

A Dynamic Approach to Phonological Assessment: Unleashing the Power of Individualized Intervention

Anuj Sharma*

Department of Audiology and Speech Language Pathology, AWH Special College, Kerala University of Health Sciences, Calicut, India

Introduction

Phonological assessment plays a pivotal role in early intervention and speech therapy for children with speech sound disorders. It serves as the foundation for understanding the underlying phonological processes and the specific areas of difficulty that may be hindering a child's speech development. Through phonological assessment, speech-language pathologists (SLPs) can gain valuable insights into a child's speech sound inventory, patterns of errors, and the extent of their phonological knowledge [1]. Traditionally, static assessments have been the go-to method for phonological evaluation. These standardized tests compare a child's speech to age-appropriate norms and identify specific speech sound errors. Static assessments provide a snapshot of a child's speech at a particular point in time, giving SLPs a basis for diagnosis and intervention planning. However, they may not capture the full complexity of a child's phonological development [2]. In contrast, dynamic assessment brings a more interactive and personalized approach to the evaluation process. It recognizes that phonological skills are not fixed but can be shaped and influenced by learning experiences and targeted intervention. Dynamic assessment assesses a child's learning potential, response to support, and ability to learn new speech sounds through guided activities and mediation. One of the key advantages of a dynamic approach is its ability to uncover a child's hidden phonological capabilities. Some children may demonstrate inconsistent speech sound errors during static assessments, leading to underestimation of their true potential [3,4]. Dynamic assessment allows SLPs to observe how a child responds to different cues and supports, revealing their capacity for learning and generalization. Moreover, dynamic assessment is sensitive to individual learning styles and preferences. It provides an opportunity for the child to actively participate in the assessment process, fostering engagement and motivation. By tailoring activities to suit the child's interests, SLPs can create a positive and supportive environment that encourages exploration and learning. A dynamic approach also promotes a growth mindset, emphasizing the idea that phonological skills can be developed and improved over time. This mindset is empowering for both the child and their caregivers, instilling confidence in the child's ability to overcome speech sound difficulties through targeted intervention. Individualized intervention plans are a hallmark of dynamic assessment. By identifying a child's learning strengths and weaknesses, SLPs can design tailored therapy programs that address specific speech sound challenges [5]. This personalized approach optimizes the child's progress and increases the likelihood of successful outcomes in speech therapy. Furthermore, dynamic assessment offers the advantage of progress monitoring. As the intervention progresses, SLPs can continuously reassess the child's phonological development, adjusting the therapy plan as needed. This ongoing evaluation ensures that the child is making steady progress and achieving their phonological goals. Adopting a dynamic approach to phonological assessment holds great promise in advancing our understanding and support for children with speech sound difficulties [6,7]. By embracing this interactive and individualized method, we can unlock a child's full phonological potential, leading to more effective and tailored intervention strategies.

The shift from static assessments to dynamic assessment empowers SLPs to foster growth and confidence in children as they embark on their journey towards clear and confident speech. Through the power of individualized intervention, we can equip children with the skills they need to communicate effectively and succeed in various academic and social settings.

Limitations of static phonological assessments: Static phonological assessments have played a vital role in diagnosing speech sound disorders and guiding intervention strategies. These standardized assessments compare a child's speech to a normative sample and provide a static snapshot of their phonological abilities. However, they may have limitations in capturing the dynamic nature of speech sound development. Children with speech sound disorders may perform inconsistently during static assessments, masking their true phonological potential. Moreover, static assessments often fail to account for the child's ability to adapt and learn in real-life communication situations. They may not fully capture a child's phonological growth or their ability to generalize newly learned skills to natural speech settings [8]. As a result, static assessments may miss vital information about a child's capacity to acquire and retain speech sounds with appropriate guidance and intervention.

Power of dynamic phonological assessment: A dynamic approach to phonological assessment offers a more interactive and individualized perspective. Unlike static assessments that yield fixed conclusions, dynamic assessments focus on a child's learning potential and responsiveness to intervention. This approach acknowledges that a child's speech sound development is not set in stone but can be shaped and improved through appropriate guidance. In dynamic phonological assessment, speech-language pathologists (SLPs) actively engage children in tasks and activities designed to elicit speech sounds [9]. SLPs observe how children respond to mediation, prompting, and feedback during the assessment process. By doing so, they can identify a child's areas of strength and weakness, unlocking a more in-depth understanding of their phonological capabilities.

Benefits of individualized intervention: One of the key advantages of a dynamic approach to phonological assessment is its ability to inform personalized intervention strategies. By identifying a child's learning potential, SLPs can design targeted and tailored intervention

*Corresponding author: Anuj Sharma, Department of Audiology and Speech Language Pathology, AWH Special College, Kerala University of Health Sciences, Calicut, India, E-mail: anujas7491@gamil.com

Received: 04-Jul-2023, Manuscript No. jspt-23-108075; Editor assigned: 06-Jul-2023, PreQC No. jspt-23-108075(PQ); Reviewed: 12-Jul-2023, QC No. jspt-23-108075; Revised: 18-Jul-2023, Manuscript No. jspt-23-108075(R); Published: 24-Jul-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2472-5005.1000191

Citation: Sharma A (2023) A Dynamic Approach to Phonological Assessment: Unleashing the Power of Individualized Intervention. J Speech Pathol Ther 8: 191.

Copyright: © 2023 Sharma A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

plans that address specific speech sound difficulties. This individualized approach empowers SLPs to focus on the child's unique needs, maximizing the effectiveness of intervention. Dynamic assessment also allows for progress monitoring, enabling SLPs to track a child's growth over time. By continually reassessing and modifying intervention plans, SLPs can ensure that the child is making steady progress and achieving their phonological goals [10]. A dynamic approach to phonological assessment holds tremendous potential in revolutionizing the way we support children with speech sound difficulties. By shifting from static snapshots to an interactive and individualized perspective, dynamic assessment unleashes the power of personalized intervention. This approach empowers speech-language pathologists to unlock a child's learning potential, providing targeted support and guidance to maximize speech sound development. As we strive for more effective and inclusive speech therapy practices, adopting dynamic phonological assessment can be a game-changer in facilitating successful outcomes for children with speech sound disorders. Embracing the power of individualized intervention, we can pave the way for enhanced communication and improved quality of life for these children as they embark on their journey towards confident and proficient speech.

References

1. Marian T, Dunser M, Citerio G, Kokofer A, Dziewas R (2018) Are intensive care physicians aware of dysphagia? The MADICU survey results. *Intensive Care Med* 44:973-975.
2. Freeman-Sanderson A, Morris K, Elkins M (2019) Characteristics of patient communication and prevalence of communication difficulty in the intensive care unit: An observational study. *Aust Crit Care* 32:373-377.
3. Northcott S, Simpson A, Moss B, Ahmed N, Hilari K (2017) How do speech-and-language therapists address the psychosocial well-being of people with aphasia? Results of a UK online survey. *Int J Lang Commun Disord* 52:356-373.
4. Thomas L, Rodriguez C (2011) Prevalence of sudden speechlessness in Critical Care units. *Clin Nurs Res* 20:439-447.
5. O'Reilly AC, Walshe M (2015) Perspectives on the role of the speech and language therapist in palliative care: an international survey. *Palliat Med* 29:756-761.
6. Cardinal L, Freeman-Sanderson A, Togher L (2020) The speech pathology workforce in intensive care units: Results from a national survey. *Aust Crit Care* 33:250-258.
7. Tembo C, Higgins I, Parker V (2015) The experience of communication difficulties in critically ill patients in and beyond intensive care: Findings from a larger phenomenological study. *Intensive Crit Care Nurs* 31:171-178.
8. Moloney J, Walshe M (2019) Managing and supporting quality-of-life issues in dysphagia: A survey of clinical practice patterns and perspectives in the UK, Ireland and South Africa. *Int J Lang Commun Disord* 54:41-49.
9. Green S, Reivonen S, Rutter L, Nouzova E, Duncan N, et al. (2018) Investigating speech and language impairments in delirium: A preliminary case-control study. *PLoS ONE* 13:527.
10. Mobasheri M, King D, Judge S, Arshad F, Larsen M, et al. (2016) Communication aid requirements of intensive care unit patients with transient speech loss. *Augment Altern Commun* 32:261-271.