Evolution of the Residual Risk of Transmitting HIV, HCV and HBV in the Blood Transfusion from 1998 to 2009 in Cote d’Ivoire

Adeoti FM*, Oyourou AO1, Sirancy-Bogui L1, Konate S1 and Sess ED2

1Head of Clinical Biochemistry Unit, Central Laboratory Specialty: Physician, Medical Biochemistry, Yopougon Teaching Hospital, Abidjan, Cote d’Ivoire
2Director of the National Blood Transfusion Centre of Côte d’Ivoire Specialty: Physician, Transfusion Medicine, National Blood Transfusion Center, Abidjan, Cote d’Ivoire
3Director of the Laboratory of Medical Biochemistry, Specialty: Physician, Medical Biochemistry, Cocody Teaching Hospital, Abidjan, Cote d’Ivoire

Abstract

Prevention of viral contamination of blood from blood bags collected remains a concern finds strategies traceability of blood products and blood safety in Côte d’Ivoire. Thus, the authors have estimated the residual risk of transmission of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), Hepatitis B virus (HBV) and Hepatitis C (HCV) among blood donors in four periods of three years, 1998-2000, 2001-2003, 2004-2006, 2007-2009 from data collected at the blood establishments in the national territory.

The determination of HIV antibodies against the hepatitis C virus and the surface antigen of hepatitis B (HBsAg) were carried out by immuno-enzymatic techniques plate (ELISA) associated with the residual risk assessment.

The results showed a gradual decrease of the residual risk of HIV to be the lowest in the period 2007-2009 with 12 per 100 000 or 1 per 8333 donations. Residual risk of hepatitis B and C have known an increase during the different periods to be respectively 219 to 100 000 or 1 457 and 1180 per 100 000 or 1 per 85 donations over the period 2007-2009. The residual risk of HCV is 98 times higher than HIV and 18 times that of hepatitis B.

These results show that efforts must be continued in the steps of donor selection, confirmation of screening test for viral contamination and assessment of residual risk of viral transmission during blood transfusion.
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Introduction

Blood safety in Africa and particularly in Côte d’Ivoire, is a public health problem that led to the adoption of strict measures both on recruitment and selection of blood donors, the biological qualification of the gift which, thanks to a series of systematic screening, to remove the infection and gifts to exclude donors with these infections.

Serologic screening is primarily to identify indicators of pathogens in the body, means of different tests. It allows a quantitative and qualitative approach. It is used as a diagnostic tool, as a screening tool (AIDS, Hepatitis, etc.) and as an epidemiological tool.

So today, the risk of infection through transfusion of the AIDS virus as hepatitis B virus (HBV) and C (HCV) has decreased significantly through the application of preventive measures and to improve the sensitivity detection reagents sérologique [1]. However, there remains a residual risk, very low, but persistent and this, despite the measures for donor selection and screening of biomarkers.

This risk can have several causes related to error handling is very weak [2], a variant not recognized by certain reagents such as HIV-1 group O [3], a chronic carrier of the virus that has not developed anticoverts [4], a subject recently infected that would give his blood before the markers of infection are apparus [5,6].

In general, it is recognized that post-transfusion residual risk is mainly related to the window period, represented by blood donations made during the period between infection and the appearance of serological marker of this infection (anti HIV, HCV and HBV etc.).

The residual risk of virus transmission by transfusion is only for labile blood products (PSL) [7], that is to say, essentially, cellular products, the red blood cells and platelets that cannot undergo inactivation virus like this is applied to plasma and its derivatives (so-called stable).

The purpose of this study was to estimate the residual risk among regular blood donors by serologic screening for identifying attitudes and precautions when removing the donor, and during a transfusion itself to improve blood safety, but also during the preparation of blood components and biological qualification of donations.

Material and Methods


It concerned 493,288 donations during these periods. These data were taken from the information processing system Progesa 4.4d version of MAK-SYSTEM, and were then processed in the databases software’s Acores 2000 and Excel 2000.
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They were for regular donors, donors with at least two blood donations during the periods of study and who test negative for the markers studied (HIV, HBV and HCV) in the first donation. Incidents cases for which the gift was not transfused earlier due to the presence of other markers (ALT or anti-Hob) were excluded from analysis.

Assessment of residual risk

The method [8] is based on the calculation of incidence rates (expressed per 100 000 person-years [PY]).

The incidence rate is the number of donors who have sero-converted between the different periods (1st January 1998 and 31st December 2000, 1st January 2001 and 31st December 2003, 1st January 2004 and 31st December 2006 and 1st January, 2007 and 31st December, 2009) among donors who have given blood at least twice in the same period, divided by the number of Person Years (P-Y).

The number of P-Y is the sum of intervals in days between the first and the last donation of each donor during the period of study, divided by 365. Residual risk = Incidence rate x (silent window period/365).

The window period used for the calculations were based on the 22 days used for the calculation of incidence rates for HBs Ag [9].

Biological analysis of blood donation

The diagnosis of HIV infection for all donations was based on two repeat reactive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays. HIV infection was confirmed and HIV-1 and HIV-2 were discriminated in another blood sample using two ELISAs.

The serums with anti-HCV antibodies were detected in ELISA. Only positive reproductible serums were considered as positive.

Concerning the HBV, the HBs antigen (Ag HBs) was detected in ELISA. Only positive reproductible serums were considered as positive.

Results

Evolution of positive donations

In theses four periods of 3 years, on the bases of criteria, we selected 109, 265 blood donations during 1998-2000, 2001-2003, 2004-2006 and 2007-2009. Among regular donors, the number of donations positive for HIV is the one with the largest decline over the study period of 10 years (1989-2009). It was divided by 26.

For donations tested positive for HBs, it was more or less stable over the period and increased since 2005. In 2009, the rate is 2.4 times higher than in 2004 although it began to decline in 2008.

About donations for HCV positive after declining from 1998 to 2001, it has gradually increased from 2006 to 2009. It even doubled before falling to 68% in 2009. Thus in 2008, the rate of donations tested positive for HCV is the one with the largest decline over the study period of 10 years (1989-2009). It was divided by 26.

Evolution of residual risk

The residual risk of HIV has decreased over the years to be the lowest among the different residual risks in the period 2007-2009 with one per 8333 donations.

The anti-HBc antibody should have been a better marker compared to the HBs Ag for locating all the HBV infections. But the high prevalence of HBc Ab in the African adult population (almost 70%) and the lack of the confirmation test make this marker unusable for the objective to reach; and a correction has been made in order to take the transitional nature to the HBs Ag into account.

Owing to this lack of data at the NBTC of Côte d’Ivoire, we used the published data according to which only 40% of the HBV infections are detected by the HBs Ag on the next donation [10].

Reagents used during the different periods are contained in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>VIH</th>
<th>VHB</th>
<th>VHC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1998-2000</td>
<td>SANOFI HIV UBIVIH</td>
<td>MONOLISA HBS Ag ULTRA</td>
<td>MUREX Anti HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORGANON HIV GENSGREEN HIV MUREX HIV Ag/Ab recombination</td>
<td>ORGANON Ag HBs HEPANOSTIKA Ag HBs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001-2003</td>
<td>MUREX HIV Ag/Ab recombination GENSGREEN HIV ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>MONOLISA HBS Ag ULTRA</td>
<td>MUREX Anti HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GENSGREEN HIV ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>ORGANON Ag HBs HEPANOSTIKA Ag HBs</td>
<td>INNOTEST HCV UBI-HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004-2006</td>
<td>MUREX HIV Ag/Ab recombination GENSGREEN HIV ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>MONOLISA HBS Ag ULTRA</td>
<td>MUREX Anti HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>ORGANON Ag HBs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007-2009</td>
<td>MUREX HIV Ag/Ab recombination GENSGREEN HIV ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>MONOLISA HBS Ag ULTRA</td>
<td>MUREX Anti HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GENSGREEN ULTRA HIV Ag/Ab</td>
<td>ORGANON Ag HBs</td>
<td>PLUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GENSGREEN HIV ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>MONOLISA Anti HCV</td>
<td>MUREX Anti HCV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GENSGREEN HIV ORGANON HIV</td>
<td>Anti HCV</td>
<td>Anti HCV Reagent KIT (ARCHITECT)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: List of reagents used according to viral markers from 1998 to 2009.
Residual risk of hepatitis B and C increased during the different periods and are respectively one per 457 donations and 1 per 85 donations over the period 2007-2009. The residual risk of HCV is 98 times that of HIV and 18 times that of hepatitis B (Table 2).

### Discussion

The prevalence of hepatitis increased from 0.46% in 1998 to 1.19% in 2009. These rates are however inferior to those found in the same establishment by Konan [11] (12.6%) and Yohou [12] (10.5%).

This difference can be explained by the fact that our donors are regular donors, donor loyalty to reduce HIV prevalence. This difference is also confirmed by the prevalence of HBs in 11.6% reported in blood donors in Ghana [13], mostly new donors.

The decreasing of HIV-positive donations (divided by 26) in 10 years is the result of awareness-raising and prevention of HIV infection taken in the general population and those taken to enhance the selection of donors.

An underestimation of the residual risk of the HIV transmission through blood components is due to the fact that the calculation does not take all the donations into account but only those from donors who gave their blood at least twice during the periods of 3 years.

Indeed, two French studies have shown that the incidence of HIV among new donors was twice superior [14,15]. The residual risk due to HIV was estimated to one per 2857 for the 1998-2000 period, one per 2128 for the 2001-2003 period, one per 5882 for the 2004-2006 and one per 8333 for the 2007-2009 period.

Reducing the residual risk of over half the period 2001 - 2003 to 2004-2006 is due to the introduction in 2002 of a quality approach created specifically for good laboratory practices.

The residual risk due to HIV which estimated one per 8333 donations for the 2007-2009 periods decreased significantly since it was one per 2857 donations in 1998-2000. This decreasing risk is partly due to [16]: i) The improvement of the sensitivity of screening tests, ii) The use of tests screening antigens and antibodies which shortened the window, iii) The setting of a file for regular donors, and iv) selection of donors who are part of the setting of the quality approach.

The HIV transmission donations for the 2007-2009 periods (one per 8333 donations) remains superior that of Guinea (one per 8562 donations) [17]. However, this risk is higher than Touré-Fal [18] in Senegal over the period 2003-2005 was 3.5 per 100 000 donations in Senegal and much less than the rate of 1 per 100 donations in 1994 Kérouedan [19] in Côte d’Ivoire.

The residual risk due to HIV which estimated the one per 5780 donations for the 2002-2004 period by Ouattara et al. [16].

These residual risks are much higher than those observed in France (1 / 2 500 000) over the periods of 2000-2002 due to the existence of stringent measures for the prevention of HIV infection taken in the general population and donor selection, but mainly because of the improved sensitivity of serological tests that have reduced the window silently passing additional 10 days to 12 days and with the use of genomic screening viral [20].

The residual risk due to HBV was estimated to one per 1351 for the 1998-2000 periods, one per 1123 for the 2001-2003 period, one per 724 for the 2004-2006 and one per 456 for the 2007-2009 period.

There is a possible overestimation for the HBV linked risk. In fact, in order to take the transient presence of HBs Ag into account, the incidence rate of HBV infection were extrapolated from HBs Ag incidence rate of a factor of 2.5. Moreover the HBs Ag window period (56 days) used for the assessment of HBV residual risk was established from reagent (AUSTRIA II) which detection threshold was about 0.3 ng/ml [7,10]. But reagents used now are more sensitive because their detection threshold is inferior to 0,1 ng/ml reducing the window of about 10 days [21].

The residual risk of hepatitis B for the 2007-2009 period (one per 457 donations) is inferior to that of Guinea (1 / 121 donations) but higher than that of Senegal for 102.45 per 100 000 donations.

HCV, responsible for viral hepatitis, is a public health problem (according to WHO, 200 million people are infected, or 3% of world population) [22]. La prevalence among blood donors in Côte d’Ivoire is 4.2% [23].

The residual risk of hepatitis C is very high in our study in 1 per 85 donations over the period 2007-2009 in spite of the measures for donor selection. It is higher than that observed in Senegal 138 to 100 000 donations.

The screening method used for the HCV enzyme immunoassay (ELISA) is very sensitive and somewhat specific: consequence obtaining false positives hence the necessity to a more specific confirmatory test.

A prospective study was conducted in 2008, the laboratory screening of blood donations, TSSA Ivory Coast by Sekongo et al. [24]. It involved a panel of 200 HCV positive samples by ELISA repetitive. Of the 200 specimens tested at RIBA, 98 were positive. This result concurred with the Mets et al. [25] who found a prevalence of 48% in Rwanda.

Thus, to reduce the residual risk of transmission of infectious agents during blood transfusion, it is necessary to improve the effectiveness of screening techniques with highly sensitive techniques such as PCR (polymerase chain reaction) to determine the rate of infectious donations not recognized by testing [26]. But this method is of very high cost and is difficult to apply in our work environment.

### Conclusion

It appears from our study that the residual risks of viral transmission during the transfusion process are important in relation to the high sero prevalence of certain viruses in blood donors.

The elevation of this residual risk of viral transmission by blood transfusion can be attributed to four factors: (i) the technical error, (ii) a viral variant not recognized by certain reagents, (iii) a grant in an infectious HIV-acute chronic carrier or (iv) a gift made in a patient recently infected (“window silent”).

Also, the strengthening of blood safety should go through a more
rigorous selection of donors, and awareness of these in order to avoid these various diseases by getting vaccinated for hepatitis B, protecting themselves during sex for HIV and fighting against contamination for HCV.
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