Analysis of the Expression and Modulation of Selected Immuno-related Gene Transcripts in the DLEC Cell Line from European Sea Bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.)
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**Abstract**

Cell lines have been established from different fish species especially for virus isolation and for studying cell-pathogen interactions, and therefore are of interest in aquaculture. In this paper, we have investigated the presence and the regulation of some immune genes in the DLEC (*Dicentrarchus labrax* embryonic cells) cell line from European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) to preliminary elucidate their action. The basal expression of the selected genes (interleukin-1β (IL-1β), cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2), transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), CD8-α, major histocompatibility complex II-β (MHC II-β), interferon (IFN) and Mx protein (Mx)) have been investigated and, successively, their modulation have been studied both after stimulation with different mitogen agents and after a transfection with a sequence codifying for the coat protein of a fish nervous necrosis virus (NNV). The results have evidenced that the inflammatory molecules (IL-1β, COX-2, TGF-β), constitutively expressed by the DLEC cell line, are not up-regulated by the stimulation with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from *E. coli*, whether the expression of the T-cell marker transcripts (CD8-α, MHC II-β) is influenced by the action of a lectin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* (PHA-L). Finally, the expression of the coat NNV protein in the DLEC cell line, after the transfection, led to an high up-regulation of IFN and Mx gene transcripts. These data suggest that the DLEC cell line recognize specific pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and, therefore, could be useful for studying T-cell pathways and viral responses in sea bass avoiding the use of live test animals.
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**Introduction**

Cell lines are an important biological instrument for carrying out studies into animal physiology, virology and toxicology without using live test animals and usually provide highly reproducible results. During last decades, many continuous cell lines have been obtained from Telost fish species due to their possible applications in both biomedical sciences and aquaculture [1]. They have been developed both from a wide range of tissues (ovary, fin, swim bladder, liver, head kidney, ecc.) and from embryonic stem (ES) cells. Most of the cell lines were applied in virological [2-4] and bacterial [5,6] studies and tested in transfection experiments, to determine their potential utility in transgenic and genetic manipulation research projects [3,6].

European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.) is one of the most important marine fish species in the South Mediterranean area and two cell lines have been obtained for this species: the so called DLEC cell line from embryonic cells [7] and long term cultures from neural stem cells of adult sea bass [8]. In this paper we investigated the basal expression of selected immuno-related genes in the DLEC cell line and studied their modulation after both stimulations with various mitogen agents and a transfection with a plasmid containing the sequence codifying for the coat protein of a fish nervous necrosis virus (NNV).

The interaction between pathogens and their hosts is initiated by the activation of pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs). These receptors recognize specific pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) and activate the immune responses. The aim of our study was to provide new insights in the response of the DLEC cell line to PAMPs and, therefore, to evidence the importance of this cell line in research projects related both to fish immunology and aquaculture.

**Materials and Methods**

**Cell culture**

5 x 10^6 DLEC cells were plated in 50 ml flasks with 5 ml of Leibovitz L-15 medium (Gibco, Grand Island, New York, USA) containing 10% (v/v) fetal calf serum (Gibco, Grand Island, New York, USA). Every 48 hours adherent cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and detached with trypsin-EDTA (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) prior to add fresh medium as described before [7].

**Cloning and sequencing of the selected gene of interest from DLEC**

Total RNA was extracted from DLEC cells with TRIzol (Bioline, London, UK) following the manufacturer's instructions and
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resuspended in DEPC treated water. For CDNA synthesis, 1µg of total RNA and 0.5µg of random primers [pd(N6)] were used in each reverse transcription reaction in a total volume of 50µl. The presence of the selected immune-related genes (IL-1β, COX-2, TGF-β, CD8-α, MHC II-β, IFN and Mx) was tested by PCR on the CDNA using the primers pairs indicated in Table I. The cycling protocol was one cycle of 94°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 45 s, 52°C for 45 s, 72°C for 45 s, followed by one cycle of 72°C for 10 min. Reactions were conducted using the Mastercycler personal (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). PCR products (15µl) were visualised on 1% (w/v) agarose gels containing ethidium bromide (10 ng/ml) using hyperladder IV (Bioline London, UK) as size marker. Controls for the presence of DNA contamination were performed using the RNA sample as template. DNA amplified by PCR was purified using the QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (QIAGen, Hilden, Germany), inserted into the pGEM-T Easy vector (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and transfected into competent JM109 Escherichia coli cells. Plasmid DNA from at least five independent clones was purified using the Wizard Plus SV Miniprep DNA Purification System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and sequenced using Eurofins MWG Operon DNA Sequencing Services (Ebersberg, Germany). Sequences generated were analysed for similarity with other known sequences using the FASTA [9] and BLAST [10] programs.

**DLEC stimulation and transfection**

Three 50 ml flasks with 5X10^6 DLEC cells were stimulated with different mitogen agents at different time points: 1) 5 mg/ml of lipopolysaccharide (LPS from *E. coli* 0127:B8, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in PBS at 18°C for 4 h and 24 h, the control was stimulated with PBS only; 2) 1 µg/ml of lectin from *Phaseolus vulgaris* Leucogagglutinin (PHA-L from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) in PBS at 18°C for 4 h and 24 h, the control was stimulated with PBS only.

Moreover, the DLEC cells were transfected with: 1) 3µg of a pcDNA3 vector (Invitrogen, Grand Island, New York, USA) containing the nucleotide sequence codifying for the coat protein of a fish nervous necrosis virus (NNV, accession number YP_611157) and 2) 3µg of with pcDNA3 without insert. The transfections were performed with the Transfectam Reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) following the kit instructions. Positive cells were selected by continuous incubation in L-15 medium containing Geneticin G-418 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 1.2 ng/ml added 48 h after the transfection as described before [7].

**Real-time PCR quantitative analyses of the DLEC cells after stimulation and transfection**

Total RNA was isolated from each DLEC flask stimulated separately and transfected with the selected immuno-related genes (IL-1β, COX-2, TGF-β, CD8-α, MHC II-β, IFN and Mx) by real-time PCR quantitative analysis of DLEC stimulated with PBS (control) and with 5 µg/ml LPS for 4 and 24 h and calibrated against the non-stimulated 0 h control. Data were expressed as the mean ± SD; one asterisk indicates when p<0.05 with respect to the time 0 control, two asterisks indicate when p<0.01 with respect to the time 0 control and three asterisks indicates when p<0.0001 with respect to the time 0 control.

**Figure 1**: DLEC stimulation with LPS. IL-1β (A), COX-2 (B) and TGF-β (C) mRNA levels were normalised to rRNA 18 levels in the same samples after real-time PCR quantitative analysis of DLEC stimulated with PBS (control) and with 5 µg/ml LPS for 4 and 24 h and calibrated against the non-stimulated 0 h control. Data were expressed as the mean ± SD; one asterisk indicates when p<0.05 with respect to the time 0 control, two asterisks indicate when p<0.01 with respect to the time 0 control and three asterisks indicates when p<0.0001 with respect to the time 0 control.

**Figure 2**: DLEC stimulation with PHA. CD8-α (A) and MHC II-β (B) mRNA levels were normalised to rRNA 18 levels in the same samples after real-time PCR quantitative analysis of DLEC stimulated with PBS (control) and with 1 µg/ml PHA for 4 and 24 h and calibrated against the non-stimulated 0 h control. Data were expressed as indicated for Fig. 1.

---

**Table 1**: Primers used for immune-relevant genes detection and real-time PCR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GENE</th>
<th>PRIMERS (FORWARD AND REVERSE)</th>
<th>EMBL ACCESSION NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-actin</td>
<td>5'-ATGACGTGTTGCTTCC-3'</td>
<td>A1043428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rRNA 18S</td>
<td>5'-CCCAAGTCCTGACCAC-3'</td>
<td>AY631388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-1β</td>
<td>5'-GGTGACAAAGCCAGTC-3'</td>
<td>AJ493428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COX-2</td>
<td>5'-ATGTACGTTGCCATCC-3'</td>
<td>AY994059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TGF-β</td>
<td>5'-GGCTCTACTGGATACGATGGCT-3'</td>
<td>AM765847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD8-α</td>
<td>5'-CTCCCATCGTATGCAGAGCTT-3'</td>
<td>AM228977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MHC II-β</td>
<td>5'-GAGATGCCACGCTCTC-3'</td>
<td>AM765847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx</td>
<td>5'-GGCGCTCAGCTCAGATGCTG-3'</td>
<td>AM228977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IFN</td>
<td>5'-GGAACCAGAATCCTTCCTGG-3'</td>
<td>AM228977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18S rRNA</td>
<td>5'-GAAACCAGAATCCTTCCTGG-3'</td>
<td>AM228977</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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and from the transfection experiments with TLR4ure (Bioline, London, UK) following the manufacturer’s instructions, resuspended in DEPC treated water and used for real-time quantitative PCR without pooling the samples coming from the different flasks. Controls for the presence of DNA contamination were performed with RT-PCR using β-actin primers that bracket an intron (Table 1).

For reverse transcription, the BioScript RNase H minus (Bioline, London, UK) enzyme was used with the with the protocol described before [11]. The expression level of the target genes was determined with a Mx3000P™ real time PCR system (Agilent-Stratagene, USA) equipped with version 2.02 software and using the Brilliant SYBR Green Q-PCR Master Mix (Agilent-Stratagene, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions, with ROX as internal passive reference dye. Specific PCR primers were designed for the amplification of about 200 bp products from IL-1β, COX-2, TGF-β, CD8α, MHC II-β, Mx, IFN (Table 1) and ribosomal RNA 18S (Table 1), used as an house-keeping gene. 10 ng of cDNA template was used in each PCR reaction. The PCR conditions were 95°C for 10 min, followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 45 s, 52°C for 45 s and 72°C for 45 s. Triplicate reactions were performed for each template cDNA and the template was replaced with water in all blank control reactions. The analysis was carried out using the endpoints method option of the Mx3000P™ software that causes the collection of the fluorescence data at the end of each extension stage of amplification. A relative quantitation has been performed and a normalizer target (the ribosomal RNA transcript) is included to correct for differences in total cDNA input between samples. The levels of the target transcripts have been reported as a ratio to a reference transcript (calibrator: the time 0 control for the stimulations and the sample treated with void pcDNA3 for the transfections). The results are expressed as the mean ± SD and the differences from the controls have been considered significant if p<0.05 using a statistical analyses performed by the one-way ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni test. The real-time PCR products from the different experiments were examined by agarose gel electrophoresis to investigate their specificity and size.

Results and Discussion

Cloning and sequencing of the selected gene of interest from DLEC

Some inflammatory (IL-1β, COX-2), anti-inflammatory (TGF-β), T-cell-marker (CD8-α and MHC II-β) and anti-viral (IFN, Mx) genes, already known in sea bass, were selected to verify their presence in the DLEC cell line. All tested molecules were found as constitutively expressed and the obtained sequences showed 100% identity with the corresponding sea bass transcripts (data not shown).

Real-time PCR quantitative analyses of the DLEC cells after stimulation and transfection

On the DLEC cells stimulated with LPS, that should mimic an aggression of a bacterial pathogen, we investigated the modulation of IL-1β, COX-2 and TGF-β for a short (4 h) and a longer (24 h) time. Real-time PCR products were loaded on agarose gels and single bands of the expected sizes were obtained. The results from the three performed experiments are shown in (Figure 1). IL-1β and TGF-transcripts displayed a significant decrease after both 4 h and 24 h, whereas COX-2 evidenced a slight increase after 4 h and a decrease after 24 h, both being non statistically significant. These data are in disagreement with the results obtained in sea bass after in vitro or in vivo stimulations as usually LPS induces an up-regulation IL-1β and COX-2 [12,13] and with the results found in murine macrophages for TGF-β after LPS stimulation [14] where an induction of expression have been evidenced. Moreover, LPS was a strong inducer of IL-1β in a rainbow trout hypodermal fibroblast cell line [15]. On the contrary, LPS fails to induce different immune-relevant genes in trout mononuclear phagocytes [16], an event that occurs downstream of toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) in mammals.

On the DLEC cells stimulated with PHA, a mitogen agent that should stimulate the T-cells, we investigated the modulation of CD8-α and MHC II-β for a short (4 h) and a longer (24 h) time. Real-time PCR products were loaded on agarose gels and single bands of the expected sizes were obtained. The results from the three performed experiments are shown in (Figure 2). Both CD8-α and MHC II-β transcripts displayed a slight decrease after 4 h and a significant increase after 24 h of stimulation. These data are in agreement with the results found in mammals studying the gene expression profile of T-cells after PHA stimulation by cDNA microarray analysis [17], that showed an up-regulation of transcripts like CD8-α and MHC II-β in the late generations of T-cells.

On the DLEC cells transfected with the coat protein of the NVV, we investigated the modulation of IFN and Mx. Real-time PCR products were loaded on agarose gels and single bands of the expected sizes were obtained. The results from the three performed experiments are shown in (Figure 3). Both IFN and Mx transcripts showed a significant increase after the transfection compared to the control treated with the void pcDNA3 plasmid. These data are in agreement with the results obtained in sea bass after in vivo infection with NVV [18] that showed an high increase of IFN and Mx expression in the first hours after pathogen invasion.

The DLEC did not reproduce the response of sea bass after LPS stimulation for the regulation of inflammatory cytokines and it could be due to the lack of TLR4-mediated endotoxin recognition molecules in the cell line. On the contrary, the target genes linked to T-cell responses showed a behaviour comparable to other transcripts, like CD4, in sea bass [19]. Finally, the anti-viral genes analysed after the transfection
with the NNV coat protein resulted up-regulated in a similar manner to sea bass homologues. In conclusion, these data evidenced the immune responses of DLEC to specific PAMPs and the importance of this cell line for both fish immunology and aquaculture studies avoiding the use of live test animals.
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