Effect of Nutrient Addition on an Oil Reservoir Microbial Population: Implications for Enhanced Oil Recovery
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Abstract

The increasing demand for petroleum is driving the development of technologies including MEOR (Microbial enhanced oil recovery)—the use of microbes within a reservoir to enhance oil recovery. In this study we initially determined that availability of suitable carbon sources was limiting microbial growth and metabolism of an oil reservoir microbial community. Subsequently we identified metabolic processes that are initiated after addition of nutrients that addressed this limitation. Four distinct metabolic pathways were stimulated: (i) fermentation of the added nutrient; (ii) methanogenesis of the metabolites of fermentation; (iii) accumulation and decay of biomass; and (iv) oxidation/co-metabolism of petroleum. Biomass, when introduced as a nutrient, led to similar increases in live cell numbers in oil reservoir microcosms as addition of molasses. In addition to acting as a nutrient, disrupted microbial biomass led to formation of oil-water emulsions and significant lowering of the interfacial tension. These results suggest biomass manipulation can play an important role in MEOR.
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Introduction

Oil reservoirs are subsurface structures of rock formations where hydrocarbons are trapped in pores. These hydrocarbons have been exploited globally as a major source of energy since the end of the nineteenth century. Despite increasing demand, it is apparent that in many mature oil provinces the peak of oil production has been reached or exceeded. Primary oil recovery methods, which rely on reservoir pressure forcing the oil up the recovery pipelines often only accesses 5–10% of the oil within a reservoir. Secondary recovery methods such as injection of fluids to augment the natural flow, and tertiary recovery techniques such as the application of heat, solvents, surfactants or microbes typically recovers only 40–45% of the oil in place [2], and economic improvement to recovery rates are highly desirable.

The continuing demand for energy is driving the development of more efficient oil recovery technologies. Eubacteria and archaea are ubiquitous in many oil reservoirs [3-5]. The use of these organisms to enhance oil recovery (known as Microbial Enhanced Oil Recovery: MEOR) was firstly proposed in the 1920’s and has been an area of scientific and commercial interest ever since (for review see for example [6,7]). Conceptually, MEOR involves enhancing the growth and metabolism of microbes within an oil reservoir in a controlled manner so that the products of enhanced metabolic activity lead to physical alterations in the reservoir and subsequent changes in the oil flow behavior. Traditionally the chemicals considered to be of significance are metabolic by-products such as gases (e.g., H2, CH4, CO2, N2), organic acids (e.g. formate, acetate, lactate, butyrate), solvents (e.g. ethanol and other low molecular weight alcohols, ketones), biosurfactants (e.g. glycolipids, phospholipids, lipopeptides) and microbial polymers such as exopolysaccharides [2,8-13]. MEOR relies on changing the microbial metabolic status quo within the reservoir, to date by the addition of nutrients into the reservoir to enhance microbial metabolism. Exogenous microbes may also be introduced to augment the native reservoir population, although the benefit of such inoculation remains arguable. While most commercial MEOR service providers inject nutrients together with exogenous microbes, the effect of the nutrients alone or nutrients and equivalent amounts of non-viable cell mass are rarely documented, the studies rarely demonstrate that exogenous microbes do become established in the petroleum reservoir, and non have demonstrated benefits that can be solely attributed to exogenous microbes [13]. Nutrient/microbe addition results in an increase in microbial cell number and metabolism and consequently metabolic by-products, which may lead to physical alterations in the reservoir and enhanced oil recovery. Enhanced microbial growth and metabolism requires energy as well as the components needed for growth, with the exact requirements differing from organism to organism. Energy generation often depends on an external redox partner, which enables the transfer of electrons from reduced donor molecules (organic or inorganic) to acceptor molecules (organic or inorganic). In the reduced reservoir environment it is generally assumed that availability of electron acceptors are more likely to be limiting than electron donors. Growth depends on carbon, oxygen, nitrogen, phosphorus and sulfur nutrients at relatively high concentrations and many other atomic sources are credited.
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species at low, or trace, levels in a form that the microbes can utilize. Many laboratory studies have demonstrated that microbial activity can lead to sufficient physical changes in reservoir fluids to enhance oil recovery in core flooding models, and numerous field trials have shown enhanced oil recovery after addition of nutrients (or nutrient/ microbe combinations) into reservoirs, whilst other field trials have not shown the same effects (for review see [2,11,14,15]).

Despite decades of research and field trials MEOR is not widely used in the petroleum industry. Uptake of the technology has been limited due to engineering issues associated with delivery of material into the reservoir coupled with the lack of predictability of the effect of adding material into the reservoir. The unpredictability of the technology stems in a large part from a lack of fundamental understanding of the metabolic processes that occur in response to MEOR in reservoir microbial communities and the effects that these responses have on the physical properties of the reservoir. For example, despite the apparent efficiencies of many experimental trials, when the microbial pathways believed to be involved in MEOR are used in predictive models, the effects on recovery are predicted to be too small to be economically viable [15]. The discrepancy between experimental and predictive results can only be resolved through a greater understanding of the metabolic processes involved in MEOR.

Frequently, the microbe cell numbers are increased thousand-fold in successful MEOR field trials [16-18]. Previous studies investigating the effect of biomass have investigated three physical effects induced by whole cells: plugging of rock pores; oil-water emulsification; and, effects of cells on wettability of rock surfaces [8,15,19-25]. Plugging studies have been conducted from a practical point of view and have involved establishing microbes at high permeability zones of the reservoirs to block the rock pores and to channel flow towards lower permeability zones which have retained higher oil saturation [8,20,24,26]. Other laboratory studies have found that intact bacterial cells behave like colloidal solids absorbing onto fluid-fluid interfaces leading to oil-water emulsions [21-23] or adsorbing on the rock surface to alter wettability [19,25].

In this study we assume that MEOR requires an increase in either the rate or the nature of microbial metabolism in the reservoir. We initially investigate the composition of the oil fraction in the reservoir and use this information to tailor nutrient mixtures to stimulate metabolism in the extant microbial community in samples from the same reservoir. We then address the question of what metabolic pathways are stimulated as a result of nutrient addition by measuring population and metabolite changes that occur in response to nutrient addition. Finally, we investigate in more detail one of the effects of nutrient addition: biomass accumulation and decay.

Materials and Methods

Collection and analysis of reservoir samples

Reservoir samples were obtained from an offshore gas-lifting oil field (approximately 800 m deep and at 50°C) in Malaysia [27]. The tested reservoir compartment had not been subject to any water flooding or MEOR application. Oil field fluids (20% oil, 80% formation water) that were highly gasified with CO₂ and CH₄ were collected directly from the well-head into sterile 500 mL bottles. The bottles were completely filled and then immediately stoppered with rubber stoppers that would prevent gas exchange (Schott, Australia) then transported at ambient temperature to an anaerobic hood in the laboratory. The transient time from collection to the anaerobic hood was 4 months during which time the bottles were not opened. Microcosms were set up from stored samples over a six month period. Storage did not have any noticeable effect on the microbial population that responded to molasses addition with similar populations growing in after prolonged storage (see population observed in samples grown in the presence of molasses below).

Asphaltenes were precipitated from ca. 150 mg of oil by dissolving in an excess of n-pentane after the oil had been separated from the formation water by passing settled oil-water mixtures through a separatory funnel. The isolated maltene fraction was passed through a silica-alumina column (Merck, Australia) and the aliphatic hydrocarbon fraction was eluted using ~100 mL petroleum ether. The aromatic hydrocarbon fraction was then eluted using ~100 mL 1:4 mixture of dichloromethane and petroleum ether. GC-MS of the aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbon fractions was performed on a Hewlett Packard 5890 GC interfaced to a VG AutoSpecQ Ultima MS (electron energy 70 eV; electron multiplier 250 V; trap current 200 μA; source temperature 250°C) tuned to 1000 resolution. Chromatography was carried out on a DB5MS fused silica column (60 m x 0.25 mm i.d.) coated with (5%-Phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane, using a splitless injection technique. Further details on isolation, fractionation and GC-MS analyses can be found in George et al. [28]. The chemical composition of the formation water was measured by the National Measurement Institute (Perth, Australia).

Microorganisms

Reservoir microcosms contained untreated formation water and reservoir oil, reducing agents (12.5 g L⁻¹ Na₂S; 12.5 g L⁻¹ L-cysteine; 3 g L⁻¹ NaOH) to establish a redox potential of around E’ = -243 mV [29], and an anaerobic indicator (0.001 g L⁻¹ resazurin) in bottles anaerobiically sealed with butyl rubber stoppers. In this system the relative biodiversity and abundance of the native community and the general biochemistry (assuming similar redox levels) and nutrient load found in the reservoir were retained. The microcosms were prepared in an anaerobic chamber (Coy Laboratory Products, MI, USA) supplied with a gas atmosphere of 90% nitrogen, 5% carbon dioxide and 5% hydrogen and kept oxygen-free by the palladium catalysed reaction of hydrogen with any contaminating free oxygen. The chamber was equipped with oxygen and hydrogen sensors (Coy Laboratory Products, MI, USA) which showed that the actual hydrogen concentration, when the chamber was in use, was less than 1%. Microcosms surveying the effect of nutrient augmentation on microbial growth also contained the following nutrients in isolation or combination: molasses, maltose, peptone, starch, yeast extract, glucose, 12-alanine, sucrose, cellulose (soluble and insoluble), vegetable oil, whey, glycerol, NaNO₃, (NH₄)₂SO₄ and/or K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄. The nutrients were added to a C:N:P ratio of 32:6.4:1 to approximate levels generally required by microbes [30], equivalent to 0.5% glucose (2 g carbon L⁻¹), 0.38% yeast extract (0.4 g nitrogen L⁻¹) and 0.031 g L⁻¹ each K₂HPO₄ and KH₂PO₄. Sulphur (1.89 g L⁻¹) was added as (NH₄)₂SO₄. Molasses (Organic Road brand, Black Strap Organic Molasses) was obtained from Santos Trading (Byron Bay, Australia) and contained 68% carbohydrates. All other nutrients were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Australia). Triplicate microcosms were set up for each nutrient combination. After incubation in the dark at 50°C for 14 days, the optical density, cell count and pH were measured and then the cells collected by centrifugation at 1800 g for 10
min. The cell pellets were stored at -80°C until required for community analysis.

To quantify total cell and fluorescent cell numbers, we used a fluorescent microscope (Leica DM2700M, Australia) equipped with a FITC filter and a haemocytometer (Hausser Scientific, USA) irradiated with UV light using a FITC emission filter (bandpass 450-490 nm). The total cell number was measured after adding 0.01% (v/v) SYBR green I fluorescent dye (Invitrogen, Australia) followed by 1 h incubation at 4°C. Methanogenic microorganisms in the samples were enumerated by their autofluorescence [31].

**Molecular methods to characterise microbial diversity**

The microbial diversity in the microcosms was analyzed by generating 16S rDNA clone libraries and characterizing ARDRA (Amplified Ribosomal DNA Restriction fragment length Analysis) patterns and 16S rDNA clone sequences. Total DNA from aqueous fraction of microcosms was extracted using the FastDNA SPIN Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Australia) according to the manufacturers’ directions. Clone libraries were constructed from 16S rDNA amplicons generated from polymerase chain reactions (PCR) containing 10–40 ng of total DNA, 200 µM of the bacteria specific oligonucleotide primers 5'-AGAGTTTGTATCCTTGATCACA-3' and 5'-YCCGCGGCTAATC-3' [32] or the archaeal specific primers 5'-TTCGGGATTGATGC-3' and 5'-YCCCGCGGTAMTCAAAT-3' [33] with Platinum® Taq DNA polymerase High Fidelity (Invitrogen, Australia). The PCR amplification program consisted of one cycle of 95°C for 2 min and then 30 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 55°C for 30 s, 72°C for 90 s and a final 7 min extension at 72°C (eubacterial library). Reaction cycles for the archaeal libraries were the same except that the extension time was 60 s rather than 30 s. Amplicons were cloned into pSC-B-amp/kan (Stratagene, CA, USA) and transformed into E. coli DH5α (Promega, Australia). The 16S rDNA fragment of 96 clones for microcosms with molasses added and 24 clones for each microcosms where other nutrients had been added were initially re-amplified using the primers described above and the amplicons were digestion with HaeIII restriction endonuclease (New England Biolabs, MA, USA) and separated by electrophoresis on a 6% polyacrylamide gel (Bio-rad, Australia) or by agarose gel electrophoresis (1% MCE-202, Shimadzu Biotech, USA). The full length of at least five clones from each ARDRA pattern were sequenced by commercial sequencing facilities (Micromon, Monash University) and the sequences compared by BLAST analysis to sequences available on GenBank.

**Microbial metabolite analysis in microcosms**

Changes in the gas composition of the head space of microbial microcosms were analyzed using a CP-3800 gas chromatograph (GC) (Varian, Australia) equipped with a 2 m 1/8” Hayesep R 60/80 mesh packed column for the separation of hydrocarbon gases and a 2 m 1/8” Moliseve 5 A 60/80 mesh packed column for the separation of permanent gases. The gases were detected using a two channel detector system combining a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and a flame ionisation detector (FID). Carbon dioxide was detected on the FID by placing the SPME injection unit in the injector of an Agilent 6890 series GC interfaced to an Agilent 5973 Mass Spectrometer (MS) using a Shimadzu AOC-5000 auto-injector. Compound separation on the GC column was achieved by heating to 50°C for 5 min and then the temperature was increased by 6°C min⁻¹ to 245°C with a final hold of 5 min. The MS detector was used in the electron impact (EI) mode with an ionization voltage of 70 eV. The data were acquired in full scan mode (m/ε 40-250). Compounds were identified by comparison of known mass spectra in the NIST98 Library.

**Generation of biomass**

Biomass was derived from two sources: reservoir microbes and E. coli. E. coli was not present in the reservoir samples and therefore E. coli cells were used as a control to ensure that added cells did not directly contribute to increases in cell number. The reservoir microbial biomass was derived from microbes in oil reservoir microcosms after two weeks incubation subsequent to molasses addition. E. coli biomass was derived from DH5α cells (Promega, Australia) cultured in Luria broth at 37°C for 16 h. The cell pellets from both sources were collected by centrifugation at 5000 g, 4°C for 30 min. The cell pellets were then washed three times by resuspending in saline (0.91% w/v NaCl) then collecting by centrifugation at 5000 g, 4°C for 30 min.

For nutrient experiments, the biomass pellets were autoclaved at 121°C, 15 psi for 30 min, and dried overnight at 60°C. The dried pellets were sealed into purpose-built aluminium foil capsules (SerCon Ltd, UK), for the determination of carbon content using a Europa 20-20 isotope ratio mass spectrometer with an Automated Nitrogen Carbon Analyzer preparation system (SerCon Ltd, UK).

For physical experiments, the biomass was resuspended in distilled water at 10⁷ cells·mL⁻¹ (assuming an OD₆₀₀ reading of 1.0 corresponds to 8x10⁷ E. coli cells·mL⁻¹) separated into two samples, and then one sample immediately autoclaved at 121°C, 15 psi for 30 min to generate samples of whole cells and samples of cell components (autoclaved whole cells). Autoclaved solutions were diluted 100, 1000 and 10000 times with synthetic formation water to generate solutions containing the equivalent of 10⁵, 10⁷, and 10⁹ cells·mL⁻¹ for emulsification activity, density, viscosity and interfacial tension (IFT) measurements. The synthetic formation water contained (L⁻¹): 21.60 g of NaCl, 1.42 g MgCl₂·6H₂O, 0.44 g CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.36 g NaHCO₃, 0.19 g KCl, 83.99 mg NH₄Cl, 57.75 mg Na₂SiO₃, 46.92 mg H₂BO₃, 36.50 mg SrCl₂·6H₂O, 20.24 mg NiCl₂·6H₂O, 9.87 mg Na₂WO₄·2H₂O, 3.20 mg BaCl₂·2H₂O, 1.10 mg NaSeO₃·2H₂O, 0.65 mg NaHPO₄·2H₂O, 0.40 mg FeSO₄·7H₂O, 0.13 mg CoCl₂·6H₂O, 0.08 mg Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, 0.02 mg MnSO₄·2H₂O, 0.01 mg CuCl₂ and 0.01 mg ZnCl₂.

**Assessment of the nutritional effects of biomass**

The ability of reservoir microbes to utilize biomass as a nutrient source was compared to their ability to utilize equivalent amounts of carbohydrate. The equivalent to 2 g·L⁻¹ carbon of autoclaved biomass or...
molasses was added as a nutrient to microcosms. The microcosms were then incubated for 2 weeks at 50°C and then the total and fluorescent cell numbers and microbial diversity were quantified (as described in section 2.5).

Assessment of the physical effects of biomass

The ability of *E. coli* whole cell and cell component solutions to emulsify hydrocarbons at different concentrations was determined by the method described by Cooper and Goldenberg [34]. Solutions (4 mL) were added to 6 mL of kerosene (Sigma Aldrich, Australia) and mixed by vortexing at high speed for 2 min. The proportional height of the emulsion layer after 24 h at room temperature was recorded as the emulsion index ($E_24$). Light microscope (Leica M205C, Australia) images of the emulsion droplets were taken using a Leica DFC425 digital camera (Leica, Australia).

The density and viscosity of autoclaved biomass solutions were measured using a DE–40 Density meter (Mettler Toledo, Australia) and a Visco Lab 4000 viscometer (Cambridge Viscosity, MA, USA) respectively at 25°C and 50°C under ambient pressure. The IFT between the autoclaved biomass solutions and dodecane were measured using an Inverted Pendant Drop Interfacial Tension system IFT-10 (Temco, USA). The inverted pendant drop was viewed through a camera attached to a computer and analysed by DROPimage Advanced software (Ramé-Hart, NJ, USA). Dodecane was used as the droplet (pendant) phase with the autoclaved biomass solution as the external phase. The IFT values were measured between the interface of dodecane and synthetic formation water, at 25°C and 50°C at ambient pressure, and 50°C at 1000 psi. The minimum retention time for the pendant drop to reach equilibrium was about 30 min. The IFT measurements were carried out every 5 s for 500 s after reaching equilibrium with the reported IFT value calculated from the mean of 100 measurements.

Results

Analysis of reservoir samples

The samples used in this study were collected from the well-head of an off-shore oil reservoir and therefore the ion content of the formation water was compared to that found in sea water to ensure that the samples had not become contaminated by sea water. The sulphate concentration in the formation water was less than 5 ppm, i.e. at levels less than 2% of those found in sea water (ca. 2798 ppm; [35]) indicating low or no sea water contamination. Other ions were found at 58 (calcium), 32 (chloride), 86 (magnesium), 79 (potassium) and 18 (sodium) % less concentrated than those reported in sea water.

Analysis of the molecular composition of the reservoir oil by GC-MS found predominantly a hump of Unresolved Complex Mixture (UCM, Figure 1), with the resolved compounds on above of this hump being diamondoids, bicyclic sesquiterpanes, steranes and pentacyclic terpanes and low levels of mono-, di-, tri- and tetracyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Straight-chained paraffins or simple branched chain alkanes were not detected. The molecular composition of the oil was typical for heavily biodegraded oil, where biodegradation of the petroleum on the geological time-scale had removed readily bio-available compounds, leaving behind compounds resistant to microbial metabolism [36,37].

The total microbial cell number in the formation water was estimated at $2.3 \times 10^6$ cells mL$^{-1}$. Methanogens, which use the fluorescent cofactor F420 as their primary electron carrier and can be recognized in whole cell counts by their fluorescence, comprised around 4% of the cells.

Assessment of nutrients limiting microbial growth in reservoir samples

An experimental microcosm system was developed to identify nutrient combinations that stimulated growth of microbes in reservoir fluids. Generally the nutrients added into the system were variants of nutrients used for MEOR, with additional nutrients added primarily to assess factors limiting growth in the samples. Addition of inorganic nutrients (N, S or P sources) into the microcosms did not stimulate microbial growth after 2 weeks. Growth was observed only after the addition of organic nutrients (protein, lipid or carbohydrate; data not shown).

Identification of the microbial species that responding to nutrient addition

A comparative assessment of the microbial diversity in the microcosms after addition of different nutrients found similar ARDRA patterns in all microcosms that responded to nutrients (data not shown). Archaeal and eubacterial 16S rDNA clone libraries were

![Figure 1: Total ion chromatograms (TIC) for the reservoir oil showing the distribution of aliphatic hydrocarbons (left) and aromatic hydrocarbons (right). UCM = Unresolved Complex Mixture.](image)
constructed using DNA isolated from microcosms after the addition of: glucose plus yeast extract; maltose plus yeast extract; starch plus yeast extract; or molasses with similar sequences identified from each library. Analysis of the sequence of 50 clones revealed 5 eubacterial and 3 archaeal sequence groups. The sequence groups from three of the eubacterial ARDRA groups had greatest (more than 97%) identity to 16S rDNA genes from fast-growing, fermentative, anaerobic, thermophilic species within the genera *Petrotoga*, *Mahella* and *Anaerobaculum*. The remaining groups had 97% or greater sequence identity to *Flexistipes* sp. vp180 (Genbank accession number AF220344) and a single sequence was identified with 97% sequence identity to *Chlorobi* species. The archaeal sequence groups were most related (over 97% similarity) to hydrogenotrophic, thermophilic methanogenic species within *Methanoculleus*, *Methanothermobacter* and *Methanocalculus* genera.

**Assessment of growth and metabolism in response to molasses addition**

As addition of different carbon-based nutrients stimulated growth of similar communities, measurement of the biochemical changes induced by nutrient addition was conducted on microcosms after addition of molasses. Molasses addition led to increases in total cell number (from $2.3 \times 10^6$ up to $4.6 \times 10^9$ cells mL$^{-1}$) and fluorescent (methanogenic) cell numbers ($8.0 \times 10^4$ up to $2.1 \times 10^9$ cells mL$^{-1}$; Figure 2). Microbial growth after molasses addition led to significant accumulation of carbon dioxide and methane (Figure 3), and accumulation of a number of low and medium molecular weight acids and alcohols not detected in controls (Table 1). No changes in the composition of the oil were observed after incubation with various nutrients. However, the majority of minor changes would be expected to be masked by the complexity of the oil composition. Small variations in timing of growth and the accumulation of metabolites were observed between microcosms.

**Microbial biomass as a nutrient for oil reservoir microbial communities**

To compare the extent to which microcosm communities were capable of utilising microbial biomass as a nutrient source, increase in cell numbers after addition of biomass in the form of autoclaved *E. coli* or reservoir microbe cells were compared to increase in cell numbers observed after equivalent amounts of carbon in the form of molasses. Microscopy analysis confirmed that autoclaving lead to complete lysis of cells (data not shown). Cells were lysed by autoclaving rather than other methods to ensure that the added biomass was sterile. Substantial cell number increases were observed in microcosms 2 weeks after the addition of biomass.
addition of reservoir microbe (1.8 x 10^8 cells·mL⁻¹) and E. coli (1.5 x 10^8 cells·mL⁻¹) biomass, approximately equivalent to the response observed with molasses (4.0 x 10^8 cells·mL⁻¹) (Figure 4). Greatest increases in methanogen number were observed in microcosms fed with E. coli biomass (7.8 x 10^7 cells·mL⁻¹). Substantial increases in fluorescent cells were also observed in those fed molasses (7.00 x 10^7 cells·mL⁻¹) with a lesser increase in the reservoir microbe biomass (9.3 x 10^6 cells·mL⁻¹). No changes in cell number were observed in the negative control (total cells: 2.3 x 10^6 cells·mL⁻¹; fluorescent cells 8.0 x 10^4 cells·mL⁻¹). No E. coli 16S rDNA ARDRA fragments were detected in the microcosms fed E. coli biomass (data not shown), confirming that the increase in cell number was not due to growth of contaminating E. coli cells. The ARDRA patterns from 96 clones from each microcosm were similar (data not shown), indicating that the same microbial community responded to each nutrient feed, albeit the most diversity was observed in the molasses-fed microcosm (data not shown).

The effect of microbial biomass on physical properties of the reservoir fluids

Different amounts of microbial biomass had no significant effect on the density and viscosity of synthetic formation water (Table 2), whether the biomass was from endogenous microbes or E. coli. The biomass had surface-active properties with increasing amounts of biomass leading to significant decreases in the IFT of dodecane-synthetic formation water interfaces under all conditions tested (25°C, 50°C and 50°C at 1000 psi; Figure 5). The formation of stable air bubbles prevented IFT values being obtained at 10^9 cells·mL⁻¹. Greatest changes were observed under reservoir conditions (50°C at 1000 psi) where 10^8 cells·L⁻¹ E. coli or reservoir microbes resulted in 68% and 50% reduction in IFT, respectively (35.55, to 11.35 and 17.69 dynes·cm⁻¹). Both whole E. coli cell (10^8 cells·mL⁻¹; E_24 value 33 ± 2%) and lysed cell solutions (10^8 cells·mL⁻¹; E_24 value 44 ± 2%; 10^9 cell·mL⁻¹: E_24 value 6 ± 3%) were able to form stable emulsions. Emulsified kerosene droplets formed after addition of lysed E. coli cell solutions were smaller (0.1 – 0.8 mm) than the droplets formed with whole cell solutions (1-2 mm; Figure 6), suggesting a greater oil-water contact area. No stable emulsion was observed at lower cell concentrations or in the solutions without cells or cell components added.

**Table 2:** Effects of varying amounts of biomass on synthetic formation water density and viscosity at different temperatures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell concentration (cells mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>E.coli biomass</th>
<th>Reservoir microbe biomass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Density (gm·cc⁻¹)</td>
<td>Viscosity (cP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>50°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁵</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁷</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10⁸</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5:** IFT changes at different conditions (○ 25°C at ambient pressure, ◊ 50°C at ambient pressure, and □ 50°C at 1000 psi) as a function of (top figure) lysed E. coli cells and (bottom figure) lysed reservoir microorganisms.

**Figure 6:** Microscope images of emulsified kerosene droplets formed with solutions of whole (a) or lysed (b) E. coli cells.

**Figure 7:** Summary of metabolic activities identified in petroleum reservoir microcosms after addition of nutrients. Metabolic activities are shown in italics. Specific biochemicals identified within reservoir fluids are described in the text and in Figure 3 and Table 1.
Discussion

The metabolic processes that occurred in response to MEOR were assessed after adding a variety of different nutrients into oil reservoir samples to change the 'status quo' of the microbial environment. Although carbon in the reservoir samples was abundant in the form of petroleum, an analysis of the reservoir oil indicated that it was highly biodegraded and depleted in compounds that would be readily bioavailable. When nutrients were added into the samples and the samples incubated under anaerobic conditions at 50°C, the cell number did not increase in response to addition of nutrients combinations that did not include organic carbon (carbohydrate, protein or lipid). In conclusion, growth of the microbial community in the reservoir samples used in this study was limited by availability of carbon in forms accessible to the microbes. Addition of carbon based nutrients led to cell numbers in the microcosms increasing at least thousand-fold with the microbial community being dominated by Petrotoga, Mahella, Anaerobaculum, Flexistipes, Methanocalculus, Methanothermobacter and Methanothermus. Sequences from all these groups have previously been identified in petroleum reservoirs [20,38-47].

The biochemical and biodiversity analysis of microcosms after nutrient addition suggested four dominant sources of metabolic by-products (Figure 7): fermentation of the nutrient (accumulation of low and medium weight acids and alcohols; carbon dioxide); methanogenesis from the metabolites of the nutrient fermentation (accumulation of methane); metabolism and/or decay of biomass (increase in cell number); and oxidation/co-metabolism of compounds in the crude oil fraction (accumulation of mid-molecular weight acids and alcohols including 1-pentanol, phenol and benzene acetic acid, that are not expected from carbohydrate metabolism). The co-metabolism of bio-recalcitrant compounds by microbial consortia after addition of carbohydrate is commonly reported in other systems and is frequently exploited in bioremediation technologies [48,49].

Many previous studies have listed the by-products of fermentation and methanogenic pathways as key components to successful MEOR. However, the ability of these metabolites to enhance oil recovery has been brought into question by studies predicting that these metabolites, in the quantities that they are likely to be produced after nutrient addition to an anaerobic reservoir, have little potential to move oil [50]. Here, we found some evidence for co-metabolism/oil oxidation of the oil component on a timescale relevant to MEOR, which is believed to represent serendipitous molecular transformations of no or minimal metabolic value that have occurred in parallel to microbial metabolism. However, the scale of these transformations is unlikely to be significant for MEOR. The final pathway we identified in this study—biomass accumulation/decay—has not received much attention in relation to MEOR and therefore we investigated the physical and nutritional effects of biomass on reservoir communities and fluids in further detail (see below).

Previous studies investigating the effect of biomass on MEOR have focused primarily on effects of whole cells: bioplugging [2,8,20,24], emulsification effects [21-23] or wettbility [19,25]. In this study we extended these earlier studies by investigated whether disrupted microbial biomass (cellular components) could be utilised as a nutrient source by the extant microbial community and what effect the addition of biomass had on the physical properties of the reservoir fluids. Most commonly the biomass used in this study was intended to mimic decaying microbial cell matter and was prepared by autoclaving microbial cells. As the chemical composition of microbes varies, biomass was derived from both E. coli and reservoir microbial cell pellets. It is expected that the process of autoclaving the cells would disrupt membranes and disperse the cellular contents. Thus the ‘biomass’ is a combination of the insoluble cell membrane and wall components as well as the soluble cellular components. Given the nature of these components, it is expected that the soluble cellular compounds would provide a rich nutrient source for microbes, and that the insoluble cell membrane and wall components would display surface-active properties.

In order to distinguish the effect of biomass as a nutrient from the general effects observed after adding nutrients into the reservoir, biomass was grown independently and then added into microcosms. Biomass led to large increases in cell number indicating that it could

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface active compound and source</th>
<th>IFT drop measured (%)</th>
<th>Amount of surface active compound used (mg·L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Cell mass used to make compound (mg)</th>
<th>Yield of surface active compound per cell weight (g·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>EOR¹ (%)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli cell biomass</td>
<td>57-68</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipopeptide from Bacillus mojavensis⁶</td>
<td>Drop to 0.006 mN m⁻¹</td>
<td>5-56</td>
<td>74-824</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>21-39</td>
<td>[52,58-64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipopeptide from Bacillus subtilis</td>
<td>59 – 86</td>
<td>1000-3000</td>
<td>2222-6667</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>25-61</td>
<td>[65-69]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhamnolipid from Pseudomonas aeruginosa⁶</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>(SP)</td>
<td>[70]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosurfactant from Pseudomonas aeruginosa⁶</td>
<td>47-57</td>
<td>10 or not described</td>
<td>13.9-30.3</td>
<td>0.33-0.72</td>
<td>50-85</td>
<td>[71-73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microbial cells and metabolites after fermentation</td>
<td>24-98</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>7-86</td>
<td>(BS, SP, L)</td>
<td>[9,58,74-78]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹EOR: Enhanced oil recovery observed in laboratory studies using core type: Berea sandstone core (BS), sand packed column (SP), limestone (L).
²The value was calculated according to a single E. coli cell weight 2.8 x 10⁻¹⁵ g [79].
³Formally B. licheniformis JF-2 [80].
⁴500 mg·L⁻¹ of dry Bacillus mojavensis yields 34 mg·L⁻¹ lipopeptide [61].
⁵The yield of biosurfactant per dry cell weight was 0.45 g·g⁻¹ (88,81).
⁶Engineered with the RhlAB: rhamnosyltransferase complex for synthesis of rhamnose.
⁷The yield of biosurfactant per dry cell weight was 0.33-0.72 g·g⁻¹ [71].

Table 3: Described properties of surface active compounds investigated for enhancing oil recovery.
serve as a nutrient source capable of promoting significant microbial growth. ARDRA patterns indicated that biomass derived from different sources (E. coli or reservoir microbes) stimulated growth of similar communities. Numerous studies and commercial operations have involved the injection of microbes into reservoirs, generally as an inoculant [13]. However, few studies document that these microbes can become established in the reservoir [51]. Our results suggest that in many cases where microbes are added into a reservoir, they will be utilised as a nutrient source by the extant microbial community. Similarly, if nutrients are added into a reservoir to target growth of specific microbial communities, then the resultant increase in biomass can serve as a nutrient source for subsequent non-target communities.

In addition to nutritional effects, the microbial biomass had significant surface-active properties. In agreement with other studies [22-24], it was found that whole cells were able to form stable emulsions. Equivalent amounts of biomass as cell components (lysed cells) could also emulsify the oil, and with higher efficiency. The biomass had significant effects on the IFT with effects similar to those observed with specific biosurfactants investigated for MEOR (Table 3). In this study, the addition of 28 mg·L⁻¹ biomass reduced the dodecane-synthetic formation water IFT over 50% under reservoir conditions. Previously, the biomass of the prolific biosurfactant producer *Bacillus mojavensis* (formally *B. licheniformis* IF-2) had also been shown to have significant surface active properties (in addition to the effect of the surfactant that it secretes) with surface active properties reduced by 32% when cells were removed from the test fluids [52]. The surface active compounds in the biomass are most likely cell membrane components such as phospholipids, which have critical micelle concentration (CMC) values (a few 10s of mg·L⁻¹) in the range of 0.01 to 10 dynes·cm⁻¹, much lower than synthetic detergents (e.g. sodium dodecyl sulfate with a CMC of 2888.3 mg·L⁻¹ [12,53,54]).

It is widely assumed that biosurfactants are the most effective microbial metabolites for altering interfacial properties of reservoir fluids [7,51,55]. The finding that biomass in its own right has similar surface active properties to biosurfactants that have been demonstrated to enhance oil recovery (Table 3) suggests that, in addition to specific biosurfactant production, manipulation of reservoir biomass levels should be considered for MEOR. Generally, biosurfactant metabolic pathways are controlled by the microbial nutritional environment [56,57]. Whilst biosurfactant production can be controlled in the laboratory by manipulating nutrient levels surrounding the microbes, it is obviously much more challenging to exert any level of nutritional control within the reservoir environment. In comparison, biomass is relatively easy to generate. We therefore recommend that further studies be undertaken to investigate the utility of microbial biomass for manipulation of reservoir fluid properties that may lead to MEOR.
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