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### Abstract

Emtricitabine/rilpivirine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (FTC/RPV/TDF) is a next-generation, once-daily complete antiretroviral single-tablet regimen for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in adults. This study evaluated the pharmacokinetics and bioequivalence of 2 distinct investigational coformulations of the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen (containing emtricitabine 200 mg, rilpivirine 25 mg, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg) compared with the concurrent administration of a 200-mg strength capsule of FTC, a 25-mg strength tablet of RPV, and a 300-mg strength tablet of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in healthy subjects. Thirty-six subjects were randomized in a single-dose, open-label, 3-way crossover study design; 34 subjects completed all study treatments. Serial blood samples were obtained over 192 hours following oral administration of each treatment and pharmacokinetic parameters calculated. Formulation bioequivalence was assessed by 90% confidence intervals (CI) for the ratio of geometric least square means (GMR) for $C_{\text{max}}$, AUC$_{0-\text{last}}$, and AUC$_{\text{0-\text{last}}}$ for each drug of the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen versus the individual components. Emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate administered under fed conditions (standardized meal of ~400 kcal) as individual drugs given concurrently or as a fixed-dose combination tablet were generally well tolerated. Of the 2 single-tablet formulations that were tested, a single coformulation (Test Formulation 1) demonstrated bioequivalence to the reference formulation, with 90% confidence intervals for the ratio of the geometric least-squares means contained within the bounds of 80% to 125% for the AUC$_{\text{0-\text{last}}}$, AUC$_{\text{0-\text{last}}}$, and $C_{\text{max}}$ values of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir, and was carried forward as the commercial formulation. This tablet is a next-generation, once-daily single-tablet antiretroviral regimen for the treatment of HIV-1 infection and offers an attractive treatment option for efavirenz-containing regimens.
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**Introduction**

Clinical studies have demonstrated high levels of adherence and treatment satisfaction with simple, once-daily highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) regimens, resulting in durable suppression of HIV-1 RNA [1,2]. The availability of the efavirenz/emtricitabine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate single-tablet regimen as well as other combination tablets has enabled simplification of HIV treatment. Before the recent approval of the emtricitabine/rilpivirine/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (FTC/RPV/TDF) single-tablet regimen, efavirenz 600 mg/emtricitabine 200 mg/tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300 mg (EFV/FTC/TDF) was the only fixed-dose, triple combination single-tablet regimen for the treatment of HIV. Thus, there remains a need for new fixed-dose single-tablet regimens composed of potent agents exhibiting favorable tolerability, minimal short- and long-term toxicity, and convenient dosing to maximize patient adherence.

Current guidelines for the treatment of antiretroviral (ARV) treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected patients recommend 2 nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTIs/NtRTIs) and a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) as a preferred initial therapy [3-6]. The use of the NNRTIs nevirapine, delavirdine, etravirine, and efavirenz are limited by cross resistance, frequent dosing, and/or safety issues that may include hepatotoxicity, rash, central nervous system symptoms, and teratogenicity. An alternative next-generation NNRTI, rilpivirine, a diarylpyrimidine (DAPY) derivative, has recently been approved [7]. Data from Phase 2 and 3 studies indicated that RPV 25 mg had the potential to combine the convenience of once-daily dosing with potent antiviral efficacy similar to efavirenz, with an improved safety profile over efavirenz with respect to central nervous system adverse events, lipid abnormalities, incidence of rash, and lack of teratogenicity [7-12]. Forty-eight week efficacy data and safety data from the Phase 3 clinical trials ECHO (NCT00540449) and THRIVE (NCT00543725) in treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected subjects demonstrated that rilpivirine 25 mg administered in combination with NRTI/NtRTI backbone agents, including the preferred emtricitabine 200-mg and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300-mg backbone, was noninferior to efavirenz 600 mg administered in combination with the same NRTI/NtRTI backbone agents [3,4,9,10].

Rilpivirine has been coformulated with the standard-of-care NRTI/NtRTI backbone FTC/TDF into a single-tablet regimen (FTC/RPV/TDF). The FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen was approved for use by the FDA in August 2011 as a complete regimen for the treatment of HIV-1 infection in treatment-naïve adults [12] and it could offer an alternative single-tablet regimen option to patients who wish to simplify their treatment regimen and avoid the complicated dosing restrictions and timing imposed by multidrug regimens.

The present study was conducted during the development of the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen to evaluate the bioequivalence of coformulations of FTC/RPV/TDF (containing 200 mg emtricitabine, 25 mg rilpivirine, and 300 mg tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) versus the individual components and the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet formulation versus the multiple drug combinations.
25 mg rilpivirine, and 300 mg tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) compared with the concurrent administration of a 200-mg strength capsule of emtricitabine, a 25-mg strength tablet of rilpivirine, and a 300-mg strength tablet of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate under fed conditions. Assessment of the bioequivalence under fed conditions was considered appropriate as rilpivirine exhibits a positive food effect; exposure to rilpivirine is reduced ~40% when dosed in a fasted state compared to dosing following a normal- or high-caloric meal. Administration once daily with a meal is recommended in the product labeling for both rilpivirine and the single-tablet regimen of FTC/RPV/TDF [7,12].

Methods

Study population

A total of 36 healthy male and nonpregnant, nonlactating female subjects, between 18 and 45 years of age (inclusive), with a body mass index (BMI) between 19 and 30 (inclusive), no significant medical history, and a creatinine clearance ≥ 80 mL/minute (using the Cockcroft-Gault method and actual body weight) were enrolled in the study. Female subjects of childbearing potential had to have a negative serum pregnancy test. Screening laboratory evaluations (hematology, chemistry, and urinalysis) must have fallen within the normal range of the central laboratory’s reference ranges, unless the results were determined by the investigator to have no clinical significance. Subjects also had to have either a normal 12-lead electrocardiogram (ECG) or one with abnormalities that were considered clinically insignificant by the investigator in consultation with the sponsor. Subjects who had a history of syncpe, palpitations, or unexplained dizziness; or who had an implanted defibrillator or pacemaker were excluded. Subjects were excluded if they had any serious or active medical or psychiatric illness or were receiving any prescription medications or over the counter medications, including herbal products, within 28 days of commencing study drug dosing, with the exception of vitamins, acetaminophen, ibuprofen, and/or hormonal contraceptive medications. Additionally, subjects who had been treated with systemic steroids, immunosuppressant therapies, or chemotherapeutic agents within 3 months of study screening were excluded. Subjects with a history of liver disease, including Gilbert’s Disease, were excluded. Subjects with current alcohol or substance abuse were also excluded. Additional restrictions while participating in the study included nicotine-containing products, grapefruit juice or grapefruit, and beverages containing caffeine and other methylxanthines (only on dosing days).

All subjects provided written informed consent before participating in the study. The protocol was reviewed and approved by the Independent Investigational Review Board, Inc. (Plantation, FL), and the study was performed in accordance with the principles of good clinical practice and the Declaration of Helsinki, consistent with the requirements of the US Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Title 21, Part 312.

Study protocol

This was a randomized, single-dose, open-label, 3-way crossover, Phase 1 study. Two distinct formulations of the fixed-dose single-tablet regimen containing 200 mg of emtricitabine, 25 mg of rilpivirine, and 300 mg of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (FTC/RPV/TDF; test formulation [Test Formulations 1 and 2]) were compared with concurrent administration of the individual components (emtricitabine 200-mg capsule, rilpivirine 25-mg tablet, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate 300-mg tablet; reference formulation) to healthy adults under fed conditions (standardized meals of ~400 kcal and ~13 grams of fat). The duration of the study was 43 days and included 3 dosing periods. Period 1 and 2 doses were followed by a 14-day washout. Following period 3 dosing, subjects returned to the study center 14 days after the last dose for a follow-up visit.

Subjects were randomized to 1 of 6 treatment sequences. Following an overnight fast (28 hours), a single dose of study drug(s) was administered on Days 1, 15, and 29 within 5 minutes of completing a standardized meal of ~400 kcal. Following study treatment administration, subjects were restricted from food intake until after the 4-hour pharmacokinetic (PK) blood sampling time point. Mouth checks were performed after each study drug administration to ensure that doses were ingested.

Serial blood samples for PK assessments were collected at the following time points: 0 (predose), 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5, 5.5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 72, 96, 120, 144, 168, and 192 hours after administration of each treatment. Plasma PK sampling was conducted over 8 days after each treatment to completely characterize the PK profile of all 3 agents, especially rilpivirine, which exhibits a long terminal half-life of ~50 hours [7]. A washout of 14 days between treatments was also provided. Pharmacokinetic results for the coformulation carried forward to commercialization (Test Formulation 1) are presented in this paper.

Safety assessments

Safety was evaluated by clinical laboratory assessments, ECGs, physical examinations, and vital signs at various time points during the study. Adverse events (AEs) and use of concomitant medications were documented throughout the duration of the study. Treatment-emergent AEs associated with a treatment were defined as events that began on or after the date of the first dose of that treatment and on or before the date of the last dose of that treatment plus 30 days, or before the start date of the following treatment (if applicable), whichever came earlier. Adverse events were coded using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA), version 12.1. The severity of AEs and laboratory abnormalities was graded according to the Gilead Sciences Modified National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) Common Toxicity Grading Scale (mild = Grade 1, moderate = Grade 2, severe = Grade 3, and possibly life-threatening = Grade 4) [13].

Bioanalytic procedures

Concentrations of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir in human plasma samples were determined using fully validated high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectroscopy (LC/MS/MS) bioanalytical methods. Briefly, the methodology for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir was as follows: 100 µL of human plasma was deproteinized using methanol solution spiked with internal standard (IS). After protein precipitation extraction, emtricitabine (IS: [15C,15N2]-FTC), rilpivirine (IS: [d4, 13C]-RPV), and tenofovir (IS: TFV-d6) were resolved on a reverse-phase chromatographic system under isocratic conditions, with a lower limit of quantitation of 5 ng/mL for emtricitabine and tenofovir and 1 ng/mL for rilpivirine. Emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir and their internal standards were detected in the selected reaction monitoring mode using electrospray ionization (ESI) with positive polarity and the following ion transitions: m/z 248 → m/z 130 for emtricitabine, m/z 367 → m/z 224 for rilpivirine, m/z 288 → m/z 176 for tenofovir, m/z 251→ m/z 133 for [15C,15N2]-FTC, m/z 372→m/z 225 for [d4, 13C]-RPV, and m/z 294 → m/z 182 for TFV-d6. Calibration curves for...
emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir were obtained using a linear regression algorithm of the peak area ratio of each analyte to the IS versus concentration.

The precision and accuracy in the assay validation were evaluated using 3 separate analytic runs, with each containing quality control (QC) samples (n = 4-5) in replicates of 6 for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir. Interassay and intra-assay precision had a <9.5% coefficient of variation, and accuracy was within 10% of expected.

Pharmacokinetic analysis

The PK analysis sets for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir included all randomized and treated subjects who had respective, evaluable emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir PK profiles for the reference formulation and for at least 1 of the 2 test formulations. All predose sample times were assigned a value of 0. Samples that were below the limit of quantitation (BLQ) of the bioanalytical assay and that were measured before the first quantifiable concentration was achieved were assigned a concentration of 0 to prevent overestimation of the initial area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC). Samples that were BLQ at all other time points were treated as missing data.

Pharmacokinetic parameters were estimated (by application of a nonlinear model using standard noncompartmental methods [WinNonlin®, version 5.2; Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA]) from the plasma concentration-time data for the 3 analytes (emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir) after administration of single dose(s) of test and reference formulation. The following PK parameters were estimated: maximum observed plasma concentration of drug (C_{max}), time to maximum concentration (T_{max}), last observed quantifiable concentration of the drug in plasma (C_{last}), observed time point of C_{last} (T_{last}), terminal elimination rate constant (λ_z), area under the plasma concentration versus time curve from time 0 to the last quantifiable concentration (AUC_{0-Last}), area under the plasma concentration versus time curve from time 0 extrapolated to infinity (AUC_{inf}), %AUCexp, and terminal elimination half-life (T_{1/2}).

Statistical analysis

Statistical power calculations to reject the null hypothesis that there is at least a 20% difference in each of these 3 PK parameters between each of the 2 test formulations (Test Formulations 1 and 2) and reference formulation were performed using a SAS® (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) macro. This macro was developed on the basis of the method proposed by Chow and Liu [14] for William Design assuming no unbalanced carry-over effects and each of two 1-sided tests to be performed at an alpha level of 5%. Preliminary data from a previous study (emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir unpublished data on file at Gilead Sciences) were used to estimate the intrasubject standard deviations (SDs) for PK parameters (AUC_{inf}, AUC_{0-Last}, and C_{max}) for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir. Power analyses estimated that 24 evaluable subjects would provide at least a 99% probability that bioequivalence was supported if the expected geometric mean ratio (test/reference) was 1.00 for each analyte in terms of the primary endpoints AUC_{fin}, AUC_{0-Last}, and C_{max}, and at least a 97% probability that bioequivalence would be supported if the expected geometric mean ratio (test/reference) was 1.05 for each PK parameter of each analyte. A sample size of 24 subjects also would provide at least a 95% (99% x 97% x 99%) aggregated power to conclude bioequivalence for all 3 analytes simultaneously (i.e., to determine overall bioequivalence between the test and reference formulations). Given the long duration of the study, a larger overlap (50%) was built into the study sample size to account for dropouts, thus requiring a total enrollment of 36 subjects (6 per treatment sequence).

Subject demographic data, baseline characteristics, and PK parameters were summarized by treatment using descriptive statistics. To evaluate the bioequivalence of each test formulation (1 and 2) versus the reference formulation, natural log-transformed primary PK values (AUC_{inf, test}, AUC_{inf, ref}, and C_{max, test}) for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir were compared between the test and reference formulations by a parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SAS® PROC MIXED (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) appropriate for the 3-way crossover design of the study.

Rilpivirine exhibits a long plasma half-life (median T_{1/2} ~50 hours) [7] that could have resulted in detectable drug levels being observed at earlier time points in subjects during dosing periods 2 and 3. A complex model (referred to as “full model”) including treatment, sequence, period, and first order of carry-over effects as fixed effects, and subject within sequence as a random effect was used to test whether there were unequal carry-over effects for rilpivirine. No statistically significant unequal carry-over effects were detected and a reduced model without carry-over effects was used for all PK parameters of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir. Treatment comparisons and 90% confidence intervals (CIs) were constructed using the reduced model. Ninety percent CIs for the ratio of the geometric least-squares means (test formulation/reference formulation) were calculated for each parameter, consistent with the two 1-sided tests approach [15,16]. Per scientific standards for bioequivalence studies, formulation bioequivalence was concluded if the 90% CI for the ratio of the geometric least-squares means was contained within the bounds of 80% to 125% for the AUC_{inf}, AUC_{0-Last}, and C_{max} values of all 3 analytes (emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir) [15,17].

Results

Subject demographics and disposition

A total of 36 healthy subjects were enrolled in the study and received at least 1 dose of study drug (test [formulation 1 or 2] or reference formulation). Of the 36 enrolled subjects, 15 (41.7%) were male, 27 (75.0%) were white, and 9 (25.0%) were black. The mean (SD) age was 33 (7.0) years, mean (SD) weight at screening was 71.9 (9.68) kg, mean (SD) height at screening was 167.9 (9.68) cm, mean BMI at screening was 25.4 (2.48) kg/m², and the mean (SD) estimated creatinine clearance by Cockcroft-Gault method at baseline was 128.0 (17.91) mL/minute. Thirty-four subjects completed the study; 1 subject received only the fixed-dose FTC/RPV/TDF Test Formulation 2 (noncommercialized formulation) and discontinued due to an AE of angioedema of the face, and 1 subject received only the reference formulation and was discontinued by the investigator for a protocol violation (positive drug test).

Safety

Single oral doses of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate administered as individual drugs given concurrently or as a fixed-dose combination tablet were generally well tolerated in this study. Treatment-emergent AEs were reported in 3 of 35 subjects (8.6%) after administration of the reference formulation, 4 of 34 subjects (11.8%) after administration of the fixed-dose FTC/RPV/TDF Test Formulation 1 (bioequivalent commercial formulation), and 4 of 35 subjects (11.4%) after administration of the fixed-dose FTC/
RPV/TDF Test Formulation 2. Drug-related treatment-emergent AEs were reported for 2 subjects from each treatment group, and included diarrhea, musculoskeletal pain, pain in extremity, headache, dysuria, testicular pain, angioedema, papular rash, and hot flash. The drug-related AE of Grade 1 angioedema of the right side of the face following administration of the first dose of study treatment (FTC/RPV/TDF Test Formulation 2) led to discontinuation of the subject from the study. Most AEs were mild, transient, and consistent with the known emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety profiles [7,18,19]. No death or serious adverse event occurred during the study.

Fasting hypercholesterolemia (all Grade 1 events) was the most frequent treatment-emergent graded laboratory abnormality and was reported in 6 of 34 subjects (17.6%) after administration of the reference formulation, 1 of 34 subjects (2.9%) after administration of Test Formulation 1, and 2 of 35 subjects (5.7%) after administration of Test Formulation 2. No notable change in clinical laboratory test value treatment means, vital signs, or physical findings was observed during the study. No pregnancy occurred during the study.

Pharmacokinetics

The PK analyses data sets for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir each included 34 subjects. Two subjects who prematurely discontinued from the study (discussed above) were excluded from the emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir PK analysis sets. Mean (SD) emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir plasma concentration-time profiles are presented in Figure 1 (A to C, respectively). The plasma concentrations of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir were similar after administration of the fixed-dose combination Test Formulation 1 or concurrent administration of the individual drugs (reference formulation) during the monitoring period (up to 192 hours after dosing). Derived plasma PK parameters for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir after administration of the reference or test formulation are presented in Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3, respectively. The FTC/RPV/TDF fixed-dose combination Test Formulation 2 was not bioequivalent to the reference formulation products as not all 3 of the components of the fixed-dose formulation, specifically RPV C_{max}, met the bioequivalence criterion. Accordingly, only results from Test Formulation 1 taken to commercialization are presented in this paper.

The FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen (Test Formulation 1) demonstrated bioequivalence to the reference formulation of coadministration of the individual components (emtricitabine + rilpivirine + tenofovir disoproxil fumarate) under fed conditions. The 90% CIs for the ratio of the geometric least-squares means of the primary PK parameters (AUC_{inf}, AUC_{0-last}, and C_{max}) for test formulation 1 versus the reference formulation were contained within the bounds of 80% to 125% for emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir. The coformulated FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen test formulation 1 and coadministered emtricitabine + rilpivirine + tenofovir disoproxil fumarate also exhibited similar median T_{1/2} values. Statistical comparisons of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir PK parameters after administration of test formulation or reference formulation are summarized in Table 4.

Discussion

Current guidelines for the treatment of ARV treatment-naïve HIV-1 infected patients recommend that initial therapy be comprised of 2 NRTIs/NtRTIs and either an NNRTI (efavirenz or nevirapine), a boosted protease inhibitor (PI), or the INSTI raltegravir [3-6]. The recent approval of an alternative NNRTI, rilpivirine, by the US FDA as an once-daily oral treatment for HIV-1 infection in combination with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate also exhibited similar median T_{1/2} values.
Therefore, it is recommended that FTC/RPV/AEs reported were mild, transient, and consistent with the known side effects, and is potentially teratogenic. Hepatotoxicity and severe lipoprotein (LDL), neurological, psychological, and dermatological rash/hypersensitivity reactions have been associated with the use of nevirapine.

Table 2: Summary of Emtricitabine Pharmacokinetic Parameters.

Table 3: Summary of Tenofovir Pharmacokinetic Parameters.

Table 4: Statistical Comparisons of Emtricitabine, Rilpivirine, and Tenofovir Pharmacokinetic Parameters for Test Formulation 1 versus Reference Formulation.

Table 4: Statistical Comparisons of Emtricitabine, Rilpivirine, and Tenofovir Pharmacokinetic Parameters for Test Formulation 1 versus Reference Formulation.

with other ARV agents in ARV treatment-naïve adults may offer an attractive treatment option to patients wishing to avoid NNRTI regimens containing efavirenz or nevirapine due to tolerability concerns and/or potential reproductive risks. Efavirenz has been associated with increases in serum triglycerides and low-density lipoprotein (LDL), neurological, psychological, and dermatological side effects, and is potentially teratogenic. Hepatotoxicity and severe rash/hypersensitivity reactions have been associated with the use of nevirapine.

The present study confirmed that the commercial formulation of the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen is bioequivalent to the concurrent administration of the individual components under fed conditions (standardized meal ~400 kcal). Absorption of rilpivirine has been shown to be reduced by 40% when administered in fasting conditions compared to administration following a normal or high-caloric meal [7]. Therefore, it is recommended that FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen be administered with a meal [12]. The complementary long intracellular (emtricitabine ~39 hours [20,21] and tenofovir ~60 hours [19,20,22]) and plasma (rilpivirine ~50 hours [12]) half-lives of each of the individual agents in this single-tablet regimen allow for once daily dosing. The simplified once-daily dosing of a single-tablet regimen may be beneficial in maximizing patient adherence in order to improve clinical outcomes.

Single oral doses of emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate administered as individual drugs given concurrently or as a fixed-dose single-tablet regimen were generally well tolerated by the subjects in this study. Drug-related treatment-emergent AEs included diarrhea, musculoskeletal pain, pain in extremity, headache, dysuria, testicular pain, angioedema, papular rash, and hot flush. Most AEs reported were mild, transient, and consistent with the known emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate safety profiles [7,18,19].

Rilpivirine is classified as a Biopharmaceutics Classification System (BCS) II compound (low solubility/high permeability). These properties in conjunction with the chemical stability of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate presented challenges in developing a co-formulated single tablet. Conventional dry and co-blend granulation methodologies were unsuccessful in developing a bioequivalent single tablet regimen (data on file). Formulation and process modifications were implemented, including bilayer tableting to minimize potential physical and chemical interactions between emtricitabine, rilpivirine, and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. The test formulation 1 of the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen found to be bioequivalent to the coadministration of the individual agents in this study is a bilayer tablet, a design that facilitates tablet disintegration and dissolution. This formulation was approved for commercialization by the US FDA in August 2011 and in the EU in November 2011, and is currently undergoing regulatory review in other countries.

In conclusion, this study demonstrated that the commercial formulation of the FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen is bioequivalent to the concurrent administration of the individual components under fed conditions (standardized meal ~400 kcal). The FTC/RPV/TDF single-tablet regimen is a next-generation, once-daily single-tablet complete ARV regimen for the treatment of HIV-1 infection.
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