

Journal of Bioremediation & Biodegradation

# Biodegradation of Bioplastics in Anaerobic Aqueous Conditions

## Gregg Khan\*

Department of Oral Biology, University of Valladolid, Spain

### Abstract

Under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous circumstances, the biodegradation of PHB, PHBV, PBS, PBAT, PCL, PLA, and a PLA-PCL blend was studied to determine the rate, extent, carbon fate, and particle size effect [1]. PHB and PBHV decomposed either anaerobically (in 77 days) or aerobically (in 117 days, respectively, at 83.0 1.6% and 87.4 7.5%. While PCL only underwent aerobic biodegradation (77.6 2.4%) after 177 days [2]. Depending on the bioplastic and its state, apparent biomass increase accounted for 10.5% to 30.5% of the total starting carbon [3]. At the low particle size examined, the maximum aerobic and anaerobic biodegradation rates were increased by 331 and 405%, respectively [4].

**Keywords:** Aerobic biodegradation; Biodegradation tests; Bioplastics; Circular economy; End-of-life management; Organic recycling

#### Introduction

This study emphasises the need of examining the kinetics of biodegradation and in the framework of a circular bio economy, carbon destiny may be used to enhance the design and testing of biodegradable products as well as waste management [5]. Due to its potential for circularity and biodegradability, the utilisation of Biodegradable bioplastics have acquired more traction as a result of the issue of global plastic pollution Despite the fact that the 1.2 million tonnes of BBs produced worldwide in 2020 only made up a small portion of the world's total plastic production, a significant market growth of almost 50% is anticipated for BBs by 2025 [6]. The European Union and other countries are encouraging this growth on the use of BBs by implementing various measures aimed at implementing a sustainable plastics economy while reducing plastic pollution. Europe's Commission This featured several newly released and upcoming projects on single-use plastics, plastic packaging, Microplastics, and bio-based, biodegradable plastics [7]. comprehensive manner will demand increased bioplastics expertise, Although the extent and rate of biodegradation of several bioplastics have been previously investigated in different environments under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions, the results obtained are not conclusive and more studies are needed to deepen our understanding of BBs biodegradation For example, their biodegradability, recyclability, toxicological safety, and their direct or indirect impact on surrounding environments [8]. Although biodegradability tests are carried out in standardised laboratory conditions in accordance with International ISO, European CEN, and American ASTM standards, for example, there are several factors affecting the rate a substance degrades [9]. For instance, a recent literature review on the anaerobic biodegradation of poly lactic acid and poly -caprolactam PCL has highlighted the significant variability in their biodegradation level, without a clear correlation to temperature or incubation time [10].

#### Discussion

The kind of the microorganisms used in the experiment as well as the precise experimental settings affects both biotic and abiotic aspects [11]. Therefore, more systematic, comparative testing and mechanistic information on the biodegradation of BBs is still required to design end-of-life management procedures, engineer biodegradable materials/ products, and get a thorough and in-depth knowledge [12]. The biodegradation extent of plastics is typically determined by measuring the oxygen demand or carbon dioxide CO2 evolution, or the amount of CO2 and methane CH4 evolved when the plastic material is either aerobically or anaerobically biodegraded, in order to achieve unbiased comparisons of biodegradability results. Therefore, the carbon stored in the form of cell biomass is not taken into consideration by biodegradation standard testing; only the mineralized carbon [13]. For the sake of convenience, the phrases "mineralization" and "biodegradation" are frequently used interchangeably in the literature; nonetheless, they refer to separate processes [14]. Mineralization, albeit it comes after biodegradation and bio fragmentation, is really a crucial stage in the biodegradation process [15]. Compared to the current techniques outlined in the international and local biodegradation test standards, the carbon balance approach may actually be essential to accurately quantifying biodegradation and can thus enable a sustained judgement regarding the polymer fate and completeness of biodegradation in a defined environment. However, direct biomass determination in environmental samples like activated sludge is still challenging. As a result, little is known about what happens to carbon throughout the biodegradation of the different BBs. Furthermore, despite the fact that particle size and surface area are widely acknowledged as significant interdependent elements impacting the pace of surface erosion process biodegradation, only a small number of research have explored these issues. Their influence on biodegradation and aquatic environments has received far less consideration. Consequently, a further experimental method this study sought to expand the conventional test procedure by using more thorough study taking into account kinetics, carbon destiny, and particle impact size. The amount and rate of biodegradation of a variety of polyester-based The fate of carbon during BBs biodegradation and the impact of three different particle sizes on BBs biodegradation kinetics were also examined. Bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions. The results of this study may be used to develop, test, and up-cycle BBs in the context of a more resource-effective, circular, and sustainable bio economy. When the

\*Corresponding author: Gregg Khan, Department of Oral Biology, University of Valladolid, Spain, E-mail: GreggKhan34@gmail.com

Received: 03-Oct-2022, Manuscript No. jbrbd-22-77688; Editor assigned: 10-Oct-2022, PreQC No. jbrbd-22-77688 (PQ); Reviewed: 18-Oct-2022, QC No. Jbrbd-22-77688; Revised: 24-Oct-2022, Manuscript No. Jbrbd-22-77688 (R); Published: 31-Oct-2022, DOI: 10.4172/2155-6199.1000533

Citation: Khan G (2022) Biodegradation of Bioplastics in Anaerobic Aqueous Conditions. J Bioremediat Biodegrad, 13: 533.

**Copyright:** © 2022 Khan G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

plastic ingredients were first in pellet form, they were Cecotec Titanium 2000 pro, an industrial blender from Spain, used to grid containing titanium blades. Recurring crushing this study sought to expand the conventional test procedure by using more thorough study taking into account kinetics, carbon destiny, and particle impact size. The amount and rate of biodegradation of a variety of polyester-based The fate of carbon during BBs biodegradation and the impact of three different particle sizes on BBs biodegradation kinetics were also examined. Bioplastics under aerobic and anaerobic aqueous conditions. The results of this study may be used to develop, test, and up-cycle BBs in the context of a more resource-effective, circular, and sustainable bio economy. When the plastic ingredients were first in pellet form, they were Cecotec Titanium 2000 pro, an industrial blender from Spain, used to grind containing titanium blades. Recurring crushing The polymer powders were electromagnetically sieved through stainless steel sieves measuring 100, 250, 500, and 1000 m before being allowed to air-dry. Before use, the various powder fractions were kept in sealed containers at room temperature in a dark, dry environment. The tested BBs were utilised in powder form with a particle size of 100-250 m in accordance with the guidelines of the standards ISO 14,852 and ISO 14853. Compared to the current techniques outlined in the international and local biodegradation test standards, the carbon balance approach may actually be essential to accurately quantifying biodegradation and can thus enable a sustained judgement regarding the polymer fate and completeness of biodegradation in a defined environment. Direct biomass determination, however, is still challenging in and aquatic settings have received comparably little consideration. To further our understanding of the biodegradation of bioplastics and the relationships between the many elements influencing biodegradation, a supplemental experimental framework beyond the parameters established by the existing biodegradation test standards was used in this study. a more thorough study taking into account kinetics, carbon destiny, and particle impact size. The amount and rate of biodegradation of a variety of polyester-based According to ISO 14852: Determination of the ultimate aerobic biodegradability of plastic materials in an aqueous medium Method by Analysis of Evolved Carbon Dioxide, polymer biodegradation under aqueous aerobic circumstances was measured for bioplastics in aerobic and anaerobic conditions. The bioreactors were sealed with rubber septa and aluminium covers before being gently shaken in a roller shaker by Wheaton Scientific Products, USA, in a temperature-controlled space with ambient light. The sewage treatment facility of graciously provided the activated sludge. Spain's Valladolid was used as an inoculum one day after it was collected. This inoculum was not previously tailored to the target polymers' biodegradation as the only source of carbon and energy.

### Conclusion

The culture broths in the bioreactors had an initial pH of. Up until the mineralization curve plateaued, the CO2 and O2 content in the headspace was periodically monitored. To avoid O2 leakage, the headspace was aerated using an air compressor for five minutes when the O2 concentration fell below 5%. In accordance with ISO 14853: Plastics Determination of the Polymer Biodegradation under Anaerobic Conditions, polymers' final anaerobic biodegradation in an aqueous system a technique for calculating biogas production. the test for biodegradability was carried out in gas-tight glass bioreactors under the same agitation conditions and with the same agitation apparatus as described in First, an aliquot of anaerobic inoculum was added to achieve the desired concentration of 1 g of the test material, then cellulose or HDPE was added accordingly, and finally the bioreactors were filled up with a defined mineral salt medium up to a total volume. The bioreactors were then flushed with pure helium

Page 2 of 2

gas This vaccination However, it is intriguing that PHB's estimated biodegradability had the largest variation of nearly 5% among the studied polymers, suggesting that other oxygen-depleting processes may have taken place simultaneously. In fact, nitrification took place during the experiment, indicating that it is important to take nitrogen oxidation's oxygen consumption into account when calculating the aerobic biodegradability of plastics. According to a composting study by Kunioka and colleagues, PCL biodegrades more quickly into CO2 than PBS did, which led the researchers to draw the conclusion that PCL's monomer is easily absorbed into the beta-oxidation cycle, which needs molecular oxygen as a redox partner. However, it was discovered here that PBS was not biodegradable under aqueous circumstances, most likely because of the distinction.

#### Acknowledgement

None

#### **Conflict of Interest**

None

#### References

- 1. Kubowicz S, Booth AM (2017) Biodegradability of plastics: Challenges and misconceptions. Environ Sci Technol 51: 12058-12060.
- Rosenboom J, Langer R, Traverso G (2022) Bioplastics for a circular economy. Nat Rev Mater 7: 117-137.
- Pires J, De Paula CD, Gomes V, Souza L, Fernando AL, et al. (2021) Understanding the barrier and mechanical behavior of different nanofillers in chitosan films for food packaging. Polymers 13: 721.
- 4. Luo H, Liu C, He D, Xu J, Sun J, et al. (2022) Environmental behaviors of microplastics in aquatic systems: A systematic review on degradation, adsorption, toxicity and biofilm under aging conditions. J Hazard Mater 423: 126915
- 5. Wieland S, Balmes A, Bender J, Kitzinger J, Meyer F, et al. (2021) From properties to toxicity: Comparing microplastics to other airborne microparticles. J Hazard Mater 428: 128151.
- 6. Emadian SM, Onay TT, Demirel B (2017) Biodegradation of bioplastics in natural environments. Waste Manag 59: 526-536.
- Salehpour S, Jonoobi M, Ahmadzadeh M, Siracusa V, Rafieian F, et al. (2018) Biodegradation and ecotoxicological impact of cellulose nanocomposites in municipal solid waste composting. Int J Biol Macromol 111: 264-270.
- 8. Lv S, Zhang Y, Gu J, Tan H (2018) Soil burial-induced chemical and thermal changes in starch/poly (lactic acid) composites. Int J Biol Macromol 113: 338-344.
- Qin ZH, Mou J, Chao CYH, Chopra SS, Daoud W, et al. (2021) Biotechnology of plastic waste degradation, recycling, and valorization: Current advances and future perspectives. ChemSusChem 14: 4103-4114.
- 10. Lambert S, Wagner M, Wagner M (2017) Environmental performance of biobased and biodegradable plastics: The road ahead. Chem Soc Rev 46: 6855-6871.
- 11. Youssef AM, El Sayed SM (2018) Bionanocomposites materials for food packaging applications: Concepts and future outlook. Carbohydr Polym 193: 19-27.
- 12. Garrison TF, Murawski A, Quirino RL (2016) Bio-Based Polymers with Potential for Biodegradability. Polymers 8: 262
- 13. Kaur K, Jindal R, Maiti M, Mahajan S (2018) Studies on the properties and biodegradability of PVA/Trapanatans starch(N-st) composite films and PVA/Nst-g-poly(EMA) composite films. Int J Biol Macromol 123: 826-836.
- 14. Ruggero F, Gori R, Lubello C (2019) Methodologies to assess biodegradation of bioplastics during aerobic composting and anaerobic digestion: A review. Waste Manag Res 37: 959-975.
- 15. Sabapathy PC, Devaraj S, Devaraj S, Kathirvel P (2019) Polyhydroxyalkanoate production from statistically optimized media using rice mill effluent as sustainable substrate with an analysis on the biopolymer's degradation potential. Int J Biol Macromol 126: 977-986.