

# Comparison of Glucoses and HbA1C Values Between Finger-Piercing and Sensor Collected at 15-Minute and 5-Minute Intervals Using GH-Method: Math-Physical Medicine

Gerald C. Hsu\*

EclaireMD Foundation, CA, USA

## Abstract

This article discusses the fundamental characteristics of measured glucoses and predicted HbA1C values among three sets of collected data, measured finger-piercing and Continuous Glucose Monitoring (CGM) sensor device collected glucoses at 15-minute (15-min) and 5-minute (5-min) intervals.

The average glucose is listed below:

Finger glucose: 109 mg/dL (100%)

Sensor at 15-min: 120 mg/dL (109%)

Sensor at 5-min: 117 mg/dL (107%)

Using candlestick chart, the comparison of average glucoses during this period between two sensor glucose mg/dL data (15-min/5-min) are as follows:

Open glucose: 108/111

Close glucose: 115/115

Max glucose: 170/175

Min glucose: 85/83

Average glucose: 120/117

Additional analysis of Time Above Range (TAR)  $\geq 140$  mg/dL for hyperglycemia, Time within the Range (TIR) from 70-140 mg/dL for normal, Time Below Range (TBR)  $\leq 70$  mg/dL for hypoglycemia based on two sensor candlesticks revealing the following information in a specific format of TAR%/TIR%/TBR%.

15-min: 18.3%, 80.5%, 1.2%

5-min: 17.0%, 81.9%, 1.1%

By evaluating the results of the TIR analysis, the 5-min glucoses appear to be marginally healthier (1.4%) than the 15-min glucoses.

During the COVID-19 quarantine period from 2/19/2020 to 7/10/2020, the author lived a rather unique lifestyle which is extremely calm with regular routines, such as eating home-cooked meals and exercising on a regular basis. As a result, his HbA1C has decreased from 6.6% to 6.3% with an average A1C of 6.4% without taking any diabetes medications. However, these three different measurement methods still provide three different sets of glucoses which are within a 10% margin of differences, while the HbA1C values are particularly close to each other between the finger-piercing and 15-min.

**Keywords:** Diabetes; Glucose; HbA1C; Hypoglycemia

## Introduction

This article discusses the fundamental characteristics of measured glucoses and predicted HbA1C values among three sets of collected data, measured finger-piercing (finger) and CGM sensor device collected glucoses at 15-minute (15-min) and 5-minute (5-min) intervals.

## Methodology

The author has been a severe Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) patient for over 25 years. Starting on 1/1/2012, he used the finger-piercing method to measure his glucoses four times daily i.e. once in the early morning and three times 2-hours after each meal. Beginning on 5/5/2018, he applied a CGM device (Libre sensor) on his upper arm and collected his glucoses ~80 times per day. Furthermore, starting on 2/19/2020, he attached a bluetooth device on the CGM sensor to collect ~240 glucoses per day to collect data at 5-min intervals. The purpose of this device was to investigate the damages performed on his internal organs resulting

from the higher frequency with lower amplitude glucose components. Therefore, from 7/10/2020, he has collected 12,448 finger data within 3,112 days, 63,840 15-min data within 798 days, and 34,320 5-min data within 143 days. In summary, he has a total of 110,608 glucose data

**\*Corresponding author:** Gerald C. Hsu, Medical Research Scientist, 7 Oak Haven Way Woodside, EclaireMD Foundation, CA 94062, USA, Tel: 5103315000; E-mail: g.hsu@eclairemd.com

**Received:** November 26, 2020; **Accepted:** December 10, 2020; **Published:** December 17, 2020

**Citation:** Hsu GC (2020) Comparison of Glucoses and HbA1C Values Between Finger-Piercing and Sensor Collected at 15-Minute and 5-Minute Intervals Using GH-Method: Math-Physical Medicine. J Clin Diabetes 5: 110.

**Copyright:** © 2020 Hsu GC. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

from the three measurement groups i.e. finger, 15-min, and 5-min.

He developed a mathematical model of the predicted HbA1C value known as “Daily A1C N-2” which uses his collected glucose data as input and the lab-tested HbA1C as its A1C data calibration. His predicted HbA1C formula is defined by using glucose from the previous four months: The previous fourth month counts for 10%, the third previous month counts for 15%, the second previous month counts for 25%, and first month counts for 50%.


The author has tried many different arithmetic models and found that this Daily A1C N-2 model's results would fit the best with the lab-tested A1C values. He has no hidden biomedical reasoning for using this model besides using the most appropriate mathematical formula.

A Japanese merchant, who traded in the rice market in Osaka, Japan, started the candlestick charting around 1850. An American, Steve Nison brought the “candlestick model” to the Western world in 1991. These techniques are largely used in today's stock market to predict the price direction or action. On 4/17/2018, the author had an idea to study glucose behavior by using the candlestick chart (aka “K-Line”) and subsequently developed a customized software to analyze his big glucose data [1,2]. The prediction of PPG, however, is a more complicated task since it involves about 15 influential factors that produce the PPG value [3]. In his K-Line model, he used the following five key values, i.e. open (starting), close (ending), maximum, minimum, and average to describe the glucose fluctuations over the course of a day. Obviously, this candlestick model cannot be applied on finger glucoses data due to its small data set of having only 4 data per day.

## Results and Discussion

Initially, the author selects the period of 142 days, from 2/19/2020 through 7/10/2020, as his investigation period. This particular period contains all of his three glucose groups, finger, 15-min, and 5-min. This time period is sufficient for displaying and analyzing glucose behaviors. However, for analyzing his predicted HbA1C, in order to obtain a complete and reliable calculated results, he must ignore the first four months of the HbA1C due to his original design of the predicted HbA1C equation based on the four previous months of glucose data. Therefore, by 10/18/2020, he would have sufficient data with high accuracy of his predicted HbA1C based on the 5-min glucose data.

Both the daily glucose data curve and 30-days moving average glucose data curve for all three groups are shown in Figure 1. The average glucose are listed:



**Figure 1:** Daily glucoses of three measurement methods.


Finger: 109 mg/dL (100%)

15-min: 120 mg/dL (109%)

5-min: 117 mg/dL (107%)

Further detailed examination of these three waveforms (i.e. curves) reveals two additional facts. First, the curve shapes or waveforms of the 5-min and 15-min are remarkably similar except that the 5-min wave contains more higher-frequency glucose components which would be useful for the author's further research work. Second, during the segment from March to May, about 60% of the total timespan of this investigation period, the sensor glucoses (both 5-min and 15-min) are higher than finger glucoses. This observed phenomenon is clearly illustrated in the bottom figure of the 30-days moving average. The reasons for this could be caused by different time instants of measuring finger glucose or the sensor device's reliability issues [4]. It should be noted that the first 30-days segment of the moving average curve should be disregarded due to the 5-min data collection starting on 2/19/2020.

The K-Line diagram of both 15-min and 5-min are depicted in Figure 2. The finger glucoses have only 4 data per day; therefore, it has no relevance to the K-Line on finger data. Here is the definition of the five characteristic values for a candlestick:



**Figure 2:** Candlestick glucoses of three measurement methods.

Open glucose: Starting at 7:00

Close glucose: Ending at 23:30

Max glucose: Highest value

Min glucose: Lowest value

Average glucose: Daily average

The comparison of average glucoses during this period between two sensor glucose mg/dL data (15-min/5-min) are as follows:

Open glucose: 108/111

Close glucose: 115/115

Max glucose: 170/175

Min glucose: 85/83

Average glucose: 120/117

The max glucose of the 5-min is higher than the 15-min, while the min glucose of the 5-min is lower than the 15-min due to the 5-min measurement capturing more data, including extremities. The average of the 15-min is higher than 5-min due to the 5-min measurement catching more lower glucoses than the 15-min (see TIR analysis below).


A further deeper analysis of  $TAR \geq 140$  mg/dL for hyperglycemia, TIR from 70-140 mg/dL for normal, and  $TBR \leq 70$  mg/dL for hypoglycemia based on the two sensor candlesticks revealed the following information in a specific format of TAR%/TIR%/TBR%.

15-min: 18.3%, 80.5%, 1.2%

5-min: 17.0%, 81.9%, 1.1%

By evaluating the results of the TIR analysis, the 5-min glucoses appear to be marginally healthier (1.4%) than the 15-min glucoses.

Figure 3 reflects the author's mathematically predicted HbA1C results for these three glucoses. Due to his predicted HbA1C requirement of the four previous months glucose data for his calculation and this entire investigation period is less than 5 months (only 142 days), he will not discuss the HbA1C of the 5-min even though its curve is shown in this figure. His finger A1C is 6.43% and his 15-min A1C is 6.39%. If he adopted the lab-tested first digit display format, then both of his finger and 15-min HbA1C are 6.4%. The author has conducted his research on accuracy of his predicted HbA1C. Over 8 sub-periods with 5-months each using his weight, diet, and exercise data to achieve the 100% prediction accuracy [5]. Therefore, during this investigation period along with the COVID-19 quarantine time frame from 2/19/2020 to 7/10/2020, he was unable to go to a hospital or a laboratory to conduct the HbA1C test. He decided to use this mathematically predicted HbA1C of 6.4% as his base for the research work.



In Figure 3, finger A1C decreased from 6.6% in mid-February to 6.5% by the end of April and was 6.3% in early June. The Sensor 15-min A1C reached 6.7% by the end of April and then dropped to 5.9% in early June; however, the average HbA1C for both finger and sensor 15-min are 6.4%.

Figure 4 displays the relationship between the 30-days moving average glucose and predicted HbA1C. The top diagram is the 30-days moving average glucose of finger versus 15-min which has a correlation coefficient of 82%. The second diagram is the predicted HbA1C of finger versus 15-min which has a correlation coefficient of 86%. Both correlation coefficients are above 80% which mean these two sets of data (finger versus sensor 15-min) have extremely similar wave patterns. The third and bottom diagrams show specific views of combining the 30-days moving average glucose and A1C curves for both finger and sensor 15-min together (i.e., disregard the scale of y-axis). In this way, it is easier to see the relative shapes of the two curves. The data amount collected daily are different with 4 data per day for finger and 80 data per day for Sensor 15-min. Fundamentally, both glucose waveforms are remarkably comparable, except for each measurement method would yield a different "absolute" value, specifically at the peak glucose value and the lowest glucose value. The prediction of PPG, however, is a much more complicated task since it involves about 15 influential factors that create PPG value [6].




Figure 4: Glucose and HbA1C for real-scaled and combined.

## Conclusion

During this COVID-19 quarantine period from 2/19/2020 to

7/10/2020, the author lived a rather unique lifestyle which is extremely calm with regular routines, such as eating home-cooked meals and exercising on a regular basis. As a result, his HbA1C has decreased from 6.6% to 6.3% with an average A1C of 6.4% without taking any diabetes medications. However, these three different measurement methods still provide three different sets of glucoses which are within a 10% margin of differences, while HbA1C values are particularly close to each other between finger and 15 min.

## References

1. Hsu GC (2020) Using candlestick charting techniques to investigate glucose behaviors via GH-method: Math-physical medicine. The New American Journal of Medicine 4: 1-4.
2. Hsu GC (2020) Comparison study of PPG characteristics from candlestick model using GH-method: Math-physical medicine. J Nutr Diet Pract 4: 261.
3. Hsu GC (2018) Using math-physical medicine to control T2D via metabolism monitoring and glucose predictions. Jr of Endo and Dibts 2018: 1-6.
4. Hsu GC (2020) A case study of the impact on glucose, particularly postprandial plasma glucose based on the 14-day sensor device reliability using GH-method: Math-physical medicine. J B Bio Engine 1: 1-4.
5. Hsu GC (2020) Investigation of HbA1C variances and predictions over eight sub-periods using GH-method: Math-physical medicine. 279.
6. Hsu GC (2018) Using math-physical medicine and artificial intelligence technology to manage lifestyle and control metabolic conditions of T2D. Diabetes Complications 2: 1-7.