Air and Water Borne Diseases

Editorial Open Access

Bioaerosol Sampling and Detection: Advances and Evaluations

Dr. Yuko Nishimura*

Department of Environmental Health, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan

***Corresponding Author:** Dr. Yuko Nishimura, Department of Environmental Health, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan, E-mail: ynishimura.env@osaka-u.ac.jp

Received: 01-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. awbd-25-174398; **Editor assigned:** 03-Nov-2025, PreQC No. awbd-25-174398(PQ); **Reviewed:** 17-Nov-2025, QC No. awbd-25-174398; **Revised:** 24-Nov-2025, Manuscript No. awbd-25-174398(R); **Published:** 01-Dec-2025, **DOI**: 10.4172/2167-7719.1000323

Citation: Nishimura DY (2025) Bioaerosol Sampling and Detection: Advances and Evaluations. awbd 14: 323.

Abstract

This collection of research explores diverse aspects of bioaerosol sampling and detection. It critically reviews existing methodologies, develops novel personal and specialized samplers for microorganisms and viruses, and compares various techniques for collection efficiency and viability across different environments. Studies assess real-time detection systems and address specific environmental challenges in healthcare and agriculture. The findings collectively emphasize the need for advanced, standardized approaches to monitor bioaerosols, crucial for public health, occupational safety, and environmental protection initiatives.

Keywords

bioaerosol sampling; detection technologies; impaction; impingement; filtration; electrostatic precipitation; airborne microorganisms; viruses; fungi; SARS-CoV-2; real-time monitoring; environmental health; occupational safety; public health; healthcare facilities; agricultural environments

Introduction

Bioaerosols, comprising airborne biological particles such as bacteria, fungi, and viruses, pose significant risks across various sectors, impacting public health, environmental quality, and occupational safety. Understanding and effectively managing these risks necessitate robust sampling and detection methodologies. A critical review highlights various bioaerosol sampling techniques, including impaction, impingement, filtration, and electrostatic precipitation. This work delves into their principles, advantages, and limitations across diverse applications, stressing the need for standardized protocols and the integration of novel technologies to improve collection efficiency and sample viability, which is vital for guiding future research in the field [1].

The development of advanced sampling devices is a key area of focus. One study presents a novel personal bioaerosol sampler that utilizes microfluidic inertial impaction. This device aims to provide accurate exposure assessments in various environments by enhancing collection efficiency and minimizing sample degradation, offering a promising tool particularly for occupational health and public exposure studies [2]. Complementing this, researchers conducted a comparative analysis of impaction, impingement, and filtration techniques for sampling airborne fungi. This evaluation assesses their collection efficiency, viability, and overall performance, providing practical guidance for selecting appropriate methods, especially in environmental monitoring where fungal bioaerosols are a significant concern [3].

Beyond sampling techniques, advancements in detection systems are equally crucial. A comprehensive review highlights recent progress in real-time bioaerosol detection systems, encompassing optical, immunological, and molecular methods. This paper discusses their underlying principles, inherent limitations, and diverse applications in public health, biodefense, and environmental monitoring, underscoring the shift towards rapid, sensitive, and selective detection capabilities essential for timely responses to bioaerosol threats [4]. The efficacy of sampling viable biological agents is also rigorously evaluated. For instance, research specifically evaluates the performance of various air samplers for collecting viable viruses in indoor settings. This is critical for understanding airborne disease transmission and informs effective indoor air quality management and infection control strategies, significantly contributing to public health efforts [5].

Addressing specific environmental contexts, a review paper thoroughly examines the unique characteristics of bioaerosols encountered in agricultural environments and discusses appropriate sampling techniques. It covers various airborne biological agents relevant to agriculture, outlining specific challenges and considerations for effective sampling. The insights from this work are vital for mitigating health risks for agricultural workers and surrounding communities [6]. Similarly, within healthcare facilities, where airborne pathogens present substantial infection risks, a dedicated review summarizes current bioaerosol detection technologies. This evaluates various methods for monitoring microbial contamination in critical areas, thereby contributing to improved indoor air quality and patient safety, and providing crucial information for infection prevention and control [7].

Further comparative evaluations offer practical guidelines for practitioners. One study systematically compares the performance of several active bioaerosol samplers for collecting airborne bacteria and fungi. It assesses critical factors like collection efficiency, culturability, and suitability for different applications, yielding valuable data for selecting optimal sampling devices for microbial air quality assessment, benefiting environmental and occupational health specialists [8]. Innovating further in sampler design, a research piece details the design and experimental validation of a miniature electrostatic precipitation bioaerosol sampler. This device is specifically developed for the efficient collection of airborne viruses, demonstrating high collection efficiency and maintaining viral viability. It offers a compact and effective solution for viral pathogen monitoring, representing a step forward in portable and targeted bioaerosol collection [9]. The urgency of reliable viral bioaerosol detection was particularly highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic. Consequently, a study investigated the collection efficiency of three different air samplers for SARS-CoV-2 aerosols. The findings provide essential data on the effectiveness of various sampling methods for detecting airborne viral particles, guiding strategies for mitigating disease transmission and addressing the urgent need for dependable detection [10]. Collectively, these studies underscore the dynamic and evolving landscape of bioaerosol research, emphasizing continuous innovation in both sampling and detection to safeguard health across various environments.

Description

Effective management of bioaerosols fundamentally relies on efficient sampling and detection. A critical review meticulously examines various bioaerosol sampling techniques, including impaction, impingement, filtration, and electrostatic precipitation, detailing their principles, advantages, and limitations across diverse applications like public health, environmental monitoring, and occupational safety. This work emphasizes the pressing need for standardized protocols and the integration of novel technologies to boost collection efficiency and sample viability, thereby guiding future research directions [1].

Innovation drives the development of specialized sampling tools. A notable contribution is a novel personal bioaerosol sampler utilizing microfluidic inertial impaction, designed for efficient airborne microorganism collection. This device aims to deliver precise exposure assessments by enhancing collection efficiency and minimizing sample degradation, presenting a valuable tool for personal monitoring in occupational health and public exposure studies [2]. Concurrently, researchers have conducted thorough comparative studies of impaction, impingement, and filtration methods for sampling airborne fungi. This evaluation provides crucial insights into their collection efficiency, viability, and overall performance, aiding in the selection of suitable sampling methods for specific applications, particularly in environmental monitoring where fungal bioaerosols are a significant concern [3]. These comparative analyses offer practical guidance for both researchers and practitioners.

Real-time detection represents another pivotal area of advancement. A comprehensive review outlines recent progress in real-time bioaerosol detection systems, covering optical, immunological, and molecular methods. This paper discusses their principles, limitations, and wide-ranging applications in public health, biodefense, and environmental monitoring, highlighting a clear trend towards rapid, sensitive, and selective detection capabilities vital for prompt responses to bioaerosol threats [4]. The importance of collecting viable pathogens, especially viruses, is also a central theme. One study evaluates various air samplers for their efficacy in collecting viable viruses in indoor environments. This research is essential for understanding airborne disease transmission and for developing effective indoor air quality management and infection control strategies, making a significant contribution to public health [5].

During the COVID-19 pandemic, another study specifically investigated the collection efficiency of three different air samplers for SARS-CoV-2 aerosols, providing critical data for mitigating viral transmission [10].

Specific environments demand tailored approaches. In agricultural settings, a review paper focuses on the unique characteristics and appropriate sampling techniques for bioaerosols. It covers various airborne biological agents relevant to agriculture, addressing specific challenges and considerations for effective sampling. The insights derived are crucial for mitigating health risks for agricultural workers and surrounding communities [6]. Similarly, within healthcare facilities, where airborne pathogens pose significant infection risks, a dedicated review summarizes current bioaerosol detection technologies. This work evaluates various methods for monitoring microbial contamination in critical areas, thereby enhancing indoor air quality and patient safety, and providing vital information for infection prevention and control [7].

Further comparative evaluations assess the performance of several active bioaerosol samplers for airborne bacteria and fungi, providing valuable data on collection efficiency and culturability to aid specialists in selecting optimal devices for microbial air quality assessment [8]. Looking ahead, the design and experimental validation of a miniature electrostatic precipitation bioaerosol sampler for airborne viruses offer a compact solution with high collection efficiency and maintained viral viability, representing an advance in portable and targeted bioaerosol collection [9]. This collective body of work demonstrates a robust, ongoing effort to refine and innovate bioaerosol surveillance and protection across critical sectors.

Conclusion

The provided research highlights significant advancements and critical evaluations in bioaerosol sampling and detection. Papers examine various methodologies like impaction, impingement, filtration, and electrostatic precipitation, discussing their principles, advantages, and limitations across diverse fields such as public health, environmental monitoring, and occupational safety. There's an emphasis on developing novel samplers, including microfluidic inertial impactors for personal monitoring and miniature electrostatic precipitators designed specifically for airborne viruses, showcasing high collection efficiency and viability. Comparative studies assess the performance of different techniques for collecting airborne fungi and bacteria, evaluating factors like collection efficiency and culturability to guide optimal device selection. Research also delves into evaluating various air samplers for viable virus collection in indoor settings, crucial for understanding disease transmission, and specifically for SARS-CoV-2 aerosols during the COVID-19 pandemic. Beyond sampling, the literature reviews advancements in real-time bioaerosol detection systems, covering optical, immunological, and molecular methods applicable to biodefense and public health. Specialized reviews address the unique challenges and appropriate sampling techniques for bioaerosols in agricultural environments and summarize detection technologies tailored for healthcare facilities to improve patient safety and infection control. The collective body of work underscores the ongoing need for standardized protocols, integration of new technologies, and a continuous focus on improving collection efficiency, sample viability, and rapid detection capabilities to address bioaerosol threats effectively across multiple sectors.

References

1. Chen W, Li Y, Zhang W, Wang Y, Liu J et al. (2022) Bioaerosol sampling techniques: A critical review of methodologies and applications. Environ Res 215:114328.

2. Zhao Z, Li R, Li Z, Zhang Q, Pan Z et al. (2023) Development and evaluation of a personal bioaerosol sampler based on microfluidic inertial impaction. Sci Total Environ 863:160912.

3. Zhang Q, Li B, Fan J, Sun G, Liang J et al. (2021) Comparison of impaction, impingement, and filtration methods for the sampling of airborne fungi. J Environ Sci 105:197-205.

4. Wang J, Zhang H, Liu X, Xu B, Chen J et al. (2022) Advances in real-time bioaerosol detection systems and their applications. Crit Rev Environ Sci Technol 52:1928-1961.

5. Kim H, Lee C, Woo H, Lee J, Kim S et al. (2021) Evaluation of different air samplers for viable virus collection in indoor environments. Aerosol Sci Technol 55:112-123.

6. Ma Q, Zhang Y, Wang M, Chen Y, Yu X et al. (2023) Characteristics and sampling techniques of bioaerosols in agricultural environments: A review. Environ Res 233:116491.

7. Zhao C, Shi J, Li B, Fan Y, Li H et al. (2022) Bioaerosol detection technologies for healthcare facilities: A review. J Clean Prod 352:131580.

8. Jo M, Kim Y, Park S, Kim J, Lee D et al. (2021) Comparative performance evaluation of active bioaerosol samplers for airborne bacteria and fungi. Environ Sci Pollut Res 28:13917-13926.

9. Ren L, Li M, Gao J, Wang Q, Luo Y et al. (2023) Design and experimental evaluation of a miniature electrostatic precipitation bioaerosol sampler for airborne viruses. J Aerosol Sci 168:106121.
10. Lednicky J, Lauzardo M, Fan Z, Telfer J, Shankar S et al. (2020) Collection efficiency of three air samplers for SARS-CoV-2 aerosols. Environ Int 145:106063.