Air and Water Borne Diseases

Editorial Open Access

Advancements in Legionella Detection Methods

Dr. Maria Rossi*

Department of Microbial Technology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Maria Rossi, Department of Microbial Technology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy, E-mail: mrossi.micro@unimi.it

Received: 01-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. awbd-25-173947; **Editor assigned:** 03-Jul-2025, PreQC No. awbd-25-173947(PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Jul-2025, QC No. awbd-25-173947; Revised:22-Jul-2025, Manuscript No. awbd-25awbd-25-173947; Revised:(R); **Published:** 29-Jul-2025, **DOI**: 10.4172/2167-7719.1000301
Citation: Rossi DM (2025) Advancements in Legionella Detection Methods. awbd 14: 301.

Abstract

Accurate and rapid detection of Legionella pneumophila in environmental water is crucial for public health. This research explores diverse methods, from optimizing traditional culture media for improved recovery [1] to advanced molecular techniques. These include sensitive electrochemical DNA biosensors [2], rapid Loop-Mediated *Isothermal Amplification* (LAMP) for on-site use [5], precise digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) [9], and propidium monoazide-quantitative PCR (PMA-qPCR) to differentiate viable cells [10]. Comparative studies show molecular methods offer speed, yet culture is vital for viability assessment [4, 6]. Metagenomics provides comprehensive microbial insights [8]. The overall aim is enhancing surveillance and prevention, adapting to environmental complexities for effective risk management.

Keywords

Legionella pneumophila; detection methods; environmental surveillance; molecular techniques; culture media; biosensors; PCR; LAMP; ddPCR; PMA-qPCR; metagenomics; public health

Introduction

The presence of Legionella species, particularly Legionella pneumophila, in environmental water systems poses a persistent and serious public health threat, demanding sophisticated and efficient detection methods. The ongoing research in this field is driven by the need to develop tools that are not only rapid and sensitive but also capable of providing accurate information for effective surveillance and outbreak control. This review of recent studies highlights the advancements and challenges in detecting this pathogen, from optimizing traditional approaches to pioneering molecular and genomic techniques.

Traditional methods for detecting and isolating Legionella pneumophila from water samples often involve the use of commercial culture media. However, studies have demonstrated significant variability in the effectiveness of these media, with different formulations yielding diverse recovery rates. Understanding and selecting the optimal culture media is therefore paramount for achieving accurate environmental surveillance and conducting reliable public health risk assessments [1].

Further illustrating the complexities of conventional detection, an assessment of five distinct commercial Legionella pneumophila detection methods on a wide range of environmental water samples revealed considerable differences in their sensitivity and specificity. This variability implies that laboratories must meticulously choose methods based on the specific characteristics of the sample matrix and the required detection limits to ensure robust monitoring and safeguard public health [7].

In response to the demand for faster and more precise diagnostics, particularly in situations requiring rapid environmental monitoring and outbreak response, significant progress has been made

in developing advanced molecular and biosensor technologies. For instance, an innovative electrochemical DNA biosensor has been developed to detect Legionella pneumophila using specific DNA probes. This method offers a rapid and sensitive alternative to conventional culture-based techniques, providing crucial quick results [2].

Another notable advancement is the Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assay, which has been validated for rapid and sensitive detection of Legionella pneumophila in environmental samples. The key advantage of the LAMP assay lies in its isothermal nature, eliminating the need for expensive and complex thermal cyclers, making it highly suitable for on-site and point-of-care applications, thus enhancing capabilities for early intervention [5].

Molecular techniques have also seen considerable refinement in their ability to quantify and differentiate Legionella. Digital Droplet PCR (ddPCR), for example, has emerged as an exceptionally sensitive and precise method for detecting Legionella pneumophila in both environmental and clinical samples. Its remarkable ability to accurately quantify target DNA molecules, even at very low concentrations, renders it an invaluable tool for monitoring Legionella contamination and assessing the efficacy of disinfection treatments, thereby significantly improving the scope and accuracy of outbreak investigations [9].

Building on PCR technology, propidium monoazide-quantitative PCR (PMA-qPCR) provides a crucial capability for the rapid detection of viable Legionella pneumophila in water samples. PMA-qPCR works by selectively penetrating only cells with compromised membranes, thus differentiating viable from non-viable bacteria – a critical distinction for accurate risk assessment and guiding effective public health interventions in water management contexts [10].

The broader landscape of Legionella detection also involves comprehensive comparative studies and advanced genomic approaches. A systematic review and meta-analysis comparing quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) with traditional culture methods for Legionella pneumophila detection in water samples highlighted that despite qPCR's speed and sensitivity, its results often diverge significantly from culture-based viability assessments. This discrepancy underscores the inherent complexities in interpreting molecular data for effective risk management strategies [4].

Similarly, a comparative study of culture-based and molecular methods for Legionella detection across various environmental settings reinforced that while molecular methods offer speed and high sensitivity, they may not reliably distinguish viable from non-viable bacteria. This finding emphasizes the indispensable, complementary nature of both traditional and modern approaches for a truly comprehensive risk assessment in water systems [6].

Furthermore, metagenomic analysis has proven to be a powerful tool for broad detection and identification of diverse Legionella species and other co-occurring microorganisms directly from environmental water samples. This cultivation-independent approach provides a more holistic understanding of complex microbial communities and their associated public health risks, without the limitations of prior cultivation [8]. Collectively, these diverse research efforts are continually refining our capacity to identify and manage Legionella threats, moving towards more effective public health protection.

Description

Detecting Legionella species, especially Legionella pneumophila, in environmental water sources remains a critical public health challenge. The complexity of water matrices and the varied nature of Legionella populations necessitate a diverse array of detection methods, each with its own advantages and limitations. Recent research focuses on enhancing the speed, accuracy, and comprehensiveness of these detection strategies to better inform public health interventions and environmental surveillance efforts [3]. This involves not only refining established techniques but also developing novel, rapid, and sensitive tools capable of providing timely and actionable data.

Traditional culture-based methods are fundamental for Legionella detection, but their effectiveness can be highly dependent on the choice of media. Studies evaluating various commercial culture media for isolating Legionella pneumophila from water samples have shown that different formulations significantly impact recovery rates. This finding highlights the critical importance of media selection for accurate environmental surveillance and public health risk assessment [1]. Beyond media choice, the performance of commercial detection kits also varies. An assessment of five different commercial Legionella pneumophila detection methods on diverse environmental water samples revealed substantial differences in sensitivity and specificity. This variability underscores the necessity for laboratories to carefully select methods based on the specific characteristics of the sample matrix and the required detection limits, ensuring reliable monitoring and effective public health protection [7]. While culture methods are valuable for assessing viability, they can be slow and may not detect all Legionella strains.

Molecular methods have revolutionized Legionella detection by

offering increased speed and sensitivity. Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) is widely used, but comparisons with traditional culture methods for Legionella pneumophila detection in water samples reveal nuanced results. While qPCR provides speed and high sensitivity, its findings often diverge significantly from culture-based viability assessments, complicating the interpretation of molecular data for risk management decisions [4]. To address limitations of standard PCR, other innovative molecular techniques have emerged. For example, a Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assay has been validated for rapid and sensitive detection of Legionella pneumophila in environmental samples. The isothermal nature of LAMP eliminates the need for complex thermal cyclers, making it a viable option for on-site and point-of-care detection, thereby enabling faster response times [5]. Further enhancing quantitative precision, digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) has proven to be a highly sensitive and precise method for quantifying Legionella pneumophila DNA in both environmental and clinical samples. Its capacity to accurately quantify even low concentrations of target DNA makes it particularly valuable for monitoring contamination and evaluating disinfection efficacy, thereby strengthening outbreak investigations [9]. Moreover, a specialized molecular method, propidium monoazide-quantitative PCR (PMA-qPCR), specifically targets viable Legionella pneumophila in water samples. By penetrating only cells with compromised membranes, PMA-qPCR differentiates viable from dead bacteria, which is a critical distinction for accurate risk assessment and public health interventions in water management [10].

Beyond PCR-based methods, novel technologies are expanding the toolkit for Legionella detection. Researchers have developed a rapid and sensitive electrochemical DNA biosensor for Legionella pneumophila. This innovative method uses specific DNA probes to identify the pathogen, presenting a potential non-culture alternative, especially in settings requiring quick results for environmental monitoring and outbreak response [2]. Expanding the scope even further, metagenomic analysis offers a powerful, cultivation-independent tool for detecting and identifying a broad spectrum of Legionella species in environmental water samples. This approach allows for the comprehensive identification of diverse Legionella serogroups and co-occurring microorganisms, providing a more complete understanding of microbial communities and potential public health risks within complex water systems [8]. These advanced methods contribute significantly to a more granular and extensive surveillance capability.

The diverse array of methods available for Legionella detection often necessitates a complementary approach for comprehensive risk assessment. Comparative studies between culture-based and molecular methods for Legionella detection across various environmental settings consistently illustrate that while molecular techniques offer speed and high sensitivity, they may not differentiate between viable and non-viable bacteria. This highlights the synergistic role of both approaches, where culture confirms viability and molecular methods offer rapid detection, ensuring thorough risk assessment in water systems [6]. Integrating these technologies and understanding their respective strengths and limitations is key to developing robust public health surveillance and prevention strategies against Legionella contamination. The continuous evolution of these methods reflects an ongoing commitment to improving public safety by providing more effective ways to detect and manage this environmental pathogen.

Conclusion

Researchers have significantly advanced methods for detecting Legionella species, particularly Legionella pneumophila, in environmental water samples. A comprehensive review highlights the continuous need for faster, more accurate, and high-throughput techniques, spanning traditional culture, molecular methods like PCR, and novel approaches such as genomics and biosensors. One innovative development is a rapid and sensitive electrochemical DNA biosensor, employing specific DNA probes as a potential alternative to culture-based techniques, which is useful for quick environmental monitoring and outbreak response. The choice of commercial culture media itself is crucial, as different formulations greatly impact recovery rates, directly affecting the accuracy of environmental surveillance and public health risk assessments. Comparing quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR) with traditional culture methods reveals that while qPCR offers speed and sensitivity, its results often differ from viability assessments, complicating risk management. Newer molecular methods continue to emerge, including the Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) assay, offering rapid and sensitive detection without complex thermal cyclers, ideal for on-site use. Digital Droplet PCR (ddPCR) further provides sensitive and precise quantification of target DNA, even at low concentrations, enhancing monitoring and disinfection treatment assessments. Additionally, propidium monoazide-quantitative PCR (PMA-qPCR) has been developed for the rapid detection of viable Legionella pneumophila, crucial for distinguishing live from dead bacteria and ensuring accurate risk assessment in water management. These diverse methodologies collectively aim to improve public health surveillance and prevention strategies against Legionella contamination.

References

1. Andrea MDP, Ana CCM D, Nathália SR, Ana LSLACS, Luana SFO et al. (2023) Evaluation of commercial culture media for the detection and isolation of Legionella pneumophila from water samples. Lett Appl Microbiol 77:olad058

2. Zhaoxia Z, Xiaoming H, Tingting Z, Hongjuan C, Shumin Z et al. (2024) A rapid and sensitive electrochemical DNA biosensor for Legionella pneumophila detection. Anal Methods 16:1493-1502

3. Vasileios DS, Georgios K, Alexandros PS, Christos GS, Spyros DK et al. (2023) Current and emerging methods for the detection of Legionella species: A review. J Environ Sci Health A 58:1279-1294

4. X W, P M, R G, Y L, C M et al. (2022) Comparison of quantitative polymerase chain reaction and culture methods for the detection of Legionella pneumophila in water samples: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Water Health 20:1673-1688

5. Jianjun L, Jing M, Xiaotong L, Linjing W, Guanghua W et al. (2022) A Loop-Mediated Isothermal Amplification (LAMP) Assay for Rapid and Sensitive Detection of Legionella pneumophila in Environmental Samples. Int J Environ Res Public Health 19:5275

6. María JL, María PG, M TP, Inmaculada RP, Amparo MA et al. (2021) Comparison of culture-based and molecular methods for Legionella detection in water samples from different environmental settings. Water (Basel) 13:1530

7. Katharina W, Jana S, Susanne L, Christine HZ, Antje D et al. (2021) Performance of five commercial Legionella pneumophila detection methods on diverse environmental water samples. Sci Rep 11:22695

8. H SL, Y SH, S AK, Y HP, S SL et al. (2020) Metagenomic analysis for Legionella species detection and identification in environmental water samples. J Water Health 18:908-917

9. Małgorzata K, Małgorzata N, Małgorzata A, Patrycja R, Krzysztof N et al. (2020) Digital droplet PCR as a sensitive tool for Legionella pneumophila detection in environmental and clinical samples. J Environ Sci Health A 55:908-914

10. Xinyu Z, Jianjun L, Jing M, Xiaotong L, Linjing W et al. (2019) Rapid detection of viable Legionella pneumophila in water samples by propidium monoazide-quantitative PCR. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:10793-10801