

## Breast Cancer Surgery: The Surgeon's Perspective on Mastectomy and Reconstruction

Omar Le\*

Orthopedic Surgery, Georgetown University, USA

### Abstract

Breast cancer surgery remains a cornerstone in the multidisciplinary management of breast cancer, with mastectomy and breast reconstruction playing crucial roles in treatment and patient recovery. Surgeons must consider multiple factors, including tumor characteristics, patient preferences, oncologic safety, and aesthetic outcomes, when determining the most appropriate surgical approach. Mastectomy, whether total, skin-sparing, or nipple-sparing, aims to achieve complete tumor removal while minimizing psychological and physical impact. Advances in breast reconstruction, including implant-based and autologous tissue reconstruction, offer improved cosmetic and functional outcomes, enhancing patient quality of life. However, challenges such as surgical complications, longer recovery times, and patient eligibility criteria remain. This paper explores the surgeon's perspective on mastectomy and reconstruction, highlighting key considerations, challenges, and evolving techniques to optimize breast cancer surgical care.

**Keywords:** Breast cancer surgery; Mastectomy; Breast reconstruction; Oncologic safety; Implant-based reconstruction

### Introduction

Breast cancer surgery is a critical component of breast cancer management, with mastectomy and reconstruction playing central roles in both oncologic control and post-treatment recovery. The choice of surgical intervention depends on tumor size, location, patient preference, genetic risk factors, and overall treatment goals [1]. While breast-conserving surgery (lumpectomy) is an option for some patients, mastectomy remains necessary in cases of multifocal disease, large tumors relative to breast size, genetic predisposition (e.g., BRCA mutations), or patient choice. Mastectomy techniques have evolved significantly, offering patients options such as total (simple) mastectomy, skin-sparing mastectomy, and nipple-sparing mastectomy—each balancing oncologic safety with cosmetic outcomes. Concurrently, breast reconstruction has advanced, with implant-based and autologous tissue reconstruction providing patients with options for restoring breast contour and symmetry after mastectomy. These procedures not only improve physical appearance but also help mitigate the psychological impact of breast cancer treatment [2].

From a surgeon's perspective, the decision-making process involves oncologic safety, surgical feasibility, potential complications, and patient-centered considerations. Factors such as radiation therapy, comorbidities, and patient expectations influence the choice of reconstruction. Despite advancements in surgical techniques, challenges remain, including surgical risks, prolonged recovery, and disparities in access to reconstruction options. This paper explores the surgeon's perspective on mastectomy and reconstruction, examining the latest techniques, benefits, limitations, and future directions in breast cancer surgery to optimize patient outcomes [3].

### Discussion

Breast cancer surgery encompasses a range of approaches, including mastectomy and reconstruction, each presenting unique considerations from a surgeon's perspective. The choice of procedure depends on factors such as tumor characteristics, patient preference, genetic risk, and overall treatment strategy. This section explores the key aspects of mastectomy and reconstruction, including indications, surgical techniques, challenges, and evolving trends [4].

### Mastectomy: Indications and Surgical Techniques

Mastectomy is recommended in cases where breast-conserving surgery (lumpectomy) is not feasible or preferred by the patient. The primary types of mastectomy include:

**Total (Simple) Mastectomy:** Involves the removal of the entire breast, including the nipple-areolar complex, but preserves axillary lymph nodes unless a sentinel node biopsy or axillary dissection is required.

**Skin-Sparing Mastectomy (SSM):** Preserves most of the breast skin to improve reconstruction outcomes. It is commonly used in patients undergoing immediate reconstruction.

**Nipple-Sparing Mastectomy (NSM):** Preserves the nipple-areolar complex (NAC) and is considered in select cases where tumor location and oncologic safety allow.

**Modified Radical Mastectomy (MRM):** Includes removal of the entire breast along with axillary lymph node dissection, commonly performed in cases with confirmed nodal involvement.

Each approach balances oncologic safety with aesthetic and psychological considerations, requiring careful preoperative planning and patient counseling [5].

### Breast Reconstruction: Techniques and Considerations

Breast reconstruction aims to restore breast contour following mastectomy, offering physical and psychological benefits. The two primary reconstruction approaches are:

**\*Corresponding author:** Omar Le, Orthopedic Surgery, Georgetown University, USA, E- mail: omarle@gmail.com

**Received:** 01-Jan-2025, Manuscript No: joo-25-162457, **Editor Assigned:** 03-Jan-2025, Pre QC No: joo-25-162457 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-Jan-2025, QC No: joo-25-162457, **Revised:** 24-Jan-2025, Manuscript No: joo-25-162457 (R), **Published:** 31-Jan-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2472-016X.1000302

**Citation:** Omar L (2025) Breast Cancer Surgery: The Surgeon's Perspective on Mastectomy and Reconstruction. J Orthop Oncol 11: 302.

**Copyright:** © 2025 Omar L. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Implant-Based Reconstruction

Involves the placement of silicone or saline implants to recreate breast volume.

Can be performed in one stage (direct-to-implant) or two stages (tissue expander followed by implant placement).

Advantages: Shorter operative time, faster recovery, and predictable outcomes.

Challenges: Higher risk of capsular contracture, implant rupture, and complications in patients requiring post-mastectomy radiation therapy [6].

## Autologous (Flap) Reconstruction

Utilizes the patient's own tissue (e.g., from the abdomen, thigh, or back) to reconstruct the breast.

### Common techniques include:

Deep Inferior Epigastric Perforator (DIEP) Flap – Uses abdominal skin and fat while preserving muscle function.

Transverse Rectus Abdominis Myocutaneous (TRAM) Flap – Utilizes abdominal tissue with or without muscle.

Latissimus Dorsi (LD) Flap – Uses muscle and skin from the back, often combined with an implant.

Advantages: More natural breast contour, no risk of implant-related complications [7].

Challenges: Longer operative time, donor site morbidity, and extended recovery.

### Challenges and Considerations in Surgical Decision-Making

**Oncologic Safety:** While reconstruction enhances quality of life, oncologic safety remains the priority. Surgeons must assess tumor characteristics, lymph node involvement, and the need for radiation therapy before recommending reconstruction [8].

**Impact of Radiation Therapy:** Patients requiring post-mastectomy radiation may experience higher complication rates with implant-based reconstruction, making autologous reconstruction a preferable option in some cases.

**Surgical Risks and Complications:** Mastectomy and reconstruction are major surgeries with potential risks such as infection, flap loss, implant failure, seroma, and delayed healing.

**Patient Expectations and Psychological Well-Being:** Surgeons play a key role in managing patient expectations regarding aesthetic outcomes, symmetry, and sensation loss. Psychological support is crucial for patient satisfaction and emotional recovery.

**Access and Disparities in Care:** Not all patients have equal access to reconstructive options due to financial constraints, lack of specialized surgical expertise, and healthcare disparities. Expanding access to breast reconstruction remains an important goal [9].

## Future Directions in Breast Cancer Surgery

Advancements in surgical techniques and technology continue

to improve outcomes for patients undergoing mastectomy and reconstruction. Emerging trends include:

**3D Imaging and Surgical Planning:** Helps surgeons visualize outcomes and customize reconstruction based on patient anatomy.

**Nerve-Sparing Techniques:** Efforts to preserve breast sensation after mastectomy are gaining attention, improving quality of life.

**Biologic and Synthetic Mesh:** Used in implant-based reconstruction to enhance support and reduce complications.

**Fat Grafting:** A growing technique for refining breast contour and addressing volume deficiencies.

**Regenerative Medicine Approaches:** Including tissue engineering and stem cell therapies, which hold promise for improving reconstruction outcomes [10].

## Conclusion

From a surgeon's perspective, breast cancer surgery requires a delicate balance between oncologic safety, aesthetic outcomes, and patient well-being. Mastectomy and reconstruction options have expanded significantly, offering patients more choices tailored to their medical and personal needs. While challenges such as surgical risks, radiation impact, and healthcare disparities persist, ongoing advancements in surgical techniques and patient-centered care continue to improve outcomes. Collaborative decision-making between surgeons, oncologists, and patients remains essential to achieving optimal breast cancer treatment and reconstruction success.

## References

1. Wilkinson TJ, Sainsbury R (1998) The association between mortality, morbidity and age in New Zealand's oldest old. *Int J Aging Hum Dev* 46: 333-343.
2. Guersei P, Troiano L, Minicuci N, Bonafé M, Pini G, et al. (2003) The MALVA (MAntova LongeVA) study: an investigation on people 98 years of age and over in a province of Northern Italy. *Exp Gerontol* 38: 1189-1197.
3. Silver MH, Newell K, Brady C, Hedley-White ET, Perls TT, et al. (2002) Distinguishing between neurodegenerative disease and disease-free aging: correlating neuropsychological evaluations and neuropathological studies in centenarians. *Psychosom Med* 64: 493-501.
4. von Heideken Wägert P, Rönmark B, Rosendahl E, Lundin-Olsson L, M C Gustavsson J, et al. (2005) Morale in the oldest old: the Umeå 85+ study. *Age Ageing* 34: 249-255.
5. Andersen HR, Jeune B, Nybo H, Nielsen JB, Andersen-Ranberg K, et al. (1998) Low activity of superoxide dismutase and high activity of glutathione reductase in erythrocytes from centenarians. *Age Ageing* 27: 643-648.
6. Palmer BW, Heaton SC, Jeste DV (1999) Older patients with schizophrenia: challenges in the coming decades. *Psychiatric Services* 50: 1178-1183.
7. Ankri J, Poupard M (2003) Prevalence and incidence of dementia among the very old. Review of the literature. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique* 51: 349-360.
8. Miles TP, Bernard MA (1992) Morbidity, disability, and health status of black American elderly: a new look at the oldest-old. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 40: 1047-1054.
9. Nybo H, Petersen HC, Gaist D, Jeune B, Andersen K, et al. (2003) Predictors of mortality in 2,249 nonagenarians—the Danish 1905-Cohort Survey. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 51: 1365-1373.
10. Stek ML, Gussekloo J, Beekman ATF, Van Tilburg W, Westendorp RGJ, et al. (2004) Prevalence, correlates and recognition of depression in the oldest old: the Leiden 85-plus study. *J Affect Disord* 78: 193-200.