Comparison of Ondansetron and Metoclopramide for PONV Prophylaxis in Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy
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Abstract

**Background:** Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) are common distressing complications of surgery and anaesthesia.

**Objective:** The present study was designed to compare the relative antiemetic efficacy and safety of intravenous (I/V) ondansetron and metoclopramide for prevention of PONV after elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy in adult female patients under General Anesthesia (GA).

**Material and methods:** In this prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study we included 150 adult American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) Grade I or II female patients, aged 18-55 years, undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy under GA. The anaesthetic technique, drugs, monitoring and care were standardized in all the patients during the perioperative period. The patients were divided into two groups using random numbers table. Group A (n=50) received ondansetron 4 mg/2ml while group B (n=50) received metoclopramide 10 mg/2 ml I/V just before induction of anaesthesia. Patients were observed for the initial 24 hours after anaesthesia. The presence or absence of nausea and vomiting (by simply yes or no) was assessed by a resident anesthetist double blind to the study. The rescue antiemetic (cyclizine 10 mg) I/V, was given, if patient suffered from nausea for more than 15 minutes, or experience retching or vomiting during study period.

**Results:** In comparison to metoclopramide group, the frequency of nausea and vomiting was clinically and statistically lower in ondansetron group (p=0.035). Use of rescue antiemetic was significantly higher in metoclopramide group (p=0.022).

**Conclusion:** Our study showed that prophylactic use of ondansetron is more effective with fewer side effects than metoclopramide in the prevention of PONV during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in adult females. Furthermore, metoclopramide was associated with more adverse effects, major being dizziness and extrapyramidal symptoms.
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Introduction

Postoperative Nausea And Vomiting (PONV) are common distressing complications of surgery and anaesthesia [1,2]. Along with pain, this is often listed by the patient as their most important perioperative concern [3]. It also leads to increased costs related to length of hospital stay. Laparoscopic surgeries are associated with an appreciably high rate of PONV [2] because of the creation of pneumoperitonium during the procedure [4]. Despite the use of modern anesthetic practices, the incidence of this condition still remains to be around 80% in high risk groups [5]. It is believed that PONV is multifactorial. Among the many factors, female gender, past history of PONV and motion sickness, use of opioids, nitrous oxide and non-smoking history are the independent predictors for PONV [6]. It may lead to serious surgical complications such as wound dehiscence or surgical site bleeding, resulting in delay in prolong hospital stays, burdening the country’s economy [7]. The incidence of PONV can be as high as 80% in the high-risk patients underlying the importance of its prevention and control by anesthetists [8].

So far several drugs have been used for preventing PONV. Most of them act as antagonist at the receptors which are involved in emesis. The traditional antiemetics include antihistamines, anticholinergics and dopamine-receptor antagonists [9]. Early ambulation and reduced morbidity are the advantages of the drug therapy. However, they have limited efficacy in PONV and are associated with side effects such as sedation and extra pyramidal signs [10]. Newer class of drugs, such as the Serotonin Receptor Antagonists (SRA) provides better efficacy and safety as compared to the traditional drugs. Ondansetron, a prototype of this group is widely used drug of this group in our country [1,3,10]. It binds to the 5-Hydroxytryptamine subtype 3 (SHT3) receptors, selectively blocking the emetogenic stimuli during anesthesia and surgery. The drug has a proven efficacy and is recommended as a prophylactic antiemetic at the time of induction of anesthesia [11].

Metoclopramide is a Dopamine (D2) receptor antagonist. It has antiemetic properties and is widely used for the prevention and treatment of PONV. Its untoward effects include extrapyramidal reactions (oculogyric crisis, opisthotonus, trismus, torticollis), abdominal cramping, sedation, dizziness and cardiac dysrhythmias. Tachycardia, weakness, subcutaneous emphysema, epistaxis, hypotension, eye disturbances, pruritis, itching, delirium emergens, dry mouth and taste or smell disturbances are some of the other side effects that have been reported [7,9,12]. This study was aimed at determining the efficacy and...
safety of single dose of ondansetron and metoclopramide in preventing PONV in females undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Material and Methods

The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Centre for Research in Experimental and Applied Medicine (CREAM), Army Medical College, Rawalpindi, Pakistan. The study was conducted in the Operation Theatre (OT), Combined Military Hospital, Rawalpindi from January to June 2012. A written/informed consent was obtained from all the patients.

Study design

This was a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, double-blind study.

Inclusion criteria: We included 150 adult ASA I or II female patients, aged 18-55 years, undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with ASA grade III and IV, BMI>30 kg/m², history of motion sickness, upper gastrointestinal pathology like acid peptic disease, reflux esophagitis, pyloric stenosis, hiatus hernia, history of substance abuse, patients taking anti-emetic, anti-psychotic or chemotherapeutic drugs 24 hours before surgery, known allergy to the drugs included in the study, any history of nausea, retching or vomiting within past 24 hours, cardiovascular, central nervous system, haematologic, renal or hepatic disease, menstruating or pregnant patients and length of surgery more than 90 minutes were excluded from the study.

The patients were admitted the day before surgery. Pre-anaesthesia assessment was carried out by a Consultant Anaesthetist who was blind to the study. The necessary investigations were carried out and any co-morbid conditions were excluded. Patients were kept nil by mouth for 6-8 hours. No opioid analgesic was given, if patient remained nauseous for more than 15 minutes, or experienced retching or vomiting.

In the OT, an 18 gauge I/V cannula was passed in the non-dominant hand. Perioperative monitoring included pulse-oximetry, non-invasive blood pressure every 3 min, temperature, capnography and continuous ECG. Ringer Lactate solution was given as a fluid therapy during the perioperative period.

All the drugs for the study were prepared by a fully-trained anaesthesia nurse who was not involved in the study; in identical syringes (colors of the drugs were also identical). A randomization list was prepared by a consultant anaesthetist who was not involved in the study. The patients were then shifted to the Post-anesthesia Care Unit (PACU), where they were evaluated by a Resident Anesthetist who was double-blinded to the study.

For the initial 24 hours post-anaesthesia, the presence or absence of nausea and vomiting (simply by yes or no) was assessed by anesthetist. Nausea was defined as the subjectively unpleasant sensation associated with awareness of the urge to vomit, whereas vomiting was defined as the forceful expulsion of gastric contents from the mouth. Any side-effects of the drugs were also recorded. The rescue antiemetic (cyclizine 10 mg), I/V were given, if patient remained nauseous for more than 15 minutes, or experienced retching or vomiting.
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Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (Statistical Package for Social Sciences) version 17. Qualitative variables were expressed as percentage while quantitative variables were expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation). We used Student t-test for analyzing age, weight, duration of surgery and duration of anesthesia while chi-square test was utilized for the gender, ASA physical status, and frequency of nausea and vomiting and use of rescue antiemetic. P-value less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

All the 100 patients, 50 in each group were included in the study. There were no significant differences between the two groups with regard to regards to age (p=0.276), weight (p=0.562), height (0.153), weight (p=0.740), and duration of anesthesia (p=0.804) as shown in Table 1.

The frequency of nausea and vomiting was lower in the ordansetron group as compared to the metoclopramide group and the results were statistically significant (p=0.032; Figure 1). In group A, 88.6% patients did not have nausea or vomiting postoperatively, while 11.4% experienced nausea. In group B, 42.4% had nausea, 7.8% had vomiting, while 57.6% of patients did not complain of either nausea or vomiting (Table 2 and Figure 2). Use of rescue antiemetic shown in Figure 3, was significantly higher in metoclopramide group (p=0.022). None of the patients experienced headache, flushing or other side effects.

Discussion

Nausea and vomiting are protective reflexes against the absorption of toxins, as well as responses to certain stimuli. PONV is amongst the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(y) ± SD</td>
<td>42.4 ± 7.8</td>
<td>40.8 ± 8.2</td>
<td>p=0.276*NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight(kg) ± SD</td>
<td>66.8 ± 4.8</td>
<td>68.2 ± 5.4</td>
<td>p=0.562*NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (in)</td>
<td>49.4 ± 14.6</td>
<td>49.4 ± 14.6</td>
<td>p=0.153NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI kg/m²</td>
<td>49.4 ± 14.6</td>
<td>49.4 ± 14.6</td>
<td>p=0.643NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA (III)</td>
<td>42/8</td>
<td>44/6</td>
<td>p=0.624NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>62.4 ± 7.8</td>
<td>60.8 ± 8.2</td>
<td>p=0.740NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of anaesthesia (min)</td>
<td>86.8 ± 6.4</td>
<td>87.4 ± 5.8</td>
<td>p=0.804NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean ± SD; * Significance (p<0.05)

Table 1: Patient demographic data and operative characteristics.
most common complications following anesthesia and surgery with a selectively high incidence (upto 70%) after laparoscopic cholecystectomy [2,7]. The etiology of PONV after laparoscopic cholecystectomy is multifactorial. There are multiple causes of PONV like pharyngeal stimulation, gastrointestinal distention, abdominal surgery, anesthetic agent, pain, opioids, hypoxia, hypotension, vestibular disturbances and psychologica l factors. Certain factors can pre-dispose patient to PONV, like age (more in children), gender (female), history of previous nausea and vomiting, history of motion sickness, long-duration surgeries and anesthesia, carbon dioxide retention, type of surgical procedure [2].

In our study, we kept a number of variables constant for both the study groups. We included only female gender, all patients received laparoscopic cholecystectomy, and anesthesia was induced by the same team of anesthetists. Anesthetic drugs and technique were standardized, including postoperative analgesics. Duration of anesthesia and surgery was comparable and there was no difference in age, gender, weight, BMI of patients in both groups. Moreover, we also excluded patients with a previous history of motion sickness or PONV. Our aim was to ensure that the difference in the incidence of PONV among the groups can be attributed to the difference in drugs tested for their efficacy in preventing PONV. We selected metoclopramide to compare against ondansetron since the former is one of the most commonly used antiemetic agent [13].

For qualitative comparison between the groups in our study, we compared two drugs, metoclopramide and ondansetron with each other. This study showed that ondansetron 4 mg as compared with metoclopramide 10 mg administered in female adult patients undergoing elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy under GA significantly decreases the frequency of PONV (Figure 1). Prior studies reported a variable incidence of PONV after using ondansetron as a prophylaxis. Our conclusions were in agreement with Fujii [9], Karen B et al.[14], Helmy [15], Enrico[16], Ali [17], Ambreen [18], Diemunsch [19,20], However, Enrico and associates used diazepam for premedication which can itself lead to emesis. Diemunsch et al. though studied the two drugs in the treatment of established PONV. We must mention here that it was not possible to determine whether ondansetron monotherapy had an antiemetic effect since we did not include a placebo group. Nevertheless, a complete response (no nausea/vomiting) was observed in 86.4% of patients in ondansetron group in our investigation. In contrary to our study deductions, Richard et al. found out that metoclopramide is more effective in preventing PONV than ondansetron [21] but they combined metoclopramide with droperidol. Conversely, Daria and Kumar found out that metoclopramide has not only a low (36.7%) success rate in the prevention of PONV, but it has also a higher incidence of side effects. They also observed a lesser success rate in the prevention of PONV is poor in Ondansetron group as well [3]. Additional published studies support our deductions where a similar reduction in the incidence of PONV was observed during 24 hour post recovery period [22-25].

Conclusion
Our study showed that prophylactic use of ondansetron is more effective with fewer side effects than metoclopramide in the prevention of PONV during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in adult females. Furthermore, metoclopramide was associated with more adverse effects, major being dizziness and extrapyramidal symptoms.
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