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Abstract
Silica coated iron oxide (SiO2/Fe2O3 + γ-Fe2O3) nanoparticles (SIO-NPs; 75±10 nm in diameter) were prepared by encapsulation of iron oxide NPs with silica using sol-gel method and characterized through spectroscopy methods. The SIO NPs were chemically activated by cyanoogens bromide and then functionalized with Escherichia coli (E. coli) antibodies. These immuno-magnetic (IM NPs) were used to capture and concentrate E. coli from ~ 180 cfu/mL suspension. The identification of bacteria was performed by plating on nutrient agar, fluorescent microscopy and scanning electron microscopy. Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) was used to identify different biomolecules of bacterial cell upon the interaction of colloidal silver nanoparticle (Ag NPs 6±4 nm) at different period of time. In our previous report we demonstrated the antibacterial property of colloidal Ag NPs. Therefore, current approach, using IM, and Ag NPs and SERS, provide detailed molecular identification of E. coli as Ag NPs interact over the time. This method would be applicable for food safety, environment protection, biological threat material, antibacterial and other routine E. coli identification projects.
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Introduction
The quick and reliable identification of microorganisms is critical for the proper cure of infected individuals [1]. There are adequate conventional methods are available for microbial identification [2]. However, most of them are laborious and expensive. To overcome from time - consuming methods, spectroscopic methods like fluorescence [3,4], mass spectroscopy [5], Infrared (IR) spectroscopy [6] and surface-enhanced Raman spectroscopy (SERS) [7,8] have been developed. These techniques are capable of identifying a whole microorganism from a limited number of microbial cells in a non-destructive manner.

Among the spectroscopic methods, SERS has drawn attention because it is compatible with biological samples, requires lesser sample preparation and provide signals with detailed information concerning microorganism [9]. Therefore, SERS, as an analytical tool, has been used in biological applications such as immunoassay [10], cellular studies [11], cancer diagnostic [12], bacterial detection [13], and food-safety projects [14] etc. For achieving significant information from bacterial cell using SERS, colloidal gold (Au) or silver (Ag) is required [15].

There, are several reports published concerning that the detection of a biomarker belongs to photogenic bacterial species on a noble surface. A report has been published by Efrima et al. [16] on SERS study of the cell wall with Ag nanoparticles (NPs) by reducing silver ions in the presence of bacteria. They also demonstrated that the SERS spectra obtained from the bacterium cell walls and inside the bacterium were different when Ag NPs enter inside the bacterium cell [16].

Commonly, to capture, separate and identify a bacterium from a contaminated sample immuno sensor is one of the choices. A typical immuno sensor is usually fabricated by immobilizing the specific antibody on the surface of an inert solid support via chemical or physical mechanisms [17]. In general, chemical immobilization can provides strong and stable protein attachment but physical adsorption provides only short time activity retention. Though, non-porous iron oxides (Fe3O4 and γ-Fe2O3) nanoparticles (IO-NPs), as a solid support, suffer from the drawback that some inactivation process for protein may be possible [18], the IO NPs possess their unique magnetic property – super paramagnetism, which enables their stability and dispersion after removing the magnetic. Hence, silica coated IO NPs (SIO NPs) not only offer improved stability but also help to bind the various chemical and biological ligands covalently at the surface of NPs [19].

Several interesting and important articles have been reported for SERS based identification and rapid detection of E. coli using immune-magnetic IM NPs and other methods. Guven et al. [8] has reported the use of IM separation and SERS for rapidly (less than 70 min) and sensitively detect E. coli in real water sample using rod shaped Au NPs [8]. Liu et al. [14] have reported the feasibility of citerate reduced colloidal Ag SERS for differentiating three important food borne pathogens (E. coli, Listeria monocytogenes, and Salmonella typhimurium) [20]. In another article, a convective assembly method has been reported by Kahraman et al. [21] for uniform bacterial (Gram-negative and Gram-posituve bacterium) sample preparation. This method deposited bacteria and Ag NPs on a glass slide as a thin film in an ordered structure and detect using SERS, avoiding spot-to-spot variations [21]. These reports clearly demonstrated that the IM NPs can be effectively used to capture bacteria, and citerate reduced Ag NPs are sufficient for SERS identification on glass slide. But, no report
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has explained what an optimum time period of incubation required for identification of a particular biomolecule of \textit{E. coli} would be and if the sample is incubated for longer time with Ag NPs then how it will affect on SERS signals.

In our previous report we have shown the synthesis and application of colloidal Ag NPs and Ag cluster over silica NPs for antibacterial activity [22]. Further, in order to identify the different biomolecules upon the action of Ag NPs on bacterial cells, here we synthesized and characterized SIO NPs. The surface hydroxyl groups of these NPs were activated for covalent immobilization of \textit{E. coli} antibody. These IM NPs were used to capture magnetic separation and concentrate \textit{E. coli} from phosphate buffer saline (PBS) suspension as shown in scheme 1. The captured \textit{E. coli} were treated with colloidal Ag NPs more than 20 h and performed SERS at different time intervals to identify different biomolecules of \textit{E. coli}.

### Experimental

#### Material and chemicals

All the chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, (St. Louis, MO, USA) and Fisher Scientific (New Jersey, USA). Polyclonal general goat anti-\textit{E. coli} antibody was purchased from Viro Stat Inc. (Portland, ME, USA) and Invitrogen molecular probes (Eugene, Oregon, USA). Luria Bertani (LB) medium and agar were purchased from EMD chemicals, (Darmstadt, Germany). General strains of environmental \textit{E. coli} were isolated from local fresh water streams, \textit{E. coli} strain ATCC 25922 general strains were provided by Dr. Gregory Bohach (MMB Department, Univ. of Idaho (UI), Moscow, Idaho, USA. The buffer: phosphate buffer saline (PBS) suspension was prepared from 10x autoclaved stock (10x PBS: 100 mM phosphate buffer, 1.37M NaCl, 27 mM KCl, pH 7.2. Deionized (DI; 18.2 MΩ) water was collected from Labconco, water Pro PS.

#### Instrumentation

JEOL 1200EX II model was used for transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images and Supera Gemini 35 VP FE-SEM (Zeiss) coupled with Thermoelectron (Zeiss) model was used for Field emission scanning electron microscopic (FE-SEM) imaging. The functional group of the nanoparticles was characterized using Fourier transform-infrared (FT-IR) and Ultraviolet visible (UV-vis) spectrophotometer (model; PharmaSpec UV-1700). Bacterial growth was monitored by plating on agar plates. Bactericidal effects were characterized using a fluorescent microscope (Leitz LaborLux S) with a Leica EC3 objective head and digital camera and a Leo fluorescent Lamp. All glassware was cleaned with aqua-regia and rinsed with ethanol and DI water several times before use.

Visible Raman and SERS spectra were recorded using a Raman analyzer WITec alpha300 Raman Microscopy System (WITec GmbH, Ulm, Germany) equipped with 532 nm and 785 nm excitations. An integrated confocal microscope, with a 100x objective, was used to focus the laser and obtain an optimal SERS response. The laser power was used in the range of 0.04 - 2 mW, and the exposure time was 0.5 to 3 sec for comparative purposes. The WITech control ver. 1.5 software package (WITec GmbH, Ulm, Germany) running under Windows XP was used of the instrument control and data acquisition.

#### Synthesis of iron oxide (Fe\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{y} + γ-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) nanoparticles (IONPs)

The synthesis of IO NPs was carried out using previously reported method [23,24]. FeCl\textsubscript{2} (0.5g; 15.78 mmol) and FeCl\textsubscript{3} (1.3g; 8.01 mmol) were dissolved into deoxygenated DI water (deo-DIW; 6.25 mL) and 0.212 mL of 10N HCl. The above solution was added drop wise into 62.5 ml of 1.5M NaOH (in deo-DIW) over the period of 2 h, with vigorous stirring (1500 rpm). The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature (RT). After completion of time black precipitate (ppt) was held by bar magnet and aqueous layer was decanted and ppt was washed three times with deo-DIW and finally suspended into 75 mL of DIW.

The above suspension was oxidized with 4M H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} at 100°C for 24 h.

The brown suspension was separated through magnet and washed three times with DIW and the ppt was dried in desiccated under vacuum for 24 hr at RT. These brown color mixtures of iron oxide (Fe\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{y} + γ-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) were analyzed for magnetite property and through TEM imaging, which gave needle shape structures.

#### General method for silica coated iron oxide nanoparticles (SIO-NPs)

50 mL of ethylalcohol (EtOH) 200 proof, 1 mL of DI water and required amount for 2a, 2b and 2c SIO NPs (2.26 or 1.13, or 0.56 mmol) tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) respectively were mixed into 125 mL round bottom flask and stirred for 30 min. 3.4 mL of ammonium hydroxide (28-30%) solution was added to above solution and stirred at 1500 rpm for 20 min. at RT. The magnetite particles (25 mg) suspension in 2 mL of DIW and EtOH (v/v; 1:1) was added drop wise with vigorous stirring over the period of 30 min. The reaction was allowed to stir for 16 h at RT. The brown suspension was separated through magnet and washed with 80% EtOH three times and then cured in vacuum oven at 110°C for 24 h.

#### Determination of magnetic property

Magnetic properties of IO and SIO NPs samples were measured by placing the dry and weighted powdered sample (~10 mg) in vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM; DMS model 1660) at RT. Magnetic hysteresis curve (saturation magnetization vs coercivity) for each samples was recorded by applying magnetic field of -13500 Oe to 13500 Oe.
Activation of SIO NPs with cyanogen bromide

5 mg of SIO NPs were suspended into 10 mL of 5 mM Na₂CO₃ solution. A solution of cyanogen bromide (CNBr) in acetonitrile (0.1 M) was then added drop wise under stirring for 15 min. at RT. The activated SIO NPs were washed twice with ice-cold DIW and then stored into PBS buffer (pH 6.8).

Conjugation NPs with antibody

10 μL of polyclonal goat anti- E. coli antibody (0.1 mg/mL) in PBS buffer (pH 7.2) was added to the activated SIO NPs (1 mL; 0.5 mg) and mixed through radial rotor for 24 h at 4°C. The particles were treated with 1% BSA (blocking buffer) for 1 h and the final product was washed and re-suspended in PBS buffer (pH 7.2).

To analyze the yield of this reaction, the SIO-antibody conjugate (1 mL in PBS), before adding 1% BSA, centrifuged at 14000 g for 20 min. Supernatant was removed and particle conjugate was suspended in 0.05 M aq NaOH solution (1 mL; pH 10.0) and mixed on radial rotor for 24 h at rt. The suspension was centrifuged again at 14000 g for 20 min. and UV-visible absorption reading was measured at 260 nm. The final loading of the antibody come 0.22 μg/0.5 mg SIO NPs (yield 22%), which is equal to 440 μg of antibody per 1 g of SIO NPs.

E. coli culture

E. coli were cultured on in LB (Luria Bertani) growth media in tubes [Figure 3a] at 37°C for 24 h in our lab as reported earlier [22]. Serial dilutions up to 10⁻⁴ concentration E. coli culture were prepared in a total volume of 1 mL of in PBS (pH 7.2). 100 μL of each bacterial suspension was placed on sterile LB agar plates. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h followed by the counting the number colony forming units [cfu/mL; Figure 3b].

E. coli capture and concentration using NP

The NPs 4 (25 μg/mL) were mixed in bacterial suspension from its different dilutions (10⁻⁰ - 10⁻⁴) containing ~1.8 x 10¹⁰ to ~18 cfu/mL and set up on cyclic rotator for 30 min at rt. Then the NPs were magnetically separated from the bacterial suspension and washed twice with PBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (PBST). A small aliquot (50 μL) of the washed NPs with bacteria were placed on a sterile LB agar plate and incubated for 12 hr at 37°C to confirm the presence of bacteria. The remaining aliquots of the samples were used for other analyses.

Fluorescent microscopy of captured E. coli with NPs

The captured E. coli bacteria and NP 4 complex, respectively was rinsed three times using 1 mL of sterile 0.85% NaCl solution. Then the bacteria complex were re-suspended in 1 mL 0.85% NaCl solution and 3 μL of an equal part mixture of reagents A and B from the LIVE/DEAD BacLight bacterial viability kit were added. The suspension was incubated at RT for 15 min, followed by a filtration onto a 25 mm black polycarbonate filter paper. The filter was then placed onto glass microscope slide with cover slips and visualized under a fluorescent microscope using a 63X oil immersion fluorescent lens using green filters for analysis. We have successfully used this procedure for staining only the E. coli in our previous study [22].

Raman identification

Each aqueous sample (0.2 - 0.5 μL) was placed on glass slide and dried at RT before taking the Raman spectra. The laser beam was focused on a 2 μm area of each sample to image and acquire the Raman spectra. The microscope was used to localize the bacteria. Each spectrum took ~ 5 min to acquire. The performance of the 532 nm lasers was found to be superior for bacterial SERS study over 785 nm laser (data not shown here) in the presence of Ag NPs. For assessing the reproducibility of this approach, three replicate spectra were obtained from each sample from different points of the matrix were taken, focusing on the different spots.

Result and discussion

Nanoparticles synthesis and characterization

TEM image of irregularly shaped IO NPs of 15±4 nm of size but needle like structures with length of 90±10 nm and width of 4-6 nm are visible [Figure 1b]. This TEM image is overlapping of NPs gives approx. 90% smaller particles and around 10% needle like nanorods of IO NPs. The IO NPs were treated with silica precursor TEOS to obtain SIO NPs using three different amount of TEOS and keeping constant all other reagents and parameters. The higher amount (2.26 and 1.13 mmol) of silica precursor TEOS results in macro size of silica coated agglomerated particles (data not shown here) but using smaller amount (0.56 mmol) of TEOS results in 75±10 nm SIO NPs as shown in Figure 1b. In this image the center black dots are IO NPs and surrounding gray color is comprised silica coating. The physical appearance of these SIO NPs is brown color.

VSM (DMS, 1660) magnetometer was used for the quantitative magnetization measurements of IO and SIO NPs which were compared with commercially available Dyna M-280 magnetic beads as shown in Figure 2a. The saturation magnetization (Ms, emu/g) and coercivity (Hc, OE) obtained from these magnetic hysteresis loops (black curve) could be seen for the IO NPs. Due to the weight increased by non-magnetic silica, the Ms of SIO NPs were decreased by increasing the amount of silica used in the synthesis process. That is the reason for the differences between SIO NPs from 2a to 2c SIO NPs in Table 1. The SIO NPs 2c (blue curve) synthesized using 0.56 mmol of TEOS and used to capture E. coli, showed comparatively higher Ms, dropped by ~67% compared to IO NPs. Although SIO NPs synthesized here are not superparamagnetism due to their Hc were above 10 Oe. However, they possessed higher saturation magnetization over Dyna M-280 magnetic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NPs</th>
<th>Ms (emu/g)</th>
<th>Hc (Oe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>45.17</td>
<td>9.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DB-M280</td>
<td>11.87</td>
<td>9.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>15.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>14.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>13.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Saturation magnetization and coercivity values of IO and SIO NPs.

|Figure1: TEM images of (a) Iron oxide (Fe₂O₃ + Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles (IO-NPs), and (b) Silica coated ironoxide (SiO₂/Fe₂O₃ + Fe₃O₄; SIO-NPs). Where the scale bar = 100 nm and 250 nm, respectively. |
beads (DB-M280). The visual identification of magnetic property was characterized by applying external magnet outside of vial containing a suspension of SIO NPs. The Figure 2b (left vial) shows the suspended SIO NPs (0.5 mg/ml in PBS buffer (6.8 pH) and these SIO NPs were pulled from suspension through magnet Figure 2b (right vial).

To link SIO NPs 2 covalently with E. coli antibody, the hydroxyl groups of silica surface were chemically activated with CNBr. The CNBr reacts with the hydroxyl groups of silica in a mild basic medium to form cyanate esters and imidocarbonates [25], through electrophilic substitution reaction. These groups react with primary amine group of polyclonal E. coli antibody protein yielding an isourea and substituted imidocarbonates derivatives.

The yield the loading of antibody on the surface of SIO was calculated using a linear regression curve of the amount of antibody (5 - 75 μg) vs. uv-visible absorption at 260 nm (data not shown here). The antibody-SIO conjugate was treated with 0.05Maq NaOH solution to cleave antibody from SIO NPs. The calculation results in ~20% loading of antibody on SIO NPs. This method is used to cleave isourea bonds (formed by cyanate ester and primary amine group) by the solvolytic attack of nucleophiles in the pH range 8-9.5 to release amino acid or protein [26].

The activated NPs 3 were characterized using FT-IR spectroscopy. Figure S1a shows FT-IR spectrum of SIO NPs 2, the characteristic spectrum of silica NPs with a broad band of hydroxyl group between 3000 – 3600 cm⁻¹. The activated particles 3 show a small band 2252.6 cm⁻¹ for OCN group as shown in Figure S1b. The antibody linked NPs 4 were characterized using UV-vis absorption spectroscopy as shown in Figure S12. An absorption band between 265 – 285 nm was observed which shows similar absorption peak as E. coli antibody only. This FT-IR and UV-vis absorption spectra confirms that the NPs 4 are linked with antibody.

**Capture of E. coli**

Following the procedure described in section 2.8 the plates containing dilutions above 10⁴ E. coli were overgrown and unable to be counted correctly. The 10⁴ to 10⁸ dilutions was mixed with immunomagnetic NPs 4 but the sample containing bacterial cells from 10⁶ dilution (containing ~ 180 cfu/mL) was selected for further study. To confirm the capture of bacteria using immunomagnetic NPs 4, they were mixed with E. coli cell suspension and incubated for 30 min at RT. After washing NPs were placed on agar plate to incubate further 24 hr.

The agar plate in figure 3c shows incubated cfu/mL of E. coli from 10⁸ dilution suspension, this was used to treat the NPs 4. The figure 3c and d, show plated containing NPs 2 and 3 respectively treated with E. coli cells. These two plates were used as a negative control for the sample, because they should not capture any bacterium. Since, they were not linked with E. coli antibody. The sample plated is shown in Figure 3f. The presence of E. coli cfu on this plate, confirm that the immunomagnetic NPs 4 are capturing the E. coli cells from its 10⁸ dilution suspension and it is due to antibody binding with the bacterial cell wall receptors.

Further the capture efficiency of NPs 4 was calculated based on the figure 3. That shows the total amount of live bacteria added [~180 cfu; figure 3c] and the total captured active bacteria colony appeared [5 cfu; figure 3f] on agar plate. Then the capture efficiency of NPs 4 was calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Capture efficiency of NP 4} = \frac{\text{Total captured active bacterial (cfu)} \times 100}{\text{Total active bacterial (cfu) added}}
\]

That resulted the capture efficiency of NPs 4 for live bacteria was ~3%, however there would be a dead and non growing bacteria will also present, which were not counted.

Further, the capture of E. coli using NPs 4 was confirmed using fluorescent microscopic imaging as shown in Figure 4a-d. The E. coli were treated with green fluorescent dye from BacLight™ bacterial viability kit [22]. The Figure 4a shows dye labeled green rod shaped bacteria cells. While the NPs 2 and 3 were treated with E. coli, washed and then treated with the fluorescent dye, but they did not show the presence of bacteria Figure 4b and c respectively. The sample containing complex of immunomagnetic NPs 4 and E. coli shows presence of bacteria as shown in Figure 4d. The agar plate and fluorescent imaging results prove that the NPs 4 are binding with the E. coli and after magnetic separation and washing the bacteria were remain bound with the immunomagnetic NPs. The captured E. coli complex 6, which was incubated with Ag NPs ~5 h was also monitored using FE-SEM images. Figure 5a-d show different regions of dead and damaged E. coli cell sample, embedded with SIO NPs 4 and Ag NPs aggregates. These images clearly show the toxic effect of Ag NPs and therefore act as antibacterial nanomaterial.

**SERS study**

The captured E. coli and 4 complexes in PBS (7.2 pH) were treated with sodium citrate reduced colloidal Ag NPs with the size of 6±4 nm in diameter and incubated up to 22.5 h at RT. Three deferent concentrations of Ag NPs solution (1x, 3x, and 5x concentration) were systematically investigated for SERS. The 5x concentration of Ag NPs was indicated best result of SEM and SERS. The SEM images were
taken at different spots of bacterial complex as shown Figure 5a-d. The Figure 5a,c shows isolated bacterial cells embedded with IM NPs and Ag NPs while image 5b,d are more focused to show the damage to the bacterial cells, that would cause its death. All four images were taken after 22.5 h of incubation with Ag NPs therefore the damage to the cells is clearly shows antibacterial property of the Ag NPs.

The SERS was performed on air dried samples placed on glass slide. Therefore, it is significant to study the background SERS signal which could interfere with sample signals. The Raman spectra of plain glass slide and SERS of NPs 3 and 4 are important to look first before the study of real samples and spectra of them serve as control. Figure 6a shows Raman spectra of plain glass slide. This corresponds with typical Raman spectra of sodium silicate glass framework. Dry glass shows major bands at 563, and 1105 cm⁻¹. Where the band at 1105 cm⁻¹ is indicative of Si-O units and 563 cm⁻¹ is associated with Si-O-Si linkage. The band appears around 470 cm⁻¹ originates from the reminiscent of silica gel annealed at 200–400°C [27]. There are obvious shoulders near 790 and 1000 cm⁻¹. Mysen et al. [28] de-convoluted that these band originate from hydrated sodium silicate glass [28].

Two NPs 2 and 4 were also selected for Raman spectroscopic analysis in the presence of 5X concentration of Ag NPs. Spectra of SIO NPs 2 is shown in Figure 6b. It consist three bands with position at about 354, 505 and 733 cm⁻¹ and according to reported literature these spectra are associated with γ-Fe₂O₃ (maghemite) [29]. The spectral band at about 210, 398, 654 cm⁻¹ are associated with the α-Fe₂O₃ (hematite) phase of IO particles [30]. Silica coating of these IO NPs can be seen through band at 949 and 1287 cm⁻¹ for O₅Si-OH linkage [31,32]. Further, the Raman spectra of NPs 4 showed characteristic bands of proteins. A sharp peak for O-Ag bond vibration and interactions of ionic species, adsorbed onto Ag NPs can be seen at 241 cm⁻¹ [33]. Bands at 560 and
first 1.5 h of incubation. The spectra in Figure 7a is similar to IM NPs 4, corresponds to O-Ag, silica, and protein bands around 235, 479, 1045, 1385 and 1561 cm⁻¹. After 1.5 h of incubation with bacterial cells SERS give major signals for protein (1250 – 1370 cm⁻¹), lipids (1420 – 1550 cm⁻¹) and some nucleic acids (1550 – 1670 cm⁻¹) bands also. Some of the recent reports have well explained the SERS finger printing of these biomolecules from E. coli species [7,21,35]. These can be seen in Figure 7b. The weak band at 581 and 1030 cm⁻¹ corresponds to carbohydrate, mainly –C-C-, C-O, and C-O-H skeleton [36,37]. The weak bands at 625 cm⁻¹ for COO- group of protein, peptides and aminoacids. 1105 cm⁻¹ band is from glass slide. 1195, 1265, and 1323 cm⁻¹ bands are

787 cm⁻¹ are showing Si-O-Si linkage and Fe₂O₃ (maghemite) units of NPs similar to previous spectra. The characteristic SERS band for protein comes from phenyl vibration (around 1001 cm⁻¹), amide I, II and III vibrations (from 1100 to 1600 cm⁻¹) [34], these bands at 1005, 1096, 1322, and 1598 cm⁻¹ can be seen in Figure 6c and are indicative of antibody attachment with NPs 4.

Time titration study of captured E. coli, was performed at the period of 0.5, 1.5, 2.5, 4.5 and 22.5 h of incubation of Ag NPs, the SERS spectra of the respective time period are shown in Figure 7a-e. It appears that Ag NPs dose not start reacting with bacterial cells in the first 1.5 h of incubation. The spectra in Figure 7a is similar to IM NPs 4, corresponds to O-Ag, silica, and protein bands around 235, 479, 1045, 1385 and 1561 cm⁻¹. After 1.5 h of incubation with bacterial cells SERS give major signals for protein (1250 – 1370 cm⁻¹), lipids (1420 – 1550 cm⁻¹) and some nucleic acids (1550 – 1670 cm⁻¹) bands also. Some of the recent reports have well explained the SERS finger printing of these biomolecules from E. coli species [7,21,35]. These can be seen in Figure 7b. The weak band at 581 and 1030 cm⁻¹ corresponds to carbohydrate, mainly –C-C-, C-O, and C-O-H skeleton [36,37]. The weak bands at 625 cm⁻¹ for COO- group of protein, peptides and aminoacids. 1105 cm⁻¹ band is from glass slide. 1195, 1265, and 1323 cm⁻¹ bands are
showing amide I and III for N-H [38], alkane CH₂ twist, rock mode, and C-H linkage [39] respectively. The δ (CH₂) from lipid group can be seen at 1454 cm⁻¹. Adenine and guanine band appears at around 1485 cm⁻¹. The band at about 1548 cm⁻¹ comes from C=C (lipid), δ (N-H) and ν (C-N) from amide II group. Further weak signals at after 1600 to 1700 cm⁻¹ are from nucleic acid [36,40]. SERS signal at 1.5 h indicate there diffusion of Ag NPs into the bacterial cell is happening and after 2.5 h of incubation SERS shows sharp and clear bands mainly of nucleic acids [41,42,43] as shown in Figure 7c. The peaks between 600 and 800 cm⁻¹ and 1296, and 1385 cm⁻¹ correspond to protein and nucleic acid SERS band are present but comparatively in weaker intensity. Similarly the spectra after 22.5 h, as shown in Figure 7e correspond to protein and nucleic acid bands. From these two figures one can envision that the Ag NPs could enter more deeply into bacterial cell wall and over the period of time and observed biomolecules of E. coli cell. The immune-magnetic isolation of bacterial cells for capture, concentration and SERS spectral analysis is a quick and reliable method for identification of bacterial species without extensive experiments and statistical data treatment.
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