Microbiological Assessment of Some Powdered Infant Formulas: From Quality to Antibiotic Resistance Evaluation
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Abstract

Living bacteria are daily used for human consumption as food supplements, also in infant formulas. The amount of ingested viable cells seems to be able to influence the probiotic effectiveness; so it is essential that products available on the market are correctly labelled and that the viability and identity of each strain is ensured. For this purpose, an analysis was conducted on 8 commercial infant formulas to evaluate their stability until the recommended consumption date, the bacterial load and the antibiotic susceptibility of strains used in aforementioned products. Conventional cultural methods were used to isolate and enumerate microorganisms, while their identification was performed by means of Pyrosequencing. Finally, Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MIC) for erythromycin, penicillin, ciprofloxacin and tetracycline were determined using E test. Most of the tested infant formulas were correctly labelled and the number of viable cells remained stable until the recommended consumption date; however, one product did not contain viable cells of one bacterial species reported in the label. Moreover, all products contained strains showing resistance to at least one antibiotic; resistance to tetracycline and ciprofloxacin was rather common among the tested strains. In conclusion, most of the products analysed in this study were correctly labelled and contained a sufficient amount of bacteria. Although, the antibiotic resistance found in all probiotic strains underlined the need for further investigations about the real safety of probiotic strains used as food supplements.
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Introduction

Infant's formulas are the predominant source of nutrition for many infants and are fed during a sensitive period of development, having probably short- and long-term consequences for infant health [1]. In terms of food safety, infants and children are considered to be a part of the high-risk group of individuals, as their immune systems may have not yet be fully developed [2]. Thus, it is reasonable that concerns for safety of products used for infants should be scrutinized closer than foods for adults who have developed several mechanisms to face up with nutrient inadequacies and excess [3]. More recently, several types of bacteria have been increasingly included in Powdered Infant Formula (PIF) or infant food production for their potential health benefits; in literature these bacteria are referred to as probiotic agents [4]. Probiotics are defined as live and vital microorganisms able to benefit human health when consumed in adequate amount, as part of a food or a nutritional supplement [5]. Due to the scarce quality of many commercialized products evidenced by several authors in the recent past [6-8], national guidelines have been developed in different countries to assure high quality standards. Most of them are based on the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting reports on probiotics, which first established in vitro and in vivo properties that should characterize a probiotic microorganism [5].

Probiotic products marketed in Europe should adhere to the Guidelines for Probiotics and Prebiotics for human [9], which is based on the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting reports on probiotics and on the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) consultations regarding the Qualified Presumption of Safety (QPS) approach. The QPS approach was developed to limit the risk of using potential hazardous microorganisms in the food chain, thus avoiding possible dangerous consequences on human health [10]. The EFSA document also recommends that commercial strains should not harbour transferable antibiotic resistance; in particular determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (MICs) of the most important antimicrobial for each bacterial strain used in food preparations is strongly recommended by EFSA [10]. Genes conferring resistance to antimicrobials can be transferred in microbial communities by conjugative plasmids, transposons, and integrons, and insertional elements, lytic and temperate bacteriophages. Some studies speculated that also commensal bacteria may act as reservoirs of antibiotic resistance genes which may contribute to the spread of resistance [11-13]. The finding that commensal bacteria are able to acquire resistance genes in the intestinal tract [14] and to transfer them to pathogens [15] supports this hypothesis. Antimicrobial agents are commonly used in animal husbandry to cure or prevent the onset of bacterial infections [16]. However, their use at sub-therapeutic doses as growth factors has led over years of use to selection of antibiotic resistant strains within the intestinal microbiota of treated livestock and, as a consequence, antibiotic resistant bacteria have been detected in fermented foods derived from meat and milk [16]. In 1998 a significant percentage of vancomycin-resistant strains of Enterococci were isolated from Danish chicken following the use of avoparcin as feed additive [17]. Conversely,
no vancomycin-resistant enterococci were found in Sweden where all antibiotics as food-stimulating feed additives have been forbidden since 1986; accordingly, these results highlighted the pivotal role of antibiotic used as growth factor in the development of antibiotic-resistant bacteria. Moreover, probiotic bacteria used as food supplements are not the only microorganisms involved in the dissemination of resistant determinants in the food chain: a recent study, instead, demonstrated the possible role of ready-to-eat salads in the spread of bacteria within kitchen environment and placing salads within the spectrum of food products that may be vehicles for antibiotic resistant bacteria/genes with clinical interest [18]. Consequently, the safety of food products and, above all the safeness of commercial strains should be evaluated before launch on the market, not only for potential disease-causing traits, but also for their capability of acquiring and transferring resistance determinants [19]. Moreover, guidelines require: i) a minimum labelled concentration of 10^6 CFU of live microorganisms/daily dose; ii) identification of each probiotic by integrating phenotypic and genotypic characterization, and conforming of microbial species nomenclature to the international Code of Nomenclature; iii) absence of pathogens. However, several studies demonstrated that the content of some products is not always in agreement with label statements [7,8]. The aim of this work was to evaluate if infant formulas available on the market in 2012 were correctly labelled in terms of identity of species or genera and lack of cross contamination by species out of label; also the stability of bacterial counts over time and the antibiotic susceptibility of probiotic strains were analysed.

**Materials and Methods**

**Products**

A total of 8 commercial infant formulas (each named with a letter from A to H) containing live probiotic available on the market in 2012 were included into the study. The majority of products analysed in the present study are Italian, even if some of them are sold abroad. Three products were target for < 6 months age, while five products were target for > 6 months age. Three different lots of each product were collected and stored at the controlled temperature indicated on the label, until and during analysis.

**Enumeration of microorganisms**

All products were analysed according to ISO 27205:2010 [20] with minor modifications. Powder was weighted and then suspended in 10 ml of Peptone-salt solution (enzymatic digest of casein 1 g/L, sodium chloride 8.5 g/L) which was pre-warmed to 45°C. The suspension was vortexed for 10 seconds and allowed to stand for 5 minutes, shaking occasionally. Serial 10-fold dilutions were prepared and 100 μL of three appropriate dilutions were plated on the following media: De Man Rogosa and Sharpe agar (MRS agar) for lattobacilli; Bifidus Selective medium (BSM) for bifidobacteria; TSA + 5% blood to check the presence of contaminant organisms. Plates were incubated as follows: MRS and BSM plates were flooded with lactobacilli and bifidobacterium suspension, respectively. Subsequently, the surface of the agar was let drying before the strips were applied. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in 10% of CO₂-enriched atmosphere (lattobacilli) or in anaerobiosis (bifidobacteria). MIC was considered as the lowest concentration at which the border of the elliptical inhibition zone intersected the scale on the strip. Antibiotic susceptibility was evaluated comparing MIC values to breakpoints suggested by EFSA.

**Antibiotics Susceptibility testing**

Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) for erythromycin, penicillin, ciprofloxacin and tetracycline were determined using E test (Oxoid, Hants, UK). A 0.5 McFarland bacterial inoculum was prepared and the surface of MRS and BSM plates was flooded with lactobacilli and bifidobacterium suspension, respectively. Subsequently, the surface of the agar was let drying before the strips were applied. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in 10% of CO₂-enriched atmosphere (lattobacilli) or in anaerobiosis (bifidobacteria). MIC was considered as the lowest concentration at which the border of the elliptical inhibition zone intersected the scale on the strip. Antibiotic susceptibility was evaluated comparing MIC values to breakpoints suggested by EFSA. Breakpoints for penicillin were taken from Danielsen and Wind, 2003 and from the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [23].

**Results**

**Identification and quantification of recovered isolates**

Identification and quantification results are presented in Table 1. All products contained the labelled species and no contamination at the time of purchase was observed. In all products the bacterial counts remained stable until the recommended consumption date on the package. One product out of eight tested (12.5%) did not contain any viable cells of one of the declared species, although the DNA of the missing species was detected after direct DNA extraction from the product.

**Antibiotic susceptibility testing**

A total of 10 strains were isolated from the tested products and assessed for susceptibility to common antimicrobials. Results are summarised in Table 2. All products contained strains showing resistance to at least one antibiotic. Resistance to tetracycline and ciprofloxacin was rather common among the tested strains (70% and 60% of isolated strains, respectively) if compared to that to erythromycin (10%) and penicillin (20%). Four of the 8 products (50%) contained strains showing resistance to at least 2 antibiotics.
The DNA of the species was found in the product, but no viable colony could be isolated. Oxygen, moisture, light and high temperatures may affect the shelf-life of powdered infant formulas, so packaging and the storage conditions should be carefully evaluated.

Microorganisms such as bifidobacteria, in particular, are more susceptible to drying processes. It is known that the drying process strongly affects the viability of probiotics. For example, Bifidobacterium infantis does not contain viable cells of E. coli, according to Iversen, who analysed 82 powdered infant formulas and found a contamination by Enterobacter sakazakii, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumonia and Citrobacter freundii, which are often associated with neonatal infections including Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) [35]. As a consequence, the presence of these microorganisms in food supplements should be avoided. Moreover, whereas the minimum recommended daily dose for each product analysed in the present study was about 100 g of powder, all infant formulas contained an adequate amount of bacteria, as recommended by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting reports on probiotics [5]. One of the most important selection criteria for bacterial strains intended for use in the food industry is the absence of transferable antibiotic resistance. Several antibiotic resistant bacteria are introduced in human gut through the food chain and potentially, these microorganisms can transfer antibiotic resistance determinants to pathogenic and commensal bacteria located in the intestinal tract. Most probiotics, such as lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, are common members of the human intestinal tract, so the presence of antibiotic resistance in these strains must be systematically screened [36]. Even if the presence of antibiotic resistance might not represent a potential threat when it is present in bifidobacteria cells due to their lack of infectivity, one should considered that bifidobacteria can constitute a reservoir from which resistance genes could be transmitted to pathogenic bacteria.

Discussion

Nowadays, living bacteria are daily used for human consumption; bifidobacteria and Lactic Acid Bacteria (LAB) are often used in the production of fermented foods, beverages and dietary supplements [24-26]. The amount of ingested viable cells seems to be able to influence the probiotic effectiveness [27]; so it is essential that products available on the market are correctly labelled and that the viability and identity of each strain is ensured [28].

Moreover, many countries have no widely specific regulations concerning the labelling issues and claims that can be made by manufacturers of functional food [29-33]. Moreover, most of infant formulas available on the market did not report the probiotic species used for the product preparation. All products analysed in our study reported only the species contained in the powder, while the bacterial loads were not declared on the label. Most of the tested infant formulas were correctly labelled and the number of viable cells remained stable until the recommended consumption date; however, one product did not contain viable cells of Bifidobacterium infantis as reported in the label. It is known that the drying process strongly affects the viability of microorganisms [34]; bifidobacteria, in particular, are more susceptible to this kind of stress, requiring strict anaerobic condition. Also the packaging and the storage conditions should be carefully evaluated: oxygen, moisture, light and high temperatures may affect the shelf-life of dried products [28].

Furthermore, all products analysed during the study did not contain any bacterial contamination, contrary to what observed by Iversen et al. 2004 [35] who analysed 82 powdered infant formulas and found a contamination by Enterobacter sakazakii, Enterobacter cloacae, Klebsiella pneumonia and Citrobacter freundii, which are often associated with neonatal infections including Necrotizing Enterocolitis (NEC) [35]. As a consequence, the presence of these microorganisms in food supplements should be avoided. Moreover, whereas the minimum recommended daily dose for each product analysed in the present study was about 100 g of powder, all infant formulas contained an adequate amount of bacteria, as recommended by the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Meeting reports on probiotics [5]. One of the most important selection criteria for bacterial strains intended for use in the food industry is the absence of transferable antibiotic resistance. Several antibiotic resistant bacteria are introduced in human gut through the food chain and potentially, these microorganisms can transfer antibiotic resistance determinants to pathogenic and commensal bacteria located in the intestinal tract. Most probiotics, such as lactobacilli and bifidobacteria, are common members of the human intestinal tract, so the presence of antibiotic resistance in these strains must be systematically screened [36]. Even if the presence of antibiotic resistance might not represent a potential threat when it is present in bifidobacteria cells due to their lack of infectivity, one should considered that bifidobacteria can constitute a reservoir from which resistance genes could be transmitted to pathogenic bacteria. A recent study demonstrated that...
antibiotic resistant bacteria were present even on fresh produce, such as vegetables [37]. That means that also these products can act as source for the dissemination of antibiotic resistance among the intestinal tract [37], probably as a result of plants contamination with antimicrobial resistant bacteria during production following the use of irrigation water contaminated by the environment [38]. For this reason the presence of antibiotic resistance in microorganisms introduced in food chain should be avoided even in non-pathogenic bacteria used in food supplementation [36]. Nevertheless, in this study we observed high frequency of antibiotic resistance among all strains isolated from the tested products. The isolates comprised strains resistant to tetracycline (70%) and erythromycin (10%). These data confirmed previous studies in which macrolide resistance was much rare compared to tetracycline resistance in probiotic bacteria [39,40]. Moreover, in the present work we found some penicillin-resistant strains, confirming results from previous studies in which a quite high incidence of penicillin-resistant lactobacilli and bifidobacteria was observed [39,41]. It has been hypothesized that the genetic basis of this kind of resistance is due to either mutations in the penicillin-binding proteins or to the presence of β-lactamase.

Finally, more than 50% of tested strains resulted resistant to ciprofloxacin, as demonstrated in a previous work in which susceptibility to ciprofloxacin was variable among lactobacilli and bifidobacteria species used in food supplements [42,43].

In conclusion, most of the infant formula products analysed in this study contained the declared bacterial species in sufficient amount, even if no product label claimed the bacterial load of microorganisms contained. Moreover, specific antibiotic resistance found in all isolated strains is of great concern. This might be the consequence of an extensive use of antimicrobials which has created a selective pressure for point mutations and acquisition of Mobile Genetic Elements (MGE) encoding antimicrobial resistance. One limit of our study was the lack of genetic analysis of antibiotic resistance. Further analyses will aim to investigate the real safety of probiotic microorganisms used in infant formulas and to better understand the mechanisms behind the observed antibiotic resistance, in order to avoid serious health issues for infants and children.
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