Bioequivalence Study of 10 mg Olanzapine Tablets in Healthy Thai Volunteers
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Abstract

Objective: The purpose of this study was to compare the bioequivalence of 10 mg tablets of olanzapine between a generic drug (Olapin®-10; Unison Laboratories Co., Ltd., Thailand) and a reference drug (Zyprexa®, Eli Lilly, England) in healthy volunteers.

Subjects and methods: A single dose, randomized, 2-period, 2-sequence, crossover study was conducted in 24 healthy Thai male and female volunteers. Each volunteer received a 10 mg tablet of the reference or test drug under fasting condition with a washout period of at least 21 days. Blood samples were obtained at pre-dose and at various time points up to 120 hours after dosing. Olanzapine plasma concentrations were quantified by a validated method employing liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS).

Results: 24 volunteers completed both treatment periods. The geometric mean ratios (GMR) (test/reference) between the two formulations of olanzapine were 95.76% (90% CI, 88.55-103.55%) for Cmax; 103.77% (97.49-110.46%) for AUC0-120; and 104.39% (98.20-110.98%) for AUC0-24. There was no statistical difference of the Tmax between the two formulations (p>0.05). One hundred and eight adverse events were reported from both formulations. Most of the adverse events were judged to be mild in intensity and did not require additional medical treatment.

Conclusion: No significant difference in the analysed pharmacokinetic parameters was found between the two formulations of 10 mg olanzapine in the healthy Thai volunteers. The 90% CI of GMR of the pharmacokinetic parameters was entirely within the equivalence criteria (80-125%). Therefore, it can be concluded that this two olanzapine tablet formulations were considered bioequivalent.
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Introduction

Olanzapine, a thienobenzodiazepine derivative, is an atypical antipsychotic agent with broad efficacy, eliciting a response in both the positive and negative symptoms of schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder. Olanzapine is approved in the US and Europe for the oral treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar I disorder within the dose range of 5-20 mg/day [1-3].

The pharmacokinetics of olanzapine are linear and dose-proportional within the approved dosage range from 1 mg up to 20 mg. Olanzapine is well absorbed following oral administration in both fed and fasted states. Food does not affect the rate or the extent of olanzapine absorption. Time of peak concentration ranges from 2-7 h [4,5]. Olanzapine is extensively distributed throughout the body, binding primarily to albumin (90%) and α1-acid glycoprotein (77%). Olanzapine is eliminated extensively (40%) of the dose by first pass metabolism. Direct glucuronidation and CYP1A2 mediated oxidation are the primary metabolic pathways for olanzapine. Phenotypic difference for CYP1A2 between races has been reported. The pharmacokinetics of olanzapine are similar amongst Japanese, Chinese and Caucasians [6,7]. The most common adverse effects of olanzapine in patients receiving olanzapine in short term were weight gain, somnolence, postural hypotension, dizziness, constipation, dyspepsia, dry mouth, increased appetite, tremor, personality disorder, asthenia and akathisia [6,8].

This study was designed to assess the bioequivalence between a generic and a reference olanzapine in tablet formulation in terms of the extent and the rate of absorption.

Methods

Study drugs

Olapin® provided by Unison Laboratories Co., Ltd. (Thailand) (Lot No. T09/8-005, Mfg. date 3 January 2008, Exp. Date 3 January 2010) and Zyprexa® manufactured by Eli Lilly and Company limited, England (Lot No. A205276, Mfg. date 19 March 2008, Exp. Date 18 March 2009) were used as a test and a reference formulations, respectively. Both formulations were prepared as tablets containing 10 mg olanzapine.

Subjects

Sample size was calculated using a power analysis (β = 0.2). It was determined that the power of the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was >0.8. Assuming the %CV for Cmax and AUC was 20% [4,5], the 90% CI indicated that a total of 20 subjects would be sufficient for the study. Additional 4 subjects were added to the calculated subject number to compensate for a possible predicted dropout rate of 20%. All 24 healthy Thai volunteers (both male and female) aged between 18-45 years with...
a body mass index between 18-24 kg/m², were assessed to be in good physical condition by medical / laboratory examination, and eligible for study participation. They were well informed and provided written informed consent before participation. Clinical screening included a medical history, physical examination and the following laboratory tests: complete blood count, blood urea nitrogen, serum creatinine, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, total bilirubin, alkaline phosphatase, fasting blood sugar, urinalysis and hepatitis B surface antigen. Eligible subjects did not smoke for at least 30 days before study participation. Exclusion criteria included allergic to either olanzapine or related drugs e.g. clozapine or its constituents. Pregnant woman or lactating woman or positive pregnancy test woman was ineligible for enrollment. Volunteers who used either any drugs affecting hepatic microsomal enzymes or other interaction drugs within 14 days before study participation, or had participated in other clinical studies within last 30 days also were excluded.

**Study design**

An open label, single dose, randomized, two-treatment, two-period, two-sequence crossover design with at least 21 days washout period was used in this study. The volunteers were randomly divided into two groups by the sequence of product taken (Test-Reference (TR) and Reference-Test (RT) group). Each subject was randomized to get either the test or reference product as a first drug by a pre-printed randomization table that was created by using a randomization function in Microsoft Excel.

However, to avoid bias in analysis, the randomization code was blinded to bioanalytical staffs until the analysis for the last sample was finished. In each period, eligible subjects were confined to the research ward for 24 h after dosing. A single dose of 10 mg olanzapine tablet either of the reference or test formulation was administered with 220 ml water after at least 12 h. fasting. A standardized meal was served at 4 h after the dosing and then every 4 h for two subsequent meals. The clinical part was conducted at Siriraj Clinical Research Center and the bioanalytical part was done at Siriraj Bioequivalence Center, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University. The study protocol was approved by the Thailand Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki for biomedical research involving human subjects and the Guideline for Good Clinical Practice.

**Sample collection**

In each period, a total of 16 blood samples were collected in lithium heparinized tube for determination of olanzapine plasma concentration. At each time point, 9 mL of blood sample was drawn via lithium heparinized tube for determination of olanzapine plasma concentration. At 4 h, 4.5, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 46, 96 and 120 h after the dosing. These 16 sampling time points were enough to provide an adequate estimation of AUC⁰-∞ (the area under the concentration-time curve from time 0 to ∞) and Cₙ₅ (the maximum observed plasma concentration) were considered primary variables. Cₙ₅ and Tₙ₅ (the time theachieved maximum concentration) of olanzapine were taken directly from the concentration-time data. The AUC⁰-120 was calculated using trapezoidal approach. The AUCₙ₅ was calculated by the formulation AUCₙ₅ = AUCₙ₅,ₕₕ + (Cₙ₅/λₙ₅), where Cₙ₅ was the last detectable concentration. The λₙ₅ was the elimination rate constant calculated from the log (ln) transformation of concentration-time curves. The plasma concentration half-life (tₙ₅/2) was calculated by using the formulation tₙ₅/2 = 0.693/λₙ₅.

Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to log-transformed data and for the effect of formulations, periods, sequences and subjects analyzed over Cₙ₅, AUCₙ₅, AUCₙ₅, and AUCₙ₅. The difference between two related parameters was considered statistically significant when p-value equal to or less than 0.05. A non-parametric statistical analysis was used to test Tₙ₅ between test and reference formulations at p=.05.

The deviation of sampling time point up to 5 minutes for samples within the first hour of dosing was acceptable. Subsequent samples were acceptable if a deviation was less than 5% of the scheduled time. However, the deviated data will not be included in mean at that sampling time point.

Bioequivalence between the two formulations was accepted when the 90% geometric confidence intervals of the ratio (test/reference) of least-squares means from the ANOVA of the log-transformed Cₙ₅, AUCₙ₅ and AUCₙ₅ were within 80.00-125.00%. [9.10].

**Tolerability assessment**

With safety concern for the volunteer [11], heart rate and blood pressure of each subject were closely monitored during the treatment period. Physical examination and vital signs measurement were performed prior to each period of drug administration as baseline.
Figure 1: Geometric mean of plasma concentration-time profile of olanzapine after administration of Olanip® (T) and Zyprexa® (R) in 24 healthy volunteers.

Table 1: Pharmacokinetic parameters of olanzapine and bioequivalence analysis for the test (Olanip®) and reference (Zyprexa®) formulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Olanzapine</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>% Geometric mean ratio, Test/Reference (90% CI)</th>
<th>Power</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C_{max} (ng/mL)</td>
<td>27.6 (8.45)</td>
<td>26.4 (8.45)</td>
<td>98.76 (88.55-103.55)</td>
<td>0.9978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-120} (ng.h/mL)</td>
<td>835 (355)</td>
<td>805 (313)</td>
<td>103.77 (97.49-110.46)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC_{0-∞} (ng.h/mL)</td>
<td>901 (408)</td>
<td>863 (366)</td>
<td>104.39 (98.20-110.98)</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{max} (h)</td>
<td>3.53 (1.00-6.00)</td>
<td>3.09 (1.00-4.50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T_{1/2} (h)</td>
<td>31.6 (5.18)</td>
<td>30.4 (5.32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ_{max} (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0222</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AUC = area under plasma concentration-time curve, C_{max} = maximal plasma concentration, T_{max} = time for the maximal plasma concentration, T_{1/2} = half-life, λ_{max} = elimination rate constant. Data shown as geometric mean (SD) and median (range) for T_{max}.

Table 2: ANOVA table for period, sequence and treatment effects for the log-transformed data of C_{max}, AUC_{0-120}, and AUC_{0-∞}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ln(C_{max})</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3339</td>
<td>0.3339</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(C_{max})</td>
<td>Subject (Seq.)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.7868</td>
<td>0.1267</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(C_{max})</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0226</td>
<td>0.0226</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.3513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(C_{max})</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.2503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(C_{max})</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.5474</td>
<td>0.0249</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(AUC_{0-120})</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5136</td>
<td>0.5136</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>0.1266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(AUC_{0-120})</td>
<td>Subject (Seq.)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.4068</td>
<td>0.2037</td>
<td>12.85</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(AUC_{0-120})</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>0.0165</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.3194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(AUC_{0-120})</td>
<td>Period</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>0.0310</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>0.1757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ln(AUC_{0-120})</td>
<td>Error</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.3488</td>
<td>0.0159</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and were repeated at 24, 36, 48, 96, and 120 h. The observation for any adverse drug effects was carefully monitored throughout the study.

Results

Volunteer profile

24 healthy Thai adults were enrolled and randomly divided into 2 groups (test-reference (TR) and reference-test (RT)). Each group consisted of twelve subjects. Their demographic characteristics were similar in both groups. There were 8 males and 4 females in TR and 4 males and 8 females in RT. Mean±SD of age, weight, height, and BMI were 25.8 ± 4.7 years, 61.6 ± 6.5 kg, 167.0 ± 6.5 cm, and 22.0 ± 2.1 kg/m² for TR, and 27.7 ± 4.3 years, 57.9 ± 11.2 kg, 164.6 ± 10.1 cm, and 21.1 ± 2.0 kg/m² for RT, respectively.

Bioavailability and pharmacokinetic equivalence

Olanzapine pharmacokinetics: The geometric mean plasma concentration-time profile of olanzapine after oral administration from 24 healthy volunteers was illustrated (Figure 1). The pharmacokinetic parameters for olanzapine and bioequivalence analysis were summarized (Table 1). The ANOVA analysis of sequence, subject nested within sequence, period and formulation as factors were demonstrated (Table 2).

Bioequivalence analysis: The statistical analysis obtained from this study showed that the 90% confidence interval of the geometric mean ratio (test/reference) of C_{max} (95.76% (88.55%-103.55%)), AUC_{0-120} (103.77% (97.49%-110.46%)) and AUC_{0-∞} (104.39% (98.20%-110.98%)) were entirely within the equivalence criteria (80.00-125.00%). There was no statistical difference of median T_{max} between the test and reference formulations (p>0.05).

Tolerability

All subjects were able to tolerate the two olanzapine formulations. A total of 108 adverse events were reported over the two study periods. 58 of these events were related to the reference product whereas 50 events were related to the test product. As anticipated, all subjects experienced substantial drowsiness and dizziness in all study periods. The most common events were drowsiness, dizziness and dry mouth/lip. All adverse events were mild in intensity and mostly related to the study drug. Most of them did not require additional medical treatment. No serious adverse effect was observed throughout the study. All of adverse events were reported to the Institutional Review Board of Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University (Table 3).

Discussion

The olanzapine plasma concentration–time profiles of the generic and branded formulations were comparable. The pharmacokinetic parameters in these healthy Thai volunteers were in agreement with the previously reported [4,6,7].
The ANOVA analysis demonstrated no significant effects from period, sequence and treatment to the log-transformed data of Cmax, AUC0-120, and AUC0-∞. However, the significance in subject nested in sequence was detected (p<0.05) for all parameters that is usually seen in small sample size as observed in crossed over phase I and bioequivalence studies. The powers of the tests conducted on Cmax, AUC0-120, and AUC0-∞ analyzed with WinNonlin were 99.78, 99.99 and 99.99 respectively, indicating that a sample size of 24 volunteers was adequate. In a single-dose administration of olanzapine (Zyprexa®), our study showed that the Cmax was reached within 3 h. The plasma concentration of olanzapine was decreased with a terminal elimination half-life (t1/2) of approximately 30 h. Overall, the obtained pharmacokinetic parameters were in agreement with others [7,13].

Although atypical anti-psychotics, olanzapine, is generally better tolerated than conventional agents, it may still cause untoward reactions due to individual differences in drug absorption and metabolism [11]. In our study, one of the most common adverse events was dizziness that may lead to orthostatic hypotension or even near syncope especially during the initial dose titration. This side effect may be due to its α1-adrenergic antagonistic properties [11]. However, with close monitoring, our volunteers tolerated both olanzapine formulations.

The bioequivalence study of two formulations of olanzapine tablet between the test product and the reference product in 24 healthy male and female volunteers was completed. The 90% confidence interval of the logarithmic transformed of Cmax and AUC0-120 and AUC0-∞ were contained in 80.00-125.00%. Non-parametric Friedman’s test for Tmax was also demonstrated no significantly different between both formulations (p>0.05). In conclusion, the test formulation (Olapin®-10) was bioequivalent to the reference formulation (Zyprexa®) in terms of rate and amount of absorption.
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