Armodafinil the R-enantiomer of modafinil is involved in managing sleep disorder consisting of excessive sleepiness associated with obstructive sleep apnea, narcolepsy and shift work disorder. The aim of the study was to establish bioequivalence and tolerability between two formulations of armodafinil. This was an oral comparative bioequivalence study in healthy Indian subjects. The study design was a crossover, randomized, open label single-dose, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence type. Under fasting condition all the subjects (26 + 4 stand-by) received test and reference formulation in a staggered manner by a randomization code list in both the period with a washout period of seven days between the treatment periods. Twenty blood samples were drawn from each subject over 96 hours in each period. Liquid-liquid extraction method was validated using high performance liquid chromatography. The pharmacokinetic parameter $C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/ml), $T_{\text{max}}$ (hr), AUC$_{0-t}$ (ng-hr/ml), and AUC$_{0-\infty}$ (ng-hr/ml), $T_{1/2}$ (hr) and $K_{e}$ (hr$^{-1}$) were determined for armodafinil in reference and test formulations. ANOVA showed no significant variation in these parameters. Relative bioavailability of 97.78% was calculated for armodafinil. The 90% confidence interval of log transformed data comparing test formulation versus reference formulations for $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$_{0-\infty}$ and AUC$_{0-\infty}$ are within the acceptance range of bioequivalence (80% to 125%).

Based on the pharmacokinetics parameters of armodafinil (test and reference), it is concluded that single dose of single dose of armodafinil tablet containing 250 mg armodafinil manufactured by Emcure Pharmaceuticals, India., is bioequivalent to single dose of Nuvigil tablet containing 250 mg armodafinil manufactured by Cephalon, Inc., USA.
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Introduction

Modafinil is wakefulness-promoting medication in a number of species [1-7] and is useful for the treatment of excessive sleepiness associated with narcolepsy, obstructive sleep apnea/hypopnea syndrome, and shift work disorder [8-10]. Compared to other stimulants, it has fewer side effects and has low potential risk of dependence. Modafinil is a chiral compound with both R and S-enantiomer pharmacologically active. However, it has been reported that R-enantiomer has a longer half life and hence long lasting effect as compared to the S-enantiomer. Armodafinil is the R-enantiomer of racemic modafinil, 2-[[diphenylmethyl] sulfinyl]acetamide. Like modafinil, studies on Wistar Kyoto rat and Sprague Dawley rat have proven armodafinil to be wake promoting [11-15]. Research conducted by Elaine et al. has demonstrated that armodafinil activated many brain regions similar to that modafinil. Furthermore, it has been reported that armodafinil activates the c-fos expression in many brain regions that is associated with the waking phase of the sleep/wake cycle [16,17].

Studies were also performed to establish the pharmacokinetic profile of armodafinil in rat. On a comparative basis, 200 mg armodafinil showed higher plasma concentrations, improved wakefulness, and longer sustained attention than 200 mg modafinil in a study of acutely sleep-deprived healthy human volunteers [18-20]. Armodafinil and modafinil are nonamphetamine, wakefulness-promoting medications approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for treatment of excessive sleepiness associated with treated obstructive sleep apnea (OSA), shift work disorder, and narcolepsy [21,22]. Armodafinil is eliminated approximately three times more slowly than the S-isomer of racemic modafinil [23]. There are scientific research articles demonstrating the efficacy of armodafinil for treating excessive sleepiness [23].

Armodafinil 250 mg tablets were prepared for the generic switching over the other branded generic drug. For prescription, the tablets formulation of Emcure Pharmaceuticals Ltd., India should show bioequivalence to the innovator tablet formulation of the same drug. Therefore a study in Indian healthy male subjects was conducted to establish bioequivalence and to obtain pharmacokinetics profile of both the formulations of armodafinil.

Material and Method

The test product was armodafinil tablet containing armodafinil 250 mg manufactured by Emcure Pharmaceuticals Ltd., India. The reference product was Nuvigil tablet containing armodafinil 250 mg manufactured by Cephalon, Inc., USA.

The study was conducted at Therapeutic Drug Monitoring Laboratory (TDML), Sion, India. The study participants were screened as per the DCGI (Drug Controlled General of India) approved protocol after obtaining signed informed consent form (ICF) from each of the study participants. The screen passed subjects were enrolled and screen failed subjects were excluded. All subjects agreed to abide by the diet and fluid restrictions and also to undergo all procedures as required by the study. Study abstained from xanthine containing foods, tobacco and alcohol for a time span of 48 hours prior to first study.
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drug administration until the last sample is collected with follow up as required by the study protocol. The protocol, ICF and screening form were approved by the institutional ethics review committee before conducting the study. The study was conducted in accordance with ICH guidelines on GCP, ICMR guidelines on Biomedical Research, CDSCO Bioavailability Bioequivalence guidelines, the provisions of Declaration of Helsinki (Seoul, October 2008).

The study population consisted of 26 + 4 (stand-by) Indian healthy male subjects aged between 18 to 45 years with a mean of 26.9 years, mean weight of 61.6 kg and mean height of 165.6 cm. The study was randomized, open-label, single-centre, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence, single-dose, crossover, in vivo oral bioequivalence study with wash-out period of seven days between each treatment.

The dosing was done after an overnight fasting of at least 10 hours for each volunteer. The dosing was done orally in sitting posture. During each study period, each subject was administered with one 250 mg tablet of armodafinil [test product (A) or reference product (B)] with 240 mL of water at ambient temperature as per the randomization generated at TDML which was followed by mouth check to assess the compliance to dosing. No water was permitted 1 hour before and 2 hours after dosing.

Pre labelled centrifuge tubes with K2EDTA as an anticoagulant was used for blood sample collection. The blood samples were collected by introducing an indwelling venous cannula in the subjects left/ right forearm vein. Post-dose sampling time points after formulation administration were 0.50, 1.00, 1.25, 1.50, 1.75, 2.00, 2.25, 2.50, 3.00, 4.00, 6.00, 8.00, 10.00, 12.00, 16.00, 24.00, 48.00, 72.00 and 96.00 hours. A total of 20 blood samples including pre dose sample, per period for armofadnil analysis were obtained. Accurate note of actual collection time was made for each blood sample. Blood samples between 0.50 to 24.00 hours post dose were collected from the cannula by discarding the first 0.5 ml of blood. While the remaining samples after 24.00 hours are taken by vein puncture. Plasma sample was obtained by carrying out separation using refrigerated centrifuge at 4000 rpm, 0-4°C for 10 minutes followed by direct transfer into two 5 mL polypropylene tube and stored at –20°C until analysis.

Method of Analysis

Plasma concentration of armodafinil was quantified using High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) system that was validated before the study. Dichloromethane liquid-liquid extraction procedure was used to extract the armodafinil from the plasma. The residue was reconstituted in 200 µL of mobile phase and 25 µL was injected onto the chromatographic system. Sensitivity, specificity, ruggedness, calibration range curve, precision, accuracy, recovery and stability were the parameters performed for validation. The six point calibration curve demonstrated a good linear range for armodafinil in the concentration range 100.0 ng/mL to 8000.0 ng/mL. Furthermore, a linear regression coefficient greater than 0.9823 for analyzed calibration curves was obtained. The detection limit and quantification limit for armodafinil was 60.0 ng/mL and 50.0 ng/mL respectively. The % nominal for inter-day precision and accuracy for the quality control samples (300 ng/mL, 4000 ng/mL and 7000 ng/mL) varied from 103.10% to 103.70%; whereas for intra-day precision and accuracy were between 94.66% and 103.97%. The %CV for inter-day precision and accuracy for the quality control samples were between 3.39% and 7.22%; while %CV for intra-day precision and accuracy varied from 1.60% to 3.23%. The % recovery for the analyzed quality control samples was in the range 73.79% to 90.12%. Plasma stability of Armofadnil was evaluated as freeze-thaw cycle stability, bench top (room temperature) stability, auto-sampler stability, short term stability and long term stability. The acceptance criteria for the parameters studied during validation was as per FDA validation guidelines [24-26]. The %CV and %difference for the short term stock solution stability (6hrs) was 1.14% and -0.71%; whereas for long term stock solution stability, it was 1.15% and 1.19%. The %CV and %difference for freeze-thaw cycle stability varied from 1.57% to 7.35% and -4.24% to 0.28% respectively. Similarly, the %CV and %difference for long term stability in matrix (evaluated for a period of 14 days) ranged from 1.15% to 3.96% and -12.84% to 14.69%. The
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**Figure 1:** Mean plasma concentration (ng/mL) versus time curve (hour) of armodafinil 250 mg tablets (test and reference).
developed liquid-liquid extraction procedure was found to be simple, robust and provide high recovery rate, resulting in a fast and easily-handled analysis.

Pharmacokinetics and statistical analysis

The Pharmacokinetic parameters are derived by using SAS software (Version 9.1-Revision 9.1.3 SAS Institute, USA) and Microsoft Office 2000. The following pharmacokinetic parameters $C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$ and AUC$(0-\infty)$ were reported for both the investigational products. The log transformed pharmacokinetic parameters ($C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$, AUC$(0-\infty)$) were subjected to statistical Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) [27] and two-one sided ‘t’ test for determining the bioequivalence between the two investigational products. Also a ratio analysis of untransformed and log transformed pharmacokinetic parameters ($C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$, AUC$(0-\infty)$) were carried out. Along with $T_{\text{max}}$ the elimination half-life ($T_{1/2}$) and terminal phase elimination rate constant ($K_e$) were also determined. For a product to be bioequivalent in compliance with current FDA guidelines, the 90% confidence interval for $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$ and AUC$(0-\infty)$ should fall within the range of 80%-125% [28-30].

Results and Discussion

All twenty six and four stand-by volunteers completed both the periods of the study and all were discharged in good health. There were no dropouts and/or withdrawal in the study. No serious adverse events were reported and there were no clinically significant changes in vital signs, clinical laboratory variables, ECG, X-ray and general physical examination. The validated method used for quantification of armodafinil in human plasma showed good specificity, sensitivity, linearity, precision and accuracy. All the stabilities performed were under acceptance criteria as per standard guidelines of US FDA. Accuracy of calibration curve and quality control samples were within the acceptance limit of 85% to 115%.

The mean plasma concentration versus time curves of armodafinil (test and reference) to the twenty six subjects is given in figure 1. The calculated pharmacokinetics parameters of armodafinil are summarized in table 1. In both the test and reference formulations $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$, AUC$(0-\infty)$ and $C_{\text{max}}$/AUC$(0-\infty)$ values were comparable. The test/reference of geometric mean of $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$ and AUC$(0-\infty)$ for armodafinil in both formulation were 95.77%, 97.78% and 97.96% respectively (Table 2). These values were within acceptance limit of 80-120%. ANOVA was applied with period, sequence and treatments as variables for armodafinil, no significant variation were observed. When ANOVA was applied with subject as variables for armodafinil, significant variation was observed for $C_{\text{max}}$/AUC$(0-t)$, AUC$(0-\infty)$, ln AUC$(0-\infty)$, ln AUC$(0-t)$, ln $(C_{\text{max}}$/AUC$(0-\infty)$) and ln $(C_{\text{max}}$/AUC$(0-t)$). When AUC$(0-\infty)$ of both formulations for untransformed data are compared, test formulation showed a bioavailability of 95.76% as compared with the reference formulation and for geometric mean data test formulation showed a bioavailability of 97.78% as compared with the reference formulation, which is within the acceptance limit of 80% to 120%. The 90% confidence interval for the ratio of ln-transformed $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$(0-t)$, AUC$(0-\infty)$ values were comparable.

Conclusion

In this study, both the formulations were well tolerated. The

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic Parameters of Armodafinil</th>
<th>REFERENCE</th>
<th>TEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>S.D</td>
<td>S.E.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>6959.753</td>
<td>2559.6374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC$(0-\infty)$ (ng/ml*hr.)</td>
<td>147026.136</td>
<td>55286.4989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1 \frac{C_{\text{max}}}{\text{AUC}(0-t)}$ (hr)</td>
<td>0.0491</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (hr)</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0.9145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-1 \frac{K_e}{T_{\text{max}}}$ (hr)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{1/2}$ (hr)</td>
<td>15.608</td>
<td>4.5168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In AUC$(0-\infty)$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>8.8095</td>
<td>2.5070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In AUC$(0-t)$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>11.8217</td>
<td>3.3250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In AUC$(0-\infty)$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>11.8441</td>
<td>3.3277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In $(C_{\text{max}}/\text{AUC}(0-t))$ (hr$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>-3.0345</td>
<td>0.2001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of the pharmacokinetic parameters of armodafinil tablets and Nuvigil tablets administered to 26 healthy Indian adult male subjects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic parameters</th>
<th>Geometric Mean</th>
<th>% Ratio of (ARMODAFINIL / NUVIGIL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometric Mean Reference</td>
<td>136169.281</td>
<td>133151.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric Mean Test</td>
<td>139257.705</td>
<td>136413.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>6697.852</td>
<td>6414.318</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Geometric mean for armodafinil 250 mg tablets (test and reference).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>90% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Accepted 90% Confidence Interval</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>Upper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In $C_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>88.82</td>
<td>103.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In AUC$(0-t)$</td>
<td>92.00</td>
<td>103.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In AUC$(0-\infty)$</td>
<td>91.94</td>
<td>104.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: 90% Confidence interval for the pharmacokinetic parameters of armodafinil tablets versus Nuvigil tablets.
reported data were entirely within the bioequivalence acceptance range proposed by FDA of 80% to 125%. From the data observed, it indicates that single dose of armodafinil tablet containing 250 mg armodafinil manufactured by Emcure Pharmaceuticals Ltd., Pune, India will behave similarly as single dose of Nuvigil tablet containing 250 mg armodafinil manufactured by Cephalon, Inc., USA and it can be considered to be a pharmaceutical alternative and exchangeable in clinical practice providing one more option.
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