Identification and Typing of *Aeromonas Hydrophila* through 16S rDNA-PCR Fingerprinting
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Abstract

16S rRNA is a conserved biomolecule within a cell. Sequencing data was analyzed and examined by sequencing the corresponding 16s rDNA regions of isolates from different sources. Specific culture media and different biochemical tests primarily confirmed isolates as *Aeromonas hydrophila*; those were designed and tested following PCR assays and identified by 16S rDNA gene sequence analysis. To automate the method few online and offline computational tools have been used. The method has proven useful for identification of *Aeromonas* species. Our results emphasize the need to take into account the intragenomic diversity of the 16S rRNA gene. Different software and programme used in this study are freely available online in different website.
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Introduction

*Aeromonas* species are widely distributed throughout the world and causative pathogen for variety of fish, animal and human diseases. Particularly relevant to aquaculture is the species (Martínez-Murcia et al. [1]). *Aeromonas hydrophila* are gram-negative, oxidase-positive, facultative anaerobic, glucose fermenting rods belongs in the family Aeromonadaceae.

Raghava et al. stated that the identification and classification of microorganisms are of fundamental importance in microbiology [2]. Classical methods are basically dependent on phenotypic data. These are also time consuming and expensive. Various fingerprinting methods can be broadly classified DNA fingerprinting by restriction enzyme analysis of the genomic DNA on pulse field gel electrophoresis, for a particular region of the genome the repetitive DNA sequence can be used as a probe, DNA-DNA hybridization and amplification of particular region of genome by PCR followed by restriction analysis. All of these methods of genomic DNA have been the subject of analysis (Grimont and Grimont [3], Huys et al. [4] and Skuce et al. [5]). *A. hydrophila* may exhibit slightly different characteristics depending on the environment from which they were isolated. However, all of these methods have been used for epidemiological studies or to differentiate between strains of the same species or to confirm the species of the genus.

Ribosomes are a part of the translational machinery of a cell and rRNA plays a vital for cellular growth, function and survival. Consequently, the primary, secondary and tertiary structures of rRNA molecules have been conserved during evolution (Gutell [6]). 16S rRNA analysis have stated the primary structure of rRNAs and comparative oligonucleotide consists of highly conserved regions interspersed by regions of moderate to low homology within related species (Gogo et al. [7]). Direct sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene is generally accepted as a stable and specific marker for bacterial identification (Marchandin et al. and Woese [8,9]). The 16S rRNA gene is often organized as a multigene family, with the copy number ranging from 1 to 15 rRNA (rrn) operons in the bacterial genomes (Goenye and Vandamme [10]). Usually the maximum size of the 16S rRNA is 1500 bp. Despite the conserved nature of rRNAs, they vary in size and in the organization of the spacer as well as variable regions within the rRNA. However, the size of the 16S rRNA, sequence conservation during evolution confirmed its importance. Classification of bacteria through this technique also been proven that this may be effectively useful for phylogenetic identification at various levels. To believe the usefulness of this fingerprinting technique *Aeromonas spp.* was chosen.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and culture conditions

Samples were collected from different area and sources of West Bengal, India. Eighty two of isolates were predominantly collected from water of pond, sea and river; raw meat of chicken and mutton; raw cow milk and Fishes as Rohu (*Labeo rohita*), Katla (*Katla katla*), Hilsha (*Hilsa hilsa*) and Mackerel. Out of the 82 strains, 39 strains form fishes were identified phenotypically and biochemically. All isolates were grown on a specific media for *Aeromonas* spp. at various levels. To believe the usefulness of this fingerprinting technique *Aeromonas Hydrophila* as Rimler Shotts agar (Himedia) at 37°C for 38 hours and followed by inoculation of single colony in the Soybean casein digestive media (TSB- Difco) for further growth and studied for genomic characterization, sequencing and typing.

Biochemical studies

Biochemical tests were done in all strains by "Automated Microbial Analyzer" (Biolog, US). The metabolic profiles were compared automatically using the Biolog microtiter plates with the MicroLog GN database. Biolog identifications were reported if the similarity index of the genus or species was 0.5 or greater after 24 hr of incubation. Test samples were incubated under the same conditions as used. All tests
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were carried out in duplicate, and appropriate positive and negative controls were included.

**Bacterial DNA extraction**

Bacterial biomass from 10 ml of culture was collected by centrifugation for 5mins at 10000x g and resuspension in 400 µl of TE buffer (10 mM Tris- HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). Then the cells were lysed by the addition of 200 µl of 10 mg ml⁻¹ lysozyme and incubation at 37°C for 60 min. Then the preparation was incubated for 10 min with 40 µl of 10 mg ml⁻¹ proteinase K at room temperature followed by the addition of SDS to a final concentration of 1% and incubation at room temperature until the preparation was clear. Eighty µl of 0.5 M EDTA was added and mixed gently and the solution was deproteinated by sequential phenol: chloroform: isoamyl alcohol (24:23:1 v/v) extraction. 0.6 volume of isopropanol was added to precipitated DNA and after centrifugation, washed with 70% alcohol and dried it by Maxi Vacuum dryer. Then 500 µl TE buffer (pH 8.0) was added to for storage and for further use. The DNA concentration was estimated by visual comparison with the standard DNA size markers after electrophoresis through 0.8% agarose TAE (tris-acetate EDTA) gels stained with 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ ethidium bromide (Sigma Chemicals Co.).

**PCR amplification**

The amplification was performed on 1.5 µl DNA extract in 20 µl using High Fidelity PCR System (GeneAmp PCR System 2400). PCR products were visualized by ethidium bromide staining on 1.5% agarose gel and after centrifugation, washed with 70% alcohol and dried it by Maxi Vacuum dryer. Then 500 µl TE buffer (pH 8.0) was added to for storage and for further use. The DNA concentration was estimated by visual comparison with the standard DNA size markers after electrophoresis through 0.8% agarose TAE (tris-acetate EDTA) gels stained with 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ ethidium bromide (Sigma Chemicals Co.).

**16S rDNA PCR amplification**

Based on morphological and biochemical characteristics a number of colonies representing all recovered aeromonads in this study were chosen for identification based on 16S rDNA analysis. DNA extraction was first evaluated by the amplification of the 16S rDNA gene using the gene sequence universal primers: forward primer 27F 5'-AGA GTT TGA TCC TGG CTC AG-3' and reverse primer 1492R 5'- GGT TAC GTT TAT AGT T-3' (Chromoss Biotech Ltd., Bengaluru, India) (Stackebrandt et al. [11]). The PCR products were purified by the Exosap treatment in the Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Biotechnology, The University of Burdwan, West Bengal. PCR products were sequenced directly from the Xcelris Lab Ltd, Ahmedabad, India.

**Results**

**Biochemical assay**

*A. hydrophila* appeared as deep cream or light yellow colonies with entire margin in the Rimler shotts agar medium. In primary characterization tests, they were gram negative, rod shaped, motile, oxidase positive, fermentative and novobiocin resistant, suggesting that colonies are aeromonads. All isolates were confirmed to the species level as *A. hydrophila* by differential biochemical tests (Table 1).

**Analysis of 16S rDNA PCR**

Thermal cycling was performed with GeneAmp PCR System 2400.
calculations were performed, comparing sequences of approximately 1500 bases with sequences available in GenBank using BLAST network services (Altschul et al. 1997 [12]). Phylogenetic relationship was outlined by online PHYLIP 3.69 version and found similarity index, distance between all isolates and maximum likelihood pattern analysis. Strain identified as _A. hydrophila_ with percentile match, based on NCBI-BLAST and sequences were compared. The 16S rDNA sequences in this study were submitted to the GenBank database by using Sequin software under nucleotide accession number of AH1 as JN559379 (Table 2).

**Phylogenetic analysis of Aeromonas spp. based on 16S rDNA sequence**

Consensus sequences were aligned using ClustalW for Multiple Sequence Alignment (Thompson et al. [13]), available from GenomeNet Computation service from Kyoto University Bioinformatics Center (http://align.genome.jp). A PHYLIP generated DNA distance matrix (dnadist) after little modification (Hall [14]). The tree shows the genetic heterogeneity and distance within the species, because isolates were collected from different sources and genetic variation either deletion or addition of nucleotides has been appeared, however all six isolates were _A. hydrophila_, isolated from different sources.

**Discussion**

The taxonomy of _Aeromonas_ remains difficult because several approaches have been attempted for classification of the phylogenetic relationships among the species of the genus (Huys et al [4] and Martínez- Murcia [15]). An interesting aspect observed in the present study was that _A. hydrophila_ showed mesophilic (Austin B et al., 1998, Austin DA et al., 1989, Marchandin et al [8], Martínez-Murcia [15], Popoff [16]). Classical tests used to separate the subspecies of _A. hydrophila_ from each other are motility and indole production (Popoff [16], Pavan et al. [18] and Wiklund and Dalsgaard [19]). However, these properties do not proved as useful for that purpose in our study. Motility only yielded the expected results for the type and reference strains. Evolutionary conservation and the uniqueness of the ribosomal RNA allow a broad spectrum of applications. Grimont and Grimont [3] described the importance of the 16S rRNA-based probes as taxonomic tools. This method has shown discriminatory properties in the identification up to the species level and in the typing of other bacteria. Furthermore, automated DNA sequencing is beyond the means of many laboratories to sequence large numbers of microorganisms. However, the need to protect biodiversity from the negative impact of globalization has revived the demand for simple yet comprehensive and reliable methods of identification. In the present study, we approached the use of the properties of the 16S rDNA for phylogenetic identification from a different perspective. The objective of the present study was to provide a quick identification tool but not to carry out a taxonomic study.

Views were recognition of the heterogeneity detected in the
sequences is important to avoid strain misidentification and faithful to the acknowledgement of diversity. Clarridge [20] drawn "sequevars" as different ways to record sequence variations in relation to the type strain. This approach can also be adapted for recording microheterogeneities in sequences deposited in databases as designating the position and base change and combining this information with the percentage of positions showing microheterogeneities.

Conclusion

To draw a keen biosecurity protocol molecular typing, molecular diagnosis and phylogenetic relationships within the species can be able to overcome threats and control the disease outbreaks of Aeromonas species. These data and methodology has been proving as a great and advance molecular tool. An increasing number of reports on Aeromonas spp. associated with health problems; drinking water may represent an important source of pathogenic Aeromonas spp. (Handfield et al. [21]). The isolation of Aeromonas spp. from samples collected from the distribution network in this study could be attributed to the ineffective treatment strategy employed in the system, which may enhance the survival of the organism as suspended or as part of the biofilm community. This preliminary study further emphasizes the need to survey the incidence of Aeromonas spp. in different sources in relation to Aeromonas-associated pathogenicity in West Bengal, India. Moreover, studies on Aeromonas should include assessment of the virulence factors of the predominant strains. Our results emphasize the need to take into account the intragenomic diversity of the 16S rRNA gene.

In conclusion, these data apparently cover the majority of Aeromonas species that can be discriminated by 16S rDNA targeted primers. Our study is aimed towards the quantification of members of the genus Aeromonas as indicators in environment. A. hydrophila was identified as the most prevalent species in the waterways, food sample like raw milk and raw meat in West Bengal, India.
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