Microtubule Defects and Neurodegeneration
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Abstract

One of the major challenges facing the long term survival of neurons is their requirement to maintain efficient axonal transport over long distances. In humans as large, long-lived vertebrates, the machinery maintaining neuronal transport must remain efficient despite the slow accumulation of cell damage during aging. Mutations in genes encoding proteins which function in the transport system feature prominently in neurologic disorders. Genes known to cause such disorders and showing traditional Mendelian inheritance have been more readily identified. It has been more difficult, however, to isolate factors underlying the complex genetics contributing to the more common idiopathic forms of neurodegenerative disease. At the heart of neuronal transport is the rail network or scaffolding provided by neuron specific Microtubules (MTs). The importance of MT dynamics and stability is underscored by the critical role tau protein plays in MT-associated stabilization versus the dysfunction seen in Alzheimer’s disease, frontotemporal dementia and other tauopathies. Another example of the requirement for tight regulation of MT dynamics is the need to maintain balanced levels of post-translational modification of key MT building-blocks such as α-tubulin. Tubulins require extensive polyglutamylation at their carboxyl-terminus as part of a novel post-translational modification mechanism to signal MT growth versus destabilization. Dramatically, knock-out of a gene encoding a deglutamylation family member causes an extremely rapid cell death of Purkinje cells in the ataxic mouse model, pcd. This review will examine a range of neurodegenerative conditions where current molecular understanding points to defects in the stability of MTs and axonal transport to emphasize the central role of MTs in neuron survival.
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Introduction

The focus of this review will be the role of Microtubule (MT) stabilization and axonal transport as they relate to human pathology by looking at a series of inherited and sporadic conditions defined by neurodegeneration. In general, MTs form part of the cytoskeletal framework of all eukaryotes, and are primarily composed of tubular polymers of tubulin proteins with an outer diameter of ~25 nm [1]. Microtubules are formed by hetero-dimerization of α- and β-tubulin, the binding of GTP and subsequent incorporation of dimers into growing MT polymers (Figure 1). The dynamic stability of the MT will be favored or not depending upon β-tubulin’s binding of either GTP or GDP, respectively. For many years the tubulin protein family was thought to be specific to eukaryotes, but more recently a prokaryotic cell division protein FtsZ was uncovered as a ‘tubulin related protein’ [1]. Nonetheless, we now recognize that distinct tubulin post-translational modifications within the eukaryotic MT polymer, such as glutamylation, make it possible for the cell to differentiate axonal-MTs from other arrangements within the cell-body. With what appears to be increased neuronal MT complexity, comes the need for adequate regulation. Disease states will be discussed that range from rare, private gene mutations such as seen in the pcd mouse, to some of the most common human neuropathies such as Alzheimer’s disease, frontotemporal dementia and the tauopathies.

Neuronal α-Tubulin post-translational modification defects

While various types of protein post-translational modification are well known, tubulin does require several additional forms that may not be well appreciated. For example, tubulin undergoes ligase mediated addition of glutamate and glycine residues [2,3]. However in neuronal MTs, tubulin glycylation is distinctly absent and glutamylation is of a specific form defined by the addition of one to six glutamate residues [4]. For both human and mouse, glutamylation and glycylation is orchestrated by a family of functional enzymes known as the ‘Tubulin Tyrosine Ligase – Like’ (TTLL) proteins. These range from TTLL1 through TTLL13 with varying specificities and expression profiles [5]. Similarly, cleavage of glutamate side-chains is regulated by a family of six ‘Cytosolic Carboxy-Peptidases’ (CCPs), CCP1 through CCP6 [6,7]. Also, for reasons not well understood, α-tubulin of neuronal MTs go through cleavage of the carboxyl-terminal tyrosine residue followed by cleavage of the second to last gene encoded residue, a glutamate [8]. The final product is referred to as delta 2-tubulin (α2-tubulin) and it is this form of the protein that accumulates in stable MT assemblies within neurons [9] (Figure 2).

Proof of the need to maintain tight regulation of these neuronal MT modifications, are now emerging. TTLL knockout mice lack the ability to correctly add glutamate residues and display respiratory problems due to abnormal cilia beating on airway epithelial [10]. Cilia are structurally related to MTs as the cilia core consists of a MT-based cytoskeleton called the axoneme with nine outer microtubule doublets [11]. Conversely, the pcd mouse results from functional loss of the Nna1 gene which encodes the deglutamylation enzyme, CCP1 [12], CCP1, as well as CCP4 and CCP6 cleave glutamate residues from tubulin side-chains as well as gene-encoded C-terminal glutamate residues. CCP5 is
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Figure 1: The microtubule is a dynamic structure essential to axonal transport and neuron survival. The center panel depicts the basic neuron structure, highlighting the role of kinesin family proteins for anterograde transport from the cell body, and the dynein molecular motor, essential for retrograde transport back from the axon terminal. The upper panel represents an expansion from one isolated microtubule showing α-tubulin and β-tubulin as dynamic building blocks of the microtubule (side view and cross-section). The lower panel is an expansion of the axon in cross-section, underscoring the role of tau protein in microtubule stabilization.

Figure 2: The role of α-tubulin glutamate post-translational modification is depicted here, and can be appreciated by the rapid Purkinje Cell neurodegeneration which occurs in pcd mice via functional loss of the deglutamylation enzyme, CCP1. At the top of the figure is the eleven carboxyl-terminal amino-acids of mouse α-tubulin. The terminal tyrosine residue, which is cleavable, is indicated in red. (A), to the left, detyrosination and polyglutamylation generate an α-tubulin isoform that can be acted upon by cytosolic carboxy-Peptidases, CCP1, CCP4, and CCP6. Each of these enzymes can cleave glutamate residues from the polyE side-chain down to the ‘branch point’, and cleave the second last gene-encoded glutamate, creating the ∆2-Tub isomer. The role of CCP5 alone is to specifically cleave the branch glutamate. (B), on the right side, addition of the branch point glutamate initiates this modification. Thereafter, the situation where CCP1 or Nna1 is absent (pink shading), hyperglutamylation – neurodegeneration; is contrasted with the normal CCP1 present situation (no shading), defined by equilibrated polyglutamylation. Redundant function of CCP4 and CCP6 does not compensate for CCP1 loss, as studies have shown CCP1 is the enzyme predominantly expressed in the neuron subset which undergoes degeneration in pcd mice. This illustration was modified from figure 7 and supplemental material 1st reported by Rogowski et al., (2010).
unique in its ability to remove the branch point glutamates (Figure 2). *Pcd* mice show overt ataxia beginning at 3 to 4 weeks of age, resulting from the rapid and dramatic degeneration of virtually all cerebellar Purkinje cells beginning at around P17 and is near complete by P45 (Figure 3). Degeneration of cerebellar granule neurons (CGNs), follows thereafter, as well as the subsequent loss of other neuron populations [13]. While there is redundancy in CCP enzyme function, *Nna1* shows the highest expression in cell populations most susceptible in *pcd* mice, and α-tubulin polyglutamylation levels were increased in these same regions [14]. Finally, partial rescue of the *pcd* phenotype was achieved by TTLL1 glutamylase knock-down, in an attempt to balance α-tubulin glutamylation, and as proof of principle. Motor coordination was improved by nearly 150% concomitant with the retention of a significant number Purkinje cells at day P40 [14].

To date, mutations within the human CCP1-CCP6 protein orthologs have not been correlated with a specific ataxia syndrome reminiscent of the *pcd* mouse. Despite this, it is interesting to consider that hypomorphic mutations may underlie syndromes mapping to regions encompassing CCP deglutamylation gene loci. A non-exhaustive list of potential candidates may include the following conditions listed in (Table 1).

While a human correlate to the *pcd* mouse has not been reported, a suggestive condition was recently discovered in sheep (*Ovis aries*). Missense mutation of the sheep CCP1 ortholog was linked with a phenotype similar to *pcd* mice. Affected sheep (n=19) were normal at birth, then progressive weakness and quadriplegia developed after the first week of life [15]. The authors define the condition as a lower motor neuron disease and noted that the R970P mutation locates within the catalytically active site, and showed complete segregation with the recessively inherited condition.

### Microtubule defects and motor dysfunction – Dynactin (retrograde)

Cytoplasmic dynein is a MT-based molecular motor protein that directly utilises the energy provided by ATP hydrolysis to allow retrograde transport of vesicles and organelles [16]. But it is the coordinated action of the dynactin macromolecular complex in combination with the dynein-motor that facilitates and orchestrates retrograde transport back to the cell body (Figure 1). And of the many proteins within the complex, dynactin 1 is the largest at ~150 kDa, directly binding to both MT and dynein [17].

Early studies with the dynactin 1 Drosophila ortholog, p150/Glued, foreshadowed its critical role in neurons. Using a range of gene targeted and transgenic approaches, fly geneticists demonstrated that disruption of this protein results in a frequency increase of synaptic retraction events at the neuromuscular junction [18]. In 2003, Puls et al. were the first to link Dynactin-1 mutations with neural dysfunction. By studying a family showing dominant inheritance of a distal hereditary neuronopathy, (or lower motor neuron disease); they discovered that all nine affected family members harbored a Gly59Ser mutation. This substitution was predicted to "distort the folding of dynactin's microtubule-binding domain" [19]. Taken together these findings demonstrate a link between dynactin-1 mutation and neuronopathy.

While the inherited neuronopathy described above is debilitating, it is not usually fatal when only lower motor neurons are involved. However, true motor neuron disease or Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) is far more devastating and is not rare with an incidence of ~1 in 40,000 [20]. Sporadic ALS (sALS) is a fatal, late-onset disorder
characterized by rapid degeneration of upper and lower motor neurons (UMNs, LMNs), as well as bulb neuron involvement [21]. And thus, in a study of 250 cases of sALS, three patients were found to have substitution mutations of the dynactin 1 protein. But in each of these individual cases the patients were distinguished from the Gly59ser family by the addition of UMN signs and symptoms [22]. One of the three mutations described, Thr1249Ile, was subsequently identified in a range of control and neuropathy cohorts as follows: (i) 3 of 435 controls; (ii) 1 of 374 Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) patients; and (iii) 5 of 372 ALS patients [23]. As a consequence, it was concluded that the DCTN1 genetic loci likely represents a susceptibility gene to sALS as well as playing a direct role in rare, familial conditions. Partially overshadowing all of this may be the recent discovery that repeat expansions within the C9orf72 gene were found to be the most common cause of familial ALS (fALS), as well as a significant cause of sALS and FTD [OMIM: 614260], as discussed below. With C9orf72 being added to the list of about a dozen genes known to directly cause fALS, only about 40% of fALS cases remain of unknown genetic cause [24]. What then may be the cause of the vast majority of all ALS cases where the cause(s) still remain undefined? ALS is a complex disease, where multiple genetic and environmental factors likely contribute to disease liability. Somatically acquired mutations within developing neurons, along with environmental insults and germ-line inheritance of hypomorphic mutations within a range of MT-based transport genes, are all likely to be involved and these may only be characterized with new, powerful, next-generation sequencing technologies.

Microtubule defects and motor dysfunction-Kinesin (anterograde)

For axonal transport in the anterograde direction, the family of proteins known as the kinesins are indispensable (Figure 1). The kinesins are essentially the equivalent to the dynein-dynactin complex in that they are a family of molecular motor proteins that also use the hydrolysis of ATP to enable direct walking along MTs. But in this case specific cargos are moved in the anterograde direction. Kinesins do vary in shape but the prototype is a heterotrimer where two motor subunits form a protein dimer and then bind with two light chains. In most cases transported cargo is harnessed to the light chains [25].

Potentially the best example of an axonal neuropathy stemming from a kinesin gene defect was first reported in the journal Cell, in 2001. Charcot-Marie-Tooth disease (CMT), also known as Hereditary Motor Sensory Neuropathy (HMSN), is the most common inherited peripheral neuropathy in humans with a prevalence of 1 in 2500. CMT (or HMSN) is clinically characterized by weakness and atrophy of distal muscles, depressed or absent deep tendon reflexes, and mild sensory loss [26]. These disorders were traditionally classified into two forms differentiated only by electrophysiology testing. CMT type I, the demyelinating form, showed reduced nerve conduction velocities (NCVs); and CMT type II, the axonal form showed normal NCVs, but reduced compound muscle action potentials. But in the current genomics era, more than 40 genes are known to cause CMT and the phenotypic boundaries are becoming blurred (http://neuromuscular.wustl.edu/time/hmsn.html).

Genes that cause demyelinating CMT (or type I) tend to directly afflict Schwann cells, while those that cause the axonal forms tend to affect neurons more directly. The most common axonal form is CMT2A, and thus its initial mapping to chromosome 1P35-36 was an important landmark [27]. Then, as the kinesin encoding KIF1B gene was closely linked to the CMT2A genetic interval, it represented a strong candidate gene as it was known to transport mitochondria [28]. Dramatically, a Q98L mutation of the KIF1Bβ isoform was reported to segregate with disease in a Japanese CMT2A pedigree [29]. In this report, data in support of the kinesis hypothesis for CMT2A was strong: (i) Q98L was located to the ATP binding consensus; (ii) Q98L was shown to decrease ATPase activity; and (iii) Q98L blocked migration of KIF1B to the cell membrane. The authors were led to suggest KIF1Bβ haploinsufficiency was responsible for CMT2A neuropathy and explained the autosomal dominant inheritance. Finally, heterozygous kif1B−/− mice showed symptoms reminiscent of human CMT2A [29]. But enigmatically, no further links of KIF1Bβ mutation with CMT2A were reported. Several years later the true or common cause of CMT2A was described and the causal mutation of several previously reported CMT2A pedigrees were isolated within the mitofusin-2 gene, MFN2 [30]. Subsequent analysis has shown mutations in MFN2 to be a ubiquitous genetic protein partially responsible for facilitating mitochondrial fusion and contributing to the maintenance and operation of the mitochondrial network [31]. And since the initial MFN2 mutation report, an array of gene mutations linked to CMT2A has been reported (OMIM: 609260).

The final point may be that mutation of another kinesin member, KIF5A (transporting a diverse range of cargoes including mitochondria), causes autosomal dominant spastic paraplegia type 10 (SPG10) [32]. Since this discovery, a significant number of KIF5A point mutations, all located within the highly conserved motor domain have been reported in support of the kinesin haploinsufficiency hypothesis in SPG10, in which upper motor neurons are primarily affected (OMIM: 604187).

Axonal transport defects in motor neuron disease (MND, or ALS)

It is now accepted that just over a dozen genes are responsible for ~60% of all ALS cases. But it is not known what may be common to each of the pathogenic pathways represented by these seemingly divergent genes. Given the unique sensitivity large motor neurons show to mutations in genes that are often ubiquitously expressed, it is reasonable to assume that there is a length dependent and energy requirement dependency to this sensitivity. Direct evidence also suggests axonal transport defects play an important role in neurodegeneration [33]. ALS1 results from mutations in the superoxide dismutase 1 gene (SOD1) and this form represents ~20% of ALS cases. But despite 2013 representing the 20 twenty year anniversary of the SOD1 discovery, the precise etiology of SOD1-mediated ALS still remains unclear [34]. As a potential point of mechanistic convergence, it is important to note that defects in anterograde axonal transport are one of the earliest pathologies observed in SOD1 mice [35]. Mice with dominant mutations of the dynnein heavy chain gene (Dnchct), Phe580Tyr, are known as legs at odd angles (Loa) mice. Loa mice show defects in retrograde axonal transport and loss of motor neurons [36]. Intriguingly, while the Loa mice show an age-related progressive loss of muscle tone and locomotor ability, no major reduction in life-span results. The experiment was undertaken then to cross SOD1Loa mice with Loa/+ mice to produce double-heterozygotes (Loa/SOD1G93A) and observe the resultant motor phenotype. In essence, could the defect in 'retrograde' transport seen in Loa mice neutralize or partially neutralize the defect SOD1 mice harbor in 'anterograde' transport? SOD1Loa mice survive for an average of only 125 days, while Loa mice live a full life span. Thus, a conclusive result was found in that Loa/SOD1G93A mice survive for an average of only 125 days, while Loa mice live a full life span. Thus, a conclusive result was found in that Loa/SOD1G93A mice survive for an average of only 125 days, while Loa mice live a full life span. Thus, a conclusive result was found in that Loa/SOD1G93A mice survive for an average of only 125 days, while Loa mice live a full life span.
mice with mutations in neurofilament genes have been found to have poorly functioning motor neurons [38]. But beyond this recognition, we will not focus on neurofilaments in neurodegeneration, and consider it beyond the scope of this review.

**Tau protein, Frontotemporal dementia and the Tauopathies**

The microtubule-associated proteins (MAPs) bind directly to tubulin dimers via C-terminal domains normally leading to stabilization (Figure 1). The extent to which MAP proteins bind MTs is normally regulated via phosphorylation levels [39].

In 1989 it was established that six tau isoforms are produced by mRNA alternative splicing from the microtubule-associated protein tau gene, **MAPT** [40]. Critical to MT binding is a 31-amino acid repeat located in the carboxyl-terminus of tau that forms either three- or four-repeat isoforms dependent on the exclusion or inclusion of exon 10. Normal cerebral cortex contains similar levels of 3-repeat and 4-repeat tau. The repeats and adjoining sequences constitute the MT-binding domain [40]. Significant interest is centred on **MAPT** mutations, or abnormal functioning and processing of the tau protein in association with a range of neurodegenerative conditions (OMIM: 157140). These include: Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Pick’s disease, FTD, cortico-basal degeneration and progressive supranuclear palsy.

The general tau hypothesis is that tau protein abnormalities initiate a pathologic cascade [41]. This is thought to begin when hyper-phosphorylated tau pairs with other tau threads, and this catalyst expands to form neurofibrillary tangles within nerve cell bodies [42]. It is posited that the ensuing MT destruction, halts the essential transport system of neurons, ultimately leading to cell death [43].

Frontotemporal dementia is underscored as just one possible model for an inherited neurodegenerative condition resulting from **MAPT** mutations or tau dysfunction. FTD is characterized by progressive deterioration of the brain’s ‘frontal’ lobe, gradually expanding on occasion to include degeneration of the ‘temporal’ lobe. FTD is second only to AD in prevalence, accounting for ~20% of pre-senile dementia cases [44]. In FTD patients, symptoms normally begin between 45 to 65 years of age, and commonly include behaviour changes and emotional dulling [44]. As with most of the better known neurodegenerative conditions, there is no cure, but new treatments for symptomatic relief are becoming available.

The genetics of FTD is complex, but differs significantly from AD in that a far higher percentage of FTD cases directly result from inherited mutations at ~30-50%, whereas only 2-5% of AD is of the early-onset, inherited form. The three main genetic markers for FTD include the tau gene, **MAPT**, PGRN, or abnormal functioning and processing of the tau protein in association with a range of neurodegenerative conditions (OMIM: 157140). These include: Alzheimer’s disease (AD), Pick’s disease, FTD, cortico-basal degeneration and progressive supranuclear palsy.

In this review we have focused on the microtubule and some of its important component building blocks such as α-tubulin and the stabilizing role played by MAP proteins such as tau. Many aspects...
of the review have been treated only briefly, examining the tip of the iceberg as it were; when in fact the pathogenic processes are extremely complex. For example, the dynamics of tau in its role of stabilizing MTs and the pathogenic onset of the tauopathies is very complex and its study could easily fill a successful career many times over. Our goal here was to draw together an overview of a range of primarily inherited disorders, where the mutations likely have a direct bearing on MT function within the neuron. We have looked at the role of glutamylation as one of the α-tubulin post-translation modifications used to maintain MT growth versus destruction. Yet few direct examples have been implicated in human disease showing Mendelian inheritance. Therefore, we highlighted the possibility that hypomorphic mutations of glutamylation or deglutamylation genes may be the cause of a range of human conditions where the genetic cause remains unknown. We have suggested hypomorphic mutations may be more likely as full knock-out of the human orthologs of these genes may cause embryonic lethality. Molecular motors responsible for either retrograde and anterograde were examined in light of a range of neurodegenerative conditions. These were found to result from mutation of important players in the dynein-dynactin complex as well as key kinesin family members. Throughout the review we have also tried to highlight the uniquely selective sensitivity neurons show in each condition, whether it is an ataxia, ALS, CMT, paraplegia or frontal lobe degenerative condition. And finally, we have included the latest changes to the regulatory environment which will facilitate the approval of next generation therapeutics for these often devastating conditions. This was looked at from the perspective of oversight bodies such as the FDA who now are actively working in concert with interested research bodies such as MDA and the ALS Association, as well as other global regulatory bodies such as the European Medicines Agency, in the hope that this group of patients may look forward to improved therapies in the near future.
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