

# Cognitive Decline and Mental Health in Non-Dominant Hand Users

Jung Hye Rim\*

Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, England, United Kingdom

## Abstract

The relationship between handedness and cognitive function has long been a subject of interest in neuroscience and psychology. While the majority of individuals exhibit a preference for using one hand over the other, typically referred to as the dominant hand, a smaller proportion of the population uses their non-dominant hand with regularity for various tasks. Despite the substantial research on hand dominance, the cognitive and mental health implications for individuals who use their non-dominant hand more frequently remain largely unexplored. This article examines the potential cognitive and mental health effects of non-dominant hand use, focusing on the neuropsychological consequences of using the non-dominant hand in daily activities and their possible link to cognitive decline and mental health outcomes. It reviews existing studies, cognitive theories, and neurological perspectives that investigate the role of non-dominant hand use in altering brain function, particularly in relation to cognitive processing, mental health, and neurodegenerative conditions. The discussion includes both the potential benefits and challenges associated with the frequent use of the non-dominant hand, the implications for individuals with mixed-handedness, and how this may contribute to cognitive resilience or decline. The article ultimately underscores the need for further research to better understand how the dynamics of handedness relate to cognitive aging and mental well-being.

## Introduction

Handedness, the preference for using one hand over the other, is a characteristic that varies across individuals. The vast majority of people are right-handed, while approximately 10-15% of the population is left-handed, with a smaller subset of individuals classified as mixed-handed or ambidextrous. Over the years, handedness has been linked to various aspects of cognitive functioning, with particular focus on the dominance of one hemisphere of the brain in controlling motor functions. Most research in this area has centered on the advantages of right-handedness, which is associated with left-hemisphere brain dominance for language processing and other cognitive functions. However, less attention has been given to the cognitive implications of using the non-dominant hand and the potential mental health outcomes that arise from consistent use of the non-dominant hand, either as a choice or due to injury, disability, or developmental factors [1]. There is some evidence suggesting that individuals who use their non-dominant hand more frequently may experience changes in cognitive processing, neuroplasticity, and even mental health outcomes. For example, some studies have suggested that non-dominant hand use can promote cognitive flexibility and enhance brain connectivity, while others have raised concerns about the potential for increased cognitive load and associated mental health challenges. The effects of non-dominant hand use on cognition and mental health, particularly over the long term, are complex and multifaceted. This article seeks to explore the potential cognitive decline and mental health implications for individuals who use their non-dominant hand more frequently, as well as the potential benefits of such hand usage on neuroplasticity and brain function [2].

## Cognitive Impact of Non-Dominant Hand Use

The dominant hand typically controls the more finely tuned motor skills and is associated with more efficient brain activity, as the brain's left hemisphere is generally more involved in fine motor control for right-handed individuals. In contrast, the non-dominant hand, which controls less efficient motor skills, is governed by the opposite hemisphere of the brain. This difference in motor control is linked to cognitive processing, as hemispheric dominance plays a role in various cognitive functions such as language, memory, and spatial reasoning. There is a growing body of evidence suggesting that frequent use of the non-dominant hand can lead to changes in brain activity and structure.

Neuroplasticity, the brain's ability to reorganize itself by forming new neural connections, plays a key role in this process. Research has shown that individuals who regularly engage their non-dominant hand may experience enhanced brain connectivity, particularly between the two hemispheres. The use of the non-dominant hand could stimulate areas of the brain typically less active, leading to improved coordination between the left and right hemispheres. This increased bilateral brain activation may foster cognitive benefits, such as improved spatial awareness, multitasking, and cognitive flexibility [3]. However, it is important to note that the cognitive benefits of non-dominant hand use may not be universal and can vary depending on the individual's neurodevelopmental history, age, and other factors. In individuals who have developed mixed-handedness or ambidextrous tendencies, the cognitive effects of non-dominant hand use may differ significantly from those in strictly right- or left-handed individuals. Furthermore, the extent to which the non-dominant hand is used and the age at which such usage begins can influence the cognitive outcomes. While some studies have suggested that training the non-dominant hand can enhance cognitive flexibility and motor performance, prolonged reliance on the non-dominant hand could also lead to increased cognitive load and potential inefficiencies in motor processing, particularly in tasks that require fine motor coordination [4].

## Non-Dominant Hand Use and Mental Health Outcomes

The relationship between non-dominant hand use and mental health is a nuanced area of research. While the brain's capacity for neuroplasticity suggests that engaging the non-dominant hand may

**\*Corresponding author:** Jung Hye Rim, Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, England, United Kingdom, E-Mail Id: rim\_jun31@hotmail.com

**Received:** 02-Dec-2024, Manuscript No: ppo-25-157317, **Editor assigned:** 05-Dec-2024, Pre QC No: ppo-25-157317 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Dec-2024, QC No: ppo-25-157317, **Revised:** 23-Dec-2024, Manuscript No: ppo-25-157317 (R) **Published:** 31-Dec-2024, DOI: 10.4172/ppo.1000238

**Citation:** Rim JH (2024) Cognitive Decline and Mental Health in Non-Dominant Hand Users. Psychol Psychiatry 8: 238.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Rim JH. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

offer cognitive benefits, there are potential mental health challenges associated with excessive reliance on the non-dominant hand, particularly if it is forced or unnatural [5]. One of the primary concerns is the potential for frustration, stress, and anxiety related to the difficulty of performing everyday tasks with the non-dominant hand. In individuals who are not naturally ambidextrous, using the non-dominant hand for tasks that typically require precision can lead to increased cognitive strain. This strain may result in frustration, which could, over time, contribute to mental health issues such as anxiety or depression. The perceived inefficiency of the non-dominant hand can lead to a reduced sense of control, particularly if the individual feels that their cognitive and motor functions are compromised, contributing to negative emotions such as helplessness and low self-esteem [6]. For individuals recovering from injury or stroke, or for those with conditions such as cerebral palsy, the forced use of the non-dominant hand can be both physically and psychologically challenging. Rehabilitation exercises that require individuals to rely on their non-dominant hand can contribute to feelings of frustration and decreased motivation, particularly if progress is slow or outcomes are not immediately visible. Over time, this persistent difficulty in performing tasks could exacerbate feelings of hopelessness and contribute to depressive symptoms. In contrast, for some individuals, regular use of the non-dominant hand may foster resilience and promote a sense of accomplishment. The challenge of mastering tasks with the non-dominant hand can boost self-esteem, particularly when individuals perceive progress and improvement. This increased sense of agency and achievement may have positive psychological effects, reducing anxiety and promoting a sense of competence [7].

### Neurodegenerative Disorders and Handedness

Research has also explored the relationship between handedness and neurodegenerative conditions such as Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease. Some studies suggest that left-handed individuals or those with mixed-handedness may be at a slightly increased risk for neurodegenerative conditions, although the findings are not entirely consistent. The idea is that the different neural wiring in the brain of non-right-handed individuals may make them more susceptible to neurological decline in later life, as the dominant brain hemisphere might not always compensate for damage in the opposite hemisphere [8]. In terms of cognitive decline, it is important to note that non-dominant hand use is not necessarily a cause of neurodegenerative disorders, but rather a factor that may influence brain function as people age. The more frequent engagement of the non-dominant hand could promote brain health in certain individuals by stimulating neuroplasticity and encouraging adaptive strategies. On the other hand, if the brain's compensatory mechanisms are not as effective in non-dominant hand users, it may have implications for cognitive decline, particularly in aging populations.

### Potential Benefits of Non-Dominant Hand Training

Despite some of the challenges associated with the use of the non-dominant hand, there is also evidence suggesting that training the non-dominant hand can have long-term benefits for both cognitive function and mental health. Cognitive training programs that involve non-dominant hand exercises can improve brain connectivity, enhance attention and spatial awareness, and increase cognitive flexibility. For

individuals with neurological conditions, rehabilitation programs that emphasize non-dominant hand use have been shown to promote motor recovery and improve quality of life [9]. Furthermore, research suggests that increasing the frequency of non-dominant hand use may have positive effects on mood regulation, as engaging in new activities or overcoming challenges can foster a sense of mastery and satisfaction [10].

### Conclusion

The relationship between non-dominant hand use, cognitive function, and mental health is multifaceted and complex. On one hand, the use of the non-dominant hand has the potential to enhance cognitive flexibility and promote neuroplasticity, with long-term benefits for cognitive health. On the other hand, the challenges of using the non-dominant hand, particularly when it is forced or unnatural, can contribute to frustration, stress, and mental health difficulties, especially if the individual perceives a loss of control over their motor abilities. The effects of non-dominant hand use on cognitive decline and mental health are likely influenced by a variety of factors, including age, handedness type, and the individual's neurodevelopmental history. Further research is needed to clarify the long-term psychiatric and cognitive consequences of non-dominant hand usage, as well as to determine the best approaches for leveraging non-dominant hand training in therapeutic and rehabilitation contexts. Ultimately, the balance between cognitive challenge and mental health well-being must be carefully considered to maximize the benefits of non-dominant hand engagement.

### References

1. Kaviani H, Mousavi A (2008) Psychometric properties of the Persian version of Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI). *Tehran University Medical Journal* 65: 136-140.
2. Nikyar H, Reisi Z, Farokhi H (2013) Efficacy of cognitive-behavioral group therapy for depression in patients with brain tumors and increased hope. *Jorjani Biomedicine Journal* 1: 56-63.
3. Sanders MR, Kirby JN, Tellegen CL, Day JJ (2014) The Triple P-Positive Parenting Program: A systematic review and meta-analysis of a multi-level system of parenting support. *Clinical psychology review* 34: 337-357.
4. Bgels S, Restifo K (2014) *Mindful parenting: A guide for mental health practitioners*. New York, NY, US: Springer Science+ Business Media.
5. Simons JS, Gaher RM (2005) The Distress Tolerance Scale: Development and validation of a self-report measure. *Motivation and emotion* 29: 83-102.
6. Hawkins KA, Macatee RJ, Guthrie W, Cogle JR (2013) Concurrent and prospective relations between distress tolerance, life stressors, and anger. *Cognitive therapy and research* 37: 434-445.
7. Azizi A, Mirzaee A, Shams J (2010) The relationship between emotional disturbance tolerance and students' dependence on smoking. *Journal of Wise* 3: 11-18.
8. Beck AT, Steer RA (1990) *Manual for the Beck anxiety inventory*. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation.
9. Olsson NC, Juth P, Ragnarsson EH, Lundgren T, Jansson-Fröjmark M et al. (2021) Treatment satisfaction with cognitive-behavioral therapy among children and adolescents with anxiety and depression: A systematic review and meta-synthesis. *Journal of behavioral and cognitive therapy* 31: 147-191.
10. Gouveia MJ, Carona C, Canavarro M, Moreira H (2016) Self-compassion and dispositional mindfulness are associated with parenting styles and parenting stress: The mediating role of mindful parenting. *Mindfulness* 7: 700-712.