Newborn Anticipatory Guidance Delivered at Office-based vs. Home Nurse Visits
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Abstract

Objective: To compare anticipatory guidance delivery at primary care office and Home Nursing Visits (HNV) in the first week after birth.

Methods: As part of a randomized, controlled trial comparing office-based care with a model where the initial outpatient encounter was a HNV, data were collected on visit content. During the study’s 2 week telephone interview, mothers were asked whether selected items from the textbook, Bright Futures, were covered at their initial post-discharge visit. Questions covered each of the first week anticipatory guidance categories: newborn sleep, newborn care, safety, and nutritional adequacy. Chi-squared tests were used to compare frequency of anticipatory guidance delivery between randomized groups.

Results: Of the 1154 mothers enrolled, 1077 (93.3%) completed the telephone interview. Mothers in the HNV group were significantly more likely to report receiving information on anticipatory guidance topics: Infant Sleep Position (67.4% vs. 84.9%; p<.001), Umbilical Cord Care (72.8% vs.84.9%; p<.001), Fever/Temperature Taking (69.0% vs. 84.0%; p<.001), Car Seat Position (50.3% vs.64.5%; p<.001), and Feeding (82.2% vs.89.0; p<.002).

Conclusions: In a comparison of two models of care after nursery discharge, significantly more mothers recalled receiving newborn anticipatory guidance at HNVs than those attending newborn office-based primary care visits.
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What’s New

A single home nursing visit after the newborn hospital stay provides mothers with significantly more newborn anticipatory guidance than a routine office-based visit.

Introduction

Anticipatory guidance provided at pediatric health maintenance visits is considered part of the core of preventive pediatric care that helps parents know what to expect as their child grows and become better caregivers [1,2]. Unfortunately, primary care providers have little time to discuss guidance topics at routine health care visits [2-4] and parents have difficulty retaining the information given [4]. Alternatives to primary care providers delivering anticipatory guidance at office visits have been explored. A few recent examples include baby books with safety information [3] or computer centers in the waiting room [5].

A critical time for the delivery of anticipatory guidance is the initial office visit that follows the newborn nursery hospitalization. At these office visits, primary care providers usually perform a medical history and physical examination, assess the family psychosocial status, and provide anticipatory guidance. Anecdotally, these visits are typically 10-20 minutes long. An alternative model of care for the initial newborn outpatient visit utilizes a Home Nursing Visit (HNV). The HNV has the advantage of being in the family’s home, providing similar care as an office-based visit with fewer time constraints and potentially fewer distractions for the healthcare provider. As such, the objective of this analysis was to compare maternal recall of outpatient newborn anticipatory guidance delivery in two different models of care: typical Office-Based Care (OBC) and HNVs.

Methods

Participant

Mother-newborn dyads with deliveries at the Penn State Milton S. Hershey Medical Center (Hershey, PA) between September 12, 2006 and August 1, 2009 were screened for participation in the Nurses for Infants Through Teaching and Assessment after the NurserY (NITTANY) study [6]. Eligible newborns were singletons and twins born at ≥ 34 weeks gestational age to English-speaking mothers attempting to breastfeed during the maternity stay and with intent to continue breastfeeding after discharge. Additional inclusion/exclusion criteria and other details are provided in the study’s primary outcome manuscript [6]. The study was approved by Penn State College of Medicine’s Human Subjects Protection Office, and registered at http://www.clinicaltrials.gov prior to the first participant’s enrolment.

Study design

Participating mothers and their newborns were randomized to either the OBC or HNV groups after informed consent was obtained. Following recommendations of two AAP policy statements at the time the trial began [7,8]. HNVs were scheduled to occur within 48 hours of discharge between randomized groups. Mothers were asked whether selected items from the textbook Bright Futures were covered at their initial post-discharge visit. Questions covered each of the first week anticipatory guidance categories: newborn sleep, newborn care, safety, and nutritional adequacy. Chi-squared tests were used to compare frequency of anticipatory guidance delivery between randomized groups.
and 77.4% of mothers planned to exclusively breastfeed after discharge. All HNVs were conducted by one of seven maternal-child health nurses employed by a single, private home health nurse organization. Before hospital discharge, an office visit was also scheduled for HNV group newborns approximately one week following the HNV in order to establish a medical home for the newborn and to ensure recovery from expected, initial weight loss after birth. Depending on individual circumstances (e.g. day of week, gestational age, early discharge), these visits were scheduled to occur 3-14 days after birth. Post-discharge visit timing for OBC newborns was determined by the newborn nursery physician, and maternal office follow-up was scheduled by the obstetricians for both study groups.

HNVs followed a standard, maternal/child newborn visit protocol that included anticipatory guidance topics for both the mother and the infant. HNVs lasted approximately 90 minutes with an average of 45 minutes spent on the infant portion of the visit. OBC was provided by primary care providers.

Data collection

Mothers were contacted by blinded study staff for both care models at a 2 week (post-delivery) telephone survey. Mothers were asked if selected anticipatory guidance topics from the AAP textbook Bright Futures were discussed at their initial post-discharge visit [9]. Selected infant topics included newborn sleep (specifically infant sleep position), newborn care (umbilical cord care and fever), safety (car seat position), and nutritional adequacy (feeding their baby).

Selected maternal guidance topics including pain, breastfeeding, stress/anxiety/depression, social support, and nutrition/diet were also recorded for the HNV group.

Statistical analysis

Chi-squared tests were used to test whether the percentages of mothers that reported receiving information about anticipatory guidance topics differed between randomized study groups (HNV vs. OBC) and between parity groups (primiparous vs. multiparous mothers). The analysis by parity group was done separately by study group. All comparisons were done using the intent-to-treat paradigm.

Results

1154 mothers intending to breastfeed during the maternity stay participated in the trial, of whom 576 (49.9%) were randomized to receive a HNV after discharge. As reported previously, the mean maternal age was 29.0 ± 5.5 years, and the majority of women were married, privately insured, and non-Hispanic, White [6]. Nearly 50% were primiparous, and study groups were similar with respect to gender, breastfeeding experience, anticipatory guidance categories (sleep, care, safety, nutrition) were compared by parity group, separately, within each care model group (Table 2). Primiparous mothers in the OBC group reported receiving significantly more guidance on umbilical cord care than multiparous mothers (78.9% vs. 67.7%, p<.004). Feeding advice was non-significant but marginally different by parity in the OBC group with more advice given to primiparous mothers (85.5% vs. 79.4%, p=.06). No significant differences were seen in the HNV group based on parity. The differences in percentages receiving guidance between parity groups for OBC were greater (0.8%, 3.3%, 3.7%, 6.1%, and 11.2%) compared with HNV(0.3%, 0.7%, 1.2%, 1.5% and 1.9%), indicating that mothers in HNV were more likely to report similar guidance advice regardless of parity group.

Table 1: Anticipatory Guidance Reported by Mothers at 2 weeks Post-delivery by Group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anticipatory Guidance Topics</th>
<th>Office-Based Care (n=533)</th>
<th>Home Nursing Visit (n=544)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newborn Sleep- Infant Sleep Position, N (%)</td>
<td>359 (67.4)</td>
<td>462 (84.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborn Care- Umbilical Cord Care, N (%)</td>
<td>388 (72.8)</td>
<td>462 (84.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newborn Care- Fever &amp; Temperature Taking, N (%)</td>
<td>368 (69.0)</td>
<td>457 (84.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safety- Car Seat Position, N (%)</td>
<td>268 (50.3)</td>
<td>351 (64.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional Adequacy- Feeding your baby, N (%)</td>
<td>438 (82.2)</td>
<td>484 (89.0)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The results of this study show that newborn anticipatory guidance was more likely to be reported by mothers receiving HNVs than OBC during the first visit after maternity/nursery discharge. In addition, the percentage of mothers reporting anticipatory guidance was more similar between multiparous and primiparous mothers in the HNV group than in the OBC group. These findings may help to explain the significantly greater parenting sense of competence 2 weeks after delivery that we have previously reported among participating mothers in the HNV group of this randomized trial [6].
Our data demonstrating that fewer multiparous mothers received advice in the OBC group is somewhat concerning. Changes over the past 25 years regarding recommendations for newborn sleep position, vitamin D supplementation, and child safety seat use (rear facing until 2 years) all reinforce the need for guidance delivery with each new child.

One reason for incomplete or inconsistent delivery of anticipatory guidance in OBC may be the time constraints of these visits [10]. Multiple studies have shown that paediatricians have very limited time to discuss guidance topics at well child visits, averaging about 10-60 seconds per topic [11,12] or less than 2½ minutes total [2,13-16]. Surveys of paediatricians indicate a struggle to provide all of the recommended advice in the allotted time [17]. Indeed, Sanghavi has suggested that the time constraints of office visits are “perhaps the greatest barrier to effective anticipatory guidance” [5]. HNVs conducted for this study were typically 60-90 minutes by report with approximately half of the visit devoted to each the infant and the mother. While our study did not collect data on the content of maternal anticipatory guidance and care at OBC visits, the data demonstrating receipt of such care at HNVs demonstrates the additional benefits gained through the extra time spent by nurses. In comparison, though the average time of the OBC initial newborn visit was not collected as part of this study, a 2011 publication reported that nearly half of parents (47.1%) reported their well child visit lasting between 11-20 minutes [18].

Surveys also found that with regard to anticipatory guidance delivery, a majority of pediatricians (54-60%) agreed that in “an ideal system... non-physicians would provide these services” [4] and suggest outsourcing to community partners as a viable option to providing guidance [15]. A survey of parents on anticipatory guidance provided by paediatricians show that parents want more information and concludes that the “American system of providing anticipatory guidance” [15]. A survey of parents on anticipatory guidance provided by the “American system of providing anticipatory guidance in the pediatric setting may not be the most beneficial and effective” [19].

The results of this study are limited due to maternal report and the potential for recall bias as some studies suggest that parents do not retain guidance information given at pediatric appointments [14,19]. Alternatively, a survey done by Combs-Orne found that the majority of caregivers can recount specific anticipatory guidance information delivered by their healthcare providers [19]. Information was not collected on guidance given at pre-natal or nursery visits, that may impact maternal recall if provided prior to the OBC or HNV defined in this study. It is also possible that primary care providers may be giving appropriate guidance but without sufficient time to discuss or reinforce the information and, therefore, parents may have difficulty absorbing the messages. One final limitation is that parents were not blinded to their study group.

**Conclusions**

HNVs are more effective than OBC for anticipatory guidance delivery following newborn hospital discharge.
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