Estimating Risk of Mortality from Cardiovascular Diseases using Negative Binomial Regression
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Abstract

Studies have demonstrated that exposure to moderate-to-high levels of ionizing radiations can cause most forms of cancer, leukemia, cardiovascular diseases, stroke, etc., especially at young ages. Hence, study of exposure to radiation has been the subject of intense epidemiological investigation. For experimentation purpose, we have used Hiroshima and Nagasaki atomic bomb survivor data, 1950-2003, Br Med J. 2010, 340:193. We have found this count cohort data to be over dispersed, which has led us to experiment negative binomial regression modelling on it, as it is over-dispersed in itself. We have estimated risk of cardiovascular data w.r.t. dose-category of radiation. As per our model, we have found that doses above 1.25 Gy are associated with an elevated risk of cardiovascular diseases, but the degree of risk at lower doses is unclear.
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Introduction

Radiation is energy in the form of high speed particles and electromagnetic waves. Everyone is exposed to radiation, in either artificial or natural form [1]. Radiation is classified as ionizing and non-ionizing radiation. Ionizing radiation is radiation with enough energy so that during an interaction with an atom it can remove bound electrons, i.e., it can ionize atoms, e.g. X-Rays and electrons. Non-ionizing radiation is radiation without enough energy to remove bound electrons from their orbits around atoms, e.g. Microwaves and Visible light. The ionizing radiation interacts with the cells and damages them, resulting in malignant growth in the body, which later, in most of the cases, turns into cancer. Studying impact of radiation on subjects, to check whether it is causing cancer, cardiovascular diseases or stroke to exposed subjects or not, falls under general epidemiological study [2]. Epidemiology is the study of the distribution and determinants of disease prevalence in man. Epidemiologists seek to describe the populations at risk and to discover the causes of diseases. This entails quantification of the risk of disease and its relationship to known or suspected causal factors. In radiation epidemiology, exposure to radiation is the factor of primary interest, and epidemiologists seek to relate risk of disease to different levels and patterns of radiation exposure. Epidemiological studies have been of particular importance in assessing the potential human health risks associated with radiation exposure. If the disease rate is higher among those exposed to radiation, there is a positive association. If the disease rate is higher among the unexposed group, there is a negative (inverse) association between radiation exposure and disease. This is further defined in more precise way by calculating risk of disease w.r.t. particular causal factor.

Data Set Used

For experimentation purpose, we have used Hiroshima and Nagasaki atomic bomb survivor data, 1950-2003, Br Med J. 2010, 340:193. The data set is a detailed tabulation of person-years, casescounts, and summary data constructed from data on individual survivors [3]. The cohort for analysis includes 86,661 survivors. Data on individual survivors are stratified by city, sex, age at exposure, attained age, calendar time, and dose. The first six variables, namely, city, sex, age, sex, age, cat, age cat, and dosecat include the cell-specific numbers of subjects entering the study, numbers of person-years, and mean values for age at exposure, attained age, and radiation dose (colon). The next eight variables are circulatory disease (cvd), stroke, heart, othcvd, Circulatory Disease (conCVD), constroke, conheartd and conothcvd, and gives disease death counts. Among these different independent causal factors, we are defining risk of cardiovascular disease, stroke and heart diseases mainly w.r.t. Dosecat (DS02 weighted colon dose categories (i.e. gamma+10*neutron).

Risk Estimation Models

Statistical procedures aim at the following:

i. To estimate the effects of risk factor(s) on rates by condensing the information present in the large set of incidence or mortality rates into a few summary measures.

ii. Evaluating the statistical significance of the effect estimates at different levels of exposure so as to rule out the possibility that the observed differences in rates were due to play of chance.

iii. Estimation of risk factor effects.

iv. Test of Hypothesis. To estimate risk we have used multiplicative model of risk estimation.

Multiplicative risk model

The basic data consists of the counts of deaths \(d_{jk}\) and the person-years denominators \(n_{jk}\) in each cell, together with \(p\)-dimensional row vectors \(x_{j} = (x_{j1}, \ldots, x_{jp})\) of regression variables [4].

A general form of the multiplicative model is:

\[ \log \frac{d_{jk}}{n_{jk}} = \gamma + x_{jk}^T \beta \]
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The Poisson regression model is the most basic model that explicitly takes into account the nonnegative integer-valued aspect of the dependent count variable [9]. In this model, the probability of an event count \( y_i \), given the vector of covariates \( x_i \), is given by the Poisson distribution:

\[
P(Y_i = y_i|X_i) = e^{-\mu_i} \frac{\mu_i^y}{y!} \quad \text{if} 
\]

where \( \lambda_i = \alpha_i + x_i' \beta \) is a nonnegative linear predictor.

The Poisson regression model assumes that the data are equally dispersed, that is, the conditional variance equals the conditional mean. Real-life data are often characterized by over-dispersion, that is, the variance exceeds the mean. The negative binomial regression model is a generalization of the Poisson regression model that allows for over-dispersion by introducing an unobserved heterogeneity term for observation \( i \). Observations are assumed to differ randomly in a manner that is not fully accounted for by the observed covariates.

In the negative binomial model,

\[
E(y_i|X_i = \tau) = \mu_i \tau = e^{\theta \tau}
\]

where \( \tau \) follows a gamma(\( \theta \), \( \theta \)) distribution with \( E(\tau) = 1 \) and \( V(\tau) = 1/\theta \).

Existing Model

Radiation effects Research Foundation has done very important work in the context of radiation exposure and circulatory disease and has published in 2010 [10]. The main outcome of the disease has been attributed to higher levels of radiation exposure. According to [10], for stroke the estimated relative excess risk per gray was 9% (95% confidence interval 1% to 17%, \( p=0.02 \)). Similarly for heart diseases, the estimated relative risk was 14% (6% to 23%, \( p<0.001 \)) [10]. The results of the work indicated that linear dose-response model provided the best fit over dose values of 0.5 Gv. Moreover prospective data on smoking, alcohol intake, education, occupation, obesity and diabetes had almost no impact on the radiation risk estimates for either stroke or heart disease and misdiagnosis of cancers as circulatory diseases could not account for the associations seen. In [10], the analysis was done using Poisson Regression methods for grouped survival data to describe the dependence of risk on radiation dose and to evaluate the variation of the dose-response effects with respect to city, sex, age at exposure, time since exposure, attained age, which is essentially identical to the methods used to examine mortality from cancer in this cohort. The modelling was done using EPICURE software which was developed, that allows for modelling of both relative and absolute risks, including fitting the linear RR models. The primary models used here are the Excess Relative Risk (ERR) models of the form

\[
\lambda((c,s,a,b) - [1 + ERR(d,e,s,a)]
\]

where \( \lambda_i \) is the baseline, or background death rate (that is the death rate for people with zero dose), which depended on the city(\( c \)), sex(\( s \)), attained age(\( a \)) and birth year(\( b \)). The function \( ERR(d,e,s,a) \) describes the relative changes in rates associated with dose(\( d \)), allowing for effects of sex, age at exposure (\( e \)), and attained age (\( a \)). The effect modifiers were examined too in [10]. The dose and dose squared terms were examined to evaluate the degree of linearity or curvature in the dose-response forms. In addition to these models, linear threshold model was evaluated for a wide range of possible values of threshold dose (\( d_0 \)), modeling the risk function ERR on doses \( d \) as \( \beta(d - d_0) \) for \( d > d_0 \) or \( d = 0 \) for \( d < d_0 \). In radiation epidemiology [11,12], Linear
Relative Risk Model plays a prominent part, in which the RR is a linear function of dose. In its simplest form

\[ RR(D) = 1 + \beta(D) \]

where \( D \) is the dose, \( RR(D) \) is the relative risk at dose \( D \), and \( \beta \) is the ERR per unit of dose, which is usually expressed in grays or sieverts. The linear model has been used extensively in A-bomb studies, person exposed for medical reasons, and nuclear workers. The model has served as the basis of cancer risk estimation by three BEIR committees and by the National Institute of Health (NIH 2003). The linear model has been chosen because it fits the data from most studies (although in many studies, statistical power is inadequate to distinguish among different dose-response functions).

Negative Binomial Regression Over Poisson Regression

It is often observed that count data is over-dispersed. The data is said to be over-dispersed when the variance of the data is greater than its mean [13]. So, in our case, we have checked for the over-dispersion of count data, i.e. for cvd, stroke and heartd. In case of cvd, variance is about ten times greater than the mean. For stroke and heard, variance is about five times greater than its mean. This implies that the provided count data is over-dispersed.

Assumptions in Poisson regression model - In our case, we can assume that we can specify variance as follows [11]

\[ \text{Var} (Y) = \phi \ E(Y) = \phi \mu \]

In case of Poisson it is assumed that \( \phi = 1 \). Hence, even if we have situation where \( \phi >1 \), Poisson’s estimations will be consistent. Thus, Poisson standard errors tend to be conservative in the presence of over-dispersion.

The negative binomial distribution is best known as the distribution of the number of failures before \( k \) successes in a series of Bernoulli trials, with common probability of success \( \pi \). The resulting density can be obtained from the expression above setting \( \alpha = k \) and \( \pi = \beta / (\mu + \beta) \).

The negative binomial distribution with \( \alpha = \beta = 1/\sigma^2 \) has mean

\[ E(Y) = \mu \]

And variance

\[ \text{Var} (Y) = \mu / (1 + \sigma^2 \mu) \]

If \( \sigma^2 \) is zero we obtain the Poisson variance.

If \( \sigma^2 > 0 \), then the variance is larger than the mean. Thus, the negative binomial distribution is over-dispersed relative to the Poisson.

Thus we have chosen negative binomial regression with multiplicative model for estimating risk.

Experimental Results

Using regression subset methods, we have found that age at exposure category, age, city, sex, dose category are the most significant causal factor, which elevates the risk of all the cardiovascular diseases together. Figure 1 shows graph of Relative Risk w.r.t. dose category.

Conclusion

We have built a model using negative binomial regression whose results are then compared with results of existing Poisson model using certain parameters. Summary of comparison is tabulated in Table 1. From the above Table, we can say that negative binomial regression
fits the given data of atomic bomb blast survivor of Japan better than Poisson regression model.

**Future Work**

We are seeking some other regression techniques to fit given data. Negative binomial regression model (additive), Zero inflated negative binomial regression model seems to be one possible way. Our count data has over-dispersion and excess zeros [14]. Zero inflated count models provide a parsimonious yet powerful way to model this type of situation. Such models assume that the data are a mixture of two separate data generation processes: one generates only zeros, and the other is either a Poisson or a negative binomial data-generating process. The result of a Bernoulli trial can be used to determine which of the two processes generates an observation.
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