhibit male polymorphism (*M. dayanum*, *M. idae*, *M. malcolmsonii*, *M. scabriculum*; reviewed in [17]). In the present study *M. idellaidella* also showed dominance hierarchies among the males as in *M. rosenbergii* [7,8]. The different male morphotypes were defined on the basis of colour and spination of the chela, behaviour, and growth characteristics [9]. Kuris et al. have summarized operational allometric criteria to recognize the morphotypes and describe the transition between morphotypes [17]. In the present study *M. idellaidella* were classified mostly based on the size of the chelate, behaviour, and growth characteristics but not the colour of the chelate legs as in *M. rosenbergii*.

Mating is initiated only after a female experienced the pre-mating moult. Necessity of pre-mating moult in decapods has been described by several workers. Atema et al. suggested that inter-moult females get rejected by the males, because they lack of proper sex pheromone [21]. Antheunis et al. observed that the female *Palaemonetes* with ripe ovaries moult into "breeding dress", which is characterized by the presence of extra setae for egg attachment, enlargement of abdominal brood pouch and development of periodic chromatophores etc. [22]. Following the moult into "breeding dress", females became attractive and receptive to males. It is inferred that the pre-mating moult is the preparatory step for breeding purposes. In the present study, *M. idellaidella* males were also preferred pre-mating females and rejected inter-moult females. Just like other crustaceans, *M. idellaidella* females also had ripe ovaries and enlarged brood pouch with extra setae for egg attachment.

Experiments	Stocking combinations	Successful fertilization (%)	Failure of fertilization (%)	Mortality due to inflicted Injuries (%)	Natural mortality (%)
1	3 BS	91 ± 0.74	4.3 ± 0.20	1.4 ± 0.17	3.2 ± 0.23
2	3 MS	38 ± 0.50	40.6 ± 0.56	19.2 ± 0.31	2.0 ± 0.05
3	6 SM	34.5 ± 0.57	41 ± 0.57	23.1 ± 0.35	1.2 ± 0.11
4	1 blocked BS + 2 MS	17.3 ± 0.22	75.5 ± 0.48	1.9 ± 0.28	5.2 ± 0.17
5	1 BS + 2 MS	98.9 ± 0.20	0	1.0 ± 0.11	0
6	1 blocked BS + 5 SM	34.3 ± 0.28	50.1 ± 0.43	3.2 ± 0.17	12.3 ± 0.20
7	1 BS + 5 SM	83.3 ± 0.22	4.3 ± 0.20	1.5 ± 0.17	11 ± 0.20

Table 2: Reproductive potential of the three male morphotypes when held separately and in combination with female (values are mean of three values ± E).




Figure 1: Successful fertilization of females when held with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean)




Figure 2: Failure of fertilization in females when held with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean)

In the present study the bigger (BS) and smaller (SM) sized males may represent two alternative ways of mating while the medium sized (MS) males may be considered an intermediate developmental stage between the two, with a relatively low mating probability as such, in the presence of a BS male. This notion is supported by the fact that both SM and BS males hardly increase in body size while MS males are characterized by a rapid growth rate [9,23], thus, investing relatively little energy, if any, in reproduction during this stage of development. The BS mating strategy may rely on superiority in threatening display

towards the other males, while investing a great deal of energy in developing its weaponry (large, massive claws).

In many crustaceans males exhibit highly developed fighting structures (chelae, pereopods). Males of these species may overtake females and hold them in a firm mating grip making it difficult to detect whether females have preferences. Despite these difficulties several studies indicate that females are capable of exerting preferences for certain males by exhibiting resistance to the advances of some males. For example, female tanner crabs resisted mating attempts from




Figure 3: Mortality due to inflicted injuries of females with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean).




Figure 3: Natural mortality of females when held with three male morphotypes separately and in combination. (Box represents SE, Whiskers SD, ■ mean)

	Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	Sig
Successful fertilization	Between Groups	19729.82	6	3288.303	5780.398	6.26E-23	P<.05
	Within Groups	7.9642	14	0.568871			
	Total	19737.78	20				
Failure of fertilization	Between Groups	14786.46	6	2464.41	4943.887	1.87E-22	P<.05
	Within Groups	6.978667	14	0.498476			
	Total	14793.44	20				
Mortality due to inflicted injuries	Between Groups	1636.694	6	272.7823	1541.599	6.47E-19	P<.05
	Within Groups	2.477267	14	0.176948			
	Total	1639.171	20				
Natural mortality	Between Groups	423.0257	6	70.50429	893.267	2.92E-17	P<.05
	Within Groups	1.105	14	0.078929			
	Total	424.1307	20				

Table 3: One-Way ANOVA for the reproduction potential of the three male morphotypes (%) when held separately and in combination with female.

one-clawed males [24] and female crayfish resisted mating attempts from small males more efficiently than those from larger males [25]. In isopods, females resist approaching males more intensively and successfully in less size-dimorphic populations [26]. In many species

of females offer little resistance to males because it may be costly and dangerous, sometimes even leading to the death of the female [15]. Instead of showing overt choices, females may exert their preferences for certain males in subtle, often cryptic, behaviours that are difficult

to observe or quantify. It is for these reasons that female preferences are often little known in crustacean species with coercive male mating behaviour. In the three spot *Portunus sanguinolentus* small male invaded the breeding territories of larger male. Since the larger male had bigger chelate which displayed aggressive interaction with small male and chased away and finally placed himself in the cradle carry position. The female crab avoided the small crab and accepted the bigger crab for its aggressive interaction and eventually successful mating was happened [27]. In all of these populations, extreme sexual dimorphism involving large size and elongated chelae in males suggests that competition for mates is intense [28]. Moreover, relatively long lived individuals appear to obtain information and respond appropriately with respect to their future mating opportunities, to nutritional and social cues during development [17]. Populations of the same species appear to vary in the proportions of individuals exhibiting different male morphologies [19,20]. In the present study the bigger sized males dominated over the other medium (MS) and small sized (SM) males and the females preferred BS males than other two sizes because the bigger sized males court and protect the female by his bigger chelate leg from other males. Whereas the courting behaviour is absolutely absent in medium and small sized males.

Courtship display is an important pre-mating behaviour in carideans. [29,30] suggested that courtship reduces aggressive tendencies in the female. Antennal contact by the male with a receptive female appears important in recognizing the sexual receptivity [31]. Hamano et al. suggested that the antennal contact created a mutual understanding between the mating pairs [32]. As in *Palaemonetes pugio* and *P. vulgaris*, the male can recognize a receptive female only after antennal contact with any surface of the female [33]. The recognition mark may be a non-diffusible coating of the integument of the female [34]. However, Ling et al. suggested that the ripe female *M. rosenbergii* secretes a substance which attracts male [35]. Gleeson et al. reported the presence of pheromone in the urine of pre and post-pubertal moulted female blue crabs [36]. *Callinectes sapidus* which elicits courtship behaviour in mature males. Similarly, males of the small shrimp, *Heptacarpus paludicola* respond to matable females from a distance with increased levels of activity such as, walking and swimming [37], the females may emit a water-soluble pheromone which attracts males. The presence of pheromone is not tested in the present study. However, it is clear that antennal contact is unavoidable and such contact elicits an immediate response from the female.

Precopulatory mate guarding is widespread among crustaceans [38,39]. The explanation for this tendency in many species is moulting initiates female receptivity and chemical cues present in female urine or present on females themselves prior to this moult allows males to locate, guard and inseminate females as soon as they become receptive. Mate guarding reduces the ability of females to mate more than once, thus a male who guards a female successfully fertilizes all of her ova. If a male unsuccessfully guards his mate, or if he leaves her in search of other females before her receptivity is complete, the males fertilization success with that female will be eroded due to matings by other males. Sperm competition is reported to be an alternative mating strategy in crustaceans [39-41]. The guarding behaviour is an act of protecting the newly moulted female from aggression by other individuals. In the present study, *M. idellaidella* showed this behaviour before and after mating until the females shell hardened. Unfortunately [42] did not observe any such behaviour in *M. rosenbergii* whereas, Ling et al. reported such behaviour in *M. rosenbergii*, in which the pre-mating moulted female was promptly and tenderly protected by a mature male from the attack of other individuals [35].

Males in a wide range of crustacean species that engage in mate guarding exhibit flexibility in guarding duration in response to local sex ratios, as well as in their responses to female body size, reproductive condition, parasitemia and resistance to male guarding attempts [38,39,43,44]. Variability in guarding duration in response to sex ratio shows a consistent pattern in several pericardia [39]. Such behavioral flexibility is consistent with the hypothesis that mate guarding evolves as an adaptation to prevent multiple mating. Flexibility in mate guarding behaviour is evidently under strong sexual selection because males who guard ineffectively lose fertilizations to other males. Thus, the expression of this behavioural trait is consistent with the predictions of threshold inheritance of behavioral phenotypes [41]. The present study was also evident for the above reasons. Genetically variable characters likely to influence behavioural liability include individual sensitivities to crowding and to circulating hormone levels [45-47]. Other characters likely to influence mating behavior may include heritable sensitivities to pheromone concentrations [48], to the density of mating competitors [49], or to the perception of mating behavior by other individuals [50].

In *M. rosenbergii*, the BC (Blue claw male) males seem to enjoy most of the benefits which contribute to male reproduction success, namely, high attractiveness for females, advantage in agonistic encounters with other males, and high survival (85-100%) probability of fertilized females following mating. The main costs associated with BC mating strategy involve energy expenditure in defending a territory, reduced flexibility to readjust to changing environmental conditions, and the reduced ability to moult and thereby regenerate lost limbs, resulting in a relatively short life span [15]. In the present study the BS males also showed similar behaviour as in BC males of *M. rosenbergii*. The bigger chelate male of *P. sanguinolentus* enjoyed all sexual related activities and ultimately succeeded in mating with females [27].

In many species, small males invade the breeding territories of larger males by avoiding direct competition altogether. Once inside breeding territories, these "sneaker" or "satellite" males surreptitiously mate with receptive females, as occurs in isopods (*Paracerceis sculpta*, Shuster, 1992), amphipods (*Microdeutopus gryllotalpa*, Borowsky 1980; *Jassamarmorata*, Clark, 1997) and in many decapods, *Macrobrachium* spp. [15], sand bubbler crabs, *Scopimera globosa* [51], spider crabs, *Libinia marginata* [52] and rock shrimp, *Rhynchocinetestypus* [53]. In each of these species, stolen matings appear to yield unconventional males but a tiny fraction of the fertilization success gained by males. That is, satellite males appear to "make the best of a bad job" [13, 54]. In the present study unconventional males took fertilizations away from males whose fertilization success is already disproportionately large; satellite males are more successful at siring offspring than territorial males who secure no mates at all. SM males, on the other hand, were less attractive to females. However, their ability to readjust quickly to changing conditions, together with the ever-present option to shift to the MS rapid growing phase, and its associated chance of becoming a BS male, may balance the lower probability of fertilizing females while being a SM male (only 34.5% under the present experimental conditions).

In the case of *M. rosenbergii*, neither genetic differences nor parental manipulation can account directly for male polymorphism. The former possibility is unlikely since we have proven that a SM male is capable of transforming into an MS male and eventually becoming a dominant BC male when the number of BC males in the population is reduced [8]. In the rock shrimp, *Rhynchocinetestypus*, males exhibit three phenotypes of increasing size that evidently represent increasing states of maturation

(*typus*, *intermedius*, *robustus*) [53]. In the present study, the SM male of *M. idellaidella* transforming into MS male and eventually become dominant BS male to enjoy the sexual related activities.

In the present study the males mating strategy in *M. idellaidella* is dependent primarily on the individual's size ranking within the population. A direct relationship between relative size and mating behavior was also described in the cases of *M. rosenbergii* [15], dung flies, *Sepsis cynipsea* [2] and *Scatophagastercoraria* [55]. In the above cases most of the size variation was attributed to environmental causes. Constantz et al. suggested that a highly competitive environment, in which there is a high frequency of large males, may favour small, sneaking males, since territorial males primarily will be occupied with agonistic behaviour that consumes a significant fraction of their time and energy [5]. At an evolutionary stable strategy, the relative proportions of the two male types in the population should be at an equilibrium in which the fitness of both is equal [56]. The signals by which any individual determines its relative position within the size hierarchy, and the mechanisms by which these signals are translated to mating behaviour and to the regulation of growth rate are presently unknown.

References

- Alcock J, Jones E, Buchmann SL (1977) Male Mating Strategies in The Bee *Centrispallida fox* (Anthrophoridae: Hymenoptera). Am Nat 111: 145-155.
- Ward PI (1983) The Effect of Size on The Mating Behavior of The Dung Fly *Sepsis cynipsea*. Behavecolsociobo13:75-80.
- Collins J, Cramer J, Wechkin S (1967) Nip Frequency and Dominance in Male Swordtails and Platies. Am Zool 7: 806.
- Gandolfi G (1971) Sexual Selection in Relation to The Social Status of Males in *Poecilia reticulata* (Teleostei: Poecilidae). Boll Zool 38: 35-48.
- Constantz DG (1975) Behavioral Ecology of Mating in the Male Gilatopminow, *Poeciliopsis occidentalis* (Cyprinodontiformes: Poecilidae). Ecol 56: 966-973.
- Dominey JW (1980) Female Mimicry in Male Bluegill Sunfish- A Genetic Polymorphism. Nat 284: 546-548.
- Brody T, Cohen D, Barnes A, Spector A (1980) Yield Characteristics of the Prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* in Temperate Zone Aquaculture. Aquacult 21: 375-385.
- Cohen D, Raanan Z, Brody T (1981) Population Profile Development and Morphoty Differentiation in the Giant Freshwater Prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man). J World Maricultsoc 12: 23 1-243.
- Raanan Z, Cohen D (1985) The Ontogeny of Social Structure in The Freshwater Prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*: Crustacean Issues 2: Crustacean Growth, (Adrian Wenner and Frederic K. R. Schram, Edn) AA Balkema Publishers, Rotterdam, The Netherlands.
- Sagi A, Raanan Z (1985) Rapid Identification of Reproductive State and the Receptive Period of Females in Pond Populations of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* - A New Technique. Aquacult 48: 361-367.
- Dominey WJ (1984) Alternative Mating Tactics and Evolutionary Stable Strategies. Amer Zool 24: 385-396.
- Lucas J, Howard RD (1995) On Alternative Reproductive Tactics in Anurans: Dynamic Games with Density and Frequency Dependence. Am Nat146: 365-397.
- Gross MR (1996) Alternative Reproductive Strategies and Tactics: Diversity within Sexes. Trends Ecoevol 11: 92-97.
- Gross MR, Repka J (1998) Game Theory and Inheritance of The Conditional Strategy: Game Theo Anim Behav.(L. A. Dugatkin And H. K. Reeve Edn), Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Raanan Z, Sagi A (1985) Alternative Mating Strategies in Male Morphotypes of The Freshwater Prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (Deman). Biol Bull169: 592-601.
- Nagamine C, Knight AW, Maggenti A, Paxman G (1980) Effects of Androgenicgland Ablation on Male Primary and Secondary Sexual Characteristics in the Malaysian Prawn, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man) (Decapoda, Palaemonidae), With First Evidence of Induced Feminization in a Nonhermaphroditic Decapod. Gene Comp Endocrin 41: 423-441.
- Kuris AM, Raanan Z, Sagi A, Cohen D (1987) Morphotypic Differentiation of Male Malaysian Giant Prawns, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. J Crust Biol7: 219-237.
- Barki A, Karplus I, Goren M (1992) Effects of Size and Morphotype on Dominance Hierarchies and Resource Competition in the Freshwater Prawn *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. Animbehav 44: 547-555.
- Kurupbm, Hari Krishnan M, Sureshkumar S (2000) Length-Weight Relationship of Male Morphotypes of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man) As A Valid Index For Differentiating Their Developmental Pathway and Growth Phases. Indian J Fish 47: 283-290.
- Karplus I, Malecha SR, Sagi A (2000) The Biology and Management of Size Variation: Freshwater Prawn Culture. (New, M; Valenti, WC Edn), Agricu Res Orga, Bet-Dagan, Israel. 259- 289.
- Atemaj (1986) Rewiev of Sexual Selection and Chemical Communication In The Lobster, *Homarus americanus*.Can J Fish Aquatsci 43: 2283-2390.
- Antheunisse LJ, Van Den Hoven NP, Jeffries DJ (1968) The Breeding Characters of *Palaemon etesvarians* (Leach) (Decapoda, Palaemoniidae). Crusta14: 259-270.
- Smith TIJ, Sandifer PA, Manzi JJ (1979) Epibionts of Pond Reared Adult Malaysian Prawns, *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*(De Man) in South Carolina. Aquacult 16: 299-308.
- Paul AJ, Paul JM (1996) Observations on Mating of Multiparous *Chionoecetes bairdirathbun* (Decapoda: Majidae) Held with Different Sizes of Males and One-Clawed Males. J Crust Biol 16: 295-299.
- Snedden WA (1990) Determinants of Male Mating Success in the Temperate Crayfish *Orconectesrusticus*: Chela Size and Sperm Competition. Behav115:100-113.
- Jormalainen V, Merilaita S, Hardling R (2000) Dynamics of Intersexual Conflict Over Precopulatory Mate Guarding in Two Populations of The Isopod *Idoteabaltica*. Animbeha 60: 85-93.
- Dinakarangk, Soundarapandian P (2009) Mating Behaviour and Brood Stock Development of Commercially Important Blue Swimming Crab *Portunus anguinentus* (Herbst). Indian J Scitechn 2:71-75.
- Wade MJ, Shuster SM (2004) Sexual Selection: Harem Size and The Variance in Male Reproductive Success. Am Nat 164: 83-89.
- Heckelively DB (1970) Intensity of Aggregation in the Crayfish, *Orconectesvirilis* (Hagen). Nat225: 180-181.
- Ameyaw-Akumfi C (1981) Courtship in the Crayfish *Procambarus clarkia* (Girard) (Decapoda, Astacidae). Crusta 40: 57-64.
- Hoglund H (1943) On The Biology And Larval Development of *Leander squilla* (L) Forma Typica De Man: Svenska hydrografisk biologiskak ommissioness kriter 2: 1-44.
- Hamano T (1988) Mating Behaviour of Orato squillaoratoria (De Hann, 1844) (Crustacea; Stomatopoda). J Crust Biol 8: 239-244.
- Burkenroad MD (1947) Reproductive Activities of Decapod Crustacea. Am Nat 81: 392-398.
- Berg AV, Sandifer PA (1984) Matting Behaviour of the Grass Shrimp *Palamonetesputugio* holthuis (Decapoda, Caridea).J Crust Biol 4: 417-424.
- Ling SW (1969) The General Biology and Development of *Macrobrachium rosenbergii* (De Man). FAO Fish Rep 57:589-606.
- Gleeson RA (1977) Pheromone Communication in The Reproductive Behaviour of *Callinectes sapidus*. Ph.D., Dissertation, The College of William and Mary, Virginia, USA. 1-80.
- Bauer RT (1979) Sex Attraction and Recognition in the Caridean Shrimp *Heptacarpus paludicola* holmes (Decapoda: Hippolytidae). Mar Behavphysio 6: 157-174.
- Ridley M (1983) The Explanation of Organic Diversity: The Comparative Method and Adaptations for Mating. Oxford University Press.

39. Jormalainen V (1998) Precopulatory Mate Guarding in Crustaceans: Male Competitive Strategy and Intersexual Conflict. *Quarterevibiol* 73: 275-304.
40. Orensanz JM, Parma AM, Armstrong DA, Armstrong J, Wardrup P (1995) The Breeding Ecology of *Cancer gracilis* (Crustacea: Decapoda: Cancridae) and The Mating Systems of Cancrid Crabs. *J Zool* 235: 411-437.
41. Shuster SM, Wade MJ (2003) *Mating Systems and Strategies*. Princeton University Press.
42. Chow S, Ogasawara Y, Taki Y (1982) Male Reproductive System and Fertilization of the Palaemonid Shrimp *Macrobrachium rosenbergii*. *Bull Jap Socsci Fish* 48: 177-183.
43. Primavera JH (1979) Notes On The Courtship and Mating Behaviour In *Penaeusmonodon fabricius* (Decapoda: Natantia). *Crusta* 37: 287-292.
44. Plaistow SJ, Troussard JP, Cezilly F (2001) The Effect of The Acanthodephalan Parasite *Pomphorhynchuslaevis* on The Lipid and Glycogen Content of Its Intermediate Host *Gammaruspulex*. *Int J Parasitol* 31: 346-351.
45. Borasch DJ, Teoto-Nio H, Rose MR, Mueller LE (2000) Density-Dependent Natural Selection in *Drosophila*: Correlations Between Feeding Rate, Development Time and Viability. *Jevolbiol* 13: 181-187.
46. Peckol EL, Troemel ER, Bargmann CI (2001) Sensory Experience and Sensory Activity Regulate Chemosensory Receptor Gene Expression in *Caenorhabditiselegans*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 11032-11038.
47. Nephew BC, Romero LM (2003) Behavioral, Physiological, and Endocrine Responses of Starlings to Acute Increases in Density. *Hormbehav* 44: 222-232.
48. Giorgi D, Rouquier S (2002) Identification of V1R-Like Putative Pheromone Receptor Sequences in Non-Human Primates. Characterization of V1R Pseudogenes in Marmoset, A Primate Species That Possesses An Intact Vomeronasal Organ. *Chem Senses* 27: 529-537.
49. Haig D, Bergstromct (1995) Multiple Mating, Sperm Competition and Meiotic Drive. *J Evolbiol* 8: 265-282.
50. Shuster SM (1981) Sexual Selection in The Socorro Isopod, *Thermosphaeroma thermophilum* (Cole and Bane) (Crustacea: Peracarida). *Animbehav* 29: 698-707.
51. Koga T, Henmi Y, Murai M (1993) Sperm Competition and The Assurance of Underground Copulation in The Sand-Bubbler Crab *scopimeraglobosa* (Brachyura: Ocypodidae). *J Crust Biol* 13: 134-137.
52. Ahl JSB, Laufer H (1996) The Pubertal Molt in Crustacea Revisited. *Invert Repr Devel* 30: 177-180.
53. Correa CJA, Baeza IA, Hinojosa, Thiel M (2003) Male Dominance Hierarchy and Mating Tactics in The Rock Shrimp, *Rhynchocinetestypus* (Decapoda: Caridea). *J Crust Biol* 23: 33-45.
54. Eberhard WG (1979) The Function of Horns in *Podichnusagenor* (Dynastinae) and Other Beetles: Sexual Selection and Reproductive Competition in Insects. (M. S. Blum And N. A. Blum), Academic Press, New York. 231-258.
55. Borgia G (1981) Mate Selection in The Fly *scapogastercoraria*: Female Choice in a Male-Controlled System. *Anim Behav* 29: 7 1-80.
56. Maynard Smith J, Price GR (1973) The Logic of Animal Conflict. *Mcnally and Co., New York, Nat* 246: 15-18.