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Abstract
Dietary fibers are important for their hypoglycemic effect, hypolipidemic effect; lowering serum cholesterol hence helps in prevention of atherosclerosis, antitoxic effect and anti-cancerous effect. It also helps in control of gastro intestinal disorders like gall stone, irritable bowel syndrome, constipation, inflammatory bowel disease etc. Beneficial effects of cereal fibers are frequently discussed in the context of whole grain consumption; unrefined whole grains and bran products are highly complex substances containing both soluble and insoluble dietary fiber as well as other biologically active substances e.g. polyphenols, antioxidants, vitamins, trace minerals, phytostrogens, lipids, proteins, and starch. Research on minor millets and its food value is in its infancy and its potential vastly untapped. So the present study was undertaken to evaluate the in-vitro hypoglycemic effect of insoluble fibers from locally available whole grain of millets and cereals like kodo millet (Paspalum scrobiculatum), Proso millet (Panicum miliaceum), Barnyard millet (Echinochloa frumentaceae), Finger millet (Eleusine coracana), wheat (Triticum aestivum) and Great millet (Sorghum vulgare) from tribal belt of Odisha. Proximate analysis of the cereals and millet grains revealed that these grains are rich in crude fiber, total ash and crude protein content. The nutritional composition is better than most of the commonly used grains. In general the crude fiber and ash content of the bran samples were more as compared to the grains. Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 5 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was almost similar in IDF of all the millets and wheat ranging from 0.04 ± 0.01 in case of Barnyard millet IDF to 0.06 ± 0.01 in Sorghum, Ragi and Kodo. Glucose absorption capacity at 5 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was highest in Ragi fibers but at higher concentration of glucose it was highest in wheat fibers. GAC increases with increase in glucose concentration in all the cases studied. In most samples WIS showed maximum GAC. Maximum GAC was found at 50 mM/lit in Ragi (Finger millet) IDF and lowest value was found at 10 mM/lit in jhipiri (Barnyard millet) IDF and wheat AIS. In case of glucose diffusion and GDR, in all types of fiber, it showed decrease in glucose concentration in the dialysate with addition of fiber than in control (without fiber), indicating that addition of fiber decreased diffusion of glucose through dialysis membrane which simulates the function of membrane of small intestine. The glucose concentration in the dialysate though increases with increase in time but remains lower to that of glucose value in control. When GDRI values were compared it showed lowest value in case of IDF than AIS and WIS in all the six samples. Effect of insoluble fibers on alpha-amylase activity indicate that glucose production rate is highest in kodo (kodo millet) AIS but lowest in sorghum (Great millet) IDF. When residual amylase activity was compared it showed highest values in Ragi (Finger millet) AIS and lowest values in gunji (Proso millet) IDF.
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Introduction
The present global pandemic of Diabetes is accounted for by westernization of life style, population growth, ageing and urbanization, with consequent dietary change, sedentary life style and obesity. Diabetes Mellitus(DM) is also a common endocrine disease in middle aged to older cats and is often called as "sugar diabetes". In dogs with naturally occurring insulin dependent DM a high insoluble fiber diet may aid in glycemic control. Incidence of DM is lower in population with high fiber intake mostly in rural areas and tribal belt which might be due to feeding of different cereals and millets with high fiber content. The term dietary fiber was first adopted in 1953 by Hipsley to describe the plant cell wall components of food. Millets are small sized grains, containing large proportions of husk and bran; require dehusking and debranning prior to consumption [1]. The nutritive value of millets is comparable to other cereals, some of them are even better with regard to average protein and mineral contents [2].

The protein contents of the dehusked millets varied between 8.7% (kodo millet) and 13.8% (pearl millet), whereas in case of milled grains it varied from 5.8% (finger millet) to 12.7% (pearl millet). Milled grains contained nearly 70% of total fat of whole seeds. The calcium and phosphorus contents of milled millets varied from 2.3 mg% to 162.8 mg% and 105 mg% to 425 mg%, respectively. Milling removed nearly 50% calcium and about 65% of phosphorus from whole seeds. The bran fraction from small millets other than finger millet, contain 23.0-27.0% oil. Whereas pearl millet bran contain 15% oil. The total dietary fiber content of debranned millets is ranging from 9.0 to 16.0 %. This indicates the millets, even after removal of husk and major portion of bran, contained appreciable amounts of dietary fiber. The millet bran, besides containing considerably higher proportion of oil, appears to be a good source of dietary fiber, out of which 10-15% was soluble fraction [3].

Dietary fibers are not uniform chemically or in their nutritive and biological properties, the only common ground being their resistance...
to mammalian digestive enzymes. The AOAC [4] method for total fiber is subject to interference from ash, protein, tannins, and resistant starches. These interferences can be reduced by urea enzymatic dialysis. The measurement of soluble and insoluble fiber is nutritionally relevant since physical properties greatly modify dietary effects of fiber. Insoluble fiber is conveniently measured as neutral detergent fiber. This procedure has been improved by reducing the starch interference and the time of analysis. Physical and biological properties of dietary fiber can be measured by using relevant procedures for hydration capacity and rate of fermentation. The lignin and tannin content modify the characteristics of dietary fiber [5]. Breads made from a combination of wheat potato and/or oat has relatively high in total dietary fiber. Wheat breads with different ash contents or breads made from a combination of wheat and rye had clearly higher total dietary fiber content [6]. Schieber et al. [7] has pointed out that agricultural byproducts could be exploited as a potential source of fibers and functional compounds for food application. Dietary fiber is unique among feed constituents because it is defined only on a nutritional basis (i.e. in terms of digestive and physiological effects that it elicits) but must be measured chemically. The usefulness of dietary fiber results vary from its value as an indicator of physiological health benefits to its value as a predictor of digestibility and energy value of feeds. Numerous methods have been proposed for measuring dietary fiber and some have become routine analyses for research and practical use. Fiber extraction methods are typically categorized in to three types (chemical-gravimetric, enzymatic-gravimetric or enzymatic-chemical) based on ways fibrous residues are isolated and measured. Isolation of dietary fiber residue is done by extraction in chemical solution, enzymatic hydrolysis of non-fibrous constituents or a combination of two. After fibrous residue is isolated it is measured either gravimetrically (weighing the residue) or chemically (hydrolyzing the residue and measuring individual components such as sugars and lignin) [8]. There are several AOAC official methods for measuring total dietary fiber (TDF), Insoluble dietary fiber (IDF), soluble dietary fiber (SDF). The first AOAC method for TDF is 985.29–Total dietary fiber in food, enzymatic gravimetric method which did not allow separation of dietary fiber in to soluble and insoluble fraction. Insoluble fraction can be determined using AOAC official method 991.42–Insoluble dietary fiber in foods and food products, enzymatic gravimetric method [8]. Certain physiological responses have been associated with the consumption of dietary fiber and physical and chemical properties of individual dietary fiber compounds appear to be important in determining the physiological response to sources of dietary fiber in the diet [9]. The post prandial glycemic response is most effectively reduced with sources of viscous polysaccharides. The importance of viscosity in this response has been demonstrated in several studies suggesting that the ability to form a gel matrix may be important in mediating the physiological response to these fiber sources. Also the physical properties of digestibility are key determinants in the metabolism of fiber rich diets. Fiber modulates and slows the rate of digestion and absorption by at least three mechanisms.

1) The rate of gastric filling and emptying can be slowed by certain fibers; 2) The activity of digestive enzymes in the small intestine could be diminished in presence of fibers; 3) The diffusion and absorption of nutrients enzymes and substrates in the intestinal tract may be altered by certain fibers via these mechanisms and its fermentation in the large intestine. Fibers affect the metabolic process [10]. The fermentability of polysaccharides as well as their bulking ability in the large bowel is important for determining the physiological effects of soluble versus insoluble fibers. In this part of gut soluble fibers can be readily degraded by bacteria because the water holding capacity allows the bacteria penetrate the fiber matrix. The increase in bacterial mass due to fermentability leads to increase in faecal bulk. In contrast insoluble fiber cannot be penetrated as well by bacteria and cannot be broken down as extensively hence a residual fiber is present and a matrix is maintained in large bowel content and bacterial mass is increased [11]. The rate at which food is emptied from the stomach determines the rate of nutrient absorption from the intestine. Hence a delay in gastric emptying determines the rate of nutrient absorption from the intestine [9]. The findings that several dietary fibers can decrease the activity of human pancreatic amylase, lipase, trypsin, and chymotrypsin may be attributable at least in part to enzyme inhibitors [12]. Alternatively this decreased activity after incubation with several dietary fibers could be due to nonspecific adsorption of enzyme molecules [13]. With high fiber intake glycemic absorption is slowed down and spread out along a greater length of the intestine. This allows uptake of glucose by the intestine keeping in pace with the gastrointestinal absorption after initial stimulation of insulin release there by regulating plasma glucose level [14-16]. Dietary fibers coming from various sources do not seem to be equally effective in delaying glucose absorption in intestine. Tanchoco et al. [17] It is estimated that more than 50% post prandial insulin secretion is triggered by intestinal peptide hormone. In absence of elevated blood glucose, glucagon like peptide 1 (GLP-1) stimulates the release of insulin by interacting with specific receptors on pancreatic beta cells. In addition to potentiating glucose induced insulin secretion GLP-1 stimulates proinsulin gene expression and proinsulin biosynthesis [18]. By stimulating insulin release and increasing insulin dependent glucose disposal, GLP-1 enhances glucose tolerance [19]. The potential action of this hormone on carbohydrate metabolism makes it potentially applicable in the treatment of non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. It is found that long term ingestion of dietary fiber by rats ingesting similar amounts of energy, proteins, lipid, glucose, vitamins and minerals stimulates small chain fatty acids (SCFA) production and proglucagon m-RNA abundance and increases post prandial glucagon like peptide 1, insulin and c-peptide concentration. High carbohydrate/high fiber diet significantly improves blood glucose control and reduces plasma cholesterol levels in diabetic patients compared with a low carbohydrate low fiber diet. In addition a high carbohydrate/high fiber diet does not increase plasma and triglyceride concentration despite the higher consumption of carbohydrate. Ability of dietary fiber to retard food digestion and nutrient absorption certainly has an important influence on lipid and carbohydrate metabolism. The fiber content and physical form of the food can influence the accessibility of nutrients by digestive enzymes thus delaying digestion and absorption. The fiber content and physical form of the food can influence the accessibility of nutrients by digestive enzymes thus delaying digestion and absorption. The identification of these foods with a low glycemic response would help to enlarge the list of foods particularly suitable for diabetic patients [20]. The polysaccharides composing the major part of dietary fiber in fruits and vegetables are beneficial to diabetes and heart patients since the fibers lower blood sugar and serum cholesterol levels [21,22]. In fact the most likely explanation for the reduction of post prandial hyperglycemia by viscous fibers is decreased amylase activity [23] and a direct delaying effect on glucose absorption in the gastro-intestinal tract due to alternation in the diffusion of digestion end product with the lumen [24,25]. Starch degradation and glucose diffusion are delayed in the presence of mango fiber. Viscous solutions which reduce starch digestibility in vitro can decrease glycemic post prandial response. Hence mango fiber could be of potential benefit in controlling plasma glucose [26].
Materials and Methods

Collection of sample

Grain samples of different millets and cereals (Table 1) were collected from Boudh district, Odisha. These samples were then cleaned properly, shed dried and ground manually and sieved to collect the bran. Whole grain was ground using electrically operated grinder to desired size. Then these bran samples and whole grain powder samples were stored in sealed containers till their use in different experimental procedures (Figure 1,2).

Proximate analysis of bran and whole grain powder

Estimation of moisture content: Dry weight of moisture cup (W1) was first taken. Then weight of moisture cup with bran/whole grain sample (W2) was taken and it was kept in hot air oven overnight (12 hrs) at 100°C. Weight of moisture cup with sample was again taken after drying (W3). Moisture content is calculated as

\[ \text{Moisture} \% = \frac{W_2 - W_3}{W_1} \times 100 \]

Estimation of ether extract: The instrument used for estimation of ether extract was Socs plus (Pelican Equipments, Chennai, India). Moisture free samples (2 gm each) from the above experiment were taken in different thimbles. Dry weight of flasks was taken (W1). The thimbles were placed in the flasks. 150 ml of petroleum ether (60-80°C) was taken in each flask. The attachment of the instrument were made properly and was run for 1 hr at 90°C and further for 30 minutes at 180°C. After collection of ether extractives the flask were removed from the apparatus and kept in the hot air oven (100°C) for 12 hrs to make the flasks free from petroleum ether. The weight of flasks was then taken again (W2) and ether extract percentage was calculated by using the formula

\[ \text{Ether extract}\% = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_2} \times 100 \]

Estimation of crude fiber (by Von Soest method): Fat free samples (W1, 2 gm each) were taken in the sintered crucible of Fibra Plus Fes 6 Instrument (Pelican equipment’s, Chennai, India). Then it was treated with 1.25% H₂SO₄ (v/v) at 400°C for 3 hrs using KES 06L Digestion Chamber of Pelican instrument. Distillation was done by Kel Plus (Classic DX) apparatus manufactured by Pelican. Solutions used in equipment were 40% NaOH and 4% Boric acid with distillation time 9 minutes. Then the distillate was titrated against 0.1 N HCl. The nitrogen content was titrated/estimated using Metrohm Autotitrator (Switzerland). Protein percentage was calculated by multiplying nitrogen content with 6.25.

Estimation of total ash: The dry weight of porcelain crucible was taken (W1). Then weight of crucible with moisture free sample was taken (W2) and kept in muffle furnace at 550°C for 6 hrs. After cooling weight of crucible with ash content was taken (W3). Total ash% calculated by formula

\[ \text{Ash}\% = \left( \frac{W_1 - W_3}{W_1 - W_2} \right) \times 100 \]

All the above procedures were followed both for bran and whole grain powder of different millets and cereals to estimate their proximate composition.

Preparation of insoluble dietary fiber (IDF) from bran sample

The moisture free bran samples were first made fat free by using Socs Plus (Pelican Equipment, Chennai). The fat free samples were used for extraction of IDF by Von Soest method with acid and alkali treatment using Fibra plus Fes-6 (Pelican Equipment, Chennai). Acid digestion of samples was performed at 400°C for 45 min using 1.25% H₂SO₄ followed by Alkali digestion using 1.25% NaOH at 400°C for 45 min. Then the contents were filtered and washed thoroughly with distilled water to make the sample free from alkali and kept in hot air oven at 100°C for drying. Then the fiber samples were weighed and stored till further use.

Preparation of alcohol insoluble solids (AIS) from bran samples

Alcohol insoluble solids (AIS) were prepared from the bran sample by using the method described by [27]. Briefly, 3 gms of bran sample was homogenized with boiling alcohol (ethanol) 850 ml/lit at high speed followed by further boiling for 40 min. The bran to alcohol ratio was 1:30 (w/v). AIS was collected by filtration and washed with ethanol (700 ml/lit), air dried and stored properly for further use.

English name  Local name  Botanical name

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kodo millet</td>
<td>kodo</td>
<td>Panicum miliaceum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proso millet</td>
<td>gunji</td>
<td>Echinocloa frumentaceae</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnyard millet</td>
<td>jhipir</td>
<td>Eluiane coracana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger millet (ragi)</td>
<td>mandia</td>
<td>Sorghum vulgare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum / jowar</td>
<td>jandala</td>
<td>Sorghum vulgare</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Gahama</td>
<td>Triticum aestivum</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Details of grain samples used in the study.
Preparation of water insoluble solid (WIS) from bran sample

The water insoluble solids (WIS) were prepared according to the method of [28]. WIS was separated from bran samples by homogenizing the bran in cold distilled water (1:10 w/v) at high speed for 1 min. After filtration WIS was washed with ethanol (700 ml/lit), air dried and stored for further use.

Study of hypoglycemic activity in vitro

**Determination of glucose adsorption capacity (GAC):** The glucose adsorption capacity (millimoles per gram) was determined according to method described by [28] with slight modification. 0.1 gm of fiber sample was mixed with 10 ml of glucose solution of different concentration (i.e. 5 mmol/lit, 10 mmol/lit, 25 mmol/lit, and 50 mmol/lit) and then incubated for 5 hrs at 37°C using constant shaker cum incubator (Rotek-LIS, Pelican Equipments, Chennai). The final glucose content in the supernatant was measured after centrifuging at 3500 rpm for 15 min by glucose assay kit (Corals glucose assay kit, GOD-POD method) to estimate the amount of glucose adsorbed on fiber sample. A control test was done without addition of fiber.

**Determination of glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI):** Glucose dialysis retardation index was determined on the basis of [28] with slight modifications. A mixture was prepared by mixing 0.125 gm of fiber sample in 6.25 ml of distilled water at 37°C and was dialyzed against 40 ml of dialysis solution for 60 min. After incubation of 10, 30, 60, and 120 min the glucose content in the dialysate was measured by glucose assay kit (Corals glucose assay kit, GOD-POD Method) for estimation of GDRI. A control test was also prepared without addition of fiber. GDRI was calculated using formula

\[
GDRI = \frac{100 - \text{Glucose content in dialysate with fiber}}{\text{Glucose content in dialysate of control}} \times 100
\]

**Determination of starch digestibility:** The effect of different fibers on starch digestibility was determined as per [28] with slight modifications. A mixture was prepared by mixing 0.1 gm of fiber and 0.02 gm of diastase in 5 ml of potato starch solution (4 gm/100 ml) and was dialyzed against 100 ml of distilled water at 37°C using a dialysis membrane with molecular weight cut off value of 12,000 D. After incubation of 10, 30, 60, and 120 min the glucose content in the dialysate was determined using glucose assay kit (Corals glucose assay kit, GOD-POD Method). A control experiment was also performed without addition of fiber.

**Determination of residual amylase activity:** The effect of fiber on glucose production rate and residual amylase activity was determined as per [28], with slight modifications. An incubation mixture containing 0.25 gm of fiber sample and 1 mg of diastase in 10 ml of potato starch solution (4 gm/100 ml) was incubated at 37°C for 60 min. Starch digestion then stopped by addition of 20 ml of 0.1 N NaOH. Then it was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 min and glucose content of supernatant was measured by Glucose Assay kit (Corals glucose assay kit, GOD-POD method). A control experiment was also done without addition of fiber. The residual amylase activity was defined as the percentage of glucose production rate with fiber addition over the control.

Results

**Proximate composition**

The proximate composition of whole grains and bran samples were shown in percentage (Table 2 and 3) for whole grain and bran samples respectively. Moisture content in whole grains varied slightly ranging from 7.63 ± 0.581% to 8.89 ± 0.12%, barnyard millet being the highest in moisture content and kodo millet being the lowest. Highest crude fiber content (17.42 ± 1.066%) was found in finger millet and was lowest in sorghum (10.48 ± 0.494%). The dry matter content was highest in kodo millet (92.37 ± 0.581%) and lowest in barnyard millet (91.11 ± 0.068%). The other extracts were highest in barnyard millet (4.35 ± 0.164%) and lowest in Proso millet (1.003 ± 0.057%). The crude protein content was highest in barnyard millet (10.39 ± 0.248%) and was lowest in kodo millet (5.48 ± 0.449%). The total ash content was found to be highest in both kodo millet (3.59 ± 0.246%) and Proso millet (3.59 ± 0.234%) and lowest in sorghum (1.29 ± 0.085%). The NFE content was highest in Sorghum (78.47 ± 0.968%) but lowest in barnyard millet (70.47 ± 0.747%).

From the proximate composition of bran samples (Table 3) it was observed that the moisture content was highest in finger millet (9.22 ± 0.56%) and lowest in kodo millet (5.53 ± 0.67%) and the dry matter content was highest in kodo millet (94.47 ± 0.67%) and lowest in Ragi (90.78 ± 0.56%). The ether extract content was highest in sorghum (4.69 ± 0.60%) but lowest in Proso millet (2.8 ± 0.53%). The crude fiber content in bran samples varied from 38.4 ± 0.66% to 11.32 ± 0.73, barnyard millet being the highest and wheat bran being the lowest whereas, the crude protein content was highest in wheat bran (12.19 ± 0.62%) and was lowest in Proso millet bran (4.13 ± 0.56%).

Hypoglycemic effect of insoluble fibers in vitro

In vitro Hypoglycemic effect of insoluble fibers of different cereal and millet samples was studied by assessing the effect various fibers on glucose adsorption capacity (GAC), glucose diffusion, GDRI (Glucose dialysis retardation index), starch digestibility and alpha-amylase activity.

**Effect of insoluble fibers on glucose adsorption capacity in vitro:** A Series of different concentration of glucose (5 Millimole/l, 10 Millimole/l, 25 Millimole/l and 50 Millimole/l) were used to investigate the in vitro glucose adsorption capacity (Table 4, Figure 3). The results showed that all the dietary fibers could bind glucose and the adsorption capacity also increases in almost all the samples studied with increase in concentration of glucose. Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 5 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was almost similar in IDF of the millets and wheat ranging from 0.04 ± 0.01 in case of Barnyard millet IDF to 0.06 ± 0.01 in Sorghum, Ragi and Kodo. In case of alcohol insoluble solids (AIS) glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 5 Millimole/l concentration of glucose ranged from 0.04 ± 0.01 in wheat to 0.10 ± 0.01 in Ragi and sorghum whereas, in case of WIS from 0.07 ± 0.02 in Barnyard millet and wheat to 0.18 ± 0.01 in Ragi. Glucose absorption capacity at 5 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was highest in Ragi fibers. Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 10 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was ranging from 0.12 ± 0.01 in case of Ragi IDF to 0.25 ± 0.02 in wheat which is interestingly reverse as compared to the 5 Millimole/l concentration of glucose. In case of alcohol insoluble solids (AIS) glucose adsorption capacity...
**Table 2:** Proximate composition of different cereals and millets (Grain samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>MOISTURE %</th>
<th>DM %</th>
<th>E E %</th>
<th>C F %</th>
<th>C P %</th>
<th>ASH %</th>
<th>NFE %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kodo (Kodo millet)</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.67</td>
<td>94.47 ± 0.67</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.61</td>
<td>34.24 ± 0.89</td>
<td>4.94 ± 0.43</td>
<td>7.74 ± 0.69</td>
<td>49.73 ± 1.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji (Proso millet)</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.48</td>
<td>92.68 ± 0.48</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.53</td>
<td>31.63 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.56</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.63</td>
<td>53.02 ± 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhipiri (Barnyard millet)</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.64</td>
<td>93.21 ± 0.64</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.49</td>
<td>38.4 ± 0.66</td>
<td>5.15 ± 0.55</td>
<td>9.11 ± 0.56</td>
<td>43.29 ± 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragi (Finger millet)</td>
<td>9.22 ± 0.56</td>
<td>90.78 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.49</td>
<td>20.6 ± 0.58</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.56</td>
<td>10.49 ± 0.85</td>
<td>59.8 ± 2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.87</td>
<td>91.69 ± 0.87</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.54</td>
<td>11.32 ± 0.73</td>
<td>12.19 ± 0.62</td>
<td>9.51 ± 0.91</td>
<td>62.36 ± 1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum (Great millet)</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.72</td>
<td>93.87 ± 0.72</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.60</td>
<td>18.04 ± 0.64</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.69</td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.55</td>
<td>63.51 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values (mean ± SE, n=6) in same column with different letter superscripts are significantly different, (p<0.05)

**Table 3:** Proximate composition of different cereals and millets (Bran samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAMPLE</th>
<th>MOISTURE %</th>
<th>DM %</th>
<th>E E %</th>
<th>C F %</th>
<th>C P %</th>
<th>ASH %</th>
<th>NFE %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kodo (Kodo millet)</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.72</td>
<td>93.87 ± 0.72</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.60</td>
<td>18.04 ± 0.64</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.69</td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.55</td>
<td>63.51 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji (Proso millet)</td>
<td>7.32 ± 0.48</td>
<td>92.68 ± 0.48</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.53</td>
<td>31.63 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.13 ± 0.56</td>
<td>8.42 ± 0.63</td>
<td>53.02 ± 2.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhipiri (Barnyard millet)</td>
<td>6.79 ± 0.64</td>
<td>93.21 ± 0.64</td>
<td>4.04 ± 0.49</td>
<td>38.4 ± 0.66</td>
<td>5.15 ± 0.55</td>
<td>9.11 ± 0.56</td>
<td>43.29 ± 1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragi (Finger millet)</td>
<td>9.22 ± 0.56</td>
<td>90.78 ± 0.56</td>
<td>2.85 ± 0.49</td>
<td>20.6 ± 0.58</td>
<td>6.25 ± 0.56</td>
<td>10.49 ± 0.85</td>
<td>59.8 ± 2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>8.3 ± 0.87</td>
<td>91.69 ± 0.87</td>
<td>2.95 ± 0.54</td>
<td>11.32 ± 0.73</td>
<td>12.19 ± 0.62</td>
<td>9.51 ± 0.91</td>
<td>62.36 ± 1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum (Great millet)</td>
<td>6.13 ± 0.72</td>
<td>93.87 ± 0.72</td>
<td>4.69 ± 0.60</td>
<td>18.04 ± 0.64</td>
<td>5.69 ± 0.69</td>
<td>8.06 ± 0.55</td>
<td>63.51 ± 0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values (mean ± SE, n=6) in same column with different letter superscripts are significantly different, (p<0.05)

**Table 4:** Glucose adsorption capacity of various insoluble fibers in different concentration of glucose.

Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 10 Millimole/l concentration of glucose ranged from 0.12 ± 0.01 in Proso millet to 0.31 ± 0.02 in Ragi whereas, that in case of WIS ranged from 0.08 ± 0.02 in Proso millet to 0.25 ± 0.02 in Ragi. Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 25 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was interestingly highest in wheat fibers. Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 50 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was also highest in wheat fibers.

Glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) at 50 Millimole/l concentration of glucose ranged from 0.3 ± 0.02 in Barnyard millet to 1.31 ± 0.01 in Sorghum (Great millet) whereas, that in case of WIS ranged from 0.26 ± 0.01 in Barnyard millet to 1.02 ± 0.05 in wheat. Glucose absorption capacity at 50 Millimole/l concentration of glucose was also highest in wheat fibers amongst all the fibres studied. From the results it was clear that at 5 mM/lit, the GAC was more for WIS in all sample except in Kodo millet, but in 50 mM/lit the GAC was highest for IDF in all six samples studied.
as compared to the control (34.59 ± 1.48) but the reduction was highest in kodo millet AIS (9.04 ± 0.61) and lowest (32.73 ± 1.28) in sorghum IDF similarly after 30 minutes of incubation the glucose content of the dialysate was also reduced by all the fibers studied as compared to the control (79.97 ± 1.34) but the reduction was highest (19.45 ± 1.05) in kodo millet AIS and lowest (32.73 ± 1.28) in sorghum IDF. After 60 minutes of incubation the reduction in the glucose content of the dialysate was highest (44.25 ± 1.19) in kodo millet WIS and lowest (13.50 ± 1.36) respectively than IDF and WIS.

Effect of insoluble fibers on GDRI (Glucose dialysis retardation index): The retardation in glucose diffusion by fibers was expressed by values of GDRI in% (Table 5, Figure 5). GDRI is a useful in vitro index to predict the effect of fiber in the delay in glucose absorption inside the gastrointestinal tract. Glucose diffusion retardation index (GDRI) of different fibers after 10 minutes interval varied from 3.92 ± 7.26 in wheat AIS to 78.12 ± 1.17 in kodo millet AIS. GDRI of different fibers after 60 minutes of incubation varied from 8.40 ± 0.49 in ragi AIS to 75.69 ± 1.17 in kodo millet AIS. GDRI of different fibers after 120 minutes of incubation varied from 8.34 ± 1.60 in wheat AIS to 71.61 ± 3.12 in sorghum WIS and that after 30 minutes of incubation ranged from 8.34 ± 1.60 in wheat AIS to 75.69 ± 1.17 in kodo millet AIS. GDRI of different fibers after 60 minutes of incubation varied from 8.40 ± 0.49 in ragi AIS to 78.12 ± 1.17 in kodo millet AIS whereas, that after 120 minutes of incubation ranged from 6.72 ± 0.57 in ragi AIS to 69.44 ± 0.71 in kodo millet WIS.

The effect of various insoluble fibers on starch digestibility were demonstrated by changes in the glucose content in dialysate as a function of time when starch, fiber and diastase were dialysed against distilled water (Table 6, Figure 6). After 10 min of incubation the comparable glucose content in dialysate with fiber addition indicated that there was significant difference in starch digestibility in first 10 min in all fibers from six samples. Kodo millet IDF retarded the starch digestibility to the greatest extent after 10 min of incubation (glucose in dialysate being 1.84 ± 0.28 micromole) and the wheat IDF retarded the least (glucose in dialysate being 25.99 ± 0.94 micromole) as compared to the control value of 31.43 ± 1.08 micromoles of glucose in dialysate. Similarly after 30 min of incubation Kodo millet IDF retarded the starch digestibility to the greatest extent (glucose in dialysate being 9.43 ± 0.66 micromole).

Table 5: Effects of various insoluble fibers on glucose diffusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIBER SAMPLE</th>
<th>10min</th>
<th>30min</th>
<th>60min</th>
<th>120min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONTROL</td>
<td>34.59 ± 1.48a</td>
<td>79.97 ± 1.34a</td>
<td>110.13 ± 1.54a</td>
<td>144.77 ± 1.07a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodo (Kodo millet) IDF</td>
<td>31.29 ± 1.15ac</td>
<td>46.39 ± 1.16b</td>
<td>71.79 ± 0.89c</td>
<td>73.01 ± 1.37a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodo (Kodo millet) AIS</td>
<td>9.04 ± 0.61d</td>
<td>19.45 ± 1.05c</td>
<td>24.05 ± 1.17b</td>
<td>51.27 ± 1.60b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kodo (Kodo millet) WIS</td>
<td>11.25 ± 0.85de</td>
<td>21.31 ± 0.89c</td>
<td>31.33 ± 1.37b</td>
<td>44.25 ± 1.19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji (Proso millet) IDF</td>
<td>31.67 ± 0.89d</td>
<td>47.25 ± 0.94b</td>
<td>71.52 ± 1.36b</td>
<td>73.07 ± 1.45a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji (Proso millet) AIS</td>
<td>18.77 ± 1.10f</td>
<td>26.46 ± 1.05d</td>
<td>48.1 ± 0.82d</td>
<td>55.75 ± 0.94e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gunji (Proso millet) WIS</td>
<td>14.28 ± 0.93f</td>
<td>24.97 ± 0.89d</td>
<td>40.8 ± 1.01d</td>
<td>72.58 ± 0.99a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhipiri(Barnyard millet) IDF</td>
<td>23.41 ± 0.99d</td>
<td>45.42 ± 1.22b</td>
<td>57.59 ± 1.76b</td>
<td>95.96 ± 1.10a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhipiri(Barnyard millet) AIS</td>
<td>9.81 ± 0.70d</td>
<td>30.48 ± 1.51b</td>
<td>42.39 ± 1.27b</td>
<td>65.39 ± 1.04a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhipiri(Barnyard millet) WIS</td>
<td>26.89 ± 0.72d</td>
<td>32.36 ± 1.44b</td>
<td>49.23 ± 1.26b</td>
<td>69.68 ± 1.43a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragi (Finger millet) IDF</td>
<td>31.19 ± 1.52d</td>
<td>47.64 ± 2.51b</td>
<td>63.95 ± 1.04b</td>
<td>77.62 ± 1.68a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragi (Finger millet) AIS</td>
<td>28.07 ± 1.40d</td>
<td>73.08 ± 1.80b</td>
<td>100.85 ± 0.99b</td>
<td>135.05 ± 1.36a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ragi (Finger millet) WIS</td>
<td>29.78 ± 1.48de</td>
<td>64.49 ± 1.68b</td>
<td>86.14 ± 1.83b</td>
<td>133.61 ± 1.55a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat IDF</td>
<td>26.75 ± 1.71d</td>
<td>35.1 ± 0.57c</td>
<td>40.57 ± 1.32c</td>
<td>62.93 ± 1.49a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat AIS</td>
<td>29.40 ± 1.13d</td>
<td>73.02 ± 2.57b</td>
<td>101.49 ± 1.08b</td>
<td>134.90 ± 0.64a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat WIS</td>
<td>23.73 ± 1.30d</td>
<td>45.75 ± 1.21a</td>
<td>68.80 ± 1.47c</td>
<td>89.72 ± 0.87a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum(Great millet) IDF</td>
<td>32.73 ± 1.28d</td>
<td>48.58 ± 1.65b</td>
<td>58.23 ± 1.60d</td>
<td>76.92 ± 1.19a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum(Great millet) AIS</td>
<td>11.73 ± 0.91d</td>
<td>20.60 ± 1.25c</td>
<td>36.09 ± 1.46d</td>
<td>56.03 ± 0.92a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorghum(Great millet) WIS</td>
<td>9.77 ± 1.06d</td>
<td>20.92 ± 1.13c</td>
<td>37.43 ± 0.83c</td>
<td>46.90 ± 1.18a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values (mean ± SE, n=6) in same column with different letter superscripts are significantly different, (p<0.05)
and the poroso millet WIS retarded the least (glucose in dialysate being 30.25 ± 1.45 micromole) as compared to the control value of 60.14 ± 1.34 micromoles of glucose in dialysate. Kodo millet IDF again retarded the starch digestibility to the greatest extent after 60 min of incubation (glucose in dialysate being 18.88 ± 0.98 micromole) and the sorghum WIS did not retard it at all (glucose in dialysate being 96.57 ± 0.96 micromole) as compared to the control value of 96.17 ± 0.61 micromoles of glucose in dialysate. Further incubation for 120 min poroso millet IDF retarded it to the highest extent (glucose in dialysate being 38.95 ± 1.69 micromole) and the sorghum WIS almost did not have any effect on it at all (glucose in dialysate being 160.84 ± 2.29 micromole) as compared to the control value of 160.84 ± 2.29 micromoles of glucose in dialysate. As the incubation time increases the results showed that glucose content in the dialysate increased with subsequent increases of time. The three types of insoluble fibers in all six samples were found to retard starch digestibility effectively along the enzymatic digestion process. When compared with control, the glucose content in the dialysate with all insoluble fibers was less than that of control excepting the sorghum WIS after 60 min of incubation. In case of kodo millet, proso millet, and barnyard millet glucose content in dialysate was higher in AIS than IDF and WIS but in wheat it was highest in IDF at 10 and 30 min highest in WIS at 60 and 120 min whereas, in case of finger millet glucose content in dialysate was highest in AIS at 10, 30 and 60 min but highest in WIS at 120min.

Effects of various insoluble fibers on alpha-amylase activity: In (Table 7.8, Figure 7.8) the effects of various insoluble fibers on alpha-amylase activity are presented in terms of glucose production rate and residual amylase activity (%). The reduction in glucose production rate was lower than that of control in all the samples studied. Glucose production rate was higher in AIS than IDF and WIS of all the samples. Among the six samples finger millet have highest glucose production rate in IDF, AIS and WIS (8.61 ± 1.00, 19.67 ± 1.27 and 18.69 ± 1.56 respectively) and Sorghum IDF showed the lowest value (4.55 ± 0.86). The reduction in glucose production rate could also be presented in another way by means of decrease in residual amylase activity (%) which is the percentage of glucose production rate by addition of fiber over control. In this case also the AIS of all six samples have higher residual amylase activity as compared to their corresponding IDF and WIS, finger millet being the highest (97.67 ± 8.76) and sorghum being the lowest (53.89 ± 5.99). Sorghum IDF reduced the α-amylase activity to the lowest extent (21.7 ± 3.32).

Discussion

Degenerative diseases such as diabetes mellitus become prevalent in the population due to more sedentary lifestyle in name of modernization and westernization. Dietary fiber plays an integral role in the management of diabetes mellitus. High dietary fiber content of millets and less prevalence of metabolic disorders in tribal people regularly consuming it prompted us to undertake a comparative study on hypoglycemic and anti-oxidative efficacy of insoluble fiber rich fractions of different cereals and millets commonly grown in tribal regions of Odessa.

Proximate analysis

Proximate composition analysis was performed for both whole grains (Table 1) and bran samples (Table 2). As dried and stored grains were procured so there was slight variation in moisture and dry matter content in all the grain samples studied (Table 1). The ether extractives varied from 4.35 ± 0.164% in barnyard millet to 1.003 ± 0.057% in proso millet and high crude fiber content was observed in all the grains studied (Table 1). The crude protein content of grains ranged from 10.39 ± 0.248% in barnyard millet and 10.25 ± 0.530% in wheat to 5.48 ± 0.449% in kodo millet. Ash content also varied from 3.59 ± 0.246% in kodo millet and 3.59 ± 0.234% in proso millet to 1.29 ± 0.085% in great millet whereas; the NFE% was almost similar in all the cases. These types of variations in the composition might be due to the difference in genetic makeup and environment in different grains and our results were in accordance to [2,29]. It was clear from the results that the crude fiber% and the ash content were higher in bran sample than the whole grain in all six samples studied (Table 2). Hadimani and Malleshi [3] reported similar findings in different millets. Thus the bran samples were used for extraction of fiber and in vitro evaluation of hypoglycemic effect of insoluble fibers whereas, for evaluation of antioxidant, antibacterial antifungal property and the polyphenol content whole grain powder was used with methanol as solvent.

Hypoglycemic effect of insoluble fibers from millets in vitro

In vitro hypoglycemic effect of insoluble fibers from different cereal and millet samples was studied by assessing the effect of various fibers on glucose adsorption capacity (GAC), glucose diffusion, GDRI (Glucose dialysis retardation index), starch digestibility and alpha-amylase activity to have a simulation of digestion and absorption of carbohydrates in the intestine. These experiments were designed to form the basis of simple methods to measure the potential biological effects of various insoluble dietary fibers. Discussion of each of these tests was given separately to have better understanding.

Effect of insoluble fibers on glucose adsorption capacity: (Table 3 Figure 1) revealed that all fiber samples at different glucose concentration (5, 10, 25 and 50 milimol/lit) could bind glucose effectively and amount of glucose bound to these fibers were concentration dependent and increased with higher concentration of glucose. There is significant difference (P<0.05) in the glucose adsorption capacity (GAC) of different insoluble dietary fibers in all the concentrations of glucose (Table 3). Ou et al [30] have reported that insoluble fiber derived from wheat bran could adsorb glucose at different concentrations to decrease the concentration of glucose available in small intestine. The finger millet WIS showed highest GAC (0.18 ± 0.01 mM/g) at normal (5 mM/l) concentration whereas, at a higher glucose concentration of 50 mM/l wheat IDF exhibited highest GAC (1.65 ± 0.02 mM/g). At low concentration of glucose WIS in all cases showed higher adsorption of glucose but interestingly it was reversed at higher concentration of glucose and IDF in all grain samples showed high GAC. Adsorption of glucose by insoluble fibers might be attributed to the increased water holding capacity of the fibers. When the glucose concentration reduced to 5 mmol/litre, the GAC found to be very low which indicated that the insoluble fiber might help to retain glucose to small extent in the intestinal lumen even at a low glucose concentration. Our findings were in binding with the findings of [31]. Further validation of these effects in vivo is required to confirm the hypoglycemic ability of these fibers.

Effect of insoluble fibers on diffusion of glucose: Diffusion of glucose from the dialysis membrane was affected by dietary fibers (Table 4, Figure 2). Diffused glucose for different insoluble fibers was less affected at 10 and 30 minutes but at 60 and 120 minutes it was much affected and showed higher retardation in glucose diffusion in all the cases as compared to control. By hypothesis the effect of dietary fiber on diffusion was mainly due to their viscosity. The diffusion rate would decrease as time increased because of the gradual increase in viscosity of the medium. The diffusion rate of glucose was decreased by insoluble fibers even if they contribute less to viscosity [30]. This phenomenon can be explained by adsorption of dietary fiber for glucose. Some authors indicated that the retardation in glucose diffusion and absorption due to fibers was affected by viscosity of intestinal content [32]. The retardation effect of insoluble fibers which contribute little to viscosity of solution might be probably attributed to their adsorption capacity. At beginning of dialysis glucose diffusion might be affected by adsorption of glucose on fiber and viscosity so diffusion rate was slow (though glucose concentration is higher inside the dialysis bag) with progress of time diffusion of glucose affected by only viscosity of fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical viscosity of the fiber. The retardation of glucose diffusion might be due to the physical
obstacle presented by fiber particles and entrapment of glucose within the network of fibers [33,34]. Thus we can conclude that insoluble fibers have effects delaying glucose diffusion and subsequently decrease glucose absorption in gastrointestinal tract and millets have sufficient capacity to reduce glucose diffusion and adsorption inside the gastrointestinal tract.

**Effect of insoluble fibers on glucose dialysis retardation index (GDRI):** (Table 5, Figure 3) showed the variation in GDRI with the addition of different insoluble fibers. GDRI is a useful in vitro index to predict the effect of fibers on the delay in the gastro intestinal tract [34]. After 10 minutes interval, the GDRI of WIS and AIS of all the samples was significantly (P<0.05) higher than that of IDF. As the time increased to 30, 60 and 120 min, the trend in the values of GDRI was similar to that of 10 minutes (Table 5). All these results revealed that all the insoluble fibers could effectively hinder the glucose from diffusing out of the dialysis membrane and might be efficient in retarding the glucose absorption. The dialysis experiments mimic events occurring in the small intestine. Movements in these systems is not by true diffusion but is assisted by the convective activity of intestinal contractions in vivo or by stirring of in vitro models [35]. Our experimental design with stirring simulates the biological system more closely than an unstirred system. The glucose dialysis studies mimic events occurring in the jejunum. In this experiment nutrient absorption was modeled by in vitro measurements of the solute flow from a dialysis bag. The retardation of the nutrient flow into the external medium is an indication of the modulating effect of the fibers on glucose absorption.
The potential hypoglycemic effects of these fibers suggested that they could be incorporated as low-calorie bulk ingredient in high fiber foods to lower post prandial serum glucose level and reduce calories levels. Further detailed studies are needed to investigate whether the insoluble fibers from the millet and cereals studied are competent inhibitors of α-amylase or simply act as a barrier between the amylase and starch.

**Conclusion**

- *In-vitro* studies on hypoglycemic effects of insoluble fibers of different cereals and millets (kodo millet, proso millet, barnyard millet, finger millet, wheat and sorghum) indicated that,
  - All these grains are having nutritional composition either comparable or better than most of the commonly used grains so could be used as staple food.
  - All the three types of insoluble fibers from the six millet and cereal samples taken have potent hypoglycemic effect. Thus they can be included in diet having low carbohydrate and high fiber content, which will be beneficial in management of diabetes both in human being and pet animals.
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