Management of Diabetic Nephropathy in the Elderly: Special Considerations
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Abstract

The incidence and prevalence of End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) secondary to Diabetic Nephropathy (DN) have been progressively increasing, reaching pandemic proportions over the past 20 years. Diabetes mellitus is responsible for more than 40% of all cases of ESRD in the United States. Despite that, the treatment of DN is still suboptimal. Both the elderly and diabetic populations are among the fastest growing categories. While several guidelines are available for management of DN in the general population, elderly patients have unique characteristics that may require adaptation of the general therapeutic guidelines used for the general population. Current therapy directed at delaying the progression of DN in elderly includes optimal glycemic and blood pressure control, proteinuria/albuminuria reduction, interruption of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system through the use of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin type-1 receptor blockers, along with dietary modification and cholesterol lowering agents. This review highlights the available standard therapeutic approaches to manage progressive DN in elderly.

Introduction

Diabetes Mellitus (DM) is a common disease in elderly, as more than half of all diabetic individuals in the United States are over 60 years of age. The prevalence of DM peaks in persons between 65 to 74 years of age [1,2]. The elderly are defined as individuals 65 years of age or older [3]. This definition remains controversial. While this definition is based solely on chronological age, other factors such as the general health of the individual as well as the presence/absence of associated comorbidities likely will play an important role in further defining the concept of ‘elderly’ [3]. Caution should be applied while managing diseases, such as DM, in this population as they are more likely to have injurious falls, polypharmacy, cognitive impairment and depression.

A large source of morbidity and premature mortality in DM relates to the development of late complications affecting multiple organ systems. Elderly patients with diabetes have the same kind of complications as younger patients. One of these complications, Diabetic Nephropathy (DN), has become the leading cause of End Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) in the United States [4].

DN and ischemic vascular nephropathy represent more than 50% of all the cases of end stage renal failure after the age of 65 yrs in the Western countries and probably worldwide [5].

DN is defined by persistent pathological albuminuria (>300 mg of urinary albumin excretion in a 24-hour collection) and abnormal renal function as recognized by an abnormal Plasma Creatinine (PCr) level or Glomerular Filtration Rate (GFR) [6]. Several other factors need to be considered to reach the diagnosis of DN as the duration of DM and the presence of diabetic vasculopathies. Although both DM Type-1 (DMT1) and Type-2 (DMT2) lead to DN, DN in the elderly is mainly due to DMT2. It usually takes 5 to 10 years for DMT2 individuals to develop nephropathy. However, the diagnosis is often delayed until some other event stimulates medical attention. The earliest renal manifestation of diabetes is glomerular hyperfiltration, followed by a decline in GFR and increased albuminuria usually 5 or more years after the onset of DM. Finally, overt albuminuria develops and GFR continues to fall. Hypertension, which tends to develop after microalbuminuria in DMT1, is usually present as the time nephropathy develops in DMT2 [7].

The exact pathogenesis of DN is complex and not completely understood. Among the pathogenic factors are: hyperglycemia, increased systemic and glomerular pressure, increased activity of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone-System (RAAS) and stimulation of several cytokines and growth factors by metabolic and hemodynamic factors [8]. Several therapeutic interventions targeting these mechanisms have been developed and implemented with various degrees of success.

Elderly persons with diabetes might be fit and healthy, residing independently, or frail with functional disabilities and residing in assisted care facilities or in nursing homes. Therefore, therapies need to take into consideration the overall health, functional status, comorbidities and life expectancy of each individual. A geriatric evaluation of cognitive functions and autonomy for daily living activities may be helpful in assessing the ability of the patient to comply with his/her treatment plan.

Current Therapeutic Strategies for DN in Elderly

Available therapeutic options directed at delaying the progression of DN include Blood Glucose (BG) control, Improved Blood Pressure (BP) control, interruption of the RAAS using Angiotensin-Convertible Enzyme Inhibitors (Acei) and/or Angiotensin Type-1 (AT1) Receptor Blockers (ARBs) along with dietary modification and cholesterol lowering agents.

Lifestyle modification

Although these modifications are often neglected, they are important components of the management of DN. These modifications...
include exercise as tolerated, smoking cessation, decrease in alcohol consumption, and weight reduction in overweight patients [9]. Smoking is an independent risk factor for the development of nephropathy in patients with DMT2, and smoking cessation alone may reduce the risk of disease progression by 30 percent [10]. Although alcohol consumption is associated with risk of proliferative retinopathy, neuropathy and macroalbuminuria, yet previous report showed that moderate alcohol consumption is associated with a lower risk of all microvascular complications among type 1 diabetes patients [11]. The elderly may represent a unique challenge to lifestyle modification. While they still may benefit from smoking cessation or decreasing alcohol consumption, some of these interventions might not be applicable in elderly patients with anorexia [12,13], or in frail elderly.

**Glucose control**

**Target blood glucose:** Older patients with DMT2 are a very heterogeneous group with multiple co-morbidities, an increased risk of hypoglycemia, and a greater susceptibility to adverse effects of hypoglycemic drugs, making treatment of DMT2 in this population challenging [14]. There is an increased risk of falling and many have a decline in their functional status. Thus, hypoglycemia may result in an increased risk of traumatic falls or exacerbation of co-morbid conditions. Therefore, identifying the optimal blood glucose target for the elderly is crucial.

The ACCORD (the Action to Control Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes) trial randomized 10,251 participants (mean age 62.2 years) with a median glycated hemoglobin of 8.1% to a strategy of intensive glycemic control (Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) target <6.0%) or standard control (HbA1c target 7.0%–7.9%). Compared with standard therapy, intense treatment yielded increased mortality and did not significantly reduce major cardiovascular events [15]. The ADVANCE [16] (Action in Diabetes and Vascular Disease: Preterax and Diamicron Modified Release Controlled Evaluation) and VADT [17] (Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial) trials also compared standard and intensive glycemic control. Although these trials did not find an increase in mortality in the intense treatment arms, they also did not find a benefit of intense glycemic control in terms of mortality or a reduction in major cardiovascular events. Therefore, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) suggests that clinicians should consider less stringent HbA1c goals in the elderly than the usual target of less than 7.0% [9]. An individualized target of HbA1c should take into consideration the general health and life expectancy of the elderly patient. Relatively healthy older adults with no major co-morbidities may benefit from more intense glucose control (target HbA1C<7%), while more limited targets may be more appropriate for elderly with major co-morbidities, established diabetic end-organ damage or limited life expectancy.

**Hypoglycemic agents and doses:** Besides identifying the optimal blood glucose levels for the elderly, caution may need to be applied regarding the selection and the dosage of specific hypoglycemic agents in the elderly. The doses of oral hypoglycemic agents and insulin may need to be decreased as the renal function declines, and more so in the elderly, to avoid hypoglycemia and other side effects [18]. There are several reasons for a reduced insulin requirement in the elderly with advanced kidney disease; a reduced renal catabolism of insulin, anorexia that is common in these patients and impaired renal neoglucogenesis.

Metformin is associated with a small risk of lactic acidosis but is generally well tolerated in the elderly and has a minimal risk of hypoglycemia when used as monotherapy. The risk of lactic acidosis is higher in patients with renal insufficiency so renal function should be assessed prior to starting metformin. Though Duong and colleagues argued that using metformin at stable creatinine clearances as low as 20 ml/min is safe as long as metformin dosage is reduced in renal impairment and only increased after consideration of the blood glucose and plasma lactate levels, caution should be exercised when using that drug in patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) [19].

Thiazolidinediones may induce fluid retention, edema, and congestive heart failure. A study in individuals more than 66 years of age showed that treatment with rosiglitazone was associated with an increased risk of congestive heart failure, acute myocardial infarction, and mortality when compared with combinations of other oral hypoglycemic agents [20]. Long acting sulfonylureas (carbutamide, glyburide and glimepiride) are associated with an increased risk of hypoglycemia in elderly patients. In general, sulfonylureas should be used with caution when GFR is less than 60 ml/min, and should be avoided if possible when the GFR is less than 30 ml/min. On the other hand, Dipeptidyl Peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitor dosage was found to be unaffected by age [21]. Several studies showed that DPP-4 inhibitors were well tolerated with no hypoglycemic episodes in the elderly [22], even those with moderate to severe renal disease [23]. Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 (GLP-1 analogs) as exenatide and liraglutide are also well-tolerated in the elderly and carry a low risk of hypoglycemia.

Pancreas transplantation is generally restricted to younger individuals with DMT1 and is not an option for elderly individuals with DMT2.

In summary, glycemic treatment in both DMT1 and DMT2 reduces the risk and progression of DN. The specific goal for HbA1c, the selection of hypoglycemic agents and dosing of these agents should be individualized considering the potential benefits and harms of different levels of HbA1c as well as the hypoglycemic drug used. Healthy older adults may benefit from intense glucose control (HbA1c<7%), while for more debilitated older adults a higher HbA1c higher target may be preferable.

**Blood pressure control**

Approximately 40% of patients with DMT2 at the age of 45 are hypertensive; the proportion increases to 60% by the age of 75 [24]. Both systolic and diastolic hypertension markedly accelerate the progression of DN. Normotensive patients with advanced DN show slower progression compared with hypertensive patients of all ages [25-34]. Several randomized controlled trials indicate that multiple antihypertensive agents, often more than three, are commonly required to achieve optimal BP control [27,35-38]. Non-pharmacologic approaches (dietary modifications, weight loss and increased physical activity) are effective in reducing BP in non-diabetic individuals [39] and may have similar benefits for diabetic patients. However, pharmacologic approaches remain the mainstay for controlling BP in patients with DM [40,41].

**Target BP:** The optimal target BP for patients with DN continues to be debated [42]. The recent ACCORD trial (mean age 62.2 years) failed to show a reduction in cardiovascular events but rather showed increased rates of hyperkalemia and renal dysfunction when targeting a systolic BP <120 mmHg as compared with <140 mmHg.
lightheadness, dizziness and subsequent falls. Is an essential strategy in treating elderly with hypertension to avoid due to autonomic dysfunction [48]. Therefore, a BP goal of 140/80 risk of falls. The risk of hypotension is further increased in diabetics others. Hypotension is a huge concern for elderly, as this increases the patients with heart failure or stroke need to have lower targets than DMT2. A Guidelines (EDAG) [47] suggest that a BP of 140/80-90 mm Hg should be the threshold to begin BP treatment in older patients with DMT2. A lower target was suggested for patients with CKD.

It might be worth noting that it is important to have different systolic targets according to different clinical conditions. For instance, patients with heart failure or stroke need to have lower targets than others. Hypotension is a huge concern for elderly, as this increases the risk of falls. The risk of hypotension is further increased in diabetics due to autonomic dysfunction [48]. Therefore, a BP goal of 140/80 seems to be reasonable in frail older adults. A gradual decrease of BP is an essential strategy in treating elderly with hypertension to avoid lightheadness, dizziness and subsequent falls.

**Summary:** The ADAG and EDAG recommend threshold BP of 140/80-90 mmHg to start treatment in the elderly. Given the difficulty in achieving a low BP target, the risk of hypotension and falls, and the lack of strong evidence of benefit from reducing systolic BP to <130 vs. 140 mmHg, we believe that a target of 140/80-90 mmHg is a reasonable goal for the frail elderly. A BP target of 130/80 mmHg can be pursued in healthy older patients who tolerate their antihypertensive regimens well, patients with significant proteinuria (over 500 mg/day) and patients at particularly high risk of stroke.

**Antihypertensive agents:**

- **Agents affecting renin-angiotensin-aldosterone-system:**
  - **ACEi/ ARBs:** Activation of the RAAS system plays a crucial role in the pathophysiology of DN. Several trials have established the efficacy of ACEi and ARBs in reducing the progression of DN [49,50]. The micro-HOPE study, a subgroup analysis of HOPE (Heart Outcomes Evaluation Prevention), revealed that 10 mg of ramipril compared with placebo in 1808 patients with DMT2 and a mean age of 65.3 years reduced the risk of overt nephropathy by 25% and that of cardiovascular death by 37%. The beneficial effects of RAAS blockade go beyond a reduction in systemic BP and include a reduction of intraglomerular pressure and proteinuria, thereby slowing progression of CKD [49,50]. The Telmisartan Randomized Assessment Study in ACEi intolerant subjects with cardiovascular Disease (TRANSCEND) included patients with a mean age of 65 years who had known cardiovascular disease or diabetes with end-organ damage but without macroalbuminuria or heart failure. Patients were treated with either an ARB (Telmisartan) or a placebo in addition to standard treatment, and composite renal outcomes; dialysis, doubling of serum creatinine, changes in estimated GFR, or in albuminuria were followed. Albuminuria increased less with telmisartan than with placebo (32 versus 63%; $p=0.001$), with no significant difference in the composite renal outcome (1.96 versus 1.55%) [51]. Furthermore, the RENAAL study (Reduction of End points in NIDDM with the Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan) included 1513 participants, 421 (27.8%) of whom were older than 65 years, and showed that losartan reduced the risk of ESRD by 50%. The ADVANCE trial showed that the addition of an ACEi (perindopril) resulted in a reduction in proteinuria and cardiovascular death in DMT2 [29]. The DETAIL (Diabetics Exposed to Telmisartan and Enalapril) study compared an ACEi and ARB in DMT2 and found no significant differences in renal outcomes or mortality [52]. Therefore, both ACEi and ARBs appear to be equally effective in slowing the progression of DN in the elderly. Other factors, such as cost or side-effects, should dictate the selection of either class of agents.

Elderly individuals have unique characteristics that make them more likely to experience adverse renal effects with the use of agents that block the RAAS. There is a greater potential risk for further deterioration of renal function in the elderly, especially in patients with advanced CKD. A recent study by Ahmed et al. [53] evaluated the impact of discontinuing RAAS blockers in elderly patients (mean age 73.3 years) with stage 4 and 5 CKD and found an improvement in estimated GFR from 16.38 to 26.60 ml/min by 12 months after stopping these agents, suggesting that RAAS blockers contribute to further decline in GFR in elderly patients with advanced CKD. Although this study was limited in the number of patients studied (n=52), it highlights the need to be cautious when using RAAS blockers in the elderly with advanced CKD.

The adverse effects that are most concerning with RAAS-blocking agents in the elderly are Acute Kidney Injury (AKI), hyperkalemia, and hypotension. Elderly individuals have a higher risk of AKI with use of RAAS blockers, especially in the setting of renovascular disease, volume depletion and hypotension. The use of such drugs was estimated in one study to account for 36% of the elderly hospitalized with AKI [54]. Furthermore, old age is associated with a decrease in plasma renin activity and low plasma aldosterone levels [55], which predisposes the elderly to hyperkalemia. The increased use of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDS) in this population compounds the risk of hyperkalemia. Elderly patients with stage 3 and 4 CKD had a higher percentage of systolic and diastolic hypotensive episodes [54]. Hypotension would be another point to avoid in elderly, as adding this medication might add further risk for falls.

A second unresolved issue centers on the efficacy of combined therapy with both ACEi and ARBs in slowing DN. Small trials had reported greater reductions in proteinuria and even slowing of renal dysfunction in patients treated with combined therapy as compared to monotherapy [56]. The enthusiasm for this approach was dampened by the ONTARGET (Ongoing Telmisartan Alone and in combination with Ramipril Global Endpoint Trial) trial which included patients more than 65 years, in which the combination therapy arm showed worse renal outcomes (doubling of the SCr and the need for dialysis), as compared with either of the monotherapy arms [57]. Most subjects in the study had non-nephrotic range proteinuria, leaving the question about whether or not; double RAAs blockers play a therapeutic role in patients with nephrotic range proteinuria unanswered. Thus, unless new data emerge supporting the use of dual therapy with ACEi and ARBs, monotherapy with either agent will remain the first-line.
for patients with DN. Even so, combination ACEi and ARB seems reasonable for selected patients, such as those with no history of hypotension or major cardiac disease, who have persistent proteinuria on ACEi or ARB monotherapy. In such circumstances, referral to a nephrologist is appropriate.

- **Renin inhibitors:** The benefit of blocking the RAAS with ACEI and ARBs in a variety of kidney diseases, including DN, is now well established [58-65]. However, such treatment does not completely abrogate the progression of kidney disease [64-66]. This partial response has been attributed in part to feedback effects, such as angiotensin-escape and aldosterone-escape [67,68]. In light of these phenomena, alternative approaches to optimize the RAAS-blockade are being sought. The activity of renin, the rate-limiting-step in the RAAS cascade, is increased when either ACEi or ARBs are used for prolonged periods. Thus, direct inhibition of renin activity has potential advantages over ACEi and ARBs. Not only does renin inhibition lower BP through its action on the RAAS, it has also additional direct actions mediated through a renin receptor [69]. Alikiren, an orally active non-peptidic renin inhibitor, decreases plasma renin activity, although renin concentration is increased [70-72]. Therefore, alikiren may cause more complete RAAS-blockade and reduce the compensatory feedback as compared to ACEI/ARBs. Parving et al. [73] performed a prospective randomized study of alikiren in 599 patients in patients with DMT2 who had hypertension and proteinuria (mean age of 60 yrs). They found that dual RAAS-blockade with alikiren and losartan reduced albuminuria 20% more than losartan alone despite a very small difference in BP. Thus, renin inhibitors may be effective in delaying the progression of DN. However, better outcome data on renal function itself, rather than surrogate end points as proteinuria, are needed.

The safety and tolerability of alikiren in elderly patients has been evaluated in several trials [74-78]. These studies showed that alikiren lowers BP effectively and is well tolerated, even in patients >75 years old. Alikiren treated patients had a higher risk of hyperkalemia compared with ACEI or thiazides [79-82] but no events of renal dysfunction. In addition, the Alikiren Observation of Heart Failure Treatment (ALOFF) trial demonstrated that the addition of alikiren to an ACEI (or an ARB) and a beta blocker in patients with heart failure was also well tolerated with only a small and not significant risk of hyperkalemia or hypotension [83]. Thus renin inhibitors may have a role in renoprotection in diabetic elderly, but further studies are needed.

**Aldosterone antagonists:** The mineralocorticoid receptor antagonists spironolactone and eplerenone reduce proteinuria when administered alone and have additional antiproteinuric benefits when given with ACEi or ARBs [84-85]. This additive antiproteinuric benefit is independent of further BP reduction [74,75,84,86]. The lack of sexual side-effects of eplerenone makes it a good alternative to spironolactone, particularly in men. These results, if supported by long-term outcomes, indicate that mineralocorticoid antagonists may be a valuable addition to our armamentarium to delay progression of DN with close monitoring of serum potassium and creatinine.

Whereas a previous study showed adverse effects of aldosterone antagonists in elderly patients to be infrequent, other studies contradicted these findings. The Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study (RALES) reported that spironolactone reduced sudden cardiac death and death from progressive heart failure in patients with severe heart failure with minimal serious adverse effects. Setting high cut-offs, the investigators noted that serious hyperkalemia was minimal (serum potassium >6.0 mmol/L in 2%), and that renal insufficiency (creatinine >4.0 mg/dl) did not occur [76]. Subsequently, smaller studies reported that spironolactone-induced hyperkalemia and renal insufficiency are more common in elderly patients than reported in RALES [79-80]. It is worth noting that those studies were not randomized, controlled trials and that different patient characteristics might explain the contradictions between those studies.

In the Eplerenone Post-Acute Myocardial Infarction Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study (EPHESUS), patients (mean age of 64 years) were randomly assigned to receive eplerenone (25 mg/d initially, titrated to a maximum of 50 mg/d) or placebo [81]. The addition of eplerenone resulted in additional reductions in overall and cardiovascular mortality and the rate of death from cardiovascular causes. Whereas hyperkalemia (serum potassium >6.0 mmol/L) occurred more frequently in the eplerenone group than in the placebo group (5.5 versus 3.9%; p=0.002), the incidence of severe hyperkalemia (>7 and >8 mmol/L) was similar in both groups. Although not primarily designed as studies of elderly patients, several other studies that included patients who were a mean age of 65 years showed that use of spironolactone or eplerenone in this older population was tolerated and safe [77,81,82]. We still do recommend frequent monitoring of potassium levels as well as renal function in elderly diabetics receiving aldosterone inhibitors. Further studies in elderly diabetics are needed.

- **2-Agents that do not interrupt renin-angiotensin-aldosterone-system:** As discussed, agents which interrupt the RAAS are recommended as first line treatment of hypertension in elderly individuals with diabetes. However, the reduction in BP per se, rather than the choice of specific BP agents, is of paramount importance in delaying the progression of renal disease in DN40. Therefore, patients who are intolerant to ACEi and/or ARB, or who need further reduction of BP beyond what can be achieved with these drugs, will require treatment with other classes of antihypertensive agents.

- **Calcium Channel Blockers (CCB):** Tuomilehto et al. [78] found earlier that Dihydropyridine Calcium-Channel-Blockers (DHP CCBs) are safe in elderly diabetic patients, and that they reduced mortality and cardiovascular events in patients >60 year old. Yet, they were found to be inferior in reducing proteinuria when compared to ACEi while achieving the same effects on blood pressure control. On the other hand, Non-Dihydropyridine Calcium-Channel-Blockers (NDHP CCBs) were found to reduce proteinuria and slow the progression of kidney disease in diabetics [87-90]. Bakris et al. [91] showed that NDHP CCBs were comparable to ACEi and superior to beta-blockers in reducing proteinuria and delaying progression of renal disease in elderly patients (mean age of 63) with DN. This superiority of NDHP CCBs over beta-blockers was also confirmed in African Americans with DN with mean age of 61-92. Therefore, when elderly diabetic patients with albuminuria and nephropathy are unable to tolerate ACEi or ARBs, Nondihydropyridine Calcium Channel Blockers (DCCBs), such as diltiazem or verapamil could be used.
• **Beta-blockers and diuretics:** Relatively few studies have examined the effects of beta-blockers and diuretics in elderly patients with DN. Nielson and colleagues showed that though ACEi were superior to beta-blockers in reducing proteinuria; both drugs equally reduced the decline in kidney function in patients with mean age of 60-69. Likewise, the UKPDS study in patients with mean age of 56 showed that ACEi and beta-blockers were equally effective in reducing both macrovascular and microvascular complications in DMT (92-94).

Diuretics (chlorthalidone) are effective in preventing major cardiovascular events in diabetic and non-diabetic elderly patients [95]. However, less is known regarding the effects of diuretics in patients with DN. In one study, the diuretic agent indapamide was equivalent to enalapril in reducing microalbuminuria in hypertensive diabetic patients with mean age of 60 [96]. Although the GUARD study [97] in a younger-elderly population (mean age 57.7) showed that the same combination of ACEi with hydrochlorothiazide resulted in a greater reduction in albuminuria than did the combination of ACEi and DHP CCB, the larger ACCOMPLISH study [98] that was performed in a somewhat older population (mean age=68 years) showed that the same combination of ACEi/hydrochlorothiazide was less effective than the ACEi/CCB combination in reducing the decline in GFR in high risk, mainly diabetic, hypertensive patients.

These studies suggest that while beta-blockers and diuretics may be helpful in the management of DN in elderly, they should probably be used in combination with ACEi or ARBs.

**Dyslipidemia and lipid-lowering drugs:** Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death in patients with advanced CKD [99]. Both DMT1 [100] and DMT2 [101] are associated with dyslipidemia; mainly hypertriglyceridemia. Lipid control in elderly persons who have diabetes, living in nursing homes often is inadequate [102,103]. Several studies showed marked cardiovascular benefits for treating dyslipidemia in patients with DM [104-111]. Post-hoc analysis of the “Heart Protection Study” (HPS) [107] which included patients who had diabetes and were between 40 and 80 years showed marginally significant reductions in the relative-risk of cardiovascular events in diabetic patients with CKD. However, these patients had primary cardiovascular disease with coincidental mild chronic kidney disease, rather than primary renal disease. On the other hand, The SHARP trial studied more than 9270 CKD and hemodialysis patients with mean age of 62 over a 5 year period to determine the effects of simvastatin and ezetimibe in comparison to placebo. 23 % of patients had diabetes. The SHARP trial showed that simvastatin and ezetimibe reduced the rate of major atherosclerotic events, but disappointingly, had no benefit on survival or CKD progression [111]. Therefore, the decision to treat with lipid-lowering drugs (statin and ezetimibe) should be based on the LDL level.

**Multifactorial intervention and ASA:** A recent Danish study [113] examined the impact of a multifactorial intervention on the risk of cardiac and renal outcomes in patients with DMT2 and microalbuminuria. Patients were treated with either conventional therapy or an intensive regimen consisting of tight glucose control, RAAS-blockers, aspirin, and lipid-lowering agents. The mean treatment period was 7.8 years followed by a mean of 5.3 year observation period. Mean age was 55 at base line, and 66 at the end of the follow up. During the entire 13.3 years follow-up, the mortality in the intensive-therapy group was 30% compared to 50% in the conventional therapy group, an absolute risk-reduction of 20%. Additionally, the risk of overt diabetic nephropathy or ESRD was significantly reduced in the intensive-therapy group. This study highlights the need for targeting multiple pathways in order to reduce the burden of diabetic complications. The Antithrombotic Trialists’ Collaboration [114] demonstrated in a meta analysis that aspirin reduced nonfatal MI, nonfatal, stroke, and vascular death by approximately one third, irrespective of age or diabetic condition. They also showed that low dosage aspirin in hemodialysis patients had a statistically significant 41% reduction in major cardiovascular end points with similar major extra-cranial bleeding in the general population. Therefore, and in the absence of contraindications, Aspirin (ASA) should be offered to DN patients in a low average dose.

**Early referral to nephrologist:** Primary care providers are on the front line in our battle against DN. The availability of several guidelines from professional societies, such as the American Diabetes Association, National Kidney Foundation and the American Society of Hypertension has helped a great deal in this fight. The coordinated effort among the different specialties; primary care provider, endocrinologist and nephrologist remains crucial for optimal patient outcomes. Early referral to nephrologists has been found to be associated with decreased rates of decline in GFR [115] and mortality [116]. In spite of that, it is still not uncommon for CKD patients to be seen by a nephrologist for the first time only one month before starting dialysis [117,118]. Similar trends were also noted in Europe, Australia, New Zealand and Canada. Ghossein et al. suggested that the greatest benefit to patients occurs when referral to nephrologist is initiated before the plasma creatinine concentration exceeds 1.5 to 2 mg/dl or GFR is less than 60 mL/min per 1.73 m² [119]. Early referral to nephrologist is also important for the elderly patients. Nephrologists need to present elderly patients with advanced CKD and their families the available treatment options and establish, through shared decision making, realistic goals of therapy. Nephrologists also need to discuss end of life care options available to the elderly patients, particularly those with multiple co-morbidities and poor quality of life.

**Summary and Conclusion**

Elderly patients represent a unique group of patients who require a more tailored therapy to avoid further co-morbidities (Table 1). Strategies for the early identification and treatment of DN in elderly have evolved based on new clinical trials. The current evidence-based

| Exercise and weight reduction might not be applicable in elderly patients. |
| Blood sugar Control |
| Less stringent A1C target. |
| Selective hypoglycemic agents and doses. |
| Blood Pressure Control |
| Treat BP >140/80 mm Hg |
| Avoid Hypotension and associated risk of falls. |
| Caution when using RAAS blockers in elderly. Greater risk of AKI, hyperkalemia and hypotension. |
| Non-hydropyridine Calcium Channel Blockers (Dccb), such as diilazem, verapamil can be used in elderly diabetic patients with albuminuria and nephropathy who are unable to tolerate ACEis or ARBs. |
| Beta-blockers and diuretics may be helpful in the management of DN in elderly, but should probably be used in combination with ACEi or ARBs. |

| Table 1: Unique Characteristics in Management of Elderly with DN. |
approaches place emphasis on individualizing optimal glycemic control, BP control with RAA S-blockade as first-line agents, and optimization of traditional cardiovascular risk factors. Successful outcomes can be achieved with treatment that incorporates the aforementioned multifaceted interventions to slow the progression of diabetic renal disease and its associated complications.
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