FDG-PET, a Complementary Modality to Computed-Tomography in Radiotherapy Target Volume Delineation for Head and Neck Cancer
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Abstract

Objectives: The objective of the current review was to use published data to assess the role of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) as a complementary modality to computed-tomography (CT) in radiotherapy target volume delineation for head and neck cancer (HNC).

Methods: Studies were identified by searching PubMed electronic databases. Both prospective and retrospective studies were included. Information regarding the role of FDG-PET for radiotherapy target volume delineation for HNC was analyzed.

Results: FDG-PET is a promising tool for improving radiotherapy target volume delineation by defining a metabolically active biological target volume (BTV). The use of novel PET tracers representing properties such as hypoxia, protein synthesis and proliferation remain to be better characterized.

Conclusions: The role of FDG-PET for radiotherapy target volume delineation for patients with HNC is expanding and should be further evaluated in clinical trials.
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Introduction

Positron emission tomography (PET) is a functional imaging study that is now frequently used for staging, treatment planning and post-treatment surveillance of malignant tumors including HNC due to the additional valuable biological information it provides over structural imaging [1,2]. It is a non-invasive technique that provides biological characteristics of the tumor “in-vivo”, which may be monitored and quantified before, during and following the treatment of head and neck (HNC). The most commonly used positron-emitting tracer in oncology is the glucose analog [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG), which accumulates in a wide variety of tumors due to the increased cellular metabolic demand and glucose avidity of cancer cells compared to most normal human tissues [2-4]. Malignant cells take up and entrap the radiolabelled glucose, which is not metabolized further and acts as a positron-emitting tracer. Although the use of FDG-PET in the evaluation of head and neck cancer is promising, its role in the treatment planning for HNC has not been completely elucidated.

The purpose of the present review is to describe the current clinical evidence for the application of FDG-PET in radiotherapy planning for patients with HNC.

The impact of FDG-PET on the initial staging of head and neck cancer

The majority of patients with HNC present with locoregionally advanced disease, involving the cervical lymph node. Correct staging of the cervical lymph nodes is vital to determine the necessary extent of neck dissection and for precise delineation of radiotherapy target volumes and fields.

Standard staging techniques used for HNC include clinical examination, computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Characteristics used in the interpretation of CT and MRI in staging cervical lymph nodes include lymph node size, the presence of central necrosis, enhancement patterns, imprecise nodal margins and obliteration of fat or tissue planes [5-8]. FDG-PET appears to be at least as sensitive as or slightly more sensitive than conventional imaging for the initial staging of nodal metastases in patients with HNC [5]. Recent studies reported an average sensitivity of 87% to 90% and an average specificity of 80% to 93% for FDG-PET in the detection of nodal metastases, which compares favorably with the sensitivity (61% to 97%) and specificity (21% to 100%) of CT and MRI [5]. However, the impact of PET staging of cervical nodes on eventual clinical outcome is not well established.

As MRI technology advances, MRI may equal or potentially surpass the accuracy of PET in the initial locoregional staging of HNC. Dammann et al. prospectively compared FDG-PET, CT and MRI for initial staging of HNC patients and reported that the sensitivity and specificity of MRI in detecting nodal metastases were 93% and 95%, respectively, compared to 85% and 98% for FDG-PET. In view of its higher sensitivity and superior anatomic detail, the authors of that report recommended MRI as the optimal initial imaging modality for HNC [6].

FDG-PET imaging techniques have evolved as well. In particular, the anatomic localization of hypermetabolic activity has been improved...
with the introduction of FDG-PET/CT, which was shown to be more accurate than FDG-PET alone. Syed et al. reported that compared with FDG-PET alone, FDG-PET/CT downstaged disease and changed the recommended management in 17% of patients by correctly assigning areas of increased uptake to fat and muscle tissue. Furthermore, FDG-PET/CT notably improved the confidence in anatomic localization and increased interobserver agreement in assigning lesions to specific anatomical regions [7].

As FDG-PET/CT, MRI and high-resolution CT continue to evolve, it is unclear which modality is currently the most accurate for the initial staging of locoregional disease in HNC. An advantage of FDG-PET/CT is undoubtedly its ability to detect contralateral nodal disease, distant metastatic disease and synchronous primary tumors [8-10]. Numerous studies have demonstrated that FDG-PET may detect occult distant metastases in as many as 10% of patients with locoregionally advanced HNC [5,9,11,13]. Furthermore, HNC patients have a high risk of synchronous malignancies, particularly in the upper aerodigestive tract. FDG-PET might be valuable in detecting such tumors, though its sensitivity in this situation is not clear as relatively few studies address this subject [13-15]. FDG uptake in tumors may also represent a prognostic marker; FDG uptake has been correlated with recurrence risk following surgery and/or radiotherapy [16]. FDG uptake may signify a need for intensification of therapy, but this requires further study.

Radiotherapy target volume delineation

Radiotherapy is an integral part of treatment for many HNC patients. Treatment machines have evolved from orthovoltage X-ray devices to the state-of-the-art linear accelerators that deliver megavoltage radiation. Within the realm of megavoltage radiotherapy, treatment delivery techniques have progressed from two-dimensional open field techniques to three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy to intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT). Each advance has allowed for more conformal radiotherapy dose delivery and increased the ability to spare normal tissues from high-dose irradiation. At the same time, the ability of the radiation oncologist to generate precise target volumes becomes increasingly critical [17].

The first step in radiotherapy planning is to define the target volumes and the organs at risk. Anatomical imaging modalities, such as CT and MRI, remain the most commonly used tools for target delineation in radiotherapy. Traditionally, CT has been used to delineate radiotherapy target volumes. Certain MRI sequences, especially T1-weighted and T2-weighted imaging, are more accurate than CT for determining the extent of soft tissue involvement, especially for tumors of the tongue and nasopharynx [18,19]. However, commercial radiotherapy planning platforms use CT data to create an electron density map for dose calculation [17]. Therefore, MRI or functional imaging studies like PET cannot be used independently for radiotherapy planning and must be fused with CT. CT, unfortunately, may be affected by artifacts from dense materials, such as dental fillings or prosthetic joints. This can make CT imaging inaccurate for the aforementioned dose calculations, unless appropriate corrections are made [17].

The role of FDG-PET in radiotherapy target delineation for head and neck tumors

Despite technological advances in radiation oncology, the locoregional recurrence rate for HNC patients is still significant [20]. As techniques for more accurate radiotherapy delivery to head and neck tumors such as IMRT have developed rapidly, improvements in localizing disease have been harder to achieve. Functional imaging studies, such as FDG-PET, promise to improve target volume delineation by allowing clinicians to define a metabolically active biological target volume (BTV) [17].

Gross tumor volume (GTV), is classically defined as the grossly visible tumor and metastatic lymphadenopathy based on physical examination and anatomic imaging studies. Radiotherapy target volumes, however, may be significantly modified when FDG-PET data are incorporated in the treatment planning process. FDG-PET may be utilized in radiotherapy planning by importing an FDG-PET study set into the treatment planning software and co-registering it with the treatment planning CT scan. Use of the same immobilization head mask for both planning CT and FDG-PET allow for precise co-registration. Modern studies have demonstrated the feasibility of successful co-registration between PET-CT and the CT planning imaging [21].

Radiotherapy target volumes defined on FDG-PET may be larger or smaller than volumes outlined using CT information alone [21-39] (Table 1 and Figure 1). The total target volume may increase when metabolically active tumor is detected in cervical lymph nodes that are not enlarged. On the other hand, an FDG-PET-based GTV may be smaller than a CT-based GTV in the case of a partially necrotic tumor. Studies have also demonstrated that FDG-PET/CT guided IMRT planning may selectively target and intensify the treatment of head and neck cancer while reducing the dose to critical normal structures. Moreover, FDG-PET can identify areas within the conventional target volume that are particularly hypermetabolic that may be possible biological target volumes for dose escalation studies in the future.

Daisne et al. compared the GTVs of primary laryngeal tumors generated using CT, MRI, FDG-PET and surgical pathology in 9 HNC patients who underwent total laryngectomy. Nodal volumes were not delineated. Surgical specimens were significantly smaller (average: 12.6 cm³), than they appeared on each imaging modality (average volume of 20.8 cm³ with CT, 23.8 cm³ with MRI and 16.3 cm³ with FDG-PET). Interestingly, each imaging modality failed to detect the superficial extent of the laryngeal tumors, underestimating the superficial tumor extension into the mucosa of the contralateral larynx and extralaryngeal areas.
extension. Furthermore, there was a high tendency for geographic mismatch both between the imaging modalities and with the surgical specimen. Since eight of nine patients in that series were diagnosed with T4 laryngeal tumors, its results may not apply to earlier stages of laryngeal cancer or other HNC sites [39].

One major source of difference in GTV delineation is the interobserver variability. Breen et al. studied 10 patients with HNC. For each patient, the GTV of the primary tumor was delineated by a total of 8 specialists (6 head and neck radiation oncologists and 2 head and neck neuroradiologists) on CT, PET-CT and contrast-enhanced CT imaging. Metastatic lymph nodes were excluded from these volumes. The analysis demonstrated a high concordance between volumes delineated by experienced radiation oncologists. Furthermore, the addition of PET-CT for HNC primary tumor delineation did not change the GTV [36]. In contrast, Riegel et al. evaluated GTV delineation variability among multiple physicians on CT and PET-CT fusion for 16 HNC patients. A significant interobserver variability was reported not only between all the physicians, but also between the radiation oncologists [37].

Although the use of FDG-PET is gaining acceptance for target volume delineation for HNC in the radiation oncology community, techniques for contouring GTV using FDG-PET have not been standardized. Target volumes may be significantly overestimated or underestimated, depending on the windowing level, when contours are generated manually [24,25]. The lack of widely validated protocols, which introduces a significant source of heterogeneity across studies, may explain the inconsistencies in published reports. Differences in imaging acquisition techniques may introduce additional elements of heterogeneity. Studies have not yet demonstrated the impact of using FDG-PET for radiotherapy target volume delineation on clinical outcomes for HNC patients.

**The role of novel PET tracers for HNC**

Despite significant research efforts and widespread clinical use of FDG-PET, the optimal manner in which FDG-PET should be implemented in the management of HNC patients remains undefined. Less clear still is the role of novel PET tracers, designed to depict biological characteristics such as hypoxia, protein synthesis, cell proliferation and apoptosis, in HNC patient care. One or more of these tracers may one day facilitate functional imaging-based individualization of treatment strategies [40-55].

Hypoxia has been recognized as an adverse prognostic factor for HNC patients treated with definitive radiotherapy [44-46]. 18-F-fluoromisonidazole (FMISO) has been the most studied hypoxic PET tracer and FMISO uptake in imaging studies has been correlated with treatment outcome in HNC [40,41,47]. A newer agent, fluorine-18 fluorooazomycin arabinoside (18FAZA), has the advantage of a faster blood clearance than FMISO, resulting in improved target-to-background contrast and should be further studied in clinical trials.
Furthermore, recent results have suggested a potential benefit of the hypoxic radiosensitizer tirapazamine for selected HNC patients who were at high risk for locoregional failure (smokers and patients with HPV negative tumors), had evidence of hypoxia on functional imaging and received high-quality radiotherapy [47-49].

Accelerated repopulation is a well-known mechanism of HNC radioresistance [50]. “In-vivo” measurement of cellular proliferation by functional imaging using tracers such as 32-deoxy-32-(18)F fluorothymidine (FLT) may provide valuable information in the setting of early tumor response assessment [51,52] and possibly guide focal intensification of therapy to tumor regions that demonstrate accelerated repopulation.

Besides high glucose metabolism, most epithelial tumors also exhibit high levels of protein synthesis. Based on this principle, tracers such as 11C-methionine (MET), which are markers of high protein metabolism, may provide clinical utility. The role of MET-PET in evaluation of early tumor response to radiotherapy for HNC and delineation of radiotherapy target volumes remains to be determined [53-55].

Conclusions

The use of PET is gaining acceptance for radiotherapy target volume delineation in HNC. Addition study is required to demonstrate the impact of PET in this role on the clinical outcome of HNC patients. Recent data suggest that novel hypoxia and cell proliferation tracers, such as FMISO and FLT, could allow early response evaluation and potentially identify subvolumes for targeted radiotherapy dose escalation.
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