Impact of Nutritional Support in Patients with Gastrointestinal Malignancies - A Review
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Abstract

Cancer Cachexia-Anorexia Syndrome (CACS) is a common and often underdiagnosed syndrome in cancer population. If undiagnosed, this initially reversible syndrome leads to deterioration and is direct cause of death in 20% of cancer patients. Oppositely, with timely diagnosis, nutritional counseling can help to slow the progression and positively influence on quality of life, tolerance to chemotherapy with ultimate goal of prolonging patient’s life. Colorectal and pancreatic cancers are very common tumors type worldwide. The prognosis for the survival in pancreatic cancer is poor as in colorectal after disease progression. Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome is highly prevalent among patients with colorectal and pancreatic cancer, and has a large impact on morbidity and mortality, and on patient quality of life. The etiology of primary CACS appears to be related to the pathological loss of inhibitory control of catabolic pathways, whose increased activities are not counterbalanced by the increased central and peripheral anabolic drive. Secondary CACS (related to gastrointestinal obstruction, vomiting due to chemotherapy etc.) is contributing to bad patient’s condition. As a result of being complex and influencing a great number of metabolic pathways, cancer cachexia can be treated in multimodal manner. In this review we are presenting most promising targets and current opinions in ways to treat cachexia and our results with nutritional supplementation in colorectal and pancreatic cancer patients.
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Introduction

Cachexia is a clinical syndrome of a distressing and debilitating condition, affecting significant numbers of patients with advanced malignant disease and causing huge distress; it is the primary cause of death in about 20% of all patients with cancer. Although cachexia is most commonly associated with particular tumours, such as head and neck, gastrointestinal tract, pancreas, central nervous system and lungs, it may affect any patient with any tumour at any site [1].

Cancer anorexia-cachexia syndrome (CACS) [2-3], usually consists of a combination of anorexia, tissue wasting, malnutrition, weight loss and loss of compensatory increase in feeding. Anorexia represents the result of a failure of the usual appetite signals and is preceding cachexia (pre-cachexia). Biochemistry tests in cachectic patients are expressing anaemia, hypoalbuminemia, hypertriglyceridemia and glucose intolerance due to insulin resistance.

Primary cachexia represents the result of a complex interaction between cancer growth and host response, and is associated with a poor response to chemotherapy with an increase in drug-related toxicity [4].

Other debilitating conditions which decrease food intake (gastrointestinal obstruction, nausea and vomiting due to chemo and radiotherapy, pain, emotional factors, renal impairment etc.) are causing secondary cachexia.

Weight loss can be unrelated to reduced nutritional intake and is mostly caused by elevated resting energy expenditures (REE) and proinflammatory cytokine expression [5].

One important mechanism is the activation of the acute phase response cascade [4]. Kemik et al. found significantly higher serum CRP, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, TNF-α, VEGF-A, VEGF-C, VEGFR1, and leptin concentrations in patients with esophageal, gastric, pancreas, colon, and rectum cancers than controls and lower levels of the serum albumin, midkine, adiponectin, and ghrelin in patients with esophageal, gastric, pancreas, colon, and rectum cancers compared to control subjects [6].

Proinflammatory responses are stimulating the expression and release of leptin. This hormone, secreted by adipose tissue, plays a crucial role in the homeostasis of body weight as its high levels in the brain decrease the activity of the hypothalamic orexigenic mediators (ghrelin, neuropeptide Y, agoutin, orexin, melancortin-releasing hormone) and increase anorexigenic signals (choleystokinin, glucagon-like peptide, pro-opimelancortin, thyroid-releasing hormone, corticotropin-releasing hormone, oxytocin). Leptin levels regulate rest energy expenditure (REE) [7].

A range of novel drug targets relevant to the treatment of cachexia are discovered. Interventions may be either upstream (e.g. by antagonizing key mediators of systemic inflammation) or downstream (e.g. by blocking catabolic pathways or stimulating anabolic pathways in skeletal muscle). Upstream targets have the advantage of affecting multiple aspects of cachexia. For example, interleukin-6 is known to be the main mediator of the hepatic acute phase response in humans, but it may also play a role in anorexia, fatigue, anaemia, oedema and...
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of patient will develop metastatic or locally advanced disease in next 25-30% of CRC are diagnosed in the advanced stage and another 30% mutations of somatic cells are the molecular base of the disease. About cancer in varied from 43% (Europe) to 62% (USA) [13-20].

In Europe, indicating a mortality rate of 96% of the cases diagnosed. Pancreatic and colorectal cancer are most common gastrointestinal malignancies. Pancreatic cancer (PCa) is a very aggressive, invasive malignancy. Early intervention with nutritional support is of utmost importance for these patients. Nutritional support includes enteral nutrition, parenteral nutrition, and supportive measures such as nutritional counseling and drug therapy.

Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids have been shown to modulate levels of proinflammatory cytokines, hepatic acute-phase proteins, eicosanoids, and tumor-derived factors in animal models of cancer and may reverse some aspects of the process of cachexia. The metabolites of EPA and DHA have less inflammatory and immunosuppressant potency than the substances derived from arachidonic acid. The competitive metabolism of EPA and DHA with arachidonic acid is occurring at the cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase levels [7]. Oral EPA has been found to stabilize the body weight of cancer patients and together with an energy- and protein-rich nutritional supplement, can enhance weight gain by increasing lean body mass.

Thalidomide, which is an inhibitor of tumor necrosis factor-alpha synthesis, may represent a rational therapeutic approach [9] also as inexpensive oral supplementation of L-Carnitin [10].

In postoperative setting, early nutritional support was shown to reduce the incidence of complications and to shorten the hospital stay. For patients with functional bowel, early enteral nutrition (EEN) is method of choice, and total parenteral nutrition (TPN) is reserved for highly selected cases [11,12]. If patient’s condition cannot provide normal feeding (but gastrointestinal tract is functional) or anticipated time for feeding is longer than 4 weeks, trans nasal way can be used (nasogastric, nasoduodenal and nasojejunal tubes). Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy tubes or percutaneous endoscopic jejunostomy may be placed (endoscopic or surgical way) if longer period of feeding is anticipated.

Pancreatic and colorectal cancer are most common gastrointestinal malignancies. Pancreatic cancer (PCa) is a very aggressive, invasive cancer whose prognosis remains very poor and represents the fourth leading cause of cancer-related mortality. Only 5% of patients is living longer than 5 years. There are approximately 277,000 new cases of pancreatic cancer and 266,000 deaths from pancreatic cancer annually in Europe, indicating a mortality rate of 96% of the cases diagnosed. Etiology of pancreatic carcinoma remains largely unknown but consistent evidence of a positive association was found for family association with diabetes mellitus and chronic pancreatitis.

Cancers of the colon and rectum (CRC) are the third most common forms of cancer worldwide. In the developed countries CRC is the significant cause of morbidity and mortality (412 000 new diagnosed patients in Europe every year). The overall five-year survival for colon cancer in varied from 43% (Europe) to 62% (USA) [13-20].

There are four risk factor categories for CRC: epidemiological, intestinal, dietary, and mixed. CRC is a disease in which genetic mutations of somatic cells are the molecular base of the disease. About 25-30% of CRC are diagnosed in the advanced stage and another 30% of patient will develop metastatic or locally advanced disease in next three years. Despite advances in therapeutic methods, the five-year survival rate for advanced disease is still poor (15%).

For patients with advanced stage of CRC and PCa, the therapeutic goal is quality of life (QoL). Early intervention with nutritional supplementation has been shown to halt malnutrition, reduce the consequences of CACS, extend patient survival and improve quality of life.

**Aim of our Studies**

In our studies we tried to assess the influence of nutritional support (counseling, enteral supplementation liquids, megestrol acetate) on nutritional status and symptoms prevalence in patients with pancreatic and colorectal cancer during chemotherapy.

**Methods and Patients**

During our routine clinical work nutritional status of our patients is evaluated according to changes in body weight. Body Mass Index (BMI) is calculated for all patients (on chemo/biotherapy procedures or achieving nutritional support) using the standard procedure of dividing weight in kg by height in m². We also use following questionnaires for evaluation of patient’s nutritional status: Nottingham Screening Tool Score (NST score 0-7) (Table 1), Appetite Loss Scale (0-10; where 0 is no appetite at all, and 10 is the best possible appetite), and for Performance Status or Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) (Table 2) or Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) (Table 3).

Nutritional and pharmacological support is consisting of nutritional counseling, and if according to NST patients are in nutritive risk, administration of 10 ml (400 mg) per day Megostat’ (megestrol acetate) and enteral nutrition supplements with commercially available products.

Nutritional counseling include interviews with a physician, with purpose of learning how to prepare and ingest food during chemotherapy and to change eventually bad eating habits.

We analysed impact of nutritional support in patients with histologically confirmed pancreatic cancer (PCa) patients during 18 months period (from 1st July 2005 to 31st December 2006 at Gastroenterology department, Clinical Hospital Centre Rijeka). We followed up 44 patients with pancreatic cancer – 26 males (mean age 69 years ± 2.4 years) and 18 females (mean age 63 ± 3.2 years). All patients were with metastatic or locally advanced disease curing with standard

**Table 1:** Nothingam screening tool questionnaire.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Has the patient unintentionally lost weight during last 3 months?</th>
<th>Food intake – has this increase during the last month prior the estimation?</th>
<th>Stress factor/severity of illness?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 – BMI &gt; 20</td>
<td>0 – no</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 – none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 – BMI 18–20</td>
<td>1 – a little, up to 3 kg</td>
<td>1 – yes</td>
<td>1 – moderate (uncomplicated operation, chronic disease, infection, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – BMI &lt;18</td>
<td>2 – a lot, more than 3 kg</td>
<td>Food intake – has this increase during the last month prior the estimation?</td>
<td>2 – severe (multiple fractures and wounds, sepsis, cancers, major operation, etc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Has the patient unintentionally lost weight during last 3 months?</td>
<td>Score 0–2 Patient do not need nutritive support</td>
<td></td>
<td>Score 3–4 Patient had to be monitored once more in week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food intake – has this increase during the last month prior the estimation?</td>
<td>Score 4–5 Patient has malnutrition and need nutritive counseling and support</td>
<td></td>
<td>Score 4–5 Patient has malnutrition and need nutritive counseling and support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress factor/severity of illness?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BMI – Body Mass index</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

therapy, in fact, 36 (81.8%) patients increased weight. Median weight effect was most pronounced 4-6 weeks after beginning the supportive pharmacological support PCa patients stopped to lose weight. This to the oncology unit.

Patients were counseled and re-evaluated by the same physician before the start of chemotherapy, and every two weeks during 12 visits (CRC patients) or 5 visits (PCa patients) in connection with scheduled visits to the oncology unit only this products were available in Croatia.

We analysed colorectal cancer (CRC) patients in study which took place in the Gastroenterology and Oncology Department, Clinical Hospital Centre Rijeka and the Oncology Department, General Hospital Pula, from January 2000 to December 2009. All six hundred and twenty eight (628) patients had initial diagnosis of locally advanced and/or metastatic colorectal adenocarcinoma which was confirmed histologically and were referred for first-line standardized chemo/biotherapy combination regimen of Folfiri/Xeliri or Folfox/Xelox + bevacizumab. We divided this proportion of patients in two groups and compared them: group I (315 patients) was monitored prospectively and achieved nutritional support and group II (313 patients) from whom the data were collected retrospectively over a five-year time period (when nutritional supplements were not available in Croatia). The median age of patients in Group I was 68 ± 2.9 years, and 67 ± 2.7 years in Group II.

In all patients we used the same procedure for establish nutritional status as already described above. Nutritional and pharmacological support consisted of nutritional counseling, administration of 10 ml (400 mg) per day Megostar® (megestrol acetate) and enteral nutrition supplements with commercially available products Ensure® (480 ml daily with 600 kcal) and Prosure® product containing eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) (480 ml daily, containing 2.2 g of EPA and 600 kcal). In the beginning of observation only this products were available in Croatia. The median age of patients in Group I was 68 ± 2.9 years, and 67 ± 2.7 years in Group II.

Both, PCa patients and CRC patients had first visit 0-4 weeks after surgery if performed or one week before chemotherapy initiation. Patients were counseled and re-evaluated by the same physician before the start of chemotherapy, and every two weeks during 12 visits (CRC patients) or 5 visits (PCa patients) in connection with scheduled visits to the oncology unit.

By using nutritional counseling, enteral food substitution and pharmacological support PCa patients stopped to lose weight. This effect was most pronounced 4-6 weeks after beginning the supportive therapy, in fact, 36 (81.8%) patients increased weight. Median weight gain was 2.5 kg (1.8 to 4.5 kg). Appetite range on numeric rate scale increases from 2.7 at baseline up to 4.5 (Table 4).

Diarrhea in 11.4% and abdominal pain in 15.9% were the only side effects of enteral liquid supplementation and from megestrol acetate edemas in 36.4% patients.

In study with CRC patients at initial visit, upon evaluating the risk measurements according to BMI, decrease in weight, and NST, we did not find any significant differences between the prospective and retrospective group. After completion of chemotherapy and nutritional support cycle, comparing these two groups we noticed weight gain in those with a BMI <20 who received counseling and nutrition and an opposite effect was observed in the group without nutritional support. After 4 weeks of supplementation in Group I, 73.34% patients had an increase in body weight, with an average weight gain of 1.5 kg (0.6-3.3 kg) versus Group II where increase in weight gain was observed in only 19.49% of patients. Patients who achieved nutritional supplementation also expressed appetite improvement from 3.3 to 4.6 on Appetite Loss Scale. On week 12 there was a significantly smaller proportion of patients with BMI<20 and NST ≥ 5 in the group with nutritional counseling. A greater proportion of patients in the same group had a better appetite according to Appetite Loss Scale (Table 5).

As side effects patients in Group I comparing with patients in Group II expressed more diarrhea 17.5% vs.13% of patients and more abdominal pain in 15.9% vs. 12% of patients. Edemas, the main side effect of megestrol acetate was experienced in 29.2% of patients.

We also analyzed survival in the two groups and we determine that patients with nutritional support had a significantly longer median survival than patients in the control group (19.1 vs. 12.4 months).

Discussion

At the time of diagnosis patients with PCa mostly have disseminated disease; 20% of patients who does not have macroscopic disseminated disease have positive intra-abdominal lymph nodes when using Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) method. Therefore, despite the clear advances in surgical treatment of pancreatic carcinoma, 5 years survival rates are still low, ranging from 5-30%. Early metastasizing and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>ECOG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fully active, able to carry on all pre-disease performance without restriction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Restricted in physically strenuous activity but ambulatory and able to carry out work of a light or sedentary nature, e.g., light house work, office work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ambulatory and capable of all selfcare but unable to carry out any work activities. Up and about more than 50% of waking hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Capable of only limited selfcare, confined to bed or chair more than 50% of waking hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Completely disabled. Cannot carry on any selfcare. Totally confined to bed or chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group scoring scale of performance status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Level of Functional Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Normal, no complaints, no evidence of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Able to carry on normal activity, minor signs or symptoms of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Normal activity with effort, some signs or symptoms of disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Cares for self, unable to carry on normal activity or do active work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Requires occasional assistance, but is able to care for most needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Requires considerable assistance and frequent medical care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Disabled, requires special care and assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Severely disabled, hospitalization is indicated although death is not imminent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Hospitalization is necessary, very sick, active supportive treatment necessary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Moribund, fatal processes progressing rapidly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Dead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Karnofsky performance scale.
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There is no single, generally agreed-upon definition of cachexia [12]. Therefore, cachexia is infrequently identified, and rarely treated [12]. It is essential to have a validated and universally accepted definition of cachexia so clinicians can recognize the problem and institute treatment [12,22].

The loss of muscle and fat tissue is mediated by different alterations in the organism, not all of which are known until now [23].

Pro-inflammatory cytokines, (TNF-alpha, IL-1beta, IL-6, IL-8), enhanced the expression of Leukemia inhibitory factor- pleiotropic cytokine (LIF) which significantly induced cell proliferation [17]. Acute-phase response proteins are related to cachexia, accelerated angiogenesis in gastroesophageal cancers and decreased survival [24].

Ghrelin, a 28-amino acid peptide, also express a proliferative effect in neoplastic disorders [25].

Muscle wasting in cancer patients is consequence from activation of the ubiquitin proteasome pathway by proteolysis inducing factor (PIF) which is independent of nutrient intake, and therefore nutritional supplementation alone is unable to reverse the process of cachexia. EPA prevents muscle wasting by down-regulating the increased expression of this pathway [26].

After a median of at least 3-month supplementation with EPA, positive changes in weight, significant reduction of acute-phase protein production and the stabilization of resting energy expenditure were registered in many studies [27,28]. Studies suggest that analyzed progestational agents (megestrol acetate and medroxyprogesterone), showed that those agents improve appetite and increase weight in advanced cancer patients with slight increase in risk of thrombophlebitis. Also, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) in phases I and II produce a decrease in proinflammatory interleukins and TNFas and open a possibility of reverse cancer cachexia in early stages.

Although reported results are inconclusive in studies phase III, in every-day clinical practice, faced with patients with CACS (or with possibility to develop CACS) we are offering nutritional counseling and nutritional support with EPA and megestrol acetate to our patients with satisfactory clinical outcome. Accordingly to previous reports, our patients also tolerated enteral food supplementation and megestrol acetate well; only a few percents of them experienced diarrhea which followed abdominal pain due to enteral liquid supplementation. Megestrol acetate induced edemas in relatively significant proportion of patients (36.4% and 29.2% respectively) but patients doesn’t forfeit from this medication. Improved social life due to the better appetite is more important than discomfort caused by edema. Despite the improvement in weight and appetite, Performance Status of cancer patients isn’t changing significantly trough period of nutritional supplementation, reflecting the seriousness of illness itself.

One problem of CACS is how to implement (and which Tool) for early detection of precachexia in clinical practice. Pre-cachexia is found to be condition preceeding cachexia and it’s characterized with progressive wasting of skeletal muscle mass and it’s replacement with...
adipose tissue before weight loss. There is general failure to recognize patients in nutritive risk early enough and to implement effective nutrition interventions.

Multimodal approach (megestrol acetate, EPA, L-carnitine and thalidomide) has shown better treatment outcome than giving single preparation [29]. Thalidomide also causes increased appetite and body weight, but at a dose of 200 mg caused severe side effects, which make his use controversial. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are also interesting in their application to cancer cachexia because they can reduce systemic inflammation. Open-label studies with celecoxib and L-carnitine in preliminary experiments have shown an increase in body weight.

The infusion of ghrelin in patients with breast cancer increased appetite, food intake and feeding satisfaction, with no apparent side effects. Oral ghrelin mimetics increase body mass [30-32]. But as ghrelin and its mimetics have the ability to cause increased levels of IGF-1, it is necessary to examine the safety of their use in larger clinical studies.

Nonsteroid selective androgen receptor modulators (SARM) can have a positive anabolic effect while avoiding virilization and hypertrophy of the prostate gland in men. Application of SARM in postmenopausal women and older men with a tumor cachexia showed weight gain and better functioning of patients with relatively few side effects [33]. In studies of phases I and II by Bayliss et al. it was applied a monoclonal antibody to IL-6 in patients with NSCLC. The results showed improvement in body weight and reduced disease symptoms level [34].

As some other authors, we concluded that nutritional counseling and nutritional support can temporarily stop weight loss and improve appetite, social life and quality of life in gastrointestinal cancer patients. In study with CRC patients we even demonstrated impact on survival rate. However, due to a relatively small number of patients and short follow up, those results has to be considered with great caution.

Today we have gap between necessity of preventing and treating CACS and paucity of clear recommendations and evidence for supportive nutritional therapy for oncology patients. We have ethical problems of supplemental nutrition in patients with advanced tumor illness and also problems of cost-benefit ratio of nutritional supplementation in view of cost development in the public health system. Although we can expect new drugs from outgoing studies, it will take a time for their implementation in clinical practice. Symptom control, counseling on nutrition and appropriate physical activity levels are still base for the good treatment of cancer cachexia. Other anabolic preparations such as ghrelin, thalidomide, SARM and monoclonal antibodies require further clinical testing in order to distinguish their performance, but they represent a new frontier in the multimodal treatment of cancer cachexia. Although we can expect new drugs from outgoing studies, it will take a time for their implementation in clinical practice.

Therefore, we still need more phase III studies of already existing nutrition supplements with large number of patients. We can conclude that the role of nutritional therapy is still assumed to be less important than tumor response on antitumor therapy as outcomes are less clear in literature.

No single preparation can be considered as a standard in the treatment of cancer cachexia. Currently, in the treatment of cancer cachexia, are well-established preparations containing EPA, megestrol acetate and corticosteroids.


