Effect of High Dose Thiamine Therapy on Risk Factors in Type 2 Diabetics

Saadia Shahzad Alam1, Samreen Riaz2* and Waheed Akhtar M3

1Department of Pharmacology, Federal Postgraduate Medical Institute, Lahore, Pakistan
2University College London, UK
3School of Biological Sciences University of the Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan

Abstract

Background: Traditional and more recently, a host of nontraditional risk factors of type 2 diabetes mellitus have been highlighted in the development of pathologies associated with this disease. High dose vitamin B1 (thiamine) has been found to have a clinical effect through a number of intracellular metabolic pathways. In order to demonstrate this clinically, a randomized, double blind placebo controlled clinical trial was conducted.

Subjects and methods: Type 2 diabetics were enrolled in randomized, double blind placebo controlled clinical trial for 6 months. Patients were divided into two groups and administered 300 mg/day thiamine or placebo for 3 months, followed by a 2 month washout period. Normal healthy controls participated for baseline estimations only.

Results: Lower plasma thiamine concentration of diabetic patients was observed as compared to normal subjects. Thiamine treatment increased thiamine concentration and urinary thiamine excretion and reduced significantly microalbuminuria and glycated hemoglobin. Type 2 diabetics had significantly higher PAI-1 and PKC levels as compared to normal controls. Following 3 months thiamine therapy, PAI-1 remained unchanged, while levels of PKC were reduced significantly in thiamine treated diabetics and lowered after discontinuing the drug. While in placebo group significant increase in PKC levels persisted during the whole period. Oxidative stress marker plasma thiols was estimated.

Conclusion: Thiamine therapy significantly improved microalbuminuria, glycated hemoglobin, thiamine status and decreased PKC levels with no significant impact on oxidative stress and fibrinolysis profile.
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Background

International statistics elucidate that 3.2 million people died of diabetes each year and 6 people die of diabetes related ailments each minute [1]. Individuals with type 2 diabetes have 2-4 times more heart disease at an earlier age and a two times higher risk of stroke than non-diabetics [2]. Diabetes ranks second in causation of retinopathy leading to loss of vision and incipient and overt nephropathy worldwide [2]. Thus a disproportionate increase in the tendency towards life threatening conditions is observed in diabetics emanating from microvascular and macrovascular angiopathies which appear to be linked to certain risk factors and altered intracellular signaling mechanisms [3].

It is believed that hyperglycemia is one of the most important metabolic factors in the development of both micro- and macrovascular complications in diabetic patients. Several prominent hypotheses exist to explain the adverse effect of hyperglycemia [4]. One of them is the chronic activation by hyperglycemia of protein kinase (PKC) [5] a family of enzymes that are involved in controlling the function of other proteins. PKC has been associated with vascular alterations such as increases in permeability, contractility, extracellular matrix synthesis, cell growth and apoptosis, angiogenesis, leukocyte adhesion, and cytokine activation and inhibition [6]. These perturbations in vascular cell homeostasis caused by different PKC isoforms (PKC-α, -β1/2, and PKC-δ) are linked to the development of pathologies affecting large vessel (atherosclerosis, cardiomyopathy) and small vessel (retinopathy, nephropathy and neuropathy) complications [7-9].

An important determinant of hemostasis, the endogenous fibrinolytic system represents a balance between activators of plasminogen (primarily tissue plasminogen activator) and inhibitors of these activators (such as plasminogen inhibitor type 1, PAI-1) [10]. Impaired fibrinolytic function in diabetes correlates with the severity of vascular disease and is a risk factor for myocardial infarction in both diabetic and non diabetic subjects [11-15]. Coagulation disorders have also been reported in type 2 diabetics ranging from alterations in VWF antigen and, factors [2,5,7] with decreased antithrombin 3 [16]. The importance of the endothelium in maintaining vascular health has also been widely recognized and assessment of its specific biomarkers reveals raised and Human soluble Vascular Adhesion Molecule-1 (Human sVCAM-1) levels and VWF; Von Willebrand Factor levels in type 2 diabetes as compared to normal controls. Our own previously published work in Diabetologia corroborates the results [17,18] and reports a decrease in their levels following high dose thiamine intervention.
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Oxidative stress additionally plays a pivotal role in the development of diabetes complications especially angiopathies. The metabolic abnormalities of diabetes, predominantly hyperglycemia, cause mitochondrial superoxide overproduction in endothelial cells of both large and small vessels, as well as in the myocardium. This enhanced superoxide production causes the activation of 5 major pathways involved in the pathogenesis of complications [18]. These being increased activation of the polyol pathway [19,20] nonenzymatic glycation, and AGEs (advanced glycation end products) pathway [21], enhanced reactive oxygen production and actions [18], activation of the Diacylglycerol (DAG)-Protein Kinase (PK)C pathway [5] and altered plasma thiol level which is a known representative of oxidative stress in type 2 diabetics [22]. Furthermore direct inactivation of two critical anti atherosclerotic enzymes, endothelial nitric oxide synthase and prostacyclin synthase has also been observed [23]. Through these pathways, increased intracellular Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) cause defective angiogenesis in response to ischemia, activate a number of pro inflammatory pathways, and cause long-lasting epigenetic changes that drive persistent expression of pro inflammatory genes after glycaemia is normalized (hyperglycemic memory). Eventually these proposed underlying and unifying multiple biochemical pathways lead to the hyperglycaemia-induced intra- and extracellular changes and to alterations of signal transduction pathways, affecting gene expression and protein function, ultimately causing the cellular dysfunction and damage noticed in diabetes mellitus.

Thiamine has been shown to reduce these derangements through its effect on interconnecting pathways. High dose thiamine and Benfotiamine may counter the development of microvascular complications by activation of the reductive pentose phosphate pathway [24]. The mechanism appeared to be normalizing transketolase expression and activity in the non oxidative pentose pathway causing increased conversion of triosephosphates and fructose 6 phosphate to ribose-5-phosphate [25,26]. Bearing the above data in mind a pioneering clinical trial was conducted at Sheikh Zayed Hospital to study the effect of high dose thiamine therapy on its own levels, metabolic profile, microalbuminuria, plasminogen activation inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), oxidative stress plasma thiols and second messenger signaling protein kinase C profile in type 2 diabetic patients and to ascertain a therapeutic effect.

Subjects and Methods

Chemicals, reagents, kits and instrumentation

Purple topped and red topped tubes (for plasma and serum extraction respectively), 10 cc syringes were purchased from Beckton Dickinson Company. Biochemical analyses kits for blood glucose, total cholesterol, triglycerides, LDL, total bilirubin, ALP, AST, AST, uric acid, serum/urine creatinine analysis, CPK, blood urea nitrogen, was supplied by Dade Behring clinical chemistry system for dimension autoanalyzer. The microalbuminuria kit, glycated hemoglobin estimation kit and Diastat autoanalyzer were supplied by Randox, while the spectrophotometer was provided by Shimadzu. Chemicals and kits were purchased from Sigma, Fluka, Merck and Elisa kits for Protein Kinase C (PKC 1), Plasminogen activation inhibitor1 (PAI-1) and Human soluble Vascular Adhesion Molecule-1 (Human sVCAM-1) determination were purchased from Stressgen Bioreagents, Technocline and R&D Quantikine respectively.

Selection of patients and controls

Over 100 type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria and 50 normal healthy individuals (35-65 years old) were initially inducted at the Diabetes Clinic of Sheikh Zayed Hospital in a double blind randomized placebo controlled clinical trial applying stringent inclusion and exclusion criteria recommended by the American Diabetes Association for type 2 diabetes between October 1, 2006 and December 1, 2006 [17,18].

The principal inclusion criteria for diabetic patients included type 2 diabetes defined by WHO (1999) criteria with age 36-65, duration (5 or more years), HbA1c (≤ 1.5%), body mass index (19-40 kg/m²), persistent microalbuminuria defined as albumin excretion between 30 and 300 µg/min in 3 overnight urine collections. The principal exclusion criteria were hepatitis, allergy to thiamine, taking thiamine supplements, severe excess alcohol consumption consume head and visceral organs of raw fish (source of thiamine activity), pregnant women or breast feeding, childbearing women not taking contraceptive medicines or precautions, untreated or unsuccessfully treated psychiatric disease. Patients with persistent uncontrolled or untreated severe hypertension, planning to undertake surgery within the next 6 months, any abnormalities during recruitment screening with abnormal LFTs, RFTs and deranged hematological profile were also excluded. While the principal inclusion criteria for normal healthy controls included good health, age 35-65 years, matching for age and gender to the recruited diabetic patients [17,18].

Randomization and treatment

The patients and controls were divided on the basis of the randomization table into three groups A (normal healthy controls), B and C (diabetic type 2) having equal number of individuals in each group. Randomization was done by central office in sequentially numbered opaque and sealed envelopes. Participants, caregivers and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to this group assignment. Half of the inducted diabetic individuals were administered thiamine 3×100 mg tablets/day for three months, while the other half was treated with placebo. The 3 month treatment period was followed by two month washout period. Out of enrolled diabetic individuals and controls, 20 controls and 40 patients completed the 3 months thiamine/placebo administration and 2 month follow up period for this study [17,18]. Adverse and side effects of thiamine and placebo were determined by renal and liver function tests. Out of the 40 patients who completed the trial, in the placebo group, there were 7 male and 13 female patients of age 52.4 ± 8.7 yrs and BMI 28.3 ± 4.4 kg/m². In the thiamine treatment group, there were 9 male and 11 female patients of age 52.7 ± 8.4 years and BMI 28.1 ± 4.6 kg/m². At baseline and throughout the study, 9 participants, caregivers and those assessing the outcomes were blinded to this group assignment. Half of the inducted diabetic individuals were administered thiamine 3×100 mg tablets/day for three months, while the other half was treated with placebo. The 3 month treatment period was followed by two month washout period. Out of enrolled diabetic individuals and controls, 20 controls and 40 patients completed the 3 months thiamine/placebo administration and 2 month follow up period for this study [17,18].

Adverse and side effects of thiamine and placebo were determined by renal and liver function tests. Out of the 40 patients who completed the trial, in the placebo group, there were 7 male and 13 female patients of age 52.4 ± 8.7 yrs and BMI 28.3 ± 4.4 kg/m². In the thiamine treatment group, there were 9 male and 11 female patients of age 52.7 ± 8.4 years and BMI 28.1 ± 4.6 kg/m². At baseline and throughout the study, 9 patients in the thiamine treatment group and 3 in the placebo group were receiving insulin therapy (p<0.05). There was no other significant difference in the proportions of patients receiving therapeutic agents in thiamine treatment and placebo groups.

Ethical approval of the study

Ethical approval for the study was taken from the Ethical/Protocol/Synopsis Committee of FPGMI (Sheikh Zayed Federal Post Graduate Medical Institute, Lahore, Pakistan). The study was assigned the number as Eth/P 609/FPGMI 2006. It was internationally registered with the South Asian Clinical Trials Registry based in India as CTRI/2008/091/000112. Also registered with the World Health Organization’s (WHO) International clinical trials registry platform search portal http://www.ctri.in/ClinicalTrials/ViewTrial.jsp? trialno= 203trialid=CTRI/2008/091/000112appsvho.int/trialsearch/trial.aspx? trialid=CTRI/2008/091/000112 [17,18].
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Thiamine and metabolic profile

The median plasma thiamine concentration of thiamine and placebo treated diabetic patients was 10.6 (0.8-84.5) nmol/l and 7.1 (1.1-31.3) nmol/l respectively (Table 1), which was markedly lower than the normal range of normal healthy human subjects (44.6 - 93.7 nmol/l). The median urinary thiamine excretion was 1.63 (0.45-7.66) µmol/24 h and thiamine clearance was 112 (8-819) ml/min in the thiamine group. While in the placebo group the median thiamine excretion was 1.53 (0.67-4.46) µmol/24 h and thiamine clearance was 189 (26-995) ml/min. At baseline, a negative correlation of plasma thiamine concentration with thiamine clearance and a positive correlation of urinary thiamine excretion and thiamine clearance were observed [17,18]. There was no significant difference in the plasma thiamine concentration, urinary thiamine excretion and renal clearance of thiamine between the patients as shown in table 1 [17,18].

The metabolic profile of normal healthy controls showed a mean fasting plasma glucose concentration at 89.3 ± 19.64 mg dl, glycated hemoglobin 5.6 ± 0.39%, lipid profile LDL 115.89 ± 29.37 mg/dl, VLDL 26.62 ± 22.22 mg/dl, HDL 46.33 ± 31.79 mg/dl, triglycerides 240.10 ± 168.55 mg/dl (Table 1). Those randomized to the placebo arm had fasting plasma glucose concentration 171 ± 55.8 mg/dl, mean glycated haemoglobin 8.82 ± 1.8%, LDL 106.34 ± 35.96 mg/dl, 185.22 ± 40.981 mg/dl, VLDL 42.67 ± 7.10 mg/dl, HDL 47.95 ± 10.44, cholesterol, 185.22 ± 40.9 mg/dl and triglycerides 156.15 ± 81.74 mg/dl (Table 1).

Significant difference was found between plasma glucose and triglycerides of healthy controls and thiamine group diabetics (p<0.001) and also between the triglycerides levels of the normal controls and the placebo group (p<0.01). Other significant baseline differences were found between glycated hemoglobin and VLDL levels of normal controls and both thiamine and placebo diabetics (p<0.01) and between the serum HDL levels of the 3 groups (p<0.05). Total cholesterol levels were significantly raised in the thiamine group only, whereas a non-significant difference was found between LDL levels of normal controls and both thiamine and placebo group (Table 1).

Hemostasis, cellular signalling mediator and oxidative stress profile

Significantly lower Plasminogen activation inhibitor PAI-1 values were found at baseline in normal controls (3.09 ± 1.83 ng/ml) as compared to thiamine group (5.40 ± 1.01 ng/ml) and placebo group levels of (5.91 ± 1.68 ng/ml) (p<0.001) (Table 1). Significantly higher mean protein kinase c levels were present at baseline in both thiamine (68.00 ± 15.30 pg/µg) and placebo groups (76.65 ± 56.22 pg/µg) in comparison to normal control values of 2.57 ± 7.7 pg/µg (p: 0.008) (Table 1). Significant difference was found at baseline between mean values of plasma thios in healthy controls and thiamine and placebo allocated type 2 diabetics (Table 1). Mean Plasma thios concentration was 5.13 ± 0.85 nmol/µl in healthy controls and 3.91 ± 0.72 nmol/µl in the thiamine group. While in the placebo group the plasma thios level were 4.15 ± 0.86 nmol/µl. Detailed profiles of all parameters were maintained for the enrolled type 2 micro-albuminuric patientsthroughout the trial duration, after 3 months post therapy and 2 month washout period and some of the data has already been published in our paper. These investigations revealed certain beneficial effects of high dose 300 mg/day thiamine therapy and absence of adverse effects [17,18].
Effect of high dose thiamine therapy on microalbuminuria

The effect of high dose thiamine therapy or placebo on urinary albumin excretion was a noticeable endpoint of this study. At baseline the thiamine group median MAU values were 43.7 (33.0-120.9 mg/24 hrs) versus 50.9 (32.9-121.7 mg/24 hrs) of the placebo group. After the treatment period, patients receiving thiamine therapy had decreased median urinary albumin excretion, with respect to patients receiving the placebo (30.1 (12.0-38.2) versus 35.5 (6.4-82) mg/24 hrs; P<0.01). At washout the MAU values for thiamine versus placebo group were (20.9 (70-35.00 mg/24 hrs) versus (30.0 (3.5-80.4 mg/24 hrs). Urinary albumin excretion was decreased significantly with respect to baseline in the patients treated for 3 months with thiamine (17.7 mg/24 hrs; P<0.001) but not in patients treated with placebo. The decrease in MAU was maintained after the washout period when the decrease in urinary albumin in the patients treated with thiamine which was 4-fold more significant (Table 2) (Figure 1).

However importantly a noticeable decrease occurred in the placebo group where the HbA1c levels remained relatively steady between 8.8% at baseline, 8.5% post therapy and 8.4% during washout (Table 2).

No significant effect on daily glycaemic control was observed with thiamine therapy during the treatment period. However after the washout period, fasting plasma glucose 144 mg/dl was found with thiamine therapy during the treatment period. However after 1.52 mg/24 hrs) (Table 2) (Figure 1).

Data are mean ± SD values. For comparison of control with diabetes samples, A p<0.05 (statistically significant), B p<0.01 (statistically significant), C p<0.001 (statistically highly significant).Normal ranges given in brackets next to each variable.

Table 1: Average values of the various physical and biochemical parameters of the different groups at baseline (n=20).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No.</th>
<th>Variables*(Normal range)</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Thiamine allocated group</th>
<th>Placebo allocated group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>49.13 ± 8.79</td>
<td>52.7 ± 8.4</td>
<td>52.40 ± 8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td>91F,9M</td>
<td>9M, 11F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.57 ± 28.4</td>
<td>1.58 ± 52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68.56 ± 16.99</td>
<td>69.12 ± 18.4</td>
<td>71.27 ± 22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)&lt;(90)</td>
<td>78 ±7</td>
<td>87 ± 8</td>
<td>64 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Systolic BP(mmHg)&lt;(140)</td>
<td>118.2 ± 9</td>
<td>126 ± 14</td>
<td>131 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>BMI(kg/m2)&lt;(19-40)</td>
<td>26.93 ± 4.92</td>
<td>28.1 ± 4.6</td>
<td>28.3 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FBS (mg/dl)&lt;(100)</td>
<td>89.3 ± 19.64</td>
<td>181.8 ± 57.6</td>
<td>171 ± 55.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>HbA1c (%)&lt; (4-6.1)</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.39</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>8.8 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LDL (mg/dl)&lt;(70-130)</td>
<td>115.89 ± 29.37</td>
<td>106.34 ± 47.14</td>
<td>106.34 ± 35.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>VLDL (mg/dl)&lt;(5-41)</td>
<td>26.22 ± 20.22</td>
<td>46.33 ± 31.79</td>
<td>42.67 ± 7.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HDL (mg/dl)&lt;(30-150)</td>
<td>44.15 ± 120.5</td>
<td>49.88 ± 13.53</td>
<td>47.95 ± 10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dl)&lt;(20-120)</td>
<td>187.21 ± 33.19</td>
<td>203.40 ± 50.27</td>
<td>185.22 ± 40.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>TG (mg/dl)&lt;(20-150)</td>
<td>133.10 ± 108.45</td>
<td>240.10 ± 168.55</td>
<td>156.15 ± 81.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Urine Albumin (mg/24h)&lt;(0-30)</td>
<td>7.968 ± 5.07</td>
<td>53.86 ± 22.6</td>
<td>55.74 ± 23.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>GFR (ml/min)&lt;(90-120)</td>
<td>102.43 ± 38.60</td>
<td>85 ± 19</td>
<td>93 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Uric acid mg/dl&lt; (3.8-5.5)</td>
<td>4.65 ± 1.00</td>
<td>4.65 ± 1.05</td>
<td>3.99 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>BUN mg/dl&lt; (7-21)</td>
<td>10.45 ± 2.35</td>
<td>11.37 ± 4.87</td>
<td>12.25 ± 2.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Serum Creatinine mg/dl&lt;(0.8-1.4)</td>
<td>0.820 ± 0.132</td>
<td>0.915 ± 0.195</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Urine Creatinine/mg/dl&lt; (30-125)</td>
<td>47.63 ± 36.117</td>
<td>42.39 ± 25.635</td>
<td>42.67 ± 31.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Bilirubin (mg/dl)&lt; (0-1.1)</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.403</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>ALP (U/L)&lt;(20-160)</td>
<td>95.90 ± 34.20</td>
<td>103.30 ± 33.487</td>
<td>88.20 ± 29.848</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>AST (U/L)&lt;(15-37)</td>
<td>29.15 ± 11.23</td>
<td>22.60 ± 9.703</td>
<td>21.65 ± 7.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>ALT (U/L)&lt;(30-65)</td>
<td>40.90 ± 12.191</td>
<td>360.05 ± 10.49</td>
<td>36.10 ± 10.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>CK(U/L)&lt; (M.38-174,F.96-140)</td>
<td>108.50 ± 70.04</td>
<td>81.85 ± 52.30</td>
<td>69.95 ± 55.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Plasma thiamine (nmol/L)</td>
<td>44.63 ± 9.37</td>
<td>10.60 (8.8-45.0)</td>
<td>7.11 ± 11.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Urine thiamine (µmol/24 h)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.45-7.66)</td>
<td>1.50 (0.67-4.49)</td>
<td>5.91 ± 1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>PAR1(mg/ml)&lt; (7-43)</td>
<td>3.094 ± 1.83</td>
<td>5.40 ± 1.01C</td>
<td>7.65 ± 56.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Protein kinase C(pg/ug)</td>
<td>20.57 ± 7.7</td>
<td>68.00 ± 15.30</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Plasma thios(mol/µl)</td>
<td>5.13 ± 0.85</td>
<td>3.91 ± 7.2</td>
<td>4.15 ± 0.86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are mean ± SD values. For comparison of control with diabetes samples, A p<0.05 (statistically significant), B p<0.01 (statistically significant), C p<0.001 (statistically highly significant).Normal ranges given in brackets next to each variable.

Plasma and urinary thiamine profile

The plasma thiamine levels of the thiamine treated group rose significantly higher, almost tenfold from (median value) 10.6 (0.8-84.5) nmoles/l at baseline to median value 98.2 (2.6-294.5) nmoles/l after 3 months treatment (p<0.01) [17,18]. During washout these levels returned to 10.9 (3.6-22.7 nmoles/l) in the thiamine group which were significantly lower than during therapy (p<0.001). The plasma thiamine levels in the placebo group remained significantly unaltered from baseline to end of therapy at (median value) 7.1 nmoles/l to 9.1 (4.2-16.3 nmoles/l) at 2 months washout (Table 2).

The urinary thiamine levels of the thiamine treated group recorded a 29 fold significant increase from baseline (median value) 1.63 (0.45-7.66 µmoles/24 hrs) to 47.69 (0.40-182.79 µmoles/24 hrs) (median value) post therapy, from its own baseline (p<0.001)
placebo group 3 months post treatment urinary thiamine excretion values of 1.71 (0.15-9.73) µmoles/24 hrs, p<0.001 [17,18]. During the washout period urinary thiamine median values reduced by 33.58 folds to 1.42 (0.10-9.72 µmoles/24 hrs) in the thiamine group which was significantly lower than treatment values p<0.001 [17,18]. These values were still higher than the washout urinary thiamine median levels of 1.16 (0.00-5.44 µmoles/24 hrs) of the placebo treated patients (Table 2).

Effect of high dose thiamine therapy on hemostosis, cellular signalling mediator and oxidative stress profile

Mean Plasminogen Activation Inhibitor 1 levels of the thiamine group at baseline were 5.46 ± 1.01 ng/ml which remained steady at 5.36 ± 0.99 ng/ml after therapy and 5.35 ± 1.26 ng/ml after washout and did not register any significant change. However during the same period the placebo group registered a significant steady rise in its PAI-1 values from (baseline 5.91 ± 1.68 ng/ml to post treatment 6.14 ± 1.44 ng/ml) and wash out 6.84 ± 1.36 ng/ml. Thus a significant difference (0.006) was noted between the thiamine and placebo PAI-1 washout values (Table 3).

Protein kinase c levels after 3 month thiamine treatment were significantly reduced by 55% from mean baseline levels 60 ± 15.30 µg/ug as compared to placebo (p=0.003). Whereas in the placebo group baseline PKC levels were 76.65 ± 56.22 µg/ug which showed no significant change during therapy 77.75 ± 58.29 µg/ug and increased significantly by (+20.92 pg/ug) during the washout period to 98.67 ± 0.99 ng/ml after therapy and 5.35 ± 1.26 ng/ml after washout and did not significantly differ from the placebo group values of 4.15 ± 0.86 nmol/µl (baseline), 4.01 ± 0.89 nmol/µl (therapy) and 3.97 ± 0.85 nmol/µl. Thus no significant impact of thiamine therapy on plasma thiols was noted in type 2 diabetics (Table 3).

Discussion

Diabetes mellitus and especially type 2 continues to impact the lives of millions of people worldwide and especially a substantial number of the Asian population due to environmental factors, food habits and low thiamine in the diet and lack of exercise [18]. The median plasma thiamine concentration in type 2 diabetics was 7.5 nmol/l. This was 83.1892% lower than the range determined for normal healthy controls (44.6-93.7 nM/l) [45]. When determined independently for the two groups the mean plasma concentration was 66.5-97.5% lower in the placebo group and 9.8-98.25% lowers in the thiamine group. These findings corroborated with previous ones [46,47] as seen in a UK study plasma thiamine levels where type 2 diabetics had (16.3 nmol/l), 75% lower mean plasma thiamine levels than in normal volunteers (64.1 nmol/l) [45]. These differences could be possibly due to both dietary and genetic differences related to the handling of drugs by the cytochrome P450 of the liver [48,49].

It was also evident in our study that following 3 months thiamine/placebo therapy as median plasma and urinary thiamine levels both rose significantly, thiamine clearance amazingly did not increase to the same extent, in the thiamine treated patients while remaining high in the placebo group. On the basis of our own findings there appeared to be a significant loss of thiamine re-absorptive capacity in the proximal tubules of the kidney as thiamine reserves were depleted in the body at baseline. Another important point was that all diabetic patients in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (Diabetic Range)</th>
<th>Thiamine Treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma thiamine (nM/l)</td>
<td>10.6 (6.8-84.5)</td>
<td>98.2 (2.6-294.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary thiamine (µmol/24 h)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.45-7.66)</td>
<td>47.69 (0.40-182.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary albumin Excretion(mg/24 h)(30-300 MAU)</td>
<td>43.7 (33.0-120.9)</td>
<td>30.1 (12.0-38.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiamine clearance(ml/min)</td>
<td>112 (8-219)</td>
<td>273(3-789)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma glucose (mg/dl)(&gt;126)</td>
<td>181.8 ± 57.6</td>
<td>181.8 ± 70.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycated HbAic% (&gt;7 diabetes)</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.3</td>
<td>9.0 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cholesterol (mg/dl) (200-299)(high)</td>
<td>203.40 ± 50.27</td>
<td>188.32 (100.15-374.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-cholesterol (mg/dl) (130-150)(borderline high)</td>
<td>106.34 ± 47.14</td>
<td>98.966 ± 66.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-cholesterol (mg/dl)(40-50)(&lt;40) increased risk of coronary artery disease</td>
<td>49.88 ± 13.53</td>
<td>41.76 ± 14.30d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triacylglycerol (mg/dl) (200-499 high),</td>
<td>198.47 (53.4-739.59)</td>
<td>202.03 (81.66-620.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BILIRUBIN(0.1-1mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.550 ± 0.244</td>
<td>0.470 ± 0.194e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP(U/L)(20-160)</td>
<td>103.30 ± 33.48</td>
<td>102.85 ± 33.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT(U/L)(30-65)</td>
<td>360.05 ± 10.49</td>
<td>31.15 ± 10.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST(U/L)(15-37)</td>
<td>22.60 ± 9.70</td>
<td>19.00 ± 7.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The Thiamine, metabolic and liver function profile before and after three month thiamine treatment and after two month washout period.
this study despite having an adequate dietary intake of thiamine as reflected by urinary excretion of thiamine >0.20 µmol/24 hrs [17,18,50] had low levels of plasma thiamine. These could be therefore, the result of increased washout rather than inadequate ingestion of vitamin B1.

An explanation for the decrease in thiamine re-absorption by the kidney upon encountering thiamine depletion could lie in the transporter protein and in the expression of the genes encoding them. Physiologically, thiamine re-uptake occurs in the proximal convoluted tubules by THTR1 and THTR2 transporters via a sodium independent proton antiport mechanism regulated by CA/calmodulin [51]. Evidence suggests the expression of the genes encoding these transporters to be regulated by SP1 promotor elements [52,53]. SP1 signaling in the tubular epithelium is impaired in hyperglycemia associated with diabetes by increased O-glycosylation of the SP1 via enhanced hexosamine pathway activity [54]. Thus re-uptake of thiamine could have reduced by the hexosamine linked pathway or further due to dicarbonyl glycation of thiamine transporters [55] or even by acidification of tubular lumen [56]. The improvement in thiamine reuptake observed with the 3 month thiamine versus placebo therapy and its return to baseline after 2 months washout could also have been due to the increased activity of thiamine dependant enzymes PDH, OGDH and TKT activity within the tubular epithelial cells and improved cellular metabolism and functioning of these cells reflected as decreased thiamine clearance versus plasma levels. However, eventually at the end of 2 months washout plasma thiamine levels had declined but intracellular enzyme activity was maintained specially in the mononuclear cells and less so in the renal tubular cells which are reported to suffer quick depletion and have low TK expression [56].

The patients enrolled in this study exhibited poor glycemic control with a significantly raised mean fasting blood sugar levels 103% and 98.76% (p<0.001) in both the thiamine and placebo as compared to normal controls at baseline and correspondingly elevated glycated hemoglobin levels as well. This was comparable to a previous study in our population [57]. Mean glycated HbA1c levels in 24 type 2 diabetics with microalbuminuria in the UK were 8.5% and also comparable to our baseline levels.

Thiamine therapy at 300 mg/day for 3 months had no impact on fasting blood initially but during 2 months washout period there were comparable decreases in fasting blood sugar in both groups which was 20.83% in the thiamine group and 18.90% in the placebo group (p<0.05). This finding was different from that reported by the European Journal of Nutrition, in a study involving thiamine supplementation in a randomized double blind placebo controlled trial in 24 drug naive type 2 diabetics. At the end of a month, the thiamine treated group showed significant decreases (6.7 mmol/l) in blood sugar levels [58,59]. Our results differed as both thiamine and placebo groups were equally balanced for hypoglycemic drugs other than thiamine during the trial and the reduction in blood sugar levels in both groups at washout reflected the effect of these agents and an absence of any thiamine effect on this parameter.

However fasting plasma blood sugar level is only representative of that day’s fasting glucose record and is not representative of the overall glycemic control. Glycated hemoglobin is thus more representative of the holistic glycemic scenario. Interestingly during this trial glycated hemoglobin levels in the thiamine treated type 2 diabetics registered a significant percentage decrease of 15.2% (p<0.001) from the start of therapy to the washout period, while no significant change was recorded in the placebo group. HbA1c level is generally considered to be proportional to the average blood glucose concentration over the previous 8-12 weeks [60]. Some researchers state that the major portion of its value is related to a rather short period of two to four weeks [61]. Therefore the reason for the gradual decrease in hemoglobin in the thiamine treated group during the trial period was probably an actual first representation of reduced glycation of the previous 3 months and the significant decrease noticed during the washout period was actually a most apparent manifestation of decrease in HbA1c during the last month of therapy.

In this study, the baseline median values of microalbuminuria were significantly raised in thiamine treated group 43.79 mg/24 hrs (6.7 fold) in the thiamine group and 50.91 mg/24 hrs (7 fold) in the placebo group as compared to normal controls (p<0.001). The UK microalbuminuric patient’s median 46 mg/24 hrs [45] was comparable to our study. Whereas in the Dutch benfotiamine trial the median baseline values of microalbuminuria were 90 mg/24 hrs) in the benfotiamine group and (97 mg/24 hrs) in the placebo group [49] were approximately 2 times higher than in our study.

We found that high dose thiamine therapy (3×100 mg per day) significantly decreased urinary excretion of albumin in type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria from baseline values after 1-3 months therapy (p<0.001). After therapy for 3 months, regression of microalbuminuria to normal urinary albumin had occurred in 35% of the patients. This decrease in urinary albumin excretion continued during the washout period as ongoing benefit from thiamine supplementation in the previous 3 months. No significant decrease in Urinary Albumin Excretion (UAE) was observed in those who had received placebo [17,18]. Prolonged regression of micro albuminuria into the washout period could be that even though the half-life of thiamine was about 2 days being relatively short [62], its biological half-life (9-18 days) was much greater [63]. So even though the plasma concentration and urinary excretion of thiamine in the thiamine treatment arm returned to baseline after 2 months washout period, it was likely that increased tissue levels of TPP, activities of thiamine dependant enzymes and related pharmacological responses remained above baseline for at least one biological half life of thiamine in the washout period of the patients in the thiamine treatment group [45].

Oxidative stress plays a crucial role in atherogenesis in diabetes [18]. Measurements of plasma thiols (a marker for oxidative stress) at baseline revealed significant differences between baseline levels of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (Diabetic Range)</th>
<th>Thiamine Treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Washout</th>
<th>Washout</th>
<th>Washout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S VCAM1 (ng/ml)</td>
<td>588 ± 267</td>
<td>554 ± 224</td>
<td>481 ± 192.2g</td>
<td>481 ± 192.2g</td>
<td>648 ± 255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWF (U/ml)</td>
<td>0.67(0.07 – 5.18)</td>
<td>0.48(0.01 – 2.95)</td>
<td>0.51(0.071 – 1.97)</td>
<td>0.48(0.01 – 4.35)</td>
<td>0.48(0.01 – 4.41)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3:** Endothelial Dysfunction, Hemostasis, Oxidative Stress and Cellular Signalling Mediator Profile before and after three month thiamine treatment and after two month washout period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable (Diabetic Range)</th>
<th>Thiamine Treatment</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
<th>Washout</th>
<th>Washout</th>
<th>Washout</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>Therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine mg/dl</td>
<td>0.915 ± 0.195</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.28 g</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.20i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>42.4 ± 25.63</td>
<td>45.86 ± 31.14</td>
<td>43.73 ± 37.37</td>
<td>42.65 ± 31.76</td>
<td>59.1 ± 41.37d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)&lt;90</td>
<td>87 ± 7</td>
<td>85 ± 9</td>
<td>87 ± 7</td>
<td>84 ± 6</td>
<td>84 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)&lt;140</td>
<td>126 ± 14</td>
<td>133 ± 20</td>
<td>135 ± 14 P&lt;0.05</td>
<td>131 ± 10</td>
<td>130 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4:** Renal function profile and physiological data before and after three month thiamine treatment and after two month washout period.

Thiamine treated -2.3% (p=0.001) and placebo group -19.10% (p=0.002) from normal controls with no significant change during treatment or washout stage in both thiamine and placebo groups. Thus thiamine displayed no effect on oxidative stress by reducing or enhancing the level of antioxidant plasma thiols in type 2 diabetes in this study. A significant decrease in plasma thiols was also reported in diabetic patients on hemodialysis, which improved after a single dialysis session but remained low as compared to control participants and patients with DM [64]. The decreased thiol concentration could be the result of enhanced oxidative stress in diabetic patients on hemodialysis [65].

Protein kinase C is a phospholipid and calcium sensitive protein kinase. It can regulate a number of cellular events by activating MAP kinases which depending on cell type including extracellular signal related kinases ERKS, c-Jun N terminal kinases JNKs and p38 MAPKs [66]. In diabetes, increased hyperglycemia induced superoxide causes glycolytic intermediates to be shunted into the major pathways of hyperglycemic damage. These intermediates activate the intracellular AGE formation, the hexosamine pathway and protein kinase C [67]. In this study protein kinase C levels at baseline were significantly 3.4 fold higher (p=0.008) in thiamine treated group and 3.72 fold higher (p=0.000) in the placebo treated group versus normal controls. While during treatment level of PKC in monocytes of thiamine treated diabetics was reduced significantly by 55% (p=0.027) which was not sustained during the washout period. Placebo treatment resulted in significant increase in their protein kinase C levels of 26.09% (20.92 pg/µg; p<0.05) which persisted during the washout period.

**Table 4:** Renal function profile and physiological data before and after three month thiamine treatment and after two month washout period.

Statistical analysis of the pkc levels between the two groups at 3 months post treatment showed this change to be even more significant during treatment (p=0.002) and (p=0.003) during washout. No comparative data from another trial was available as protein kinase C activity was not measured in circulating monocytes in both benfotiamine trial on type 2 diabetics [49] and the benfotiamine and alpha lipoic acid trial in type 1 diabetics [68]. Thiamine’s therapeutic benefit of the reversal of hyperglycemia induced protein kinase C expression and decreased extracellular matrix deposition during therapy with prolongation of this effect have been reported [69].

Plasminogen activation inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1) is another nontraditional risk factor for cardiovascular disease in diabetes [3]. This is part of the endogenous fibrinolytic system and its increase signals impaired fibrinolysis and prothrombotic state [10]. At baseline, measurements revealed 74% and 91% significantly higher levels of PAI-1 in thiamine and placebo treated patients respectively as compared to normal controls (p=0.000).

In this study an increase of 15% of PAI-1 was noted from baseline to washout in the placebo group which was significant in comparison to the thiamine group at washout (p=0.005). There are reports of drugs such as ACE inhibitors significantly decreasing PAI-1 without altering tissue plasminogen activator and no such effect being seen with ARB [70]. Better glycemic control in the thiamine group displayed as reduced glycated hemoglobin levels in the 3 months treatment and washout period may have prevented increase in the PAI-1 as compared to the placebo group. Some studies have suggested that blood thrombogenicity is related to glycemic control and that improved diabetic control leads to a less thrombogenic state [71,72].

**Conclusion**

In this internationally pioneering clinical trial thiamine levels of type 2 microalbuminuric diabetics as compared to normal controls were determined and the effect of high dose thiamine therapy on a number of risk factors associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus were studied. These results were encouraging and suggest that the microvascular dysfunctions especially incipient diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes occurs in the background of thiamine depletion leading to, impaired second messenger signaling mechanisms, endothelial malfunction and altered hemostasis through enhanced thrombogenicity which appear to respond well to thiamine therapy. Our study recommends daily oral thiamine administration of 300 mg/day in type 2 diabetics to prevent depreciation of thiamine levels and maintenance of normal thiamine status in addition to conventional therapy of diabetes, as thiamine supplementation added a novel, viable, feasible, and secure dimension to the current diabetic pharmacotherapy as no hepatic, renal or other significant adverse effects were noted (Tables 2 and 4).
Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Higher Education Commission of Pakistan for funding the project.

References

56. Siddique SA, Akhtar MW, Cheema AM (2009) Role of glucose and insulin resistance in the development of non insulin dependent (type 2) diabetes mellitus and characteristic of associate health risk in Pakistan, PMRC.