World Congress on

RADIOLOGY AND ONCOLOGY

October 19-20, 2017 | New York, USA

Assessment of the appropriateness of out-of-hours MRI spine imaging referrals for metastatic spinal cord compression

Melosa D'souza, Mariyah Selmi, Thomas Rogers and Navin Khanna Royal Oldham Hospital, England

Background: Metastatic spinal cord compression (MSCC) occurs when a tumour spreads directly to the spine, or from collapse of the tumour-infiltrated vertebra, resulting in spinal cord compression. This often presents as a neurological emergency with irreversible damage occurring within hours or days. Rapid assessment, diagnosis and referral to a specialist centre is vital to prevent this. Current NICE guidelines set out specific criteria to identify cases requiring urgent imaging and management. Patients with known or unknown malignancy which meet this criteria must be scanned urgently within 24 hours.

Aims: We aimed to identify which out-of-hours referrals for MSCC met the current NICE guidelines, in order to ensure proper use of limited out-of-hours resources.

Method: Retrospective audit of trust wide MRI whole spines conducted between 5-9pm on weekday and 8am-9pm on weekends. We analysed 5 years of data from 2012 to 2016 inclusive. Patients are characterized into 3 groups: (1) Appropriate referral for 24 hour MRI, (2) Inappropriate referral for 24H MRI (no neurological symptoms) and (3) Insufficient clinical details.

Results: A total of 276 MRI scans were performed out-of-hours. Of these, 215 scans were 24-hour urgent MSCC requests. 67% of these requests were appropriate (group 1), whereas 15% were inappropriate (group 2) and 18% contained inadequate clinical information (group 3) to justify the imaging request. Of all the out-of-hour scans, only 3% showed a MSCC requiring urgent neurosurgical assessment. 9.7% showed spinal cord compression secondary to alternative pathology, for example, a disc-osteophyte complex. Of the group 2 and group 3 referrals, only 1.4% demonstrated MSCC.

Discussion: Radiology on calls are busy; acquisition of adequate images and subsequent reporting of MRI scans is time consuming; additionally, MRI scans are expensive, therefore to efficiently use the time and resources of the on-call radiology team, strict referral pathways and vetting systems need to be in place. Our study showed 54% of groups 2 and 3 had insufficient clinical data to determine whether a 24 hour scan was warranted. For example, some of the referrals had no mention of the presence of neurological symptoms, making it difficult to determine the necessity of an urgent scan. In order to streamline this, we developed a proforma with stricter criteria, which adheres to the NICE guidelines, as part of the vetting process. This has received promising feedback.

Conclusion: To ensure that, patients with an oncological emergency are assessed, managed and treated appropriately, out-of-hours MRI resources need to be used appropriately. This involves meticulous vetting of the requests to ensure inappropriate referrals are not reducing availability of valuable out-of-hours scans.

Biography

Melosa D'souza has completed her MBBS iBSC from University College London. She has a keen interest in Raiology with a specialist in management of Oncological emergencies. She is currently working at the Royal Oldham Hospital, Pennine Acute NHS Trust, UK.

melosadsouza@doctors.org.uk

Notes: