Vitrification is an integral part of assisted reproduction. For years the application of slow freezing has made the cryopreservation of zygotes and embryos successful, giving to thousands of patients the opportunity to increase the effectiveness of in-vitro fertilization (IVF) cycles [1-3]. Recently, the introduction of vitrification in the everyday clinical practice entailed a higher success in the cryopreservation of embryos and mostly of oocytes [4,5]. Vitrification is considered to be more efficient than the slow freezing. This is a quicker and simpler technique that achieves higher survival rate of embryos and oocytes [6,7]. Thus, vitrification gave a further impulse to IVF attempts.

The introduction of vitrification into IVF came along with an enhancement of the relevant research. As a result, new vitrification protocols and devices have appeared aiming to improve further the success rates of cryo cycles [8-11].

In the present study, rat oocytes were vitrified with a new vitrification protocol that was compared with another well-established and commercially available protocol. The main outcome measure was the survival rate immediately after thawing and 48 hours later.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the Laboratory of Physiology, School of Medicine, Democritus University of Thrace and its design was approved by the Ethical Committee of Democritus University of Thrace.

Ten female Wistar rats (Rattus norvegicus) from the Unit of experimental animals of the Laboratory of Physiology (School of Medicine, Democritus University of Thrace) were used. The rats were housed single, in cages 20 cm×15 cm×10 cm, 12 hours light, constant temperature and were fed ad libidum. The rats underwent ovarian stimulation with 30 IU of human menopausal gonadotropins (Altermon, IBSA, Switzerland) i.p.; 48 hours later, they were sacrificed, the ovaries were excised and placed in pre-warmed dishes with PBS (Carl Roth, Denmark). The follicles were punctured with a needle and the cumulus-oocyte complexes were transferred into a center-well dish with Global (Lifeglobal, Canada) and incubated in humid atmosphere, 37°C and 5% CO2, for one to two hours until vitrification.

The McGill Cryoleaf (Origio, Denmark) was used in the control group according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

In both groups, the McGill Cryoleaf (Origio, Denmark) was used as vitrification device.

In the second group, it was used an experimental vitrification protocol consisted of two solutions. The first solution (solution 1) was composed of 7.5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Carl Roth, Denmark), 7.5% ethyleneglycole (EG) (Carl Roth, Denmark), 15% human serum albumin (Octapharma, Germany) and 70% Ham’s F10 (Sigma Aldrich, Denmark). The second solution (solution 2) was composed of 15% DMSO, 15% EG, 15% human serum albumin and 55% Ham’s F10. Both solutions were at room temperature. The oocytes were placed in solution 1 for three minutes and in solution 2 for 45 seconds. Then, they were quickly loaded in the vitrification device and plunged into liquid nitrogen.

The Medicult vitrification cooling and warming kit (Origio, Denmark) was used in the control group according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

The two vitrification protocols achieved similar survival rates just after thawing. However, after 48 hours incubation of thawed oocytes, the standard method had higher survival rates, than the in-house one.

Conclusion:
The two vitrification protocols achieved similar survival rates just after thawing. However, after 48 hours incubation of thawed oocytes, the standard method had higher survival rates, than the in-house one.
The experimental thawing protocol consisted of two solutions. The first thawing solution (TS1) was composed of 1M sucrose (Carl Roth, Denmark), 15% human serum albumin and 85% Ham's F10. The second thawing solution (TS2) was composed of 0.5M sucrose, 15% human serum albumin and 85% Ham's F10. TS1 was placed in a center-well dish and pre-warmed at 37°C. TS2 was at room temperature. The device with the vitrified oocytes was immersed in TS1, the oocytes were released and remained in TS1 for one minute. Then, they were transferred into TS2 for three minutes. After this, they were washed three times in a center-well dish with PBS, transferred into a drop of Global and placed in the incubator (37°C, humidified atmosphere, 5% CO₂).

Immediately after thawing and 48 hours later, the appearance of the oocytes was examined using an inverted microscope and a stereoscope. Survival was assessed based on the morphological integrity of oocytes.

The statistical analysis was carried out by means of chi-square test using the statistical package Statistica 6.0 (StatSoft, Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results

In each group, 40 metaphase II oocytes were vitrified. The outcome of vitrification and thawing for both groups is depicted in table 1.

In the control group, 62.5% of oocytes survived just after thawing. During thawing, seven oocytes didn’t survive in dilution 1 solution and one in dilution 2. 48 hours later, the survival rate in the control group decreased to 55%.

In the experimental group, during vitrification, oocytes underwent a partial shrinkage in solution1 and a drastic reduction of their volume in solution 2 (Figure 1). During thawing, oocytes gained their original volume very fast in TS2 (Figure 2). Just after thawing, 60% of oocytes were alive; during thawing, in the TS1 12 oocytes and in the TS2 4 oocytes didn’t survive. 48 hours later, the survival rate in the experimental group decreased to 45%.

The difference between the two groups was statistically significant, only for the survival rate 48 hours after thawing (p=0.08).

Discussion

Many studies have been carried out in order to create a vitrification protocol that will be as harmless as possible for oocytes and embryos [4,5,8-12]. In this work, we designed and tested a new protocol with a short time of exposure in vitrification and thawing solutions in order to reduce the danger of toxicity.

The survival rate just after thawing was similar in the two groups (p=0.18). However, after 48 hours incubation, the control group had a better survival rate (p=0.008). The lower effectiveness of the experimental protocol was probably due to the shorter vitrification and thawing procedure, which exposed the oocytes to a higher osmotic pressure.

Namely, the vitrification and the thawing process in the experimental group were quicker than the control group, with less consecutive steps. From observations during the experiment, oocytes in the experimental group recovered faster the initial volume, while in the control group the recovery was gradual. This gradual recovery of cytoplasm, probably contributed to the higher survival rate in the control group.

Table 1: Survival of rat oocytes after vitrification and thawing with the protocol of MediCult (Origio, Denmark) (control group) or the experimental protocol (experimental group). *: p=0.18, **: p=0.008.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oocytes</th>
<th>Control group</th>
<th>Experimental group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vitrified</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survived after thawing</td>
<td>25 (62.5%)*</td>
<td>24 (60%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survived after 48 hours of incubation</td>
<td>22 (55%)**</td>
<td>18 (45%)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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