Abstract

Introduction: New concepts regarding instability in extra capsular fractures have led to a better understanding of their biomechanical behavior. Location and direction of the fracture line determine rotational instability in typically defined “stable” fractures. We propose a modification of the classical osteosynthesis with endomedullary nail.

Material and Methods: Fourteen patients met the inclusion criteria (31A1 fractures with a fracture line angled more than 70 degrees) between October 2012 and February 2013. They were all treated with PFNA nail, standard technique modified by adding a 7.3 mm cannulated screw with anti-rotation effect as described by the authors. X-Ray controls were performed after 24 hours, and after 1, 3 and 6 months, evaluating reduction, helical blade position (Cleveland-Bosworth) and tip-apex distance (Baumgaertner). Consolidation, loss of reduction and function were also reviewed.

Results: Reduction quality was good or fair in 91%, founding the helical blade in center-center position in every case, with an average tip-apex distance of 12.24 mm.

Conclusions: The theory of helical blade rotation through the femoral is becoming more and more relevant regarding cut out. Defining those fracture lines affected by rotational instability will lead to more easily determining the criteria for using a cannulated screw for additional stabilization. The technique is safe, simple and easily replicated. Satisfactory results were observed in all the cases, despite the small sample size.

Keywords: Hip fracture; Rotational instability; Surgical technique

Introduction

The high occurrence of hip fractures among senior citizens cannot be overlooked, as they are the most frequent cause of admission to trauma units in cases involving elderly patients. Half of them are considered extra capsular, leading to high mortality rates.

The endomedular nail is one of the most frequently used devices for the treatment of these fractures in our hospitals at the moment. This device allows a shorter moment arm due to the force being applied over a shorter distance. This reduces bending loads seen by the implant. Helical blade in Proximal Femoral Nail Antirotation provides in addition greater angular and rotational stability by compacting trabecular bone while being inserted. Other systems have been designed to prevent rotation of the cervical fragment, such us double-screw nails. However, helical blade itself showed a decrease in postoperative implant-associated complications, especially in osteoporotic bone and unstable fracture types [1].

It has been demonstrated that intramedullary nails are better than sliding hip screws in unstable fractures [2-4]. Such unstable patterns consist of reverse obliquity fractures, transtrochanteric fractures, fractures with a large posteroomedial fragment implying loss of the medial buttress, and fractures with subtrochanteric extension [4,5].

Osteoporosis was considered by Harrington to be a predictor for instability in 1975 [6]. Recent studies have dispelled this theory. The relationship between the Singh method for radiographic evaluation of osteoporosis and mechanical complications remains controversial [7,8]. Furthermore, there is a wide variability intra and interobserver in Singh’s classification [9].

The most common classification for these fractures is the provided by AO/OTA, which divides the patterns into 3 different groups: 1 (pertrocantheric simple), 2 (pertrocantheric multifragmentary), and 3 (intertrocantheric) [10]. This is, for the most part, an anatomical classification. However, in previous works [11], we noticed a higher incidence of cut out in simple pattern (AO/OTA 31A1) basicervical fractures treated with endomedullary nail (Proximal Femoral Nail Antirotation), which involved the 4 cases we had. In these four cases, we appreciated a simple fracture line, angled in more than 70 degrees with an imaginary horizontal line.

A basicervical fracture is one that extends through the base of the femoral neck, next to the intertrochanteric region [12]. It is postulated that rotation of the femoral head is a potential initiator for cutting out [13,14]. Previous works by Massoud considered the possibility of treating these fractures with a DHS using a derotation screw.

The aim of this article is to present the surgical technique and results of basicervical fractures treated in our hospital with a Proximal Femoral Nail Antirotation with a derotation screw to enhance rotational stability.

Material and Methods

Fourteen consecutive patients met the inclusion criteria between October 2012 and February 2013. These criteria consisted of basicervical fractures (AO/OTA 31A1) with a fracture line angled at more than 70 degrees against an imaginary horizontal line, low-energy trauma (self height fall) and osteoporosis. The exclusion criteria excluded patients aged 60 years old or less and high-energy traumas.

There were 14 women, with an average age of 80.3 years old (64-87). The evaluation of the results was done by an independent surgeon. All of them were treated with a PFNA nail with a modified technique...
by adding a 7.3 mm cannulated derotation screw as described below. X-Ray controls were performed 24 hours after surgery and during clinical follow-ups (1, 3 and 6 months post-op).

All patients were operated on as soon as possible. One single senior orthopedic surgeon performed the surgery, with the assistance of a resident, usually within the first 48 hours. Subarachnoid anesthesia was preferred, although general anesthesia was used in patients treated with clopidogrel. Infection prophylaxis was made with cefazolin (unless allergic to betalactamics) and thromboprophylaxis was made with bemiparin. Sitting was permitted (unless in cases of medical contraindication) in 24 hours after blood and radiological tests. Ambulation was permitted in every case between 72 and 96 hours. These permissions are not dependant on the type of fracture or reduction quality [15,16].

Follow up revisions were scheduled at 1, 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery in the clinics at our hospital. A comparison between the previous ambulation capacity and the best ambulation achieved during follow up is made and is classified in one of the following groups [8]: group 1 (walks without help or with a single and simple aid), group 2 (walks aided by 2 English canes or a walking frame), group 3 (unable to walk, needs the assistance of a wheel-chair). During follow up, all patients started treatment with Calcium and Vitamin D, adding ibandronic acid once consolidation was achieved.

Reduction quality was defined taking into account the neck-shaft angle in the anterioposterior view, and the reduction gap, which should be less than 5 mm both in AP and axial views. Helical blade and derotation screw’s position was evaluated according to Cleveland-Bosworth’s division of the femoral head [17] and tip-apex distance as described by Baumgaertner [18]. Consolidation, loss of reduction and function were also reviewed.

Surgical Technique

We’ve made a modification of the standard PFNA (DePuy-Synthes) technique. The patient is positioned supine on an extension table and the fracture reduced under C-arm control. Antirotation wires may be used as usual but should be removed before intraoperative compression in order to make it possible, as the K wire used for antirotation purpose and the K wire used to guide the helical blade are not necessarily parallel.

Once compression is performed, we use the aiming jig for antirotation wires and the drill sleeve. Usually in superior-anterior position to the aiming arm. It is important not to use the provided K-wires with the PFNA instrumental, as they are not thin enough for the 7.3 mm cannulated screws. We prefer to use the K-wires provided with the screws. Once inserted the K-wire, we disengage the aiming jig to measure the screw length. For this purpose, we usually use a same-length wire and measure the difference. After drilling, the cannulated derotation screw is inserted (Figures 1 and 2).

Results

All fractures were classified ad AO31A1 according to our inclusion criteria. The nails used for the osteosynthesis are summarized in the chart below (Table 1) the most common being 200 mm length, 130 neck-shaft degrees, 10 mm in diameter. In every case a distal dynamic locking was done. Average surgery time was 50 min (8 minutes more than the average time achieved without using a derotation screw) [11] (p=0.05)

Quality of reduction was considered as ‘good’ (both angulation and reduction gap objectives were achieved) in 50% and ‘fair’ (only one of the criteria was met) in 50%.

Center-center helical blade position was achieved in all cases. Cannulated screw positions are represented in (Figure 3). Average tip-apex distance, which should be under 25 mm to prevent cut-out [2,9,18-21] was 12.24 mm [9.51-14.07 mm]. Derotation screw’s tip-apex distance was 21.21 mm [13.18-32.22 mm].

The average hospital stay was 9 days (5-14 days) (slightly shorter than the average hospital stay in our previous study [11], which was 9,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFNA length</th>
<th>170 mm</th>
<th>200 mm</th>
<th>240 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PFNA angle</th>
<th>130º</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Helical blade</th>
<th>80 mm</th>
<th>85 mm</th>
<th>90 mm</th>
<th>95 mm</th>
<th>110 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cannulated screw</th>
<th>70 mm</th>
<th>85 mm</th>
<th>95 mm</th>
<th>110 mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Distribution of the components employed for the osteosynthesis in the study.
of stable and unstable trochanteric fractures, including those affecting Singh’s trabecular pattern method was not done due to the controversy regarding the relationship between mechanical complications and Singh’s trabecular breakage next to the implant, permitting head rotation [13].

Mechanical complications are the most frequent type of complications. They lead to implant failure and the need for further surgical intervention. Factors which condition these complications may be surgeon-dependent (Tip-Apex Distance, helical blade position, quality of reduction, implant election) or surgeon non-dependent (mineral density, type of fracture).

In our previous study, basicervical fractures grouped most of the mechanical complications related to cut-out, which led us to consider other causes rather than helical blade position in the femoral head. It’s rotation, favored by the lack of muscular insertions which stabilize the fracture, may be produced both during the insertion of the helical blade and during ambulation. There are new theories which assert that torque forces acting against the helical blade during ambulation may lead to trabecular breakage next to the implant, permitting head rotation [13]. Surgical techniques such as augmentation or derotation screws were designed to prevent these complications.

Radiographic evaluation of osteoprosis according to Singh’s method was not done due to the controversy regarding the relationship between mechanical complications and Singh’s trabecular pattern [7,8].

At the moment, PFNA nail is the fixation method for the treatment of stable and unstable trochanteric fractures, including those affecting the basicervical line. This system has better mechanical properties and a lesser inflexion moment than the Dynamic Hip Screw. Helical blade is thought to add angular and rotational stability to the complex, as it compacts cancellous bone while being inserted. It’s sliding capacity favors the compression of the fracture [22,23].

Different nails have been designed to prevent rotation. Double-screw designs have shown the appearance of a new kind of complication, the so-called “Z-Effect” [23-26]. The Z-effect is a potential complication of two lag screw intramedullary nail designs, in which the inferior lag screw migrates laterally and the superior lag screw migrates medially during loading, which may lead to failure of the osteosynthesis. The helical blade has demonstrated to be superior to double-screw nails osteosynthesis [1]. Unfortunately, there are no double-screw systems with a helical blade design. However, different complications have appeared regarding basicervical fractures. These specific kinds of fractures have a special rotational instability which may lead to implant failure, despite its design. The use of a derotation cannulated screw adds rotational stability, without the appearance of the Z-effect, as the screw is not attached to the nail.

The results of the study prove that the addition of a derotation cannulated screw is a reproducible technique, without major complications. It can be done with an increase of only 9 minutes of surgical time, thanks to the PFNA instrumentation. However, we cannot conclude at this time that there is a significant difference in the prevention of cutting out, due to the small sample size.

The expected incidence of complications, according to our previous study [11] was high (7,5% regarding al 31A fractures, rising to more than 20% taking into account only basicervical fractures). The results of the study suggest that using a derotation cannulated screw may prevent mechanical complications. It is not possible to establish a statistical comparison between these outcomes, due to the differences in other variables (such as helical blade position, which has been centre-centre in all cases of this study).

The strength of the study is related to the performance of every intervention by the same senior surgeon, preventing the different outcome achieved by different surgeons while implementing the new technique. Secondly, no loss to follow-up prevents the apparition of bias. Finally, follow up during more than 6 months in every case let us assure us that there will not be any late complication undocumented, as most of them usually occur during the first 6 months after surgery.

There are limitations such as the kind of study (retrospective) and the small sample size. Variables not reflected in the clinical histories cannot be studied. A bigger sample size would have allowed us to ascertain any other potential complications, as their rate of occurrence is low.
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