

Analysis of Temperature Distribution through Rectangular Convective Fin Using Analytical Methods

Mokhtarpour K¹ and Ganji DD^{2*}

¹Mechanical Engineering Department, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran ²Mechanical Engineering Department, Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, Babol, Iran

Abstract

In this paper, the power of the recently introduced method of Akbari-Ganji has been validated by solving two different nonlinear equations. In the first section, the temperature distribution model of a convective straight fin is found by solving the governing energy balance equation with Akbari-Ganji's Method. The authenticity of this method has been checked considering the fourth-order Runge-Kutta. In the second section, a linear differential equation without enough boundary conditions is converted into a nonlinear differential equation with enough boundary conditions. The precision of the AGM method has been compared with two other semi-analytical methods. Results were prepared for the ultimate solution function and its first derivative in both sections. The variational iteration method and the homotopy perturbation method were the ones which showed the lowest and the highest error amounts, respectively. The AGM method is also considered as an acceptable method with negligible error in solving different nonlinear equations.

Keywords: Rectangular convective fin; Akbari-Ganji's method (AGM); HPM; VIM; Fourth-order Runge-Kutta numerical method

Nomenclature: Ac: Cross-sectional area of the fin (m^2) ; b: Fin length; h: Heat transfer coefficient $(W/m^{-1}K^{-1})$; k: Thermal conductivity of the fin material $(W/m^{-1}K^{-1})$; ka: Thermal conductivity at the ambient fluid temperature $(W/m^{-1}K^{-1})$; k: Thermal conductivity at the base temperature $(W/m^{-1}K^{-1})$; k: Thermal conductivity at the base temperature of surface a (K); Tb: Temperature of surface b (K); x: Distance measured from the fin tip (m); β : Dimensionless parameter describing the thermal conductivity variation; η : Fin efficiency; ζ : Dimensionless coordinate; λ : The slope of the thermal conductivity temperature curve (K^{-1}) ; ψ : Thermogeometric fin parameter; θ : Dimensionless temperature; AGM: Akbari-Ganji's Method; HPM: Homotopy Perturbation Method; VIM: Variational Iteration Method

Temperature Distribution Solution inside the Convective Straight Fin

In the study of heat transfer, fins are surfaces extending from an object to increase the rate of heat transfer to or from the environment by increasing convection. Increasing the temperature gradient between the object and the environment, increasing the coefficient of convection heat transfer, or increasing the surface area of the object increases the heat transfer. Sometimes it is not feasible or economical to change the first two options. Thus, adding a fin to an object increases the surface area and can sometimes be an economical solution to heat transfer problems. The sketch of the convective straight fin is depicted (Figure 1).

Analyzing the fin problem consists of two sections. One is based on thermal convection through fin surface area and the other is based in thermal conduction through fin cross section. The one-dimensional energy balance equation governing the fin problem is as below:

$$A_{c} \frac{d}{dx} \left[k(T) \frac{dT}{dx} \right] - Ph(T_{b} - T_{a}) = 0$$
⁽¹⁾

A linear temperature function for the thermal conductivity of the fin material is considered as below:

$$k(T) = k_{\alpha} \left[1 + \lambda (T - T_{\alpha}) \right]$$
⁽²⁾

Where k_a and k are the thermal conductivity at the ambient fluid temperature of the fin and the thermal conductivity variation,

respectively. Employing the following dimensionless parameters [1-2]:

$$\theta = \frac{T - T_{\alpha}}{T_b - T_{\alpha}}, \ \zeta = \frac{x}{b}, \ \beta = \lambda (T_b - T_{\alpha}), \ \psi = \left(\frac{hPb^2}{k_{\alpha}A_c}\right)^{1/2}$$
(3)

Therefore, the governing equation will reduce to:

$$U: \frac{d^2\theta}{d\zeta^2} + \beta\theta \frac{d^2\theta}{d\zeta^2} + \beta \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\zeta}\right)^2 - \psi^2\theta = 0$$
(4)

The corresponding boundary conditions are as below:

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\zeta} = 0; \ \zeta = 0 \tag{5}$$
$$\theta = 1; \ \zeta = 1$$



Figure 1: The sketch of the rectangular convective straight fin under discussion.

*Corresponding author: Ganji DD, Mechanical Engineering Department, Babol Noshirvani University of Technology, P.O. Box 484, Babol, Iran, Tel: +98 111 32 34 501; E-mail: ddg_davood@yahoo.com

Received September 26, 2016; Accepted November 01, 2016; Published November 07, 2016

Citation: Mokhtarpour K, Ganji DD (2016) Analysis of Temperature Distribution through Rectangular Convective Fin Using Analytical Methods. Innov Ener Res 5: 146. doi: 10.4172/2576-1463.1000146

Copyright: © 2016 Mokhtarpour K, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

The heat transfer dissipation rate from the fin is expressed as below according to the Newton's law of cooling:

$$Q = \int_0^b P(T - T_a) dx \tag{6}$$

The fin efficiency which is defined as the ratio of actual heat transfer from the fin surface to the other side while the whole fin surface stays at the same temperature:

$$\eta = \frac{Q}{Q_{ideal}} = \frac{\int_{o}^{o} P(T - T_{\alpha}) dx}{Pb(T_{b} - T_{\alpha})} = \int_{\zeta=0}^{1} \theta(\zeta) d\zeta$$
(7)

AGM approach

Description of the method: To elucidate on, consider the nonlinear differential equation p that is a function of the parameter u (a function of x) and its derivatives as below:

$$p_k: f(u, u', ..., u^{(m)}) = 0; u = u(x)$$
 (8)

The corresponding boundary conditions are as below:

$$u(0) = u_0, u'(0) = u_1, \dots, u^{(m-1)}(0) = u_{m-1}$$

$$u(L) = u_{L0}, u'(L) = u_{L_1}, \dots, u^{(m-1)}(L) = u_{L_{m-1}}$$
(9)

In order to solve the first differential equation with respect to the boundary conditions in x=L (Eq. 9), the corresponding answer is considered as follows:

$$u(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{i} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (a_{0} + a_{2} x^{1} + a_{2} x^{2} + \dots + a_{n} x^{n}) \quad (10)$$

By means of increasing the number of series in Eq. 10, the obtained solution is closer to the real answer and shows more precision. Approximately, five or six sentences are enough for obtaining answers with negligible errors in applied problems. The boundary conditions are applied to the functions as below:

a) The application of the boundary conditions for the answer of differential Eq. 11 is in the form of:

If x=0

$$\begin{cases} u(0) = a_0 = u_0 \\ u'(0) = a_1 = u_1 \\ \dots \end{cases}$$
(11)

And if x=L

$$\begin{cases} u(L) = a_0 + a_1 L + a_2 L^2 + \dots + a_n L^n = u_{L_0} \\ u'(L) = a_1 + 2a_2 L + 3a_3 L^2 + \dots + na_n L^{n-1} = u_{L_1} \end{cases}$$
(12)

b) After substituting Eq. 12 into Eq. 8, the boundary conditions are applied on Eq. 8 according to the procedure below:

$$\begin{cases} p_0 : f(u(0), u'(0), ..., u^{(m)}(0)) \\ p_1 : f(u(L), u'(L), ..., u^{(m)}(L)) \end{cases}$$
(13)

With regard to the choice of n; n < m sentences from Eq. 10 and in order to make a set of equations which is consisted of (n+1) equations and (n+1) unknowns, we confront with a number of additional unknowns which are indeed the same coefficients of Eq. 10. Therefore, to remove this problem, we should derive m times from Eq. 8 according to the additional unknowns in the afore-mentioned sets of differential equations and then applying the boundary conditions on them.

$$\begin{cases} p'_{k} : f'(u', u'', u''', ..., u^{(m+1)}) \\ p'' : f''(u'', u''', ..., u^{(m+2)}) \end{cases}$$
(14)

b) Application of the boundary conditions on the derivatives of the differential equation P_{μ} in Eq. 14 is done in the form below:

$$p'_{k}:\begin{cases} f(u'(0), u''(0), u'''(0), \dots, u^{(m+1)}(0)) \\ f(u'(L), u''(L), u'''(L), \dots, u^{(m+1)}(L)) \end{cases}$$
(15)

$$p''_{k}:\begin{cases} f\left(u''(0), u'''(0), \dots, u^{(m+2)}(0)\right)\\ f\left(u''(L), u'''(L), \dots, u^{(m+2)}(L)\right) \end{cases}$$
(16)

(n+1) equations can be made from Eq. 11-16 so those (n+1) unknown coefficients of Eq. 10, $a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ can be computed. The answer of the nonlinear differential Eq. 8 will be obtained by computing coefficients of Eq. 10 [3-11].

Applying AGM to the given equation: The answer of the energy balance equation governing the fin problem can be considered as a finite series of polynomials with constant coefficients:

$$\frac{d^2\theta}{d\zeta^2} + \beta\theta \frac{d^2\theta}{d\zeta^2} + \beta \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\zeta}\right)^2 - \psi^2\theta = 0, \ \theta(\zeta) = \sum_i^5 a_i \zeta^i$$
(17)

The aforementioned unknown coefficients are capable of being computed by applying the boundary conditions.

a) Applying the boundary conditions on Eq. 17:

$$\frac{d\theta}{d\zeta}(\zeta=0) = 0 \to a_1 = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$\theta(\zeta = 1) = 1 \longrightarrow a_5 + a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 = 1$$
(19)

 b) Applying the boundary conditions on the main differential Eq. 4 and its derivatives:

$$\theta(\theta(\zeta)) \to U(\theta(B.C)) = 0, U'(\theta(B.C)) = 0, \dots$$
⁽²⁰⁾

$$\begin{cases} U(0) = 0 \rightarrow \beta a_1^2 - \psi^2 a_0 + 2a_2 = 0\\ U(1) = 0 \rightarrow 20a_5 + 12a_4 + 6a_3 + 2a_2 + \beta(20a_5 + 12a_4 + 6a_3 + 2a_2) +\\ \beta(5a_5 + 4a_4 + 3a_3 + 2a_2 + a_1)^2\\ -\psi^2(a_5 + a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(21)

$$\begin{cases} U_0' = 0 \rightarrow 4\beta a_1 a_2 - \psi^2 a_1 + 2\beta a_2 + 6a_3 = 0\\ U_0' = 1 \rightarrow 60a_5 + 24a_4 + 6a_3 + \beta(20a_5 + 12a_4 + 6a_3 + 2a_2)\\ + \beta(60a_5 + 24a_4 + 6a_3)^2 + 2\beta(5a_5 + 4a_4 + 3a_3 + 2a_2 + a_1)\\ (20a_5 + 12a_4 + 6a_3 + 2a_2) - \psi^2(5a_5 + 4a_4 + 3a_3 + 2a_2 + a_1) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(22)

By solving a set of algebraic equations, six unknown coefficients are computed according to the existing six equations. By entering the values of the coefficients, the ultimate answer of AGM method is obtained [12-20].

Results

The Temperature Distribution tables and figures are depicted below for two cases in which the dimensionless parameter β describing variation of thermal conductivity is considered zero which corresponds to a constant thermal conductivity through the fin's material (Tables 1 and 2; Figures 2 and 3).

We compared the results of the AGM approach with an accurate numerical solution, using fourth-order Runge-Kutta with absolute error of 1e-10 as demonstrated in Tables 1 and 2; for two cases of Ψ =0.5 and Ψ =1 with β =0. An excellent agreement between the results is observed which confirms the validity of the AGM approach [3].



Figure 2: Comparison of the solutions via AGM and the fourth-order Runge-Kutta for $\Theta(\zeta){-}\beta{=}0,\,\psi{=}0.5$



Figure 3: Comparison of the solutions via AGM and the fourth-order Runge-Kutta for $\Theta(\zeta){-}\beta{=}0,\,\psi{=}1.$

ζ	β=0, ψ=0.5			
	AGM	Numerical	Error	
0	0.648414986	0.648054499	0.000360487	
0.1	0.651659539	0.651297468	0.000362071	
0.2	0.661423401	0.661058833	0.000364568	
0.3	0.677799078	0.677436294	0.000362783	
0.4	0.700944784	0.700593758	0.000351026	
0.5	0.731087896	0.730762995	0.000324901	
0.6	0.768528415	0.768245949	0.000282466	
0.7	0.813642421	0.813417762	0.000224659	
0.8	0.866885533	0.866730522	0.000155011	
0.9	0.928796369	0.928717806	7.86E-05	
1	1	1	0	

Table 1: The results of AGM and the fourth-order Runge-Kutta for $\Theta(\zeta)$.

Validation of the AGM Approach Considering an Ordinary Differential Equation

In this section, we consider the following differential equation and solve it by HPM, VIM and AGM semi-analytical approaches to analyze the precision of AGM.

$$h(x); \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + \frac{df}{dx} + f^2 + f^4 = 0$$
(23)

In this problem, the number of boundary conditions is one more than the order of the presented equation. The given equation should be derived so that the number of boundary conditions and the order of the differential equation will be equaled. Therefore, we will have:

$$g(x); \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + \frac{d^2 f}{dx^2} + 2f \frac{df}{dx} + 4f^3 \frac{df}{dx} = 0$$
(24)

The corresponding boundary conditions are as below:

$$f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f'(1) = 0$$
(25)

Applying HPM approach to the given equation

Considering Eq. 23 and 24, we construct the homotopy function as below:

$$F(x,p) = (1-p)\left(\frac{d^3f}{dx^3}\right) + p\left(\frac{d^3f}{dx^3} + \frac{d^2f}{dx^2} + 2f\frac{df}{dx} + 4f^3\frac{df}{dx}\right) = 0$$
 (26)

Page 3 of 8

Assuming f(x) as a summation of a power series of parameter p, we will have:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{i=4} p^{i} f_{i}(x)$$
(27)

Substituting Eq. 27 into Eq. 26 and rearranging the answer by powers of p, the multipliers of each power are obtained. Solving the obtained answers according to the given boundary condition which is constant for all of them, the consecutive terms of HPM solution are gained:

$$f_0(x) = -x^2 + 2x$$
(28)

$$f_{1}(x) = -\frac{1}{90}x^{10} + \frac{1}{9}x^{9} + \frac{3}{7}x^{8} + \frac{16}{21}x^{7} - \frac{17}{30}x^{6} + \frac{1}{5}x^{5} - \frac{1}{3}x^{4} + \frac{1}{3}x^{3} + \frac{2}{315}x^{2} - \frac{23}{315}x$$
(29)

$$f_{2}(x) = -\frac{1}{6885}x^{18} + \frac{2}{765}x^{17} - \frac{43}{2100}x^{16} + \frac{428}{4725}x^{15} - \frac{14081}{57330}x^{14} + \frac{341}{819}x^{13} - \frac{169}{378}x^{12} + \frac{12731}{34650}x^{11} - \frac{32}{81}x^{10} + \frac{509}{1134}x^{9} - \frac{809}{2940}x^{8} + \frac{533}{13230}x^{7} + \frac{1}{1890}x^{6} + \frac{61}{1050}x^{5} - \frac{223}{3780}x^{4} - \frac{2}{945}x^{3} + \frac{456325}{12864852}x^{2} - \frac{7498577}{482431950}x$$
(30)

The answers of the next terms and the ultimate answer of Eq. 25 are presented in Appendix 1.

Applying VIM approach to the given equation

To apply the construction of the variational iteration method, we have to find the first sentence to start the loop.

By considering the linear sentence with maximum power of derivative $\frac{d^3}{dx^3}f_0(x)$ which is also the multiplier of power p^0 in HPM method) and applying the corresponding boundary conditions, $f_0(x)$ can be found.

$$\rightarrow \begin{cases} \frac{d3}{dx3}f(x) + \frac{d2}{dx2}f(x) + 2f(x)\left(\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\right) + 4f(x)3\left(\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\right) = 0\\ \rightarrow f_0(x) = -x^2 + 2x\\ f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$
(31)

Based on the structure of VIM method, we construct the following formulation:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n+1}(x) &\coloneqq f_n(x) + \lambda l \left(\int_0^x \left(\frac{d^3}{d\tau^3} f_n(\tau) + \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} f_n(\tau) + 2f_n(\tau) \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} fn(\tau) \right) \right) \\ + 4f_n(\tau)^3 \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} f_n(\tau) \right) \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$
(32)

Considering an adequate Lagrange multiplier and setting *n* from 0 to 3, four terms of f(x) are calculated, the last cycle of this answer is known as the ultimate solution of the given equation.

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 + 2x - \frac{1}{90}x^{10} + \frac{1}{9}x^9 - \frac{3}{7}x^8 + \frac{16}{21}x^7 - \frac{17}{30}x^6 + \frac{1}{5}x^5 = \frac{1}{3}x^4 - x^2$$
(33)

Innov Ener Res, an open access journal ISSN: 2576-1463

The answers of the next terms and the ultimate answer of Eq. 25 are presented in Appendix 2.

Applying AGM approach to the given equation

We first consider the given equation in the form below:

$$U: \frac{d^{3}}{dx^{3}}f(x) + \frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}}f(x) + 2f(x)\left(\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\right) + 4f(x)^{3}\left(\frac{d}{dx}f(x)\right) = 0$$
(34)

As it was discussed in the previous section, the answer of the differential equation is considered as a finite series of polynomials with constant coefficients:

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{5} a_i x^i = a_0 + a_1 x^1 + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + a_4 x^4 + a_5 x^5$$
(35)

The aforementioned unknown coefficients are capable of being computed by applying the boundary conditions.

a) Applying the boundary conditions on Eq. 36:

$$f(0) = 0 \to a_0 = 0 \tag{36}$$

$$f(1) = 1 \rightarrow a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 = 1$$
(37)

b) Applying the boundary conditions on the main differential Eq. 35 and its derivatives:

$$U(f(x)) \to U(f(B.C)) = 0, U'(f(B.C)) = 0,...$$
 (38)

$$U(f(0), f(1)) = \begin{cases} U(0) = 0\\ U(1) = 0 \end{cases} \rightarrow 4a_0^3 a_1 + 2a_0 a_1 + 2a_2 + 6a_3 = 0 \tag{39}$$

$$80a_5 + 36a_4 + 12a_3 + 2a_2 + 2(a_5 + a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0)$$

$$(5a_5 + 4a_4 + 3a_3 + 2a_2 + a_1) + 4(a_5 + a_4 + a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0)^3$$
(40)
$$(5a_5 + 4a_4 + 3a_2 + 2a_2 + a_1) = 0$$

$$U'(f(0), f(1)) = \begin{cases} U'(0) = 0\\ U'(1) = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow 8a_0^3 a_2 + 12a_0^2 a_1^2 + 4a_2 a_1 + 2a_1^2 + 6a_3 + 24a_4 = 0 \quad (41)$$

$$180a_{5} + 48a_{4} + 6a_{3} + 2(5a_{5} + 4a_{4} + 3a_{3} + 2a_{2} + a_{1})^{2} + 2(a_{5} + a_{4} + a_{3} + a_{2} + a_{1} + a_{0})(20a_{5} + 12a_{4} + 6a_{3} + 2a_{2}) + 12(a_{5} + a_{4} + a_{3} + a_{2} + a_{1})^{2}(5a_{5} + 4a_{4} + 3a_{3} + 2a_{2} + a_{1})^{2} + 4(a_{5} + a_{4} + a_{3} + a_{2} + a_{1} + a_{0})^{3} + (20a_{5} + 12a_{4} + 6a_{3} + 2a_{2}) = 0$$

$$(42)$$

By solving a set of algebraic equations, six unknown coefficients are computed according to the existing six equations. By entering the values of the coefficients, the ultimate answer of AGM method is obtained.

Analogy between the methods is shown in Tables 3-6; Figures 4-15.

Conclusion

In this paper, we have successfully developed semi-analytical methods HPM, VIM & AGM to compute the given equation. We have utilized the Maple Package for our calculations. AGM & HPM methods were the most precise methods in this matter, so that they can be applied to the numerous questions arising in the fields of science and engineering day in day out [20-26].

Appendix 1

Description of the HPM approach: To elucidate on, consider the following equation:

$$A(u) - f(r) = 0; r \in \Omega \tag{43}$$



Page 4 of 8





The boundary conditions are:

$$B\left(u,\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right) = 0; r \in \Gamma \tag{44}$$

A: General differential operator, B: Boundary operator, f(r): Known analytical function

 Γ : Boundary of the domain Ω

The operator A consists of linear and nonlinear parts, so the Eq. 1 can be rewritten in the form below [3,5]:

$$L(u) + N(u) - f(r) = 0; r \in \Omega$$

$$\tag{45}$$

$$L(u) + N(u) - f(r) = 0; r \in \Omega$$









7	<i>β</i> =0, ψ=1			
S	AGM	Numerical	Error	
0	0.886827894	0.886818904	8.99E-06	
0.1	0.887936656	0.887927655	9.00E-06	
0.2	0.891265668	0.891256693	8.98E-06	
0.3	0.896823156	0.896814335	8.82E-06	
0.4	0.904622907	0.904614478	8.43E-06	
0.5	0.914684345	0.914676629	7.72E-06	
0.6	0.927032599	0.927025948	6.65E-06	
0.7	0.941698575	0.941693312	5.26E-06	
0.8	0.958719023	0.958715403	3.62E-06	
0.9	0.978136613	0.978134783	1.83E-06	
1	1	1	9.99E-16	

Table 2: The results of AGM and the fourth-order Runge-Kutta for $\Theta(\zeta)$.

	f(x)			
*	НРМ	VIM	AGM	Numerical
0	0	0	0	0
0.1	0.181728983	0.190293926	0.1886	0.181730225
0.2	0.34588862	0.36205851	0.357	0.345891022
0.3	0.493193118	0.515992529	0.5059	0.493196085
0.4	0.623637046	0.651986985	0.6358	0.623639949
0.5	0.736564905	0.769194539	0.7464	0.736567288
0.6	0.830836697	0.866221325	0.8376	0.830838346
0.7	0.905118449	0.941472686	0.9083	0.90511938
0.8	0.958272246	0.993612536	0.9587	0.958272631
0.9	0.989767158	1.022026176	0.9897	0.989767241
1	1.00000004	1.027139019	1	1

Table 3: Comparing the obtained charts by HPM, VIM, AGM and the numerical approach for f(x).

L: Linear part, N: Nonlinear part

The introduced structure of homotopy perturbation method is as below:

$$H(v, p) = (1-p)[L(v) - L(v_0)] + p[A(v) - f(r)] = 0$$
(46)

While,

$$(r, p): \Omega X[0,1] \to R$$
 (47)

 $p \in [0,1]$: An embedding parameter, u_0 : First approximation satisfying the boundary condition

If the equation (4) be rewritten as a power series in p as below:

$$v = v_0 + pv_1 + p^2 v_2 \tag{48}$$

The best approximation of the solution is considered as below:

$$u = \lim_{p \to 1} v = v_0 + v_1 + v_2 \tag{49}$$

Answer terms of the HPM approach to the Problem 2:







Page 5 of 8

Citation: Mokhtarpour K, Ganji DD (2016) Analysis of Temperature Distribution through Rectangular Convective Fin Using Analytical Methods. Innov Ener Res 5: 146. doi: 10.4172/2576-1463.1000146

$f(x) = 405658497511479907$ = 39836300049677 x^{23} 51789939743231 x^{22}			
$J_3(x) = -\frac{1}{3312868296642072918750}x + \frac{1}{116880139335960}x - \frac{1}{127043629713000}x$			
1768611653621 21 193670612903 20 109811128723 19			
$+\frac{1}{3849806961000}x^{**}-\frac{1}{434188755000}x^{**}+\frac{1}{303932128500}x^{**}$			
320226806923 61472796976 1845420119			
$-\frac{12822200000000}{1148188041000}x^{18} + \frac{01112100000}{258242200225}x^{17} - \frac{10100120110}{10121070050}x^{16}$			
82412542790 26710579011 2400052691			
$+\frac{65412342789}{100000000}x^{15}-\frac{20719378911}{100000000}x^{14}-\frac{3499032081}{200000000}x^{13}$			
101310/095000 13828911846750 26340/844700			
$+\frac{24226459}{x^{12}}x^{12}-\frac{375595963}{x^{11}}x^{11}-\frac{1673831481319}{x^{28}}x^{28}$			
17144889300 39800635875 90557959196250			
103051068431 $_{27}$ 2554138 $_{31}$ 204178760771 $_{30}$			
$\frac{1}{1990284817500}$ $\frac{1}{16567891875}$ $\frac{1}{197457204262500}$	(51)		
33212328043 29 199036157989699 10 1748074812487 9	(31)		
$-\frac{1}{6581906808750}x^{-1} + \frac{1}{6640917007725000}x^{-1} - \frac{1}{51083976982500}x^{-1}$			
21217737858113 19566395921389 44325301833991			
$+\frac{21217797650715}{1022021524525000}x^8 - \frac{7950595221509}{2780185142244500}x^7 + \frac{71325507055997}{10022751022175000}x^6$			
1055051554555000 2789185145244500 19922751025175000			
$-\frac{1552062491257}{1252062491257}x^5 + \frac{27152296319721}{125296319721}x^4 - \frac{511}{1252062491257}x^{34}$			
603719727975000 7969100409270000 17381182500			
$+\frac{43992766081460126129}{x^2}x^2-\frac{481504330859}{x^3}x^3+\frac{511}{x^{33}}x^{33}$			
43540554755867244075000 18111591832500 511211250			
465757 176523923566853 26 37694149682417 25			
$\frac{1}{29454030000}$ $\frac{1}{1569671292735000}$ $\frac{1}{195321088462500}$			
463766994431 24			
$-\frac{1702799232750}{1702799232750}x^{-7}$			
$\begin{split} f(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{i=4} p^i f_i(x) = \frac{72744466563256185043862}{38097985411383838565625} x + \frac{201769768723177}{584400696679800} x^{23} - \frac{27219544428883}{63521814856500} x^{22} \\ &+ \frac{2032031436863}{3849806961000} x^2 - \frac{2500130731321}{4124793172500} x^{29} + \frac{3655623898647}{5774710441500} x^{19} \\ &- \frac{6462716064487}{10333692369000} x^{14} + \frac{319374971831}{516684618450} x^{17} - \frac{25285944119}{65524283800} x^{16} \\ &+ \frac{64205723569}{1013107095000} x^{14} + \frac{3193390058579}{55028695217000} x^{14} - \frac{409789269258}{65851961175} x^{11} \\ &- \frac{2461033684}{4286222325} x^{12} + \frac{7906127175}{7960127175} x^{11} - \frac{1673831481319}{9055795196250} x^{30} \\ &+ \frac{103051068431}{658190688750} x^{29} + \frac{2554138}{65464017007725000} x^{10} + \frac{21022637795657}{39024217692500} x^{19} \end{split}$	(52)		
$-\frac{329398467503081}{516515767267500}x^{4} + \frac{2114611134289421}{2789185143244500}x^{7} - \frac{11097224706015809}{19922751023175000}x^{6} + \frac{1718146300726423}{640917007725000}x^{5} - \frac{3057404630291279}{7999100409270000}x^{4} - \frac{511}{17381182500}x^{34} + \frac{41328437882402289222691}{43540554755867244075000}x^{2} - \frac{315511458093463}{996137551158750}x^{3} - \frac{511}{511211250}x^{33} + \frac{4607570}{20154920000}x^{4} - \frac{176527115345807}{201549202902243529}x^{25} + \frac{260976092443559}{20154920000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{20154920000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{201540000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{201540000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{201540000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{201540000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{201540000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{2015400000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{20000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{2015400000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{2015400000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{2015400000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{20154000000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{2000000000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345807}{2000000000000000}x^{2} + \frac{275271153458000}{200000000000000000000}x^{2} + \frac{27527115345800}{20000000000000000000000000000000000$			
$-\frac{329398467503081}{516515767267500}x^{6} + \frac{2114611134289421}{2789185143244500}x^{7} - \frac{11097224706015809}{19922751023175000}x^{6}$ $+\frac{171814630726423}{6640917007725000}x^{5} - \frac{305740630297000}{7969100409270000}x^{4} - \frac{511}{17381182500}x^{34}$ $-\frac{41328437882402289222691}{43540554755867244075000}x^{-2} - \frac{315511458093463}{996137551158750}x^{3} - \frac{511}{511211250}x^{33}$ $-\frac{465757}{2945403000}x^{32} - \frac{156527115345807}{1569671292735000}x^{56} + \frac{64097602443559}{3320458503862500}x^{25}$ $-\frac{1859325724679}{68111969300}x^{24}$			

Appendix 2

Description of the VIM approach: To clarify, note the equation below:

$$A(u) = g(x), A(u) = L(u) + N(u)$$
(53)

Where L & N represent the linear and nonlinear parts of the general differential operator A. g(x) is the inhomogeneous term of the











x	f(x)-Maximum Error			
	HPM	VIM	AGM	
0	0	0	0	
0.1	1.24E-06	0.008563701	0.006869775	
0.2	2.40E-06	0.016167488	0.011108978	
0.3	2.97E-06	0.022796445	0.012703915	
0.4	2.90E-06	0.028347035	0.012160051	
0.5	2.38E-06	0.032627251	0.009832712	
0.6	1.65E-06	0.035382979	0.006761654	
0.7	9.31E-07	0.036353306	0.00318062	
0.8	3.86E-07	0.035339905	0.000427369	
0.9	8.31E-08	0.032258935	6.72E-05	
1	4.00E-09	0.027139019	0	

Table 4: Comparing the obtained charts by HPM, VIM and AGM for their f(x)-errors in accordance to the numerical approach.

	f (x)				
X	НРМ	VIM	AGM	Numerical	
0	1.909404547	2	2.0025	1.909413569	
0.1	1.727633922	1.808441316	1.7894	1.727647369	
0.2	1.556743091	1.628011282	1.5901	1.556752052	
0.3	1.389328156	1.450478213	1.3971	1.389330476	
0.4	1.218431025	1.267967474	1.2034	1.218427709	
0.5	1.03817714	1.0738019	1.0077	1.03817046	
0.6	0.844968441	0.863996832	0.80784	0.844960853	
0.7	0.638698783	0.638722634	0.6042	0.638692269	
0.8	0.423436239	0.402993554	0.3987	0.423431972	
0.9	0.207100456	0.165978303	0.19825	0.20709865	
1	-0.00000009	-0.061143663	0.0077515	0	

Table 5: Comparing the obtained charts by HPM, VIM, AGM and the numerical approach for f '(x).

Innov Ener Res, an open access journal ISSN: 2576-1463

Page 6 of 8

equation. The introduced structure of the VIM method is as below [3]:

$$u_{n+1}(x) = u_n(x) + \int_{0}^{x} \lambda \left(L u_n(\tau) + N u_n(\tau) - g(\tau) \right) d\tau$$
(54)

 λ is the general Lagrange multiplier which can be obtained by disparate ways including variational theory, Laplace method and etc. the subscript n represents the nth approximation of the solution, The appellation of $\widetilde{u_n}$ is justified by the fact that $\delta u_n \cong 0$. The ultimate solution is given as:

$$u(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n(x) \tag{55}$$

Answer terms of the VIM approach of Problem 2:



$f_3(x), f_3(x)$; too many sentences.







Figure 15: Comparison of HPM, VIM and AGM approaches for their f'(x)-maximum errors percent.

	F'(x)-Maximum Error			
X	НРМ	VIM	AGM	
0.1	9.02E-06	0.090586431	0.093086431	
0.2	1.34E-05	0.080793947	0.061752631	
0.3	8.96E-06	0.07125923	0.033347948	
0.4	2.32E-06	0.061147737	0.007769524	
0.5	3.32E-06	0.049539765	0.015027709	
0.6	6.68E-06	0.03563144	0.03047046	
0.7	7.59E-06	0.01903598	0.037120853	
0.8	6.51E-06	3.04E-50	0.034492269	
0.9	4.27E-06	0.020438418	0.024731972	
1	1.81E-06	0.041120346	0.00884865	

Table 6: Comparing the obtained charts by HPM, VIM and AGM for their f(x)-errors in accordance to the numerical approach.

References

- Ganji DD, Languri EM (2010) Mathematical methods in nonlinear heat transfer Book.
- Domairry G, Fazeli M (2009) Homotopy analysis method to determine the fin efficiency of convective straight fins with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul 14: 489-499.
- Ghafoori S, Motevalli M, Nejad MG, Shakeri F, Ganji DD, et al. (2011) Efficiency of differential transformation method for nonlinear oscillation: Comparison with HPM and VIM. Curr Appl Phys 11: 965-971.
- Mehdipour I, Ganji DD, Mozaffari M (2010) Application of the energy balance method to nonlinear vibrating equations. Current Applied Physics 10: 104-112.
- Ganji DD, Ganji SS, Karimpour S, Ganji ZZ (2010) Numerical study of homotopy-perturbation method applied to Burgers equation in fluid. Numer Methods Partial Differ Equ 26: 917-930.
- Joneidi AA, Ganji DD, Babaelahi M (2009) Differential transformation method to determine fin efficiency of convective straight fins with temperature dependent thermal conductivity. Int Commun Heat Mass 36: 757-762.
- Kern DQ, Kraus AD (1972) Extended surface heat transfer. McGraw-Hill, New York, USA.
- Kraus AD, Aziz A, Welty J (2002) Extended surface heat transfer. John Wiley & Sons, New York, USA.
- Arslanturk C (2005) A decomposition method for fin efficiency of convective straight fins with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Int Commun Heat Mass 32: 831-841.
- Zubair SM, Al-Garni AZ, Nizami JS (1996) The optimal dimensions of circular fins with variable profile and temperature-dependent thermal conductivity. Int J Heat Mass Transfer 39: 3431-3439.
- Aziz A, Huq SE (1975) Perturbation solution for convecting fin with variable thermal conductivity. J Heat Transfer 97: 300-301.
- Hatami M, Hasanpour A, Ganji DD (2013) Heat transfer study through porous fins (Si 3 N 4 and AL) with temperature-dependent heat generation. Energ Conversion Management 74: 9-16.
- Ganji DD, Dogonchi AS (2014) Analytical investigation of convective heat transfer of a longitudinal fin with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, heat transfer coefficient and heat generation. Int J Phys Sci 9: 466-474.
- Hatami M, Ganji DD (2014) Natural convection of sodium alginate (SA) non-Newtonian nanofluid flow between two vertical flat plates by analytical and numerical methods. Case Studies Therm Eng 2: 14-22.
- Dogonchi AS, Ganji DD (2016) Convection–radiation heat transfer study of moving fin with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity, heat transfer coefficient and heat generation. Appl Therm Engg 103: 705-712.
- Chol SUS (1995) Enhancing thermal conductivity of fluids with nanoparticles. ASME-Publications-Fed 231: 99-106.
- Jalili M, Baktash E, Ganji DD (2008) Application of He's homotopy-perturbation method to strongly nonlinear coupled systems. In J Phys: Conference Series 96: 012078.

Citation: Mokhtarpour K, Ganji DD (2016) Analysis of Temperature Distribution through Rectangular Convective Fin Using Analytical Methods. Innov Ener Res 5: 146. doi: 10.4172/2576-1463.1000146

Page 8 of 8

- Ahmadi AR, Zahmatkesh A, Hatami M, Ganji DD (2014) A comprehensive analysis of the flow and heat transfer for a nanofluid over an unsteady stretching flat plate. Powder Technology 258: 125-133.
- Aziz A, Benzies JY (1976) Application of perturbation techniques to heattransfer problems with variable thermal properties. Int J Heat Mass Transfer 19: 271-276.
- He JH (1999) Homotopy perturbation technique. Comput Methods Appl Mech Eng 178: 257-262.
- He JH, Wu XH (2006) Construction of solitary solution and compacton-like solution by variational iteration method. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals 29: 108-113.
- 22. Barari A, Poor AT, Jorjani A, Mirgolbabaei H (2010) Analysis of diffusion problems using homotopy perturbation and variational iteration methods. Int J

Res Rev Appl Sci 14: 1101–1109.

- 23. Mirgolbabaee H, Ganji DD, Ledari ST (2015) Heat transfer analysis of a fin with temperature-dependent thermal conductivity and heat transfer coefficient. New Trends Mathl Sci 3: 55-69.
- 24. Akbari MR, Ganji DD, Nimafar M, Ahmadi AR (2014) Significant progress in solution of nonlinear equations at displacement of structure and heat transfer extended surface by new AGM approach. Front Mechl Eng 9: 390-401.
- 25. Meresht NB, Ganji DD (2016) Solving Nonlinear Differential Equation Arising in Dynamical Systems by AGM. Int J Appl Computat Math 1-17.
- Rostami A, Akbari M, Ganji D, Heydari S (2014) Investigating Jeffery-Hamel flow with high magnetic field and nanoparticle by HPM and AGM. Open Eng 4: 357-370.