Differential Expression of Toll like Receptor 4 in Type 2 Diabetic Patients with Impaired Wound Healing
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Abstract

Toll like Receptor 4 (TLR4), is known for its key role in initiation of innate immunity and regulation of adaptive immune responses. TLR4 is also an important regulator of wound inflammation, stimulator of growth factors like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and plays an important role in restoring damaged tissue integrity during normal wound healing. In the present study we have investigated 78 Diabetic Foot ulcer (DFU) patients with Type 2 diabetes (T2DM) and 8 foot ulcers patients without T2DM as controls for the differential expression of TLR4 both at mRNA level and protein level. Expression analysis was done using semi-quantitative RT-PCR, quantitative Real-time PCR and western blot. TLR4 message and protein were significantly down-regulated in DFU patients as compared to controls. Similarly down regulation of VEGF was also observed in DFU patients as compared to controls. DNA Methylation is an important regulator of gene expression therefore Methylation status of promoter of TLR4 gene was analyzed in DFU and control wounds using specific methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme which suggested higher methylation of TLR4 promoter in DFU with respect to controls. TLR4 expression was not influenced by the infection status and wound grade of the subjects however TLR4 was significantly affected by the gender of the subjects. These results clearly suggests that TLR4 down regulation in the wounds of T2DM subjects could be one of the causes contributing to impairment in healing of diabetic wounds and eventually into the development of chronic, non healing ulcers in T2DM subjects.
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Introduction

Persistent hyperglycemia in Type 2 Diabetes (T2DM) is the proximal determinant of initiating and regulating the chain of abrupt biochemical and physiological changes. Unresolved wound healing is one of the several complications due to T2DM, representing a huge health care expenditure even in most developed countries [1,2]. Other complications of T2DM like neuropathy, tissue hypo-perfusion and diminished local response to infection also contribute a lot in unfavorable wound healing cascade [3]. Mammalian tissues are generally repaired rather being regenerated during the process of wound healing. The normal wound healing procedure is a cascade of distinct but mutually overlapping stages viz. homeostasis, acute inflammation, proliferation and remodeling [4,5]. The innate immune system has a major role to play in an efficient wound healing cascade and is generally mediated by Toll like Receptors (TLRs) and their downstream effector molecules [6]. This response is antigen independent and via regulation of several chemokines and cytokines they also serve as first line of anti microbial defense [7]. TLRS in mammalian system are the homologue to drosophila Toll receptors which were first discovered in 1985 by Christiane Nusslein- Volhard [8]. In Drosophila these Toll receptors are involved in embryonic development and evasion from fungal infection [9,10]. TLR4 was the first discovered member of the TLR family and it is associated with induction of a wide spectrum of cytokines [7].

TLR4 due to its additional roles in immunostimulation [11], modulation of inflammation [12], angiogenesis [13], and tissue repair and regeneration [14] has recently being shown to be a vital molecule in wound healing [6]. Wounds devoid of TLR4 or its downstream targets like MyD88, take longer time to heal and often develop into chronic non healing ulcers [15]. TLR4 lies upstream to various growth factors involved in proper wound healing. One of the potent growth factor is Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) which is an active member of wound healing cascade due to its role as a mitogen for endothelial cells and inducer of vascular permeability [16]. VEGF potentially promotes multiple phases of wound healing like angiogenesis, wound re-epithelization and collagen deposition [17]. Functional TLR4 is also responsible for the expression and regulation of VEGF [18,19]. Hence diminished expression of TLR4 in diabetic wounds may abrogate wound healing due to inappropriate expression and function of VEGF. Considering these histo-protective nature of TLR4, we hypothesized that differential expression of TLR4 may be contributing to the impaired healing ulcers in lower extremity of T2DM patients. This down regulation of TLR4 may also lead to lower expression levels of VEGF. Hence we analyzed the expression of TLR4 in wounds of DFU patients with T2DM compared to the wounds of non diabetic controls both at transcriptional level as well as protein level. We also quantified the mRNA transcripts of VEGF to evaluate if this down regulation of TLR4 in T2DM patients is affecting the levels of VEGF. Our results show that DFU patients have low expression of TLR4 and VEGF, which could lead to non-healing ulcers in T2DM individuals due to impairment of wound healing process.
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Materials and Methods

Subjects

A total of 86 lower extremity wounds were analyzed, out of which 78 were DFU cases and 8 were controls with acute wounds and without having T2DM. Wound sampling was done from the margins of the wounds. Wounds were classified on the basis of the Wagner Grading System [20]. The presence and absence of infection in the wounds were also recorded. Samples were collected from the OPD clinics and operation theatres of Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism and Department of Surgery, Institute of Medical Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi, India. DFU Patients underwent a standardized clinical and laboratory evaluation (Table 1). Each patient’s family history, habits (smoking, alcoholism etc.) and disease were recorded through a questionnaire. Informed consent was obtained from all the subjects to carry out molecular analysis. Institutional ethical committee approval was obtained.

Semi-quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated from wounds samples using TRIzol reagent followed by DNase treatment. cDNA was synthesized and semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis of TLR4 was done in 78 DFU cases and 8 controls as described. For TLR4 expression, PCR was performed with forward primer 5’- CAGAGTTTCTCCATGGAC -3’ and the reverse primer 5’- GCTTATCTGAA GTGTGGCACAT -3’, resulting in 85 bp fragment. The PCR conditions were initial denaturation step of 94°C for 5 min followed by 30 cycles of 30 sec at 94°C, 40 sec at 58°C, 40 sec at 72°C and then a final extension step of 10 min at 72°C. Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) expression level was checked as an internal control to ascertain the quality of cDNA. Primers used for GAPDH were: GAPDH forward 5’-CATGAGAAG TATGACAACGC -3’, GAPDH reverse 5’-AGTCCTTCC ACGATACCAAAG -3’. Expression of TLR4 transcript was quantified after normalization of samples using GAPDH gene.

Quantitative Real-time PCR

A real time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) experiment was performed to validate the results obtained by semi quantitative RT-PCR approach. cDNA samples of 43 DFU cases and 8 controls were randomly selected and used for RT-qPCR experiment. Real-time PCR was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Applied Biosystem). The following primers were used: TLR4 forward 5’- CAGAGTTTCTCCATGGAC -3’, TLR4 reverse 5’- GCTTATCTGAA GTGTGGCACAT -3’, VEGF forward 5’- TGCAGATTGAGCGATCAAC -3’, VEGF reverse 5’- GCACCCAGATTGTGCT -3’, GAPDH forward 5’- CTGACCAAG TATGACAACGC -3’, GAPDH reverse 5’- AGTCCTTCC ACGATACCAAAG -3’. Briefly, 20 μl total reaction volume containing 10 μl SYBR Green master mix (Applied Biosystem), 0.1 μl each forward and reverse primer (10 mM/μl) and 2 μl cDNA was used in PCR using ABI 7500 instrument. PCR was performed with an initial incubation at 50°C for 2 min, followed by 10 min denaturation at 95°C and 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 60°C for 1 min and 72°C for 40 s. TLR4 and VEGF data were normalized to the mRNA levels of housekeeping gene GAPDH. ΔΔCT and relative fold change of TLR4 and VEGF in DFU cases were calculated as described by Gireesh et al. [21].

Western blot

Western blot analysis was performed on whole-tissue extracts. 43 DFU cases and 8 controls were utilized for the proteomic analysis. Briefly, 100 μg of protein was loaded on 13.5% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred to nitrocellulose membrane and blocked with 5% of skim milk in TBS. Total TLR4 was detected using the mouse monoclonal anti-TLR4 antibody Abcam (Cambridge, MA; clone 76B357.1, Catalogue # ab22048), then incubated with the secondary antibody linked to horseradish peroxidase. The immunoreactive bands were visualized by the Enhanced Chemiluminescence System (Amersham Biosciences). Blots were stripped off and reprobed with an anti-GAPDH antibody. Alpha Imager 2200 software version 3.1.2 was used to quantify band density.

Methylation analysis of TLR4 promoter

Genomic DNA was isolated from wound samples using Phenol-chloroform method. The methylation status of TLR4 gene promoter was analyzed in 43 DFU and 6 controls according to a previous study by De Oliveira et al. [22]. It was a PCR based approach which relied on the inability of restriction enzyme to cut methylated sequences. Two sites were examined in the TLR4 promoter (Figures 1A and 1B) whose activity is always blocked by CpG methylation. 100 ng of genomic DNA was completely digested with 2 U of AcI in 5 μl of sample containing water and buffer. After digestion 2.5 μl (50 ng) of digested DNA was pipetted into 18 μl of PCR master mix containing forward and reverse primers Forward 5’- AAAGGGGAAATGGGGAGTTA- 3’ Reverse 3’- AGTCCTTCC ACGATACCAAAG -3’ with restriction enzyme AcI whose activity is always blocked by CpG methylation. 100 ng of genomic DNA was completely digested with 2 U of AcI in 5 μl of sample containing water and buffer. After digestion 2.5 μl (50 ng) of digested DNA was pipetted into 18 μl of PCR master mix containing forward and reverse primers Forward 5’- AAAGGGGAAATGGGGAGTTA- 3’ Reverse 3’- AGTCCTTCC ACGATACCAAAG -3’

Average age 45.92 ± 9.42 years
Average BMI (kg/m²) 21.93 ± 2.92 Kg/m²
Average duration of type 2 diabetes in years 10.76 ± 4.6 years
Male 55 (70.5%)
Female 23 (29.5%)
Poor glycemic Control (FBS >140 mg/dl, PPBS > 180 mg/dl) 48 (61.5%)
Family history present 10 (12.8%)
Nephropathy present (Serum creatinine > 1.4 mg/dl) 24 (30.76%)
Neuropathy present (by monofilament test) 48 (61.53%)
Hypertension present (systolic BP > 140 mm of Hg) 25 (32.05%)
Retinopathy present 12 (15.38%)
Dislipidimia present (Serum cholesterol and Tgy levels > 200 mg/dl) 14 (17.9%)
Infection present (Wound culture positive for microbes) 43 (55.12%)
Bone involvement (Osteomyelitis) 27 (34.61%)

Table 1: Biochemical and Demographic parameters of DFU patients (N=78).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>45.92 ± 9.42 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.93 ± 2.92 Kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of diabetes</td>
<td>10.76 ± 4.6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender: Male</td>
<td>55 (70.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender: Female</td>
<td>23 (29.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor glycaemic control</td>
<td>48 (61.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history</td>
<td>10 (12.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephropathy</td>
<td>24 (30.76%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy</td>
<td>48 (61.53%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>25 (32.05%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy</td>
<td>12 (15.38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dislipidimia</td>
<td>14 (17.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>43 (55.12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone involvement</td>
<td>27 (34.61%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SD or as number (percentage).
If the difference in the message of TLR4 translates to the similar change in percent ratio = 0.99, Standard error of mean = 0.04). To determine the down-regulation of TLR4 in diabetic wounds compared to control wounds (p value = <0.0001, mean fold change = -1.13, Standard error of mean = 0.17). Because VEGF, an important molecule in wound healing process is downstream of TLR4, we reasoned that decreased TLR4 could lead to reduced expression of VEGF. The RT-qPCR analysis shows that indeed VEGF transcripts were downregulated in diabetic wounds compared to control wounds (p value = <0.0001, mean fold change = -1.39, Standard error of mean = 0.10) (Figure 2). To determine if the difference in the message of TLR4 translates to the similar difference in protein, equivalent amount of DFU and control samples were analyzed by Western blot using TLR4 monoclonal antibody. DFU samples showed significant reduction of TLR4 protein as compared to controls (p value = <0.0001) (Figure 3, Supplementary Figures 1A and 1B). To evaluate if the TLR4 expression was influenced by wound grade, infection status or gender of the subject, TLR4 transcript was compared between different subjects. There was no correlation of TLR4 transcripts observed with the wound grade (p value > 0.05) (Figure 4A) or with the infection (Figure 4B); however males showed significantly lesser amount of TLR4 mRNA transcripts with respect to females (p value = 0.02) (Figure 4C).

To further understand the mechanism of down-regulation of TLR4, we hypothesized that DNA Methylation which is an important regulator of gene expression could have been impacted leading to the ultimate downregulation of TLR4. Therefore, Methylation status of TLR4 promoter was analyzed in DFU and control wounds by semi-quantitative PCR using specific primers for TLR4 after methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme digestion of genomic DNA. Methylation pattern analysis of diabetic wounds revealed 74% of them contained methylated CpG at –822 and –646 positions near a TATA box located close to the Sp-1 sites in the promoter of TLR4 compared to non diabetic control wounds containing only 50% methylated CpG (Figures 5A-5C). Thus, higher methylation of TLR4 promoter in DFU compared to that of undigested DNA).

**Statistical analysis**

The data were expressed as mean with considering whiskers minimum to maximum. Statistical significance (P<0.05) was determined with Student’s t test (two-tailed) and non parametric ANOVA. Statistical analysis of data was performed using GraphPad Prism 5.01 and IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 software.

**Result**

To analyze the difference in the mRNA transcripts of TLR4 in DFU cases as compared to controls, semi-quantitative RT-PCR approach was used. Figure 2 shows that the TLR4 mRNA expression was significantly down regulated in the lower extremity wounds of DFU T2DM patients compared to that of control wounds (p value = 0.02, t = 2.28, mean change in percent ratio = 0.99, Standard error of mean = 0.04). To validate the results obtained by semi-quantitative method, Quantitative Real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was used in randomly selected 43 DFU and 8 controls. RT-qPCR analysis as shown in figure 2, further validates the down-regulation of TLR4 in diabetic wounds compared to control wounds (p value = <0.0001, mean fold change = 1.13, Standard error of mean = 0.17). Because VEGF, an important molecule in wound healing process is downstream of TLR4, we reasoned that decreased TLR4 could lead to reduced expression of VEGF. The RT-qPCR analysis shows that indeed VEGF transcripts were downregulated in diabetic wounds compared to control wounds (p value = <0.0001, mean fold change = 1.39, Standard error of mean = 0.10) (Figure 2). To determine if the difference in the message of TLR4 translates to the similar difference in protein, equivalent amount of DFU and control samples were analyzed by Western blot using TLR4 monoclonal antibody. DFU samples showed significant reduction of TLR4 protein as compared to controls (p value = <0.0001) (Figure 3, Supplementary Figures 1A and 1B). To evaluate if the TLR4 expression was influenced by wound grade, infection status or gender of the subject, TLR4 transcript was compared between different subjects. There was no correlation of TLR4 transcripts observed with the wound grade (p value > 0.05) (Figure 4A) or with the infection (Figure 4B); however males showed significantly lesser amount of TLR4 mRNA transcripts with respect to females (p value = 0.02) (Figure 4C).

To further understand the mechanism of down-regulation of TLR4, we hypothesized that DNA Methylation which is an important regulator of gene expression could have been impacted leading to the ultimate downregulation of TLR4. Therefore, Methylation status of TLR4 promoter was analyzed in DFU and control wounds by semi-quantitative PCR using specific primers for TLR4 after methylation-sensitive restriction enzyme digestion of genomic DNA. Methylation pattern analysis of diabetic wounds revealed 74% of them contained methylated CpG at –822 and –646 positions near a TATA box located close to the Sp-1 sites in the promoter of TLR4 compared to non diabetic control wounds containing only 50% methylated CpG (Figures 5A-5C). Thus, higher methylation of TLR4 promoter in DFU with respect to controls was observed.

**Discussion**

TLR4 is associated with induction of a wide spectrum of cytokines [7]. Studies on C3H/HeJ and C57BL10/ScCr mice have established that lipopolysaccharides of bacterial origin are potentially recognized by TLR4 [23,24]. In response to microbial stimuli, TLR4 mediates the secretion of antimicrobial peptide in the epithelial cells. Low levels of TLR4 may be correlated with a reduced and persistent inflammatory response and an inefficient clearance of pathogenic microorganisms [25]. As a receptor TLR4 entertains a wide spectrum of endogenous and exogenous ligands like HSP60, HSP70, fibronectine, oligosaccharides and S100A8, thus modulating an effector function of these ligands [26].

Current findings indicate a significant down regulation of TLR4 mRNA in wounds of DFU patients compared to wounds of non diabetic

**Figure 1:** Schematic diagram of the promoter of human Toll-like receptor (TLR4) gene is represented with (A) Genomic sequence of the TLR4 promoter region ranging from -1038 bp to -611 bp (GenBank accession number AF172169) with CpG sites studied in bold and underlined. (B) Representative diagram of the human TLR4 promoter. Transcription factor (sp-1) binding site is indicated as a circle. Cut site for restriction enzyme AciI are represented as rectangles.
patients. This was in consistent with previous studies in animal models that intact TLR4-MyD88 signaling is required for accelerated wound healing [15]. TLR4 is instrumental in maintaining tissue homeostasis by balancing the rates of tissue repair and regeneration. This process of tissue repair is assisted by one of its adaptor molecule, MyD88.

TLR4 is instrumental in maintaining tissue homeostasis by balancing the rates of tissue repair and regeneration. This process of tissue repair is assisted by one of its adaptor molecule, MyD88.

In absence of TLR4 or its ligands like hyaluron, there is an increased rate of lung injury along with less recruitment of inflammatory cells [28]. MyD88 plays an important role in restoration of liver following a partial hepactectomy during early phase of regeneration by regulating the influx of macrophages [7]. Therefore reduced expression of TLR4 in DFU patients could lead to insufficient signaling via TLR4-MyD88 pathway leading to impaired wound healing and ulcer progression.

Comparison of different grades of DFU with TLR4 mRNA expression suggested that there was no change in TLR4 level along with increase in the wound grade to guide the wound to a proper healing cascade. Moreover the infection status of wounds in DFU cases suggested that the TLR4 mRNA expression also did not changed with presence or absence of infection due to the mitigating effect of persistent hyperglycemia in diabetic subjects. Among DFU patients females showed increased amount of TLR4 mRNA expression compared to male, which was accordance to the available literature that TLR4 expression is modulated by female hormones like estrogens [29]. TLR4 expression modulation by estrogens may be one of the reasons for less incidence of DFU in females than that observed in males. In the present study a higher methylation status of TLR4 promoter was observed in the wounds of DFU patients with respect to controls.

TLR4 is involved in all phases of a wound healing cascade. In initial inflammatory phase, there is TLR4 mediated induction of inflammatory cytokines [30]. This short inflammatory phase is required for attracting cells like macrophages in the wound milieu so that proliferative phase may be initiated. The inflammatory phase also consists of the TLR4 mediated control over microbiota in the wound surrounding. The PAMPs over pathogens and DAMPs secreted by necrotic tissue serve as the initiating signal for TLR4 mediated inflammatory phase in wound healing process [31]. TLR4 also mediates the proliferative phase of wound healing by regulating the inflammatory phase in wound healing process [31].
keratinocytes present at wound edges. These activated keratinocytes then proliferate and migrate to the wound bed [6]. TLR4 mediate the expression of growth factors like ECF, VEGF in the epidermal layer beside the wound edge which are essential for an efficient wound healing process [32,33]. Angiogenesis, the process of revascularization of the wound bed is controlled by the TLR4-MyD88 mediated regulation of growth factors like VEGF [13]. The study reported here demonstrates significant down-regulation of VEGF mRNA transcripts in diabetic wounds compared to controls. This further supports our hypothesis that TLR4 via its downstream molecules play an important role in wound repair and any imbalance in members of TLR4 pathway may lead to compromised wound healing cascade in T2DM patients.

T2DM patients generally are not able to mount an adequate inflammatory response due to compromised ability to fight infection [34,35]. This inability may be correlated with impaired expression and action of TLR4 in case of T2DM individuals leading to impaired wound healing and development of DFU in T2DM patients.
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