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Abstract

**Background:** Neural cell transplantation is a promising therapy for stroke, but rejection of human cells in animal models is an obstacle to furthering this research. Many antirejection strategies have been reported, but few comparison data are available. We asked if human neural cell grafts would have different survival or differentiation with injected or oral cyclosporine regimens.

**Methods:** Rats received intracerebral grafts of human embryonic stem cell-derived neural progenitors, and 6 rats each were randomized to 4 cyclosporine regimens: 1) daily injections, 2) initial injections followed by oral drug in the drinking water, 3) oral drug only, or 4) no cyclosporine. Histology was performed 14 days after grafting for quantification of markers of human cells, neural cell types, and immune cells.

**Results:** More rats in the injection (6/6) and injection+oral (5/6) groups had surviving graft cells than in the oral (1/6) and control (3/6) groups (p<0.05), with a trend toward a greater number of surviving graft cells as well. All rats with surviving graft cells also had these cells co-label for a neural progenitor marker, and a minority of graft cells co-labeled for a cell division marker and a neuronal marker. Rats with areas of dead graft cell debris were seen in all of the groups. In these areas, cells that labeled for microglial markers also contained the human nuclear marker in their cytoplasm, suggesting phagocytosis of the graft cells.

**Conclusions:** Human neural cell survival in rat brain tissue differed between cyclosporine regimens, but microglial phagocytosis of graft cells occurred in all the groups. Frequent injection of laboratory animals is undesirable, and a compromise strategy of peritransplant injections followed by drug in the drinking water showed good results in preventing graft cell rejection. Further research is needed to optimize the antirejection approach for this application.
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Introduction

Background

Neural progenitor cell transplantation is a promising therapy to improve outcome for stroke and other neurological disorders, but grafting parameters require further refinement through research in animal models prior to successful translation into human trials [1]. Rats are ideal for research in optimizing transplantation variables for the human neural progenitors that will be used in human trials, but a rat’s immune system may reject human cell xenografts [2,3]. Human neural progenitors specifically need to be tested, though, because there may be substantial differences in the behavior of graft cells derived from different species that may affect their safety or efficacy [2,3].

We recently performed a systematic review of human neural cell transplantation in animal stroke models, and found wide variability in the antirejection strategy used by different investigators, with only half reporting the use of any antirejection drugs [4]. The most common regimen reported was cyclosporine alone, with doses ranging from five to 20 mg/kg; multiple routes of administration including oral, subcutaneous, intraperitoneal, and intramuscular; and varying schedules including daily, every other day, or three times a week. All of these publications reported graft cell survival of variable or unquantified amounts, and no group reported clinical adverse events related to the antirejection drugs. Only one study reported a direct comparison of an antirejection strategy (cyclosporine) and an identically treated group that was not given an antirejection drug, where they found better graft cell survival and improved recovery of stroke deficits in the cyclosporine group [5]. We did not find reports of direct comparisons of different active antirejection strategies in our review. A subsequent article has reported similar graft cell survival with daily cyclosporine injections for two weeks when compared to longer-term daily injections [6].

Most of the reported regimens used the antirejection drug cyclosporine administered by daily injection. Long-term frequent injection of laboratory animals is labor-intensive, painful for the animals, and may also confound functional analysis by inducing behavioral changes. We, therefore, sought to evaluate the effects of injected versus oral cyclosporine regimens on human neural cell graft outcomes and rat brain tissue responses to identify a strategy that may be amenable for future long-term graft survival.

Methods

The human embryonic stem cell line H9 (WiCell) was expanded, directed to a neural progenitor cell identity, and prepared for grafting as previously described [7]. On differentiation day 21, we prepared the
cells for grafting with 50,000 cells/ul in artificial cerebrospinal fluid (Harvard Apparatus) with 1:10,000 Rho Kinase inhibitor (Tocris).

The animal care and use committee of the University of Wisconsin approved all animal procedures, and the results of all the rats used are presented below. Male 8-week-old Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River) were anesthetized with isoflurane and administered bupivacaine and buprenorphine for analgesia. A small craniotomy was made 3 mm to the left of bregma. A drawn glass needle was slowly inserted to a depth of 6 mm from the skull and left in place for 3 min prior to the first injection. The cell suspension was injected with 1 ul boluses over 1 minute each at 1 mm increments from 6 to 2 mm deep to the skull, for a total of 250,000 cells grafted, and the needle was left in place for 3 minutes prior to removal. This injection method created long, linear grafts maximizing graft cell exposure to the host tissue.

Each rat was randomized to 1 of 4 cyclosporine regimens, with 6 rats per group. The injection group received cyclosporine (Neoral, Novartis) 10 mg/kg/day subcutaneously from 2 days prior to grafting until perfusion. The injection+oral group received cyclosporine 10 mg/kg/day subcutaneously for 7 days starting 2 days prior to grafting, followed by 100 ug/ml in the drinking water until perfusion. The oral group received cyclosporine 100 ug/ml in the drinking water starting 2 days prior to grafting until perfusion. The control group received no cyclosporine.

The rats were perfused and brain sections prepared as previously described 14 days after grafting [7]. Immunohistochemistry was performed with standard procedures using primary antibodies for the following markers: human-specific nuclei (HUNU, 1:200, Millipore), human-specific cytoplasm (STEM121, 1:500, StemCells), human-specific neural progenitors (nestin, 1:3000, Millipore), neurons (MAP2, 1:1000, Millipore), astrocytes (GFAP, 1:5000, DAKO), dividing cells (Ki67, 1:500, Invitrogen), leukocytes (CD45, 1:500, Santa Cruz), neutrophils (MPO, 1:1000, Fisher), T lymphocytes (CD3, 1:30, BD Biosciences), and microglia (CD11B, 1:1000, Santa Cruz). Hoechst (Invitrogen) was used to label all nuclei. As previously described, the number of labeled cells was stereologically estimated on visible grafts, co-labeling of cells was confirmed and quantified with confocal microscopy, and optical densitometry of the integrated density was determined for fluorescent markers [7].

Data are presented as proportions or means ± standard deviation (SD). Fisher’s exact test was used to assess for the primary outcome of group differences in the proportion of rats with surviving or dead grafts. The paired t-test was used to compare marker integrated density values of the grafted hemispheres to the contralateral hemispheres. One-way ANOVA was used to assess for group differences in the mean number of stereologically estimated labeled cells, graft cells co-labeled for secondary markers, and marker integrated density values. Tukey’s post-hoc test was used to determine which groups differed.

**Results**

No clinical adverse events related to the grafts or the cyclosporine were seen during the survival period; specifically, we did not see clinical signs of tumors, seizures, or infections in any of the rats. There was a significant difference in the primary outcome of the proportion of rats with surviving graft cells between the 4 groups (p=0.017). The combined injection and injection+oral groups had significantly more rats with surviving graft cells (11/12) than the combined oral and control groups (4/12, p=0.009, Figure 1). The mean number of surviving graft cells in the injection+oral group was larger than the other 3 groups combined, both when all of the rats of each group were included or when just the rats with surviving graft cells were included, but this difference was not statistically significant due to high variability (p>0.05, Table 1).

All rats with surviving graft cells had these cells co-label with the neural progenitor marker nestin (Figure 2). No rats had graft cells co-label for the astrocyte marker GFAP. Small proportions of graft cells co-labeled for the cell division marker Ki67 and the neuronal marker MAP2, but MAP2 staining was weak when present (Figure 2). There were no significant differences for the presence or proportions of graft cells co-labeled for these markers between the 4 groups (p>0.05, Table 2). No tumors were seen in the brain sections.

Optical densitometry demonstrated significantly more overall cellularity (Hoechst integrated density of 2.21x10^6 versus 2.01x10^6, p=0.007), significantly more reactive astrocytosis (GFAP integrated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Rats with live graft cells (%)</th>
<th>Rats with dead graft cell debris (%)</th>
<th>Rats with both live graft cells and dead graft cell debris (%)</th>
<th>Mean live graft cells (±SD) for all rats</th>
<th>Mean live graft cells (±SD) excluding rats without live graft cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>6/6 (100%)</td>
<td>1/6 (17%)</td>
<td>1/6 (17%)</td>
<td>141,836 (±268,273)</td>
<td>141,836 (±268,273)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection+oral</td>
<td>5/6 (83%)</td>
<td>3/6 (50%)</td>
<td>2/6 (33%)</td>
<td>347,857 (±8625,700)</td>
<td>417,428 (±673,108)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>1/6 (17%)</td>
<td>2/6 (33%)</td>
<td>0/6 (0%)</td>
<td>1,595 (±3,615)</td>
<td>4,784 (±5,901)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3/6 (50%)</td>
<td>3/6 (50%)</td>
<td>1/6 (17%)</td>
<td>113,733 (±250,056)</td>
<td>227,467 (±342,802)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1: Graft cell survival.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Percent of graft cells that co-labeled for these markers (mean%±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nestin</td>
<td>MAP2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>74% (±38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection+oral</td>
<td>56% (±43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>48% (±50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2: Graft cell differentiation.**
that the expected mechanism of cerebral graft cell rejection had occurred through microglial phagocytosis of the graft cells (Figure 3). Only rare cells in just a few of the rats labeled for the neutrophil marker MPO or the T lymphocyte marker CD3.

Discussion

We found differences in the survival of human neural cells transplanted into the brains of rats administered different cyclosporine regimens over a short survival period, but also evidence of microglial phagocytosis of graft cells and reactive astrogliosis in all the groups. Laboratories investigating the use of human neural cell grafts to improve recovery of rat models of stroke and other neurological disorders would benefit from a simple and minimally-invasive antirejection strategy for the xenograft cells, as progressively longer survival times will be needed to evaluate durability of graft benefit over time. In particular, neural progenitor cells may require months to fully mature and integrate into injured host brain networks, depending on the maturation state of the cells at the time of transplantation [8]. Frequent injections are undesirable for both laboratory animals and personnel, and oral administration of drugs mixed in the drinking water would be ideal if it were similarly effective. Unfortunately, we did not see equivalent graft cell survival with oral cyclosporine alone, or when no cyclosporine was given. We did, however, have good success with a compromise strategy of peritransplant injections followed by mixing the drug in the drinking water. This regimen produced a similar proportion of rats having surviving grafts when compared to the injection-only group, and a trend towards a greater number of surviving graft cells. We have previously demonstrated good survival of human neural progenitor cells using this strategy for rats transplanted one week after cerebral infarction followed by one month of survival time after grafting [7].

Despite good graft cell survival in several of our experimental groups, in all the groups at least some of the rats lost part or all of their grafts. This appeared to have occurred through host tissue immune rejection, based on the microglial and astrocytic responses we found in and around the grafts, as well as the evidence of microglial phagocytosis of graft cells. Our primary finding of differences in graft cell survival between the different cyclosporine regimens also suggests that the mechanism of graft cell loss is via host immune rejection, as the primary effect of this drug is prevention of graft tissue rejection by the immune system.

Limitations of our study include the focused nature of the antirejection strategies we tested, and the relatively short survival period evaluated after grafting, although we did find differences between the groups during this time interval. Further research beyond the scope of our study is clearly needed to optimize the antirejection approach for human neural cell grafting, including studies of larger groups followed for longer survival periods using cyclosporine at multiple doses, routes, and schedules, as well as factorial evaluations of multidrug combinations including cyclosporine and the numerous other antirejection drugs that are currently used by patients after organ transplantation.

Conclusions

We found that peritransplant injections of cyclosporine appear to be necessary to prevent rejection of human neural cell grafts in rat brain tissue, and also that the injections may be switched to oral administration by mixing the drug in the drinking water after the peritransplant period. For future directions, we recommend that
additional single and multidrug antirejection strategies be directly compared to determine if there is a superior approach to the one described here.
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