Jam-A, Plasminogen and Fibrinogen Reactivity in a Case of a Lupus Erythematosus-Like Allergic Drug Reaction to Lisinopril
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Abstract

**Background:** Drug-induced lupus erythematosus is a lupus variant that resolves within days to months after withdrawal of the eliciting drug, in patients without other major underlying immune system dysfunction.

**Case report:** A 71 year old Caucasian female presented following sudden onset of an erythematous, desquamative, polycyclic, scaling and pruritic rash in sun exposed areas, 4 days after taking Lisinopril®. Skin biopsies for hematoxylin and eosin analysis, as well as for direct immunofluorescence (DIF) were obtained.

**Results:** The hematoxylin and eosin staining revealed basal layer vacuolar degeneration, basilar apoptotic and dyskeratotic keratinocytes, and a lymphocytic interface dermatitis with an additional superficial and deep, perivascular and perinuclear lymphohistiocytic infiltrate. A significant presence of eosinophils was noted in the inflammatory infiltrate. DIF demonstrated positive reactivity with FITC conjugated anti-human fibrinogen, especially directed against dermal neurovascular plexus components and appendageal neurovascular supply structures; this staining colocalized with glial fibrillary acidic protein staining. Overexpression of anti-plasminogen and anti-junctional adhesion molecule A was also noted in these areas.

**Conclusion:** In this case of a lupus-like allergic drug reaction, the strong presence of dermal eosinophils, lack of basement membrane zone deposition of IgM and C3 and strong reactivity to dermal vessels with fibrinogen assisted in addressing the differential diagnosis of lupus erythematosus.
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Introduction

Drug induced lupus erythematosus (DILE) can arise months to years after exposure to eliciting drugs (eg, selected antihypertensives, antibiotics and anticonvulsants). The most common eliciting medications include hydralazine, procainamide, quinidine, isoniazid, diltiazem, and minocycline [1-4]. Drug induced subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus represents a subvariant with predominant skin involvement [1-4]. Care must be taken to correctly diagnose the symptoms of drug induced lupus, and to differentiate it from classic systemic lupus erythematosus via clinical, serologic and pathologic data.

Case Report

Our patient exhibited rapidly presenting constitutional symptoms of fever, weight loss, fatigue, joint pain and myalgias after taking Lisinopril® for 5 days. The patient denied taking other medications or vitamins, as well as over the counter or natural medications. Serologic testing revealed antihistone antibodies to be positive at >95%, and low anti-dsDNA antibodies titers. Her C3/C4 levels and a complete blood count were within normal limits. Anti-Sm, ENP, ribosomal protein, ANCA and VDRL testing were negative.

A lesional skin biopsy was taken for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) analysis. A DIF biopsy was taken from the upper arm, and from the edge of the lesions. The constellation of clinical, histologic and immunofluorescence features favored the differential diagnosis of 1) DILE, or 2) early lupus erythematosus, with a concomitant, nosologically unrelated allergic reaction present. After biopsy interpretation, we favored the diagnosis of a DILE, and suggested cessation of Lisinopril®. Follow up of the patient demonstrated that her antihistamine antibodies diminished 6 weeks after Lisinopril® cessation and addition of antihistaminics and topical betamethasone. The patient’s lesions began to recede clinically one week after these therapeutic changes. The patient was further advised to avoid future Lisinopril® therapy.

Methods

DIF

Our DIF was prepared and incubated with multiple fluorochromes, as previously described [5-9]. In brief, we transferred our biopsy from Michel’s transport medium into OCT media, and froze at minus 20 degrees Celsius. We used a cryostat to cut multiple frozen section sets, at four micron thickness. DIF was then performed utilizing antibodies directed to FITC conjugated polyclonal rabbit anti-human IgG, IgA,
IgM, complement/C1q, complement/C3, albumin and fibrinogen, all from Dako (Carpinteria, California, USA) as previously described [6-10]. We utilized FITC conjugated monoclonal goat anti human FITCI IgE from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, California, USA), and FITC conjugated mouse monoclonal anti-human IgG3 from Sigma (Saint Louis, Missouri, USA). We also utilized FITC conjugated antiplasminogen from Academy Biomedical (Houston, Texas, USA). We utilized FITC conjugated anti-human haptoglobin from Rockland Immunochemicals(Gilbertsville, Pennsylvania, USA). We selected this antibody because haptoglobin is typically increased in hypertensive patients, and wished to evaluate alterations of this molecule in the skin biopsy. Finally, we utilized Cy3 conjugated anti-human glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) from Sigma, and FITC conjugated anti-human polyclonal junctional adhesion molecule-A (JAM-A) from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, California, USA).

Results

Microscopic examination

Examination of the H&E tissue sections demonstrated mild epidermal hyperkeratosis with minimal follicular plugging. A mild interface infiltrate of lymphocytes and histiocytes was noted. Within the dermis, a prominent, superficial and deep, perivascular and periadnexal infiltrate of lymphocytes, histiocytes, plasma cells, occasional mast cells, neutrophils and eosinophils was also observed. Increased dermal mucus was not appreciated. A PAS special stain revealed focal reinforcement of the epidermal basement membrane zone (BMZ), as well as around sebaceous glands, eccrine sweat glands and hair follicles. Notably, these sites represented the same places that positive deposits of fibrinogen were later identified via DIF. The PAS special stain revealed no fungal organisms. DIF studies displayed the following results: IgG (-); IgA (+; focal superficial perivascular dermal deposits); IgM, complement/C1q, complement/C3, albumin and fibrinogen, all from Dako (Carpinteria, California, USA) as previously described [6-10]. We utilized FITC conjugated monoclonal goat anti human FITCI IgE from Vector Laboratories (Burlingame, California, USA), and FITC conjugated mouse monoclonal anti-human IgG3 from Sigma (Saint Louis, Missouri, USA). We also utilized FITC conjugated antiplasminogen from Academy Biomedical (Houston, Texas, USA). We utilized FITC conjugated anti-human haptoglobin from Rockland Immunochemicals(Gilbertsville, Pennsylvania, USA). We selected this antibody because haptoglobin is typically increased in hypertensive patients, and wished to evaluate alterations of this molecule in the skin biopsy. Finally, we utilized Cy3 conjugated anti-human glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) from Sigma, and FITC conjugated anti-human polyclonal junctional adhesion molecule-A (JAM-A) from Invitrogen (Carlsbad, California, USA).

Results

Microscopic examination

Examination of the H&E tissue sections demonstrated mild epidermal hyperkeratosis with minimal follicular plugging. A mild interface infiltrate of lymphocytes and histiocytes was noted. Within the dermis, a prominent, superficial and deep, perivascular and periadnexal infiltrate of lymphocytes, histiocytes, plasma cells, occasional mast cells, neutrophils and eosinophils was also observed. Increased dermal mucus was not appreciated. A PAS special stain revealed focal reinforcement of the epidermal basement membrane zone (BMZ), as well as around sebaceous glands, eccrine sweat glands and hair follicles. Notably, these sites represented the same places that positive deposits of fibrinogen were later identified via DIF. The PAS
Discussion

Systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and DILE are both autoimmune diseases that cause the immune system to produce autoantibodies against the patient’s own tissues. [1-5]. In DILE, autoantibodies are thought to be generated by a mechanism other than molecular mimicry; however, the precise immunopathologic mechanism is not known. The 1) medications implicated in drug induced lupus, as well as 2) flares of SLE often produce autoantibodies that do not necessarily induce systemic autoimmune symptoms. Despite these common features, research suggests that DILE and SLE have separate and distinct mechanistic pathways. In DILE, the drug characteristics that elicit autoantibody formation are unclear; several theories have been proposed [1-5].

Although the pathogenesis of drug induced lupus is not completely understood, genetic predisposition may play a role. Specifically, this concept has been supported by data involving drugs metabolized by acetylation, such as procainamide and hydralazine. In our case, the triggering medication was Lisinopril® [1-3]. One of the important clues suggesting a diagnosis of DILE was the histologic presence of eosinophils in the inflammatory infiltrate. The presence of eosinophils assisted in establishing the diagnosis, as well as the presence of fibrinogen around dermal blood vessels detected by DIF. We also tested for haptoglobin, because increased serum haptoglobin has been previously noted in patients taking Lisinopril®. Our findings did not demonstrate haptoglobin overexpression in our biopsy material by DIF.

We have previously reported patients affected with discoid lupus, systemic lupus and lupus panniculitis with different immunodermatologic patterns [10-16].

Each subtype of lupus seems to favor selected unique DIF features, as outlined in Table 1. For the DIF findings, it is also important to note that normal skin that also can show deposits of immunoglobulins and complement following significant sun exposure (Table 1).

We investigated IgG3 deposition, because in allergic asthma IgG3 has been shown to play a role in eosinophil degranulation. Few studies on skin allergic reactions have included investigation of this immunoglobulin [15]. Indeed, our DIF findings were positive for this antibody.

Lisinopril® is an angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor used for treating high blood pressure, heart failure and preventing renal failure due to high blood pressure and diabetes. In our skin biopsy, we found weakly positive plasminogen deposition, thus raising the possibility that in our patient Lisinopril did not reach the serum levels necessary to modulate the fibrinolytic balance.

Drug-induced lupus erythematosus differs from its idiopathic counterpart in terms of clinical, histologic, immunologic and prognostic characteristics, including the presence of eosinophils in the dermal inflammatory infiltrate (Figure 1), and prominent epidermal spongiosis (Figure 2).

In Table 1, we compare some DIF findings in these differential variants of lupus erythematosus, and in sun exposed skin. One cardinal DIF finding in our DILE case is strong fibrinogen reactivity at the BMZ, with further, focal reactivity in the superficial dermis. In contrast to conventional lupus band reactivity, we found that fibrinogen BMZ reactivity in our case was stronger than IgM or C3 BMZ reactivity. Drug allergies often present a significant immune response, demonstrated by fibrinogen deposition. Notably, the dermal fibrinogen reactivity was paralleled by expression of anti-human GFAP in the same area.

We further noted that the dermal fibrinogen reactivity was present in several neurovascular areas that supply the skin appendageal structures; overexpression of JAM-A and deposits of plasminogen were also noted in these areas. The pathophysiologic significance of these findings remains unclear. In the workup of allergic drug reaction patients, we recommend clear communication between primary care providers and consultant dermatologists regarding the medications each patient is taking. Often, drug related skin conditions will rapidly clear following cessation of the eliciting medication. In our case, the patient’s Lisinopril® was discontinued; subsequent treatment with topical clobetasol led to rapid improvement of her skin lesions. Serologic followup noted that her antihistone antibodies decreased over 6 weeks following cessation. For the clinician, is important to remember that antihistone antibodies have been demonstrated to be of value in the management of drug induced lupus [3,16].
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Table 1: Comparison of the immunofluorescence findings between multiple variants of lupus erythematosus, and sun exposed normal skin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>DLE</th>
<th>SCLE</th>
<th>SLE</th>
<th>DILE</th>
<th>CCLE</th>
<th>Bullous lupus</th>
<th>Sun-exposed skin in healthy areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DIF</td>
<td>-Strong, shaggy BMZ staining for IgM, C3, IgG and fibrinogen; also present in sebaceous and sweat glands and some dermal blood vessels. -Elastic globes (DNA complexed with IgG). -Some fibrinoid clumps in the dermis. -Positive cytoid bodies.</td>
<td>-Particulate epidermal IgG deposition (all anti-Ro/SSA positive).</td>
<td>-Positive ANA titers, as well as anti-dsDNA antibodies. Anti-Sm, anti-RNP, ENA, and anti- chromatin antibodies.</td>
<td>-Positive antinuclear antibodies (ANAs), antihistone antibodies and anti-Ro(SSA) antibodies.</td>
<td>-Strong, shaggy BMZ staining with IgG, C3, and fibrinogen.</td>
<td>-Strong, shaggy BMZ staining with IgM and C3.</td>
<td>-BMZ linear deposits with IgG and C3, and less with fibrinogen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLE</td>
<td>Systemic Lupus Erythematosus; SCLE: Subacute Cutaneous Lupus Erythematosus; DILE: Discoid Lupus Erythematosus; DILE: Drug-Induced Lupus Erythematodes; CCLE: Chronic Cutaneous Lupus Erythematous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We further investigated IgG3 deposition, because in allergic asthma IgG3 has been shown to play a role in eosinophil degranulation. Few studies on skin allergic reactions have included investigation of this immunoglobulin [15]. Indeed, our DIF findings were positive for this antibody.
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