## 6<sup>th</sup> International Conference on BIODIVERSITY AND CONSERVATION

April 27-28, 2017 Dubai, UAE

## Mitigating impacts of projects on biodiversity conservation in Uganda

Fred R Muwanika, F Mwaura, F Ogwal, M Masiga, M Akullo and T O Okurut National Environmental Management Authority, Uganda

**Introduction:** This study provides light on the impact of implemented projects on biodiversity in Uganda in terms of harmful and enhancing projects. Biodiversity harmful projects are defined as the type of projects that destroy or lead to biodiversity loss during their implementation, while biodiversity enhancing projects are those that lead to biological diversity during their implementation.

**Objective:** The objective of the study was to assess the impact of implemented projects in the communities on biodiversity conservation and management in Uganda.

**Methods:** The data used in this analysis was obtained from Uganda Bureau of Statistics (UBOS) previously collected during the National Service Delivery Survey (NSDS 2008). Multiple correspondence analysis (MCA) was used to derive the different impact dimensions of projects on biodiversity among the communities in Uganda.

**Results:** Implemented projects in the communities impact biodiversity both positively and negatively. Findings revealed that livestock improvement/restocking/breeding contribute about 30% on the biodiversity positive impacts, while introduction of improved crop variety at about 20% and agricultural technology at about 11.4%. Furthermore, construction of new road/bridges are the leading projects in destroying biodiversity accounting for about 13.5% of the variation in negative impacts, while construction of toilet/ latrines and health units accounts for 11.8% and 9.4% respectively of the variation in biodiversity loss.

**Conclusions & Recommendations:** Construction related projects impact negatively on biodiversity in their implementation, while agricultural related projects are the leading agro-biodiversity enhancing projects in Uganda. This implies that work sectors must play an important role in biodiversity conservation in Uganda. Secondly, construction and agriculture related projects should endeavour to allocate a percentage of the project budget equivalent to expected impact on biodiversity towards its management and restoration.

frmuwanika@yahoo.co.uk

## Conservation ecology of red panda (Ailurus fulgens) in Himalayas

Saroj Panthi District Forests Office, Nepal

Rehat the species of red panda preferred gully with forest area and tree species *Acer caesium* (IV=1). Most important forest species in the habitat of red panda were *Abies spectablis* (IVI=66.22) and *Betulautilis* (IVI=17.15) with ground cover of *Arundinaria* spp. Red panda preferred 3000-4000 m elevation range, 26-50% slope, 51-75% crown cover and 26-50% ground cover. *Arundinaria* spp. should be discouraged.

mountsaroj@gmail.com