



# An Analysis of Separation of Power in Relation to Administration Law

Shubhangi Baranwal\*

Department of Political Science, Maharashtra National Law University, Aurangabad, India

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to critically analyze the Doctrine of Separation of Power along with the consequence and limitations. The paper attempts to provide constructive understanding of the difficulties faced by the three wings- Legislature, Executive and Judiciary of the government in practice while implementing the provisions of Constitution in letter and spirit. This paper is composed of three main parts; the first part examines the history and essence which includes exploration of the concept of separation of power as it comes as a better alternative of monarchy and its existence as present in the contemporary scenario and then meaning of Doctrine of Separation of Power, here the doctrine will be explained along with its object and development. Also examines the three exponent of this doctrine, Aristotle - who originates this concept, John Locke - who developed and defined the principle of Separation of Power and Montesquieu - who best known for his thought on Separation of power. In his famous book - "Spirit of Laws", he formulates this theory and mentions that in free democracy the government should have three main organs Legislature, Executive & Judiciary and the function and power of all these organs must be distinct and separate. The second part examines this doctrine with respect to two countries i. e., US and its present status in India as US is the first country which adopt this doctrine of Separation of Power where it's strictly follows. In India, Separation of Power can be enumerated in various articles of our Constitution such as Article 50 which states the 'Separation of judiciary from executive'. In India this doctrine follows in broader sense unlike US or Australian Constitution, where Separation of Power follows in rigid and strict sense. Therefore, Deviation from strict Separation of Power can be followed in various cases for example- the impeachment of president (judiciary) can be done in parliament (legislature) also. Then the Third part intends to broaden the understanding the system of Check and Balance. The natural extension of Separation of Power is system which simple means when any organ among Legislature, Executive & Judiciary interferes or intervene in the function of the other organ also in case of exercising its own power unduly then the other organ have power to stop that organ. The last part of the study deals with conclusions and findings with limitations as to why to perfect application is not possible and what remedies are present to tackle this situation.

Throughout this paper, I will cover all the reasons as to how Doctrine of Separation of Power is a part of the basic structure of the Indian Constitution.

**Keywords:** Separation of Power; Legislature; Executive; Judiciary; Check & Balance

## Introduction

"The Separation of Powers" is a regulation that has practiced the brains of numerous people groups. Antiquated savants, political hypotheses and political researchers, designers of constitutions, judges and scholastic journalists have all had cause to think about the convention as the centuries progressed. This fundamentally means the division of various powers in the between different organs of the state; Legislature, Executive and Judiciary. The hypothesis of partition of forces implies predominantly three plans of Governmental powers;

A similar individual ought not to frame part of more than one of the three organs of the state.

One organ ought not to meddle with some other organ of the state.

One organ ought not to exercise the capacities allocated to some other organ.

**Definition :** There is no exact meaning or defining statement of this principle since everyone is deciphering it as per his own perspectives and it is additionally unrealistic to locate the specific beginning however we can see for the first time Aristotle was stating about the precept of partition of forces in his book Politics as follows [1]:

"There are three components in every constitution in regard of which each genuine lawgiver should search for what is worthwhile to it; if these are all around organized, and the distinctions in constitutions will undoubtedly compare to the contrasts between every one of these three components.

The three are, first the deliberative, which talk about everything

of basic significance; second the authorities... and third the legal component."

In 1689 the English political scholar John Locke additionally conceived a three overlay grouping of forces in the book The Second Treatise of Government as [2]:

"May be too incredible an impulse to human fragility for a similar individual to have the intensity of making laws, to have additionally in their hands the ability to execute them, whereby they may absolved themselves from dutifulness to laws they make, and suit the law both in its making also, execution, to make their own private bit of leeway."

Another who said about this teaching is Montesquieu who depicted partition of powers in his book The Spirit of Laws in 1748 as [3]:

"At the point when administrative force is joined with chief force in a solitary individual or in a solitary body of magistracy, there is no freedom, since one can expect that the very ruler or senate that makes domineeringly laws will execute them overbearingly.

Nor there is freedom if the intensity of judging isn't independent from authoritative force. On the off chance that it were joined to

\*Corresponding author: Shubhangi Baranwal, Department of Political Science, Maharashtra National Law University, Aurangabad, India, Tel: 9452460083; E-mail: [Shubhangibarawal04@gmail.com](mailto:Shubhangibarawal04@gmail.com)

Received June 25, 2021; Accepted July 09, 2021; Published July 16, 2021

**Citation:** Baranwal S (2021) An Analysis of Separation of Power in Relation to Administration Law. J Civil Legal Sci 10: 275.

**Copyright:** © 2021 Baranwal S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

administrative force, the control over the life and freedom of resident would be self-assertive, for the adjudicator would be the official. In the event that it was joined to the chief force, the adjudicator could have the power of an oppressor.

All eventual lost if similar man or same assemblage of head men, both of aristocrats, or of the individuals, practiced these three powers: that the creation of laws, that of executing public goals and of making a decision about the wrongdoings or debates of people”.

With all the above sayings by different jurist it can be estimated that in free democracy there should have 3 main organs Legislature, Executive & Judiciary and the function of all these organs must be separated and distinct. There should be proper distribution of power and functions. And as per Montesquieu if we adopt this concept of separation of power the arbitrariness of the government will be decreases at large extent. And if I talk about the position of Separation of power in India it is followed in a broader sense unlike US or Australian constitution, where Separation of power follows in rigid sense. And the concept of Separation power can be depicted from various articles such as Article 50 of the constitution of India [4]. Therefore, there are several circumstances where deviation from strict Separation of power is allowed. For example in case of impeachment of president, this can be done in parliament also. So it can be said that in order to state to function properly and to recognize the citizen rights, there is a great significance of doctrine of Separation of power.

In this paper I am doing the similar investigation of this convention in different states like USA and India and managing different perspectives identified with the teaching of detachment of powers and in such manner I am quickly depicted the definitional emergency above and now the different points of interest and detriments in connection with this tenet and for better understanding I am giving the current situation in USA and India. In Indian setting I am managing different established arrangements and furthermore legal reaction in the cases identified with this principle. Toward the end I am arriving at the determination and giving a concise depiction that where heading this regulation is going on. Finally I am outlining some assessment and proposal according to my comprehension of this tenet.

## Statement of Problem

This Seminar paper will deals with the concept of doctrine of Separation of Power in Administrative Law emphasized under our Constitution of India.

## Issues

To analyse the concept of Separation of Powers as mentioned under the Indian Constitution.

To analyse the problems faced by the three wings of the government in practice while implementing the provisions of the Constitution in letter & spirit.

To examine the ‘Constitutional Plan and Practice w. r. t Separation of Powers in India.

## Hypothesis

Given the unpredictability of the vote based systems everywhere on the world, cover in purview will undoubtedly emerge. Notwithstanding, each wing of the public authority should keep an inside check to guarantee they don't wind up disregarding the privileges of the individuals. The Honorable Supreme Court of India has perceived that Separation of Powers is a piece of the essential structure of the Indian

Constitution.

## Objectives

The goal is to basically look at the principle of partition of forces alongside the outcomes and constraints. This paper will likewise focus on concerning how Doctrine of Separation of Powers is a piece of the fundamental structure of the Indian Constitution.

## Research Methodology

This research is a doctrinal research. The main source of information is secondary in nature. The study is not empirical in nature. Cases decided by the Courts, books, scholarly articles, magazines and newspaper articles are relied upon to develop and examine the judicial approach with regard to Separation of Power in Administrative Law.

## Chapters 1: Meaning & history of doctrine of separation of power

**History:** In mid eighteenth century, the advancements in the British Constitutional History, driven the Locke and Montesquieu to accumulate the substance of the precept of Separation of Power. In Britain, there was a long battle between the King and the Parliament which gave Parliament administrative matchless quality finishing in the entry of the bill of rights. This drove eventually to acknowledgment by the King of legislatives and citizens of parliament and legal powers to the court. Around then, the King practiced Executive powers; Parliament practiced legislative and courts the judicial powers. In any case, as of now, England is following parliamentary type of government and isn't following this kind of separation [5].

The Separation of Powers, otherwise called trias politica, is a model for the administration of vote based states. The model was first evolved in antiquated Greece and came into inescapable use by the Roman Republic as a feature of the non-codified Constitution of the Roman Republic. Under this model, the state is partitioned into branches or domains, each with isolated furthermore, free powers and regions of obligation. The ordinary division of homes is into an executive legislature & a judiciary [6].

Something contrary to Separation of Powers is the combination of powers, regularly an element of parliamentary majority rules systems. In this structure, the Executive, which frequently comprises of a head administrator and bureau (government), is drawn from the legislature (parliament). This is the guideline of dependable government. In spite of the fact that the Executive and legislature branches are associated in parliamentary frameworks, there is regularly an autonomous legal executive. Additionally, the public authority's part in the parliament doesn't give them limitless authoritative impact.

**Theory of Separation of Powers:** The Theory of Separation of Powers means three plans arrangement of Government powers:

I. A similar individual ought not to shape part of more than one of the three organs (for example Executive, Legislature and Judiciary) of the Government.

II. One organ of the Government ought not to meddle with some other organ of the Government.

III. One organ of the Government ought not to exercise the capacities appointed to any other organ.

Separation of Powers implies appropriation of powers for indicated elements of the government. All the powers of the public authority have been imagined as falling inside one or another of three

extraordinary classes, as-(1) the sanctioning of making laws, (2) the understanding of that laws and (3) their implementation; to be specific Legislative Executive & Judiciary. Government has been considered to be comprised of three branches having for their capacities and such characterization is perceived as old style division.

**Montesquieu on Separation of Powers:** As indicated by Montesquieu, by isolating the elements of the Legislative, Execution & the judicial branches of government, one may work as equilibrium against another and, subsequently, power ought to be a mind power. "Le pouvoir arête le pouvoir "-power halts power.

As per his perspectives "When the Executive & Legislative powers are joined in the same individual or in similar collection of judges, there can be no freedom, on the grounds that anxieties may emerge, in case a similar Monarch or Senate should correct overbearing laws, to execute them in domineering way. Again there is no freedom if the judicial power be most certainly not isolated from the Executive and the legislature. Where it got together with the legislative, the life also, freedom of the subject would be presented to self-assertive control; for the appointed authority would be then a lawmaker. Where it joined to the chief force, the adjudicator may carry on with viciousness and mistreatment. There would be a finish of everything, where a similar man or same body, regardless of whether of the Nobles or of the individuals, to practice those three powers, that of demanding laws, that of executing the public goals and of the reasons for individuals [7]."

So, Montesquieu accommodation is the division of forces by work and the hypothesis of came out from it is known as division of forces. The cutting edge principle of division of powers was a main inhabitant in the political way of thinking of the eighteenth century.

**Separation of power in ancient india:** It ought to be referenced here that Separation of power is known as it has been found by the Montesquieu and Locke however the roots are found in the Vedas. On the off chance that we study the Smritis which are old wellspring of law for example Dharma, we find such kind of Separation. In Narad Smriti we follow the very guideline of separation of powers. Around then Deewan was top of the executive wing of any heritage, Senapati did something important to keep up peace and Kaji was the legal head. Simultaneously we need to remember that they all are subordinate to the Ruler and King was the incomparable power who makes the law and accordingly he was like present type of governing body.

So, what comes out is that in antiquated time additionally there was a partition of intensity in one region or inheritance. All things considered, King is known as the preeminent authority of everything except the capacities and forces has been isolated.

## Chapter 2: Comparative study of formulations of separation of power

**In USA Context:** The composers of constitution of USA accepted that exclusively by apportioning the three fundamental elements of the govt.; Legislative, Executive and Judiciary, in to three discrete, organize branches could control be suitably scattered. Consequently the US Constitution designates the three forces in isolated branches. The initial three article of their constitution, known as the distributive articles, Article 1 characterize the structure and powers of the Legislature branch of the government - the congress, then Article 2 establish the executive branch and lays out the power of presidency and role of president then Article 3 talks about the judicial branch consist of Supreme Court as well as the subordinate courts. This machinery in itself shows the concept of Separation of Power [8-11].

They knew about the Montesquieu's thought regarding Separation of Power and the way that the new constitution received depended on the same [12]. However they were similarly mindful that in many states the Legislature overwhelmed the judiciary and executive. The arrangement of Checks & Balance made by the designers, guarantees that Congress can't rule the Executive and Judiciary branch of the government. In addition, protected restrictions are not to be characterized altogether freely of majoritarian inclinations.

The Supreme Court of US has not been offered capacity to choose political inquiries, so the Court may not meddle with the activity of power of the Executive, Presidency branch of the govt. The President of USA meddles with the activity of the power by the congress through the activity of Veto power. He likewise practices the law utilizing his deal making power. The President additionally meddles with the working of the Supreme Court through the activity of his capacity to select adjudicators [13]. In a similar way Congress meddles with the forces of the President through decision on financial plan, endorsement of arrangements by the senate and the approval of the settlement. Congress additionally meddles with the working of courts by passing procedural laws, making exceptional courts and by affirming the arrangement of judges. In this turn, the Judiciary meddles with the powers of the Congress and the President through the activity of its capacity of Judicial Review. It is right to state the SC of USA made a bigger number of changes to US Constitution than the Congress itself.

In a nutshell we can say that the condition in US by the expressions of CORWIN, "separations of powers are more specifically seen in USA but absolute separation of powers does not exist in USA [14]."

**In Indian context:** Indian Constitutional Provisions for Separation of Power: There are no different arrangements with respect to the Doctrine of Separation of Powers has been given in our Constitution. Be that as it may, there are some mandate standards are given in the constitution as in Part-IV and Part-V and Article-50 of our constitution is separating the Judiciary from Executive as, "the state shall take steps to separate judiciary from the executive in the public services of the state," and with the exception of this there is no formal and overbearing division of power. In India, utilitarian covering is there as well as the individual covering is winning [15].

**In judiciary context :** Under Article-142 and Article-145 of our Indian Constitution, the SC has the ability to pronounce void the laws passed by parliament and activities taken by the executive on the off chance that they disregard any arrangement of the constitution or the law passed by the legislature if there should arise an occurrence of leader activities. Indeed, even the ability to correct the constitution by Parliament is dependent upon the investigation of the Court. The Court can announce any correction void in the event that it changes the basic structure of the constitution [16]. In numerous cases courts have given headings for the Parliament to make strategies. It has been held by the Supreme Court of India that "the constitutional scheme aims at securing an independent judiciary which is the bulwark of democracy [17]." Independence of judiciary has also been considered as a basic structure of the constitution. In the S. K. Gupta v. President of India, Fazal Ali, J. has stated that independence of judiciary is a basic structure of the constitution, but also said concept of independence has to be confined within the four corners of the constitution [18]. In short, we have separate powers and functions of judiciary up to some degree. Independence of judiciary has been adopted as a principle governing Article 50 [19].

**In executive context :** The President of India who is the incomparable leader expert in India practice law making power under

Article-123, likewise the Judicial Powers under Article-103(1) and Article-217(3), he has the counseling capacity to the SC of India under Article-143 and furthermore the exculpating power in Article-72 of the Constitution. The leader additionally influencing working of the Judiciary by making arrangements to the workplace of Chief Justice of India and different appointed authorities.

In Legislature Context: The Council of Minister is chosen from the lawmaking body and this Council is liable for the Legislature. The lawmaking body practicing judicial powers in instances of break of its advantages, impeachment of the President under Article-61 and removal of judges. The authoritative body has the reformatory powers under Article-105(3).

In expressions of Gledhill, "Constitution of India has not ceremoniously wedded with Doctrine of Separation of Powers; however, it is whenever possible followed the doctrine of separation of powers."

Legal Response: There are numerous cases where SC has given decisions on premise of the realities identified with those cases yet we can comprehend the situation of this regulation in India by observing some milestone sentiments given by the Supreme Court in after cases;

In *Ram Jawaya v. Territory of Punjab*, C. J. Mukerjee, said and held: "Indian Constitution has not without a doubt perceived the precept of partition of forces in its supreme unbending nature yet the elements of the various parts or parts of the public authority have been adequately separated and thusly it tends to be all around said that our constitution doesn't consider supposition by one organ or part of the State of Functions that basically have a place with another [20]."

In *Indira Nehru Gandhi v. Raj Narain*, C. J. Ray said and held: "In the Indian constitution there is Separation of powers from an expansive perspective as it were [21]. An inflexible detachment of powers as under the US constitution or as under Australian constitution doesn't make a difference to India."

J. Beg added: "Separation of Power is the part of the fundamental and basic structure of constitution. None of the three separate organs of the republic can assume control over the capacities allotted to the next. This plan of the constitution can't be changed even by re-establishing to Article-368 of the constitution.

**Theory of checks and balance:** The arrangement of governing rules is piece of appropriate working of three parts of government. It ensures that no piece of the public authority turns out to be excessively incredible. For model, the legislative branch makes law, the Executive branch passes the legitimate law and the judicial branch may likewise say that the law is unlawful and in this manner ensure it's anything but a law. The legislative branch can likewise eliminate judge that isn't doing his/her work appropriately. The executive branch delegates judges and the authoritative branch endorses the decision of the presidential branch. Once more, the branches check and balance one another with the goal that nobody branch has an excess of intensity. This is the thing that depicts the hypothesis of checks and balance. On the off chance that we look it in the simply Indian setting, three offices of government are given various powers however not in outright structure. Each of the three has their own powers and capacities however another can hinder in its work if the previous has acted against established arrangements in depicted habits [22].

## Conclusion

The doctrine of separation of powers cannot be practiced in its classical sense, but it is being used with checks and balances according

to the constitution of the respective nation. As stated by Chandrachud J, "political usefulness of the doctrine of separation of powers is now widely recognized" In today's environment this doctrine should be exercised in the manner in which the best interest of the citizens can be achieved [23]. Repeated interventions of one organ into another's functioning can diminish the faith of the people in the integrity, quality, and efficiency of the other organs. It also undermines the spirit of democracy as too much accumulation of powers in organs of government undermines the principle of check and balance. The rationale behind this principle isn't the exacting characterization rather it is the shirking of grouping of powers to a particular individual or a body. This hypothesis isn't employable in its supreme sense however truly, it is favorable whenever applied correlatively. Along these lines, not impervious boundaries and unalterable outskirts but rather common diminishing in the activity of forces by the three organs of the state is the soul of the principle of Separation of powers.

The regulation of Separation of Powers should be deciphered in a relative structure. In the time of advancement, privatization and globalization, partition of intensity must be explained in a more extensive viewpoint. It ought not to be check to the rule of limitation or exacting order just yet a gathering power practiced in the soul of participation, coordination and in light of a legitimate concern for the government assistance of the state. Despite the fact that this tenet is impractical in its unbending insight all things considered its viability lies in the unmistakable quality on those balanced governance which are vital to turn away awkward government and to forestall maltreatment of forces by the various organs of the public authority.

## References

1. Bhansali SR (2015) Textbook on The constitution of India 1<sup>st</sup> Edn India 1.971.
2. Anup Chand Kapur (2006) Principles of Political Science.20<sup>th</sup> Edn Reprint India.751.
3. Srivastav S (1964) Separation of Judiciary from Executive In India with Particular Reference to the State of Uttar Pradesh. IJPS India 25 339-346.
4. Vile MJ (2012) Constitutionalism and the Separation of Powers. Liberty Fund 2<sup>nd</sup> Edn India. 468.
5. Nivetha N (2015) Doctrine Of Separation Of Power India.28.
6. Merrill TW (2004) Rethinking Article I, Section I: From Non delegation to Exclusive Delegation. Colum L Rev United States 2097.
7. Mortenson JD (2019) Article II Vests the Executive Power, Not the Royal Prerogative. Colum L Rev Michigan 119 1169-1272.
8. Harrison J (1997) The Power of Congress to Limit the Jurisdiction of Federal Courts and the Text of Article III. U Chi L Rev Virginia 95: 203-256.
9. Nagel RF (1994) Judicial power and American character: Censoring ourselves in an anxious age. OUP United States 188.
10. McGee RW (1997) The ethics of tax evasion and trade protectionism from an Islamic perspective. Available at SSRN 461397 North Carolina: 11.
11. Bakshi PM, Kashyap SC (2012) The constitution of India. Univ Law Publish. INDIA 494.
12. Jaiswal A (2012) Jurisprudence of Rights in Kesavananda Bharati Available at SSRN. India, 2022346:14.
13. Naseem N, Qayoom S (2020) Judicial independence and impartiality. A sinking belief, India, 11: 318.
14. Saksena RC (1995) Adjudication by tribunals in india. landmark in field of natural justice. jili. india 222-230.
15. Pandya K (2013) Separation of Powers-An Indian Perspective. Available at SSRN 2254941. India 49.
16. Alois R (2000) Montesquieu's So Called 'Separation of Powers' in the Context of the History of Ideas. Switzerland 280.17.

- 
17. Locke J (2015) The second treatise of civil government.. Broadv Press United Kingdom 240.
  18. Teitelbaum J (2004) The Muslim Brotherhood and the 'Struggle for Syria', 1947–1958 between Accommodation and Ideology. Middle East Stud Israel 40: 134-158.
  19. <http://classics.mit.edu/Aristotle/politics.4.four/>
  20. Riklin A(1999) Montesquieu's so-called separation of powers in the context of the history of ideas. Institut für Politikwissenschaft Hochschule St. Gallen. Switzerland. 28025.
  21. Carroll A (2017) Constitutional and administrative law. Pearson Education 9<sup>th</sup> Edn, Germany. 840.
  22. Parpworth N (2020) Constitutional and administrative law. O U P : 640.
  23. Thakkar K (2016) Acute ataxia in childhood: 11-year experience at a major pediatric neurology referral center. J Child Neurol 1156-1160.