Introduction

*Erythrina indica* Lam. (Fabeaceae) is a deciduous armed tree, 10-20 m tall with 1m dbh. It is a common plant with grayish bark and coarse branches glabrous sparsely armed with short prickles found in India. It is known as kalyana murukku or mulu murunkkai in Tamil [1]. In siddha system, it is being considered useful for treating antihelmenthiasis, nematocidal and worm infection and also used as sedative and anti-inflammatory [2-4]. The presence of active constituents viz. alkaloids, glycosides, phenyl coumarin, proteins, carbohydrates, amino acids, steroids, tannins has been reported from root and seeds [5,6]. According to Harwell [7] seeds of *Erythrina* used in folk remedies for cancer, whose bark is used for fever, hepatitis, malaria, rheumatism, toothache also for boils and fractures. Fresh leaf paste is applied on the wounds of the cattle for healing [8]. Root is used for rheumatism, bark and leaves serve as a vermifuge [9].

Due to these advantages, Government and non-government organizations have planted avenue trees in the public land in urban area and highways. At present the pinch on fertilizer consumption is being felt more in India, since the country cannot afford to either import the required fertilizer at high cost and subsidize the sale to the farmers or build new fertilizer plants at formidable cost. Hence farmers are prepared to take to organic farming by using bio-inoculants. Bio-inoculants are cost effective and eco-friendly inputs providing alternate source of plant nutrients, thus increasing farm income by providing extra yields and reducing input cost also. Bio-inoculants increase crop yield by 20-30%, replace chemical N & P by 25%, stimulate plant growth, activate soil biologically, restore natural fertility and provide protection against drought and some soil borne diseases. Of the bioinoculants widely used in agriculture crops. *Azospirillum* is an important non-symbiotic associative, nitrogen fixing rhizosphere bacteria and fixes atmospheric nitrogen in soil [10]. It augments nitrogen fixation [11]. Rice responds well to *Azospirillum* inoculation [12]. Further this bacterium is not yet known as a biocontrol agent of soil borne plant pathogen. However, some evidence shows that this activity has been overlooked. *Azospirillum lipoferum* produced catechol-typesiderophores under iron-starved conditions that exhibited antimicrobial activity against various bacterial and fungal isolates [13]. These inoculants need more attention in view of their triple action of nitrogen fixation, biocontrol and production of plant growth regulators. Phosphobacterium also produces auxin and gibberellin, which may have favorable effects on plant growth [14]. The stimulative effect of Phosphobacteria inoculation on plant growth in phosphorus deficient soil has been reported by Asea et al. [15]. Inoculation of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* in an unsterilized soil with Phosphobacteria enhanced collar diameter, fresh weight and dry weight compared to uninoculated control [16]. In *Leucaena leucocephala*, an increase of 33.2 % in plant height was observed following inoculation with Phosphobacteria [17]. Similarly, the *Trichoderma* strains also solubilize a number of poorly soluble nutrients [18,19]. However, in tree crops still it is at an experimental stage only.

The soil used for the production of planting stock in the forest nurseries is very low in nutrient and beneficial microbial population. Though the soil is mixed with farm yard manure (FYM), the quality of seedling is very poor due to insufficiency of desired microorganisms (many of the microorganisms are host specific) and the rate of mineralization and nitrogen fixation is very low, as a result the quality of the seedling is very poor. It is very difficult to establish in the initial stage in the field with these seedlings. This problem can be overcome by providing suitable biofertilizers. It has been already reported that the use of biofertilizers results in better growth and nutrient uptake in seedlings. Plants colonized by mycorrhizal fungi are better adapted to withstand drought in the nursery and field by phosphorus mediated system. Phosphobacterium will solubilize Phosphorus insoluble forms of phosphate and they help plants to absorb and translocate more soluble phosphate [20]. Nitrogen fixing bacteria of genus *Azospirillum* have promoted tree growth [21]. Similarly, bioinoculants improve the quality of tree seedlings of *Casuarina equisetifolia* [22], *Moringa oleifera* [23], *Acacia nilotica* [24], *Azadirachta indica* [25] and *Delonix regia* [26]. However, the efficiency of individual and combined inoculation of biofertilizers in the *Erythrina* seedlings needs to be studied. Hence, the present study was undertaken to find out the compatibility of different biofertilizers and their augmentation effect of quality seedling production of *Erythrina*.

Materials and Methods

Seeds

*Erythrina* fruits were collected from a single tree, located at the semi arid region of Karamkudi in Sivagangai district of Tamil Nadu, India. Seeds were separated and graded and uniform size was used for raising seedlings. Seedlings were raised in a mixture of unsterilized sand: Red soil: Farm Yard Manure (2: 1: 1) in polythene bag (Plate1-4). In order to find out suitable bio-inoculants and their combinations to achieve maximum overall growth and minimise the cost of seedling production of the following treatments were given seven days after germination.

*Azospirillum* and phosphobacterium

Lignite based carrier culture of *Azospirillum* (*Azospirillum brasilense*) and Phosphobacterium (*Bacillus megaterium* var.  
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Seedlings were randomly selected and height and basal diameter were recorded. Seedlings were carefully uprooted without disturbing the root system and washed in running tap water. Excess of water was wiped out by placing them between folds of blotting paper. The seedlings were cut at collar region, dried separately at 70°C in paper bags in hot air oven and biomass estimation (root and shoot dry weight) was carried out using top pan electronic balance.

Assessment of mycorrhizal infection

Mycorrhizal root infection was assessed following the procedure of Phillips and Hayman [27]. The root segments were placed in a 2.5% aqueous solution of KOH (w/v) and boiled in a water bath at 90°C for 15 minutes. The roots were rinsed in water and lightened in H2O2 (3 ml of 20% NH4OH in 30 ml H2O2) for 10-45 minutes. They were again thoroughly rinsed with water several times and acidified by soaking in 40 - 50 ml of 1% HCl for 3 min. Acidified roots were stained in an acidic glycerol solution (500 ml glycerol, 450 ml H2O2, 50 ml 1% HCl) containing 0.05% trypan blue. The trypan blue solution was poured off and the roots were de-stained in acidic glycerol at room temperature. The stained roots were mounted in a glass slide and percentage of infection was calculated.

Seedlings quality index

Seedlings Quality Index was calculated using the formula of Dickson et al. [28].

Seedlings Quality Index (SQI) = Total weight (g/plant)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height (cm)</th>
<th>Shoot weight (g/plant)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Root collar diameter (mm)</td>
<td>Root weight (g/plant)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Nutrient analysis

Plant samples were taken for the bio-chemical analysis. The oven-dried plant samples were ground to pass through a 0.5 millimeter plastic sieve before digestion.

Nitrogen and phosphorous

The dried plant material was ground in a mortar and pestle and the total nitrogen content was estimated by the conventional micro-Kjeldahl method [29]. Total phosphorus was estimated by the method of Fiski-Subba-Rao as modified by Bartlett [30].

Estimation of total potassium, calcium and magnesium

1 gram of plant sample was digested with tri-acid mixture with HNO3: H2SO4: HClO4 in the ratio of 9:2:1 until it became colorless. After digestion it was filtered and the volume was made up to 100 ml. Potassium in the extract was determined using a flame photometer [31]. Calcium and Magnesium were determined by the Versenate method as described by Jackson [31].

Estimation of chlorophyll and protein

Chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b and total chlorophyll content was estimated by the method of Yoshida et al. [32] and total protein by Lowry et al.[33].

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) and treatment means were separated using Duncan's Multiple Range Test (P< 0.05) [34].

Results

Seedling survival

100% germination and survival was recorded in E. indica seedlings in the nursery condition. Statistically, there is no variation between microbial inoculants seedings and control (Table 1).

Shoot length, root length and basal diameter

Significant differences in shoot length, root length and basal diameter were recorded in E. indica seedlings inoculated with the different microbial inoculants compared to the uninoculated control (Plate 2) (Table 1).
Shoot length

From the analysis of growth data the individual inoculation of *Azospirillum* (T1) treated seedlings was found to be the most effective in increasing the growth and biomass. Among all the treatments, the individual inoculation with *Azospirillum* (T1) recorded maximum shoot length increase (57.71%) over the control followed by combined inoculation of *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium (T4) with 45.00 % increase over control, 120 days after inoculation (Table 1).

Root length

Significant differences in root length were recorded in *E. indica* seedlings inoculated with different microbial inoculants compared to the uninoculated control (Table 1). From the analysis of growth data, the individual inoculation of *Azospirillum* (T1) was found to be the most effective in increasing the root length of seedlings.

Among all the treatments, the individual inoculation with *Azospirillum* (T1) showed maximum root length 15.82 cm (41.76% increase over the control). The combined inoculation of *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium+ AMF (T6) showed highest root length and was statistically on a par with *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium (T4) inoculated seedlings. *Azospirillum* (T1) showed maximum root length 15.82 cm (41.76% increase over control, 120 days after inoculation (Table 1).

Basal diameter

*Azospirillum* (T1) inoculated seedlings showed for better growth than other single treatment (74.54% increases over the control). The combined inoculation of *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium + AMF (T1) showed significantly higher growth statistically on a par with *Azospirillum* (T1) inoculated seedlings. Among the double inoculation *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium (T5), *Azospirillum* + AMF (T1) and Phosphobacterium + AMF (T6) registered higher levels of basal diameter. Phosphobacterium (T1) and AMF (T6) inoculated seedlings showed similar growth over other treatments (Table 1).

Shoot biomass

The data pertaining to dry matter accumulation of shoot, root and total biomass are presented in Table 2. Significant differences were observed among the treatments evaluated 120 days after inoculation. The highest biomass in the shoot was recorded in seedlings inoculated with *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium + AMF (T1). It was statistically on a par with seedlings treated with *Azospirillum* (T4). They registered 71.30% and 61.55% increase over control (Table 2).

Root biomass

Statistically highly significant difference was found in different type of microbial inoculation on root biomass of *Erythrina indica* seedlings. Inoculation of *Azospirillum* (T1) alone and in combination with other inoculants was found to significantly increase root biomass when compared to other treatments. Root biomass was highest in *Azospirillum* (T1) followed by *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium + AMF (T7) (Table 2).

Total biomass of seedling

Seedling biomass was the highest in the *Azospirillum* (T1) treated seedlings and it was 65.23% more than that of the control and it was statistically on a par with seedlings treated with *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium + AM (T7). In the dual inoculation seedlings inoculated in combination with *Azospirillum* recorded more biomass than the control (Table 2).

Seedling quality index

Good quality seedlings were obtained from seedlings inoculated with *Azospirillum* (T1). *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium + AMF (T1) showed the next highest seedling quality index, followed by *Azospirillum* + Phosphobacterium + AMF (T5). Among the double inoculations *Azospirillum* + AMF (T6) showed the highest seedling quality index (Figure 1 & Table 2).

### Table 1: Impact of bioinoculants on the seed germination and growth of *Erythrina indica* seedlings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Seed Germination (%)</th>
<th>Collar diameter (mm plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>Shoot height (cm plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>Root height (cm plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total height (cm plant⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>1.112</td>
<td>40.20</td>
<td>15.82</td>
<td>56.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.893</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>12.16</td>
<td>42.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.779</td>
<td>26.29</td>
<td>12.18</td>
<td>38.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.831</td>
<td>36.96</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>50.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>31.74</td>
<td>13.29</td>
<td>45.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>12.32</td>
<td>38.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>35.31</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>49.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>25.49</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>36.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by a common letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at the 5 % level by DMRT

### Table 2: Impact of bioinoculants on the dry matter production and seedling quality index of *Erythrina indica* seedlings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Shoot dry weight (gram/ plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>Root dry weight (gram/ plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>Total dry weight (gram/ plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>Seedling quality index</th>
<th>AM fungal colonization (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>12.10a</td>
<td>6.67a</td>
<td>18.77a</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>7.63a</td>
<td>4.02a</td>
<td>11.65a</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>7.49a</td>
<td>4.13a</td>
<td>11.62a</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>11.09a</td>
<td>4.90a</td>
<td>15.99a</td>
<td>0.251</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>10.13a</td>
<td>4.69a</td>
<td>14.82a</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>8.50a</td>
<td>3.71a</td>
<td>12.21a</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>12.83a</td>
<td>5.96a</td>
<td>18.79a</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>6.23a</td>
<td>3.87a</td>
<td>9.00a</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by a common letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at the 5 % level by DMRT
Mycorrhizal infection

Mycorrhizal infection was found only in seedlings inoculated with AM fungi and the combined inoculation of Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF (T7) showed higher levels of infection followed by AMF (T3) inoculated seedlings (Plate 3 & Table 2).

Total chlorophyll content

Total chlorophyll content was found to be maximum in the seedlings inoculated with Azospirillum (4.650 mg/g fresh weight of leaves) followed by Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF (3.49 mg/g fresh weight of leaves) (Table 3).

Protein content

Among all the treatments, protein content in tissue of Erythrina seedlings was found to be maximum in the seedlings produced from single application of Azospirillum (0.048 mg/plant) and triple application of Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF (0.075 mg/plant) (Table 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Protein (mg/gram fresh weight)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll a (mg/g fresh weight)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll b (mg/g fresh weight)</th>
<th>Chlorophyll b (mg/g fresh weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>0.04684</td>
<td>1.06576</td>
<td>0.81452</td>
<td>1.88028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>0.03996</td>
<td>0.94088</td>
<td>0.61456</td>
<td>1.56444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>0.03108</td>
<td>0.81484</td>
<td>0.68748</td>
<td>1.50232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>0.05328</td>
<td>1.0466</td>
<td>0.9874</td>
<td>2.03400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>0.06216</td>
<td>1.02744</td>
<td>0.9798</td>
<td>2.00724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>0.06660</td>
<td>0.94332</td>
<td>0.76024</td>
<td>1.70356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>0.07548</td>
<td>1.0592</td>
<td>0.8602</td>
<td>1.9194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>0.02222</td>
<td>0.9151</td>
<td>0.5156</td>
<td>1.4307</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatments: T1 - Azospirillum; T2 - Phosphobacterium; T3 - AMF; T4 - Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium; T5 - Azospirillum + AMF; T6 - Phosphobacterium + AMF; T7 - Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF; T8 - Control

Table 3: Impact of bioinoculants on chlorophyll and protein content (mg/plant) of Erythrina indica seedlings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Biomass (gram/plant⁻¹)</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>P (%)</th>
<th>K (%)</th>
<th>Ca (%)</th>
<th>Mg (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>18.77*</td>
<td>2.13c (0.399)</td>
<td>0.108c (0.020)</td>
<td>1.423d (0.267)</td>
<td>1.540f (0.289)</td>
<td>0.49c (0.091)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>11.65*</td>
<td>2.00b (0.233)</td>
<td>0.103b (0.011)</td>
<td>1.400b (0.163)</td>
<td>1.423c (0.165)</td>
<td>0.40b (0.046)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>11.62*</td>
<td>2.00b (0.232)</td>
<td>0.103b (0.011)</td>
<td>1.400b (0.162)</td>
<td>1.340b (0.155)</td>
<td>0.41b (0.047)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>15.99*</td>
<td>2.10bc (0.335)</td>
<td>0.108bc (0.017)</td>
<td>1.403b (0.224)</td>
<td>1.432c (0.228)</td>
<td>0.43b (0.068)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>14.82*</td>
<td>2.10bc (0.311)</td>
<td>0.103b (0.015)</td>
<td>1.402b (0.207)</td>
<td>1.447d (0.214)</td>
<td>0.41b (0.060)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>12.21*</td>
<td>2.00b (0.244)</td>
<td>0.108b (0.013)</td>
<td>0.455b (0.171)</td>
<td>1.500e (0.183)</td>
<td>0.46c (0.056)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>18.79*</td>
<td>2.15c (0.404)</td>
<td>0.107c (0.020)</td>
<td>1.416c (0.266)</td>
<td>1.502e (0.282)</td>
<td>0.46c (0.086)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>9.00*</td>
<td>1.89a (0.170)</td>
<td>0.099a (0.009)</td>
<td>1.225a (0.110)</td>
<td>1.235a (0.111)</td>
<td>0.37a (0.033)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means followed by a common letter(s) in the same column are not significantly different at the 5 % level by DMRT

Figures are parentheses and nutrient uptake (g/plant)

Treatments: T1 - Azospirillum; T2 - Phosphobacterium; T3 - AMF; T4 - Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium; T5 - Azospirillum + AMF; T6 - Phosphobacterium + AMF; T7 - Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF; T8 - Control

Table 4: Dry matter production, nutrient concentration (%) and nutrient uptake (mg/plant) of Erythrina indica seedlings inoculated with biofertilizers in nursery condition.
plant) followed by Phosphobacterium + AMF (0.066mg/plant) treatment (Table 3).

Nutrient uptake

Nutrient concentration in plant tissue and nutrient uptake of was higher in seedling inoculated with Azospirillum (T.), treated seedlings and it was statistically on a par with triple inoculation of Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF. Among all the treatments, the individual inoculation with Azospirillum (T.) recorded as 12.85% of N, 9% of P, 16% of K 24% of Ca and 32 % of Mg was higher than that of control. Similarly, higher percentage of seedling inoculated with Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium + AMF (Table 4).

Discussion

Biologically active products, more appropriately called microbial inoculations, containing active strains of a selective microorganisms like Azospirillum, Phosphobacterium, Arbuscular mycorrhizae alone or in combination, help plant growth through different mechanisms, including biological nitrogen fixation and solubilization of insoluble phosphate fertilizer. In the present study, the height, diameter and dry matter and quality seedlings were higher in the Erythrina seedlings inoculated with bioinoculants. The increase of growth may be attributed to high accumulation of chlorophyll and protein in the plant tissue.

Nitrogen fixing bacteria of the genus Azospirillum have promoted plant growth of agronomically important field crops by 10 to 30% in the field experiment [35,36] crop yield increase in germination rate, plant height, leaf size [37] enhanced minerals and water uptake, increased dry matter accumulation, root surface area, root diameter density and root hair [38] to support the earlier reports. In the present study, Azospirillum inoculated seedlings showed better growth and root biomass when compared to the control. Growth may be attributed due to increased root biomass and accumulation of nitrogen [39], and the production of gibberellins and cytokinin like substances [40] which promote the growth of the seedlings. The above results corroborate with earlier studies on quality seedling production of Casuarina equisetifolia [22], Moringa oleifera [23], Acacia nilotica [24] Delonix regia [26].

Growth promoting effect of inoculation with Azospirillum and Phosphobacterium alone or dual inoculation with both non symbiotic biofertilizers was found in several tree species such as Casuarina [22,41] Casuaria trees treated in farm forestry [42] Moringa oleifera [23]. In the present study Phosphobacterium inoculated seedlings produced better plant height, stem girth and total biomass. It may be due to inoculation of phosphate solubilizing microorganism Bacillus megaterium which has shown stable and consistent capacity to solubilize insoluble phosphorus and thus making it available to plants.

Phosphate plays a major role in the root development [43]. Stribley [44] reported that P seems to be the most important nutrient involved, other nutrients such as N, P, K, Ca, and Mg are translocated along with AM hyphae. Inoculation with AM fungi is known to enhance plant growth by improving the mineral nutrient of the host plant [45]. In the present study mycorrhizal infection in roots of seedlings were found only in the inoculated seedlings. It is also recorded that growth medium needs bioinoculants and AMF inoculated seedlings had improved growth and nutrient content especially P uptake in the present result corroborate with earlier reports by Verma and Jamaluddin [46]. And seedlings treated with AM fungi on Azadiracta indica, Rajendran et al. [22] in Casuaria equisetifolia, Rajendran and Jayasree [24] in Acacia nilotica, Meenakshisundaram et al. [26] in Delonix regia. This can be attributed to the increased absorbing surface area due to extensive external network of mycelium produced by the VAM fungi in association with the host root system [47].

In the present study dual inoculation of AMF with Phosphobacterium influence the growth and biomass of Erythrina seedlings. It is relevant to mention here that Phosphobacterium by virtue of its capacity to multiply certain growth promoting substances like IAA and GA might induce the growth of Erythrina seedlings [48,49]. Among all the treatments are combined inoculations of Azospirillum + Phosphobacterium +AMF produced excellent growth, biomass and tissue nutrient concentration. The greater height, diameter and dry matter of the Erythrina seedlings due to co-inoculation of all the biofertilizers might strongly improve accumulation of nitrogen due to Azospirillum [50], more phosphorus uptake by Phosphobacterium [43] and VAM fungi [51].

The total chlorophyll and soluble protein content was found to be maximum in the seedlings inoculated with Azospirillum. This increase is in agreement with other findings [52] and was attributed [53] to the greater supply of nitrogen to growing tissues. Similarly increase in chlorophyll and soluble protein content was also recorded in shola species [54] with inoculation of Azospirillum+ Phosphobacterium.

Conclusion

Increasing dry land farming and development technologies for arid lands with soil related constraints now acquire new importance and emerge as new frontiers for agricultural and farm forestry development. Increased agro and farm forestry production has to come through the adoption of better management technology. Long-term sustainability in agriculture and forestry is possible only through the use of low cost farm grown inputs, which work in harmony with nature. Biofertilizers act as perpetually renewable inputs helping in better tree crop nutrient management and maintenance of soil health, better soil and water management leading to improved forestry practices. It is inferred that under appropriate technology, the use of efficient microbial inoculants yield increased growth and biomass of Erythrina seedlings. The present study clearly shows that the application of Azospirillum plays a significant role in increasing the growth response of Erythrina seedlings in a stipulated period, thereby producing good quality planting stock. These treated seedlings may perform better in nutrient impoverished soil too.
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