HIV/AIDS Related Knowledge and Risk Behavior among Methadone Recipients in Liuzhou, China

KE King1,2, R Stephenson2, J Zhuo3 and J Hsia4

1Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA
2Hubert Department of Global Health, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, USA
3Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Nanning, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, People’s Republic of China
4Division of Reproductive Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

Abstract

China has a growing HIV/AIDS epidemic mostly among intravenous drug users. The purpose of this study was to examine the HIV/AIDS related knowledge and risk behaviors among a group of former intravenous drug users utilizing services at a methadone clinic in Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region. A sample of 140 methadone care recipients was surveyed on their demographic characteristics, drug-using behaviors, sexual behaviors, and factors related to risk behaviors including HIV/AIDS related awareness and knowledge. Although HIV/AIDS related knowledge was high, respondents reported that they did not use condoms, they shared needles, and they participated in commercial sex. Additionally, the respondents’ perception of their risk for HIV infection was not always in accordance with their reported risk behaviors. This research suggests that methadone care recipients could act as a bridge population for HIV transmission to populations not involved with intravenous drug use. Further research on former intravenous drug users in China and their risk behaviors is warranted.
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Introduction

At the end of 2007, there were an estimated 33.2 million people living with HIV worldwide [1]. Throughout most of the world, HIV incidence peaked in the 1990’s and now has begun to stabilize [2]. However, China is experiencing a growing HIV/AIDS epidemic with an increasing prevalence of HIV [2]. In 2003, the Chinese Ministry of Health reported that the number of HIV cases was increasing at an average rate of 30% every year since 1999 [3,4]. In 2011, the prevalence of HIV infection in China was 0.06% (nearly 1 million people) and the HIV prevalence in China is expected to grow to 1.8 million people living with HIV/AIDS in 2015 [5,6].

In China HIV/AIDS is mostly reported among intravenous drug users (IDUs) [7,8]. In 2004, China officially reported 1.14 million drug users, but the estimated number is 3.5 million drug users and it is increasing each year [9]. In 1995, 35% of drug users reported injecting drugs and this percentage increased to 49% in 2002 [9]. Among all reported HIV cases, 69% reported that they were infected with HIV through needle sharing [3]. Although the majority of HIV cases were reportedly transmitted through injection drug use, HIV transmission in China is increasingly found to be through sexual interaction [8]. An increase in sexually transmitted HIV infection was found between 1997 with 5.5% of HIV infections due to sexual transmission and 2002 with 10.9% of HIV infections due to sexual transmission [8].

Research has found that risky drug using behaviors are associated with risky sexual behaviors in the IDU population [10]. IDUs who share needles are more likely to have multiple sexual partners and low condom use than IDUs who do not share needles [10,11]. Drug users who are paid for sex are more likely to inject drugs and to share needles [11]. Moreover, it is found that HIV positive IDUs are more likely to share needles and have unprotected sex than HIV negative IDUs [10,12]. Due to the large population of IDUs in China, the high percentage of HIV infected IDUs, the increasing number of sexually transmitted HIV infections, and the link between risky drug behavior and risky sexual behavior, there is a growing concern of HIV transmission through sexual interactions between IDUs and non-IDUs [9,10,13].

Although HIV is spreading quickly throughout China, knowledge of HIV/AIDS in China is low in the general population. A large study conducted in 2000, found that although the majority of people in China have heard of HIV/AIDS, many did not know the cause or that it could be detected and many did not know a single transmission route [14]. In a study of IDUs in China, it was found that only 11% of IDUs perceived they were at risk for HIV even though the majority of IDUs participated in high risk behavior such as sharing needles, using non-sterilized needles, participating in commercial sex, having multiple sex partners, and using condoms inconsistently [15,16]. Not only is knowledge on HIV/AIDS considerably low, but knowledge on safe sexual practice is also low especially in the young, sexually active, unmarried Chinese population [17]. These studies suggest a substantial gap in HIV/AIDS related knowledge and risk behavior.

HIV/AIDS prevalence rates are not uniform throughout China. Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region (GZAR), located in southern China, has among the highest rates of reported HIV infections in China. Sentinel data from 1998 reports that the HIV/AIDS prevalence among IDUs in GZAR is greater than 10% [9]. Due to a high prevalence of HIV/AIDS and a large number of IDUs, GZAR is the ideal setting to study drug-using and sexual risk behavior among IDUs. This study examined the HIV/AIDS related knowledge and risk behavior among former IDUs in Liuzhou city; GZAR, China.

This study aims to add to the growing body of literature that •
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incidence of HIV in China may be increasing due to the IDU population engaging in risky sexual behavior with the non-IDU population. Specifically, this study will describe a population of methadone care recipients, identify HIV/AIDS related risk behaviors, awareness and knowledge and examine potential associations. Determining factors related to HIV transmission among former IDUs is crucial to better understanding the evolving transmission pathways in China.

Materials and Methods

This study employed a cross-sectional design to examine the HIV/AIDS related knowledge and risk behaviors among methadone care recipients. Data were collected at a methadone clinic in Liuzhou city in GZAR, China in the summer of 2006. The study was conducted in cooperation with the GZAR Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (GZAR-CDC). Study participants were recruited through clinic staff referral. Respondents were included in the study if they were over 18 years old, were using services at the methadone clinic, and had self-reported participation in illicit drug injection in their lifetime. The majority of respondents reported beginning services at the methadone clinic within the previous year, with a small minority who began using services in the late 1990s. Using convenience sampling, 143 methadone care recipients were interviewed by clinic staff. This number includes all methadone care recipients who received services at the methadone clinic where the data collection took place. Although no one refused to participate, three respondents refused to answer most of the forty questions and were excluded from the analysis. The final study sample included 140 methadone care recipients.

Emory University’s Institutional Review Board (IRB) and the GZAR-CDC approved this study. Clinic staff members were instructed to orally consent each respondent and to ensure that each respondent was aware they had the right to withdraw from the study or refuse to answer any questions. All responses on the survey were confidential. All interviews were conducted in Mandarin by trained clinic staff. No identifying information was recorded on the questionnaires and all of the questionnaires were kept at the GZAR-CDC in China.

The questionnaire in this study was adapted from the Model “B” Standard Demographic Health Survey (DHS) 2004. Using information from the literature on IDUs in China, the questionnaire was modified to include more culturally relevant questions for the study population and a section on drug-using behavior. The additional questions were informed by literature, information gathered at the GZAR-CDC, and through a pilot study that was performed at the beginning of the study with participants at the methadone clinic. The HIV serostatus of the study participants was not asked; therefore status for all participants is unknown. The questionnaire was translated and back-translated to confirm the accuracy of the translation from English to Mandarin.

Data were entered in Microsoft Excel, 2000 [18] and analyzed in SAS 9.1.3 [19]. The data were cleaned and the variables that had missing data were cross-tabulated with demographic characteristics, which confirmed that there were no differences between data that
using a condom the last time they had sex (69.0%). The majority of
140 methadone care recipients. Most study participants reported not
cleaning their needle at last injection, 41% did not clean their needle
however those respondents who reported
cleaned their needle at last injection, 71.8% reported that they did
ever injecting drugs in groups (66.7%). When asked if the participants
were sharing needles (79.2%), but the majority of participants reported
ever sharing needles (58.9%) and the fourth outcome variable (perceived risk of HIV) was chosen to document the
factors as important risk factors for HIV among IDUs and the fourth
needles) were selected according to the literature that identified these
categories of dependent variables (drug using behavior, sexual behavior,
HIV/AIDS related knowledge) and four outcome variables assessing
AIDS information
Radio 52 37.4
TV 94 67.6
Newspaper/Magazines 69 49.6
AIDS is preventable
No 11 8.1
Yes 125 91.9
AIDS is spread through unsafe sex
No 3 2.2
Yes 133 97.8
AIDS is spread through unclean needles
No 8 5.9
Yes 128 94.1
Known anyone with HIV/AIDS
No 39 32.8
Yes 80 67.2
Tested for HIV
No 53 41.1
Yes 76 58.9
Reason to get tested for HIV
Interested in status 70 90.9
Other 7 9.1
Reason not to get tested for HIV
Fear of status 15 30.0
Not interested in status 9 18.0
Not at risk 25 50.0
Other 1 2.0
HIV can infect a healthy person
No 14 11.3
Yes 110 88.7
Perceived HIV risk
No 52 45.2
Yes 63 54.8
Table 5 shows the associations between each of the four outcome
participants surveyed reported that their most current sexual partner
does not use drugs (92.3%). Approximately 17% of study participants
reported ever participating in commercial sex (ever paying for sex or
being paid for sex). Of those who had participated in commercial sex,
14.3% were women (12% of all women surveyed) and 85.7% were men
(18% of all men surveyed). Ninety-four percent of those who reported
paying for sex were men, while 50% of those who reported having sex
for money or drugs were men.

Most participants surveyed had heard of HIV/AIDS (97.8%) (Table
4). The majority of participants knew that HIV is preventable (91.9%),
HIV is spread through unsafe sex (97.8%), and that HIV is spread
through unclean needles (94.1%). Of the 97.8% who knew that HIV
is spread through unsafe sex, 68.1% did not use condoms at last sex. Of
the 94.1% who knew that HIV was spread through unclean needles,
19.5% reported ever sharing needles. Among the study participants,
67.2% knew a person who had HIV/AIDS, 54.8% reported that they
perceived themselves at risk for HIV, and 58.9% reported being tested
for HIV/AIDS. The main reason for testing was an interest in their status
(90.1%) and the main reason for not being tested was the respondent
reported no perceived risk for HIV (50%).

Table 5 shows the associations between each of the four outcome
reported no perceived risk for HIV (50%).
Discussion

This study provides a description of methadone care recipients in Liuzhou. Methadone care recipients were chosen as the study population because of documented past intravenous drug use and therefore a population likely to have been at higher risk for HIV. While the majority of participants in the study reported never sharing needles, most participants in the study reported using intravenous drugs in groups. Literature suggests that IDUs who inject drugs in groups have an increased risk of sharing needles [20]. Considering this, the risk for HIV transmission through needle sharing may be underestimated in this study [21]. Most study participants reported not using a condom at last sex. The majority of methadone care recipients reported that condoms were not available or that condoms were too expensive. Furthermore, participation in commercial sex, defined as ever paying for sex or being paid for sex, was reported by 17.1% of the methadone recipients in this study. Our results regarding the association between drug use and commercial sex work among females could be explained by another study that documented high numbers female IDUs who were also commercial sex workers [22]. Our study adds new information that male IDUs are participating in commercial sex. The respondents who reported ever sharing needles had higher odds of reporting they ever participated in commercial sex. This result has been found in another study looking at HIV transmission in China and might be because commercial sex workers often share needles with clients [11]. Commercial sex workers may be more likely to share needles because they do not have the resources to obtain clean needles or may not be able to negotiate clean needles with their clients. Respondents who reported that they cleaned their needle with boiling water or bleach and used a clean needle at last injection had higher odds of reporting that they used a condom at last sex and lower odds of reporting that they participated in commercial sex. This illustrates that the study participants may be protecting themselves from HIV by engaging in safe sex. However, respondents that reported cleaning their needle with boiling water or bleach and using a clean needle at last injection had higher odds of ever sharing needles. Perhaps this is because the respondents who cleaned their needles may believe that it is safe to share needles after cleaning. These findings clearly indicate that there may be a combined HIV risk for sex or being paid for sex, and the majority of respondents had been tested for HIV/AIDS. Although the knowledge of HIV/AIDS was high, many participants did not get tested for HIV/AIDS. This gap in knowledge and risk assessment, also reported in other studies, provides a potential explanation for the high risk behavior found in this study and illustrates

Table 5: Unadjusted Odds Ratios of Four Outcome Variables and HIV/AIDS related risk behaviors, awareness, and knowledge.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIV/AIDS related Risk Behaviors, Awareness and Knowledge</th>
<th>Condom at last sex</th>
<th>Participated in Commercial Sex</th>
<th>Ever Shared Needles</th>
<th>Perceived HIV risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Used drugs for more than 10 years</td>
<td>0.90 (0.35, 2.32)</td>
<td>2.10 (0.61, 7.16)</td>
<td>0.12 (0.02, 0.56)</td>
<td>2.00 (0.85, 4.68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever shared a needle</td>
<td>0.70 (0.24, 2.06)</td>
<td>4.15 (1.42, 12.15)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>6.53 (1.78, 23.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cleaned needle</td>
<td>2.73 (1.35, 10.30)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.01, 0.74)</td>
<td>6.85 (1.48, 31.71)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.33, 1.99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent was over 24 years old at first injection</td>
<td>0.73 (0.29, 1.79)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07, 0.87)</td>
<td>5.37 (1.65, 17.47)</td>
<td>0.66 (0.29, 1.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent’s sexual partner uses drugs</td>
<td>12.08 (2.55, 67.12)</td>
<td>0.37 (0.08, 1.71)</td>
<td>0.62 (0.15, 2.49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent had one or more sex partner(s) in the past 12 months</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.95 (0.21, 17.44)</td>
<td>4.80 (1.02, 22.37)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.11, 2.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Used a condom at last sex</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.39 (0.48, 3.99)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.24, 2.06)</td>
<td>1.69 (0.65, 4.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent was over 19 years old at first sex</td>
<td>1.14 (0.37, 3.45)</td>
<td>0.30 (0.07, 1.18)</td>
<td>6.92 (1.60, 29.90)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.19, 1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived HIV risk</td>
<td>0.58 (0.22, 1.51)</td>
<td>1.56 (0.56, 4.34)</td>
<td>6.53 (1.78, 23.99)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known someone with HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>1.34 (0.48, 3.71)</td>
<td>2.70 (0.72, 10.09)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.07, 0.94)</td>
<td>2.92[1.23, 6.94]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respondent was tested for HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>2.11 (0.83, 5.34)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.28, 2.04)</td>
<td>0.46 (0.16, 1.29)</td>
<td>3.19[1.46, 6.96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV is spread through sex</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.09 (0.01, 1.05)</td>
<td>0.48 (0.04, 5.57)</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV is spread through unclean needles</td>
<td>0.92 (0.15, 5.31)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.11, 9.05)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.08, 1.81)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.12, 4.99)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

-- symbolizes that the sample was not large enough to analyze
N/A symbolizes that the analysis could not be done because the independent variable and dependent variable are the same
a large obstacle for HIV prevention and the importance of continued HIV/AIDS education [14,16].

There are a number of limitations to this study. This study focused on drug using behavior in the past year, sexual risk behaviors in the past year, and current knowledge. This information may lead to temporal ambiguity due to the cross-sectional study design. Questions on drug use and sex both referred to the last year; however, given that respondents are in a methadone clinic, it is possible that last drug use occurred sometime before the last sex act. However, this study did not seek to establish causality. It did seek to establish the knowledge and HIV risk behaviors of former IDUs and relevant associations, given the demonstrated potential for higher levels of HIV infection among this population. Since the methadone care recipients may have a different risk profile from current intravenous drug users, generalizing to both populations has limitations. However, no study has defined the risk profile of methadone care recipients as different from intravenous drug users, therefore the groups were considered comparable for the purposes of this study. An additional limitation is that this study is not generalizable to other methadone clinic care recipient populations in China, because the methadone recipients in the study may have different effect modifiers than groups of methadone recipients in other areas of China. The survey did not ask if sexual practices differed between regular partners, casual partners, and commercial sex partners. This distinction is of potential importance when assessing risk and developing interventions. This study did not ask about information regarding men who have sex with men and therefore missed information regarding this possible risk behavior. This study also did not ask why some respondents reported that they ever shared needles, which would have been important for potential interventions.

Another limitation of this study was the small sample size. The sample size in this study was small because the lack of incentives to give study participants who complete the surveys and the lack of incentives for methadone clinics to participate. Additionally, some missing data on individual questionnaires exacerbated the problem of a small data set. Study respondents did not always answer every survey question, especially the questions that could be considered sensitive such as the number of sexual partners in the past year, condom at last sex, and age at first sex. However, none of the missing data were associated with the demographic characteristics of the study respondents. Therefore, the missing data is considered random. Subjects may have answered questions in a socially desirable manner as clinic staff administered the surveys and therefore this study may have underestimated risk behaviors. Despite these limitations, this study provides a unique view of the sexual behaviors and HIV/AIDS related knowledge among a high risk group in China and points to key areas of intervention to reduce the transmission of HIV both within the IDU population and to the non-IDU population.

This study has provided evidence that there is a clear disconnect between HIV/AIDS related knowledge and the individual decisions necessary to reduce risk behavior. Although the study participants reported knowledge that HIV is transmitted through unsafe sex and non-sterilized needles, study participants also reported engaging in unprotected sex and sharing needles when injecting drugs. From this study it is also clear that there is a possibility of HIV sexual transmission from the IDU population to the non-IDU population since many methadone care recipients reported participating in high risk behavior and because their most current sexual partner did not use drugs. Studies need to be completed that assess the HIV/AIDS related knowledge among the sexual partners of IDUs and to further examine the sexual risk behaviors between IDUs and their sexual partners. Public health interventions should continue to promote condom use, prevent needle sharing, promote proper needle cleaning, and discourage participation in commercial sex. Innovative research and targeted programs are urgently needed to intervene in China's expanding HIV/AIDS epidemic.
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