Research Article   **OMICS International**

Correlation between Obesity and Coronary Heart Disease Risk among Saudi Subjects at Madinah Region

Sherif Y Saad[1,2]* and Mohamed MM Abdel Latif[1,3]

[1]Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Hospital Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Taibah University, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

[2]Cancer Biology Department, National Cancer Institute, Cairo University, Cairo, Egypt.

[3]Clinical Pharmacy Department, Faculty of Pharmacy, Assuit University, Assuit, Egypt.

*Corresponding author: Sherif Y Saad, Professor, Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Hospital Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Taibah University, Madinah, Saudi Arabia, Tel: +966-501169151; E-mail: sherifibrahem@yahoo.co.uk

Abstract

The study aimed to characterize the major cardiovascular risk factors using Framingham Risk Score (FRS) among Saudis. A cross-sectional study was conducted to investigate the expected 10 years FRS for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) among Saudi subjects. In the present study there was a significant association between the 10 years risk of developing CHD and BMI. The results revealed an increase in BMI contributing to significant increase in the 10 years risk of CHD among males. The overall distribution of FRS among males regardless the BMI category as a percentage of the total male subjects revealed that males were at low, medium and high CHD risk of 71.4%, 14.27% and 14.3%, respectively. However, female subjects showed 91.5%, 4.8% and 3.6% at low, medium and high FRS, respectively. Moreover, significant increases in the Odd ratio amounting to 4.58 and 5.24 among intermediate and high risk males in comparison with female ones, respectively. BMI strongly correlates with the expected 10 years FRS for CVD. Also there is a gender specific susceptablity for CVD risk among Saudi males compared to female ones. Socioeconomic, behavioral, awareness about healthy choices and genetic characteristics of obesity should be taken in consideration since extrapolating other population's studies to Saudi one might be misleading.

Keywords: Obesity; Cardiovascular diseases; Framingham; Body mass index

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases represent a leading cause of death worldwide. It's well recognized that sedentarism [1], overweight and obesity increase the risk of CVD, DM and HTN [2,3]. Saudi Arabia has a high prevalence of obesity. Sociocultural factors play a great role in food consumption pattern. Social construct, sedentary life style, caloric-rich food are mail contributory factors for obesity among Saudies. In the Gulf area, women are often over protected due to religious or cultural barriers and can't publicly participate in physical activity [4,5]. Sedentarism, smoking, energy-dense food as well as modifiable risk factors (dyslipidemia, diabetes mellitus (DM), arterial hypertension (HTN) [6,7] and obesity are strongly associated with cardiovascular diseases (CVD) risk.

Saudi Arabia, considered a rapidly developing country, faces progressive urbanization and the fast adoption of a western lifestyle, factors might contribute to the raising burden of cardiovascular disease [8]. Hetari et al. [9] reported that obese adults without clinical CVD may already have a clustering of traditional CVD risk factors especially modifiable ones. Primary prevention of CVS is very important to reduce both morbidity and mortality of CVD [10]. In this respect, body weight control reduces the risk of atherosclerosis, HTN, DM and dyslipidemia. Positive correlation between body mass index (BMI) and arterial HTN was reported. Moreover, excessive BMI has been linked to higher levels of arterial HTN, blood sugar, triglycerides, and law density lipoprotein (LDL-C) levels and inversely related to high density lipoprotein (HDL-C) concentration level [11-13].

One of the most widely used 10-years risk assessment methods for the prediction of coronary heart disease is the Framingham risk score (FRS). High frequency of sedentarism and overweight/obesity might increase the CHD risk prevalence. The aim of the study was to characterize the major cardiovascular risk factors using FRS among Saudi outpatients attending King Fahd hospital at Madina, Saudi Arabia.

Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted in Madinah, Saudi Arabia. Adult Saudi outpatients attending King Fahd hospital at Madinah, aged 20-70 years were recruited randomly and spontaneously agreed to take part of the study. The study was performed on 167 individuals (83 female and 84 male). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the King Fahd Hospital, Madinah, Saudi Arabia for collecting the sample. All participants signed the free and clarified consent term according to Helsinki declaration. A questionnaire was checked prior to the participant leaving the study centre. Demographic data, anthropometric assessment, disease history and FRS parameters were determined. Inclusion criteria include self-identity as Saudis. Pregnant and/or breastfeeding, chronic diseases (cancer, heart disease, stroke and renal) subjects as well as those treated with hormonal replacement therapy, steroids and hypolipidemic agents were excluded.

The FRS sheets were used to determine the 10-year risk of CHD [14]. For each subject included in the present study, a separate sheet was used to determine the FRS. The total score was calculated by summation of the points associated with the patient's age, cholesterol, HDL, smoking status, systolic blood pressure and diabetes mellitus either treated or untreated. The total points were computed by

summing all the points for the risk factor profile. Then 10-year CHD risk was determined according to the score points. The required parameters were included and the correlation of risk of developing CHD and obesity has been analyzed and calculated [14].

Framingham scoring method and assumptions

Each subject's 10-year CHD risk was estimated on the basis of the assumptions underlying the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) [14]. Individuals with 10% or lower 10-years CHD risk were classified as being at "low risk"; those with a 10 to 20% risk were considered as being at "intermediate risk"; and others with 20% or higher were considered to be at "high risk".

Subjects who were currently smoking or had quit less than one year previously were classified as smokers. Non-smokers were classified as those who had never smoked or who had quit more than one year previously. Height was recorded in centimeters on a calibrated height board; weight was recorded in kilo-grams by means of a standard calibrated weighing scale and body mass index (BMI) was calculated and expressed as kg/m^2. Obesity was defined as a BMI P30. Blood pressure and pulse rates were measured by automatic dynamap. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure P140 mmHg or diastolic.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) which was used for statistical analysis. We used Kolmogorov-Smirnov test of normality to check if data of BMI and FRS were normally distributed or not? Data is not normally distributed, so the non-parametric Mann-Whitney test was used to compare between males and females. Statistically significant difference is considered at a P-value of less than 0.05.

Results

Regarding the cardiovascular risk factors among male and female subjects, the results showed 31% and 23.8% smokers, 21.4% and 16.7% with diabetes mellitus (DM), 20.2% and 15.5% with hypertension (HTN) and 8.3% and 2.4% for diabetic/hypertensive ones, respectively (Table 1). Based on six risk factors: gender, age, total cholesterol and HDL levels, systolic blood pressure (BP), smoking habit and diabetes, the FRS was calculated for each subject included in the present study. The BMI distribution among the enrolled subjects revealed 14% for normal healthy weight and 43% for either overweight or obese depending on their BMI calculation (Figures 1, 2 and Table 2).

Number of Subject	Male	Female	Total
	84	83	167
smoking	31%	23.80%	
	15.50% (of the total 167)	11.90% (of the total 167)	27.40%
Diabetic	21.40%	16.70%	19%
Hypertensive	20.20%	15.50%	17.80%
Diabetic/Hypertensive	8.30%	2.40%	5.40%

Table 1: Prevalence of risk factors by percentage among Saudis by gender, current smoking, diabetes and/or hypertension.

Variability			Gender		Total		Odds ratio
			Male	Female	P-value	95% confidence intervals	
BMI group	Normal	Count	14	10	24	-	1
	(18.5-24.9)	% within Gender	16.70%	12.00%	14.40%		
	Overweight	Count	33	25	58	0.905	0.943
	(25-29.9)	% within Gender	39.30%	30.10%	34.70%		(0.36-2.471)
	Obese	Count	37	48	85	0.199	0.551
	(>30)	% within Gender	44.00%	57.80%	50.90%		(0.22-1.379)
Total		Count	84	83	167		
		% within Gender	100%	100%	100%		
Pearson Chi-Square (Sig. 2-sided equal 0.203)							

Table 2: Body mass index (BMI) distribution among subjects included in the present study.

Figure 1: Distribution of study population by Body mass index (BMI) as a percentage.


Figure 2: Distribution of study population by Body mass index (BMI).

Figure 3: Framingham's risk factor (FRS) distribution by percentage among males.

Figure 4: Framingham's risk factor (FRS) distribution by percentage among females.

Our results revealed that there was a significant increase in the cardiovascular disease risk among males showing overweight and obese amounting to 5.95% and 8.32% for intermediate risk and 4.76% and 7.14% for high risk ones, respectively. Moreover, obese males showed significant increase in the percentage of those with high FRS. In parallel, the female subjects showed the same pattern regarding increase in low FRS percent with the increase in BMI. Also, the probability of high cardiovascular disease risk increased among female obese subjects amounting to 3.6% which was significantly lower than that reported for the high risk obese male ones. Interestingly, intermediate and high FRS was significantly higher among those overweight and obese males compared with those females of the same BMI category (Figures 3 and 4).

The overall distribution of FRS among males regardless the BMI category revealed that males were at low, medium and high CHD risk of 71.4%, 14.27% and 14.3%, respectively. However, female subjects showed 91.5%, 4.8% and 3.6% at low, medium and high FRS, respectively. Male subjects showed an increase in medium and high FRS with the increase in BMI which was revealed only with obese females. Figures 3 showed that the vast majority of Saudi females were at a low risk for the 10 years risk of CHD. Contrary, Figure 3 showed that the increase in BMI significantly increase for the 10 years risk of CHD among males. Thus gender variable showed preferential risk for the 10 years risk of CHD among Saudis.

Tables 3 and 4 revealed significant higher FRS risk among males in comparison with the females as well as a significant correlation was observed between them (Table 5). Moreover, Table 5 showed significant increase in FRS in male subjects in comparison with the female ones with an Odd's ratio of 4.58 and 5,241, respectively.

Variability	Male	Female	*P-value
	(n=84)	(n=83)	
BMI	29.2 (7.6)	30 (6.0)	0.483
	19.0-45.5	23.0-40.0	
FRS	5.6 (10.8)	2.8 (4.3)	0.001
	1-30	1-30	

*By non-parametric mann-whitney test. Median (Interquartile range), range (minimum-maximum)

Table 3: Comparison between males and females groups with respect to body mass index (BMI) and Framingham's Risk Score (FRS).

Variability			Gender		Total	P-value	Odds ratio 95% confidence intervals
			Male	Female			
FRS group	Low Risk	Count	58	76	134	-	1
	(Less than 10)	% within Gender	69.00%	91.60%	80.20%		
	Intermediate	Count	14	4	18	0.006	4.586
	(10 to 20)	% within Gender	16.70%	4.80%	10.80%		1.434-14.667
	High Risk	Count	12	3	15	0.007	5.241
	(more than 20)	% within Gender	14.30%	3.60%	9.00%		1.414-19.435
Total		Count	84	83	167		
		% within Gender	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%		

Table 4: Framingham risk score (FRS) distribution among subjects included in the present study.

Correlations		FRS	BMI
FRS	Pearson Correlation	1	0.212**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.006
	N	167	167
BMI	Pearson Correlation	0.212**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.006	
	N	167	167

**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

N represents total number of subjects included.

Table 5: Correlation between FRS and BMI among subjected included in the present study.

Table 6 showed TC and HDL levels as well as TC/HDL ratio revealing considerable increase in TC and decrease in HDL with the increase in BMI. Also, remarkable increase in the TC/HDL ratio of those obese ones compared with those with normal and overweight subjects.

Moreover, remarkable significant increase in the median FRS among males compared to females over all BMI distribution categories.

Parameter	Gender	BMI ≤ 25 kg/m^2 Healthy normal weight	BMI 25-≤ 30 kg/m^2 Overweight	BMI ≥ 30 kg/m^2 Obese
*FRS	F	2.4	1.7	3.9
	M	8.23	7.36	7.9
TC	F	4.14 ± 0.686	4.55 ± 0.65	5.07 ± 0.95
	M	4.07 ± 0.764	4.54 ± 0.75	5.3 ± 0.888
HDL	F	1.076 ± 0.256	0.987 ± 0.229	0.95 ± 0.091
	M	1.036 ± 0.29	0.924 ± 0.214	0.877 ± 0.217
TC/HDL Ratio	F	4.19 ± 1.68	5.135 ± 2.01	6.11 ± 2.95
	M	4.428 ± 1.82	5.197 ± 1.63	6.39 ± 2.01
Data represents mean ± standard deviation. *Data represents the median				

Table 6: Distribution of the descriptive statistics of median Framingham's Risk Score (FRS), total cholesterol (TC) and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) among Saudi subject.

Discussion

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide, and developing countries representing the main contributors to this increase [15]. As CHD is considered a global health problem and has an association with hypertension, obesity and physical inactivity as preventable contributory risk factors [16]. Abate [10] reported that individuals need to try to reduce their obesity risk so that they may reduce the risk of a number of chronic diseases, including diabetes, hypertension and CVD.

Saudi Arabia, considered a rapidly developing country, faces progressive urbanization and the fast adoption of a western lifestyle contributing to rise CVD burden [17]. Significant proportion among younger aged population is at increased risk for the development of hard coronary heart events [3,18]. Our results revealed that there was a significant increase in the cardiovascular disease risk among males showing overweight and physically inactive obese [19].

Moreover, the present study showed that obese males showed significant increase in the percentage of those with high FRS which are in accordance with those reported by Ford et al. [20]. In parallel, the female subjects showed the same pattern regarding increase in low FRS percent with increase in BMI.

Interestingly, intermediate and high FRS was significantly higher among those overweight and obese males compared with those females of the same BMI category. This could be attributed to the clustering of either diabetes and/or hypertension among Saudi males. Our results are in accordance with those reported by Hetari et al. [9]. Obese adults without CVD may already have a clustering of traditional cardiovascular risk factors [9,21].

The prevalence of obesity among subjects of the present study represents 43% which is in accordance with that reported previously [22,23], emphasizing the importance of changing the lifestyle to reduce the CVD risk among Saudi population. Our results revealed that the vast majority of Saudi females were at a low risk for the 10 years risk of CHD. However, the increase in male's BMI significantly increased low, medium and high 10 years risk of CHD among males. Table 3 showed significant high risk of developing CVD at intermediate and.

Table 3 showed significant high risk for developing CVD at intermediate and high FRS levels (P<0.001), in addition to significant correlation with the relevant BMI (p=0.006) among Saudi male subjects in comparison with those female ones. Thus gender variable showed preferential risk for the 10 years risk of CHD among Saudis requiring validation of FRS to be suited for Saudi population. In the present study male subjects had a significantly higher CVD risk than did the female ones. These results are in accordance with those reported by Huang et al. [24]. The present study revealed a significant increase in the Odd ratio amounting to 4.58 and 5.24 among intermediate and high risk males in comparison with female ones, respectively.

It is proposed that acculturation contributes to excess weight gain due to adaptation of dietary patterns [25] and physical inactivity habits towards unhealthier choices. Acculturation might contribute to exacerbating chronic disease conditions at a greater rate among men in comparison with women. Gender disparities revealed the effect of acculturation on health which could be attributed to the lower tendency of men to use health care services [26]. These findings are in accordance with our results figures 2 and 3. Body mass index (BMI) provides a measure of obesity, and is a predictor of fatness-related health risks [27].

Using the risk stratification approach as an indicator rather than emphasizing results of each individual cardiovascular risk factor will help in improving the awareness of health workers and beneficiaries on the joint adverse effects of clustering cardiovascular risk factors on cardiovascular health, and the importance of addressing all of them as a package [28]. Poor physical activity level of adult Saudis negatively impacts their health related-quality of life [12,21]. Hussein et al. [29] addressed a significant association between CHD risk and physical activity as well as BMI. High and increasing prevalence is probably the result of interacting genetic, environmental and behavioral factors notably diet and lack of exercise. Regrettably, no information on either of them was obtained in the present study.

It seems to focus intervention on younger generations who appear to have higher risks than their parents. This could be due to survival of healthier elderly, but more likely reflects the impact of the new affluent

"Western" lifestyle experienced by the young generations whose parents grew up in less affluent. The FRS in this population is a cause of concern provided that it is validated for this population [30,31]. Tools and strategies designed to aid obese individuals to encourage weight loss or prevention of further weight gain may be more effective if better tailored to be gender oriented programs and policies. Efforts should be made to establish comprehensive national policies regarding cardiovascular risk factor screening, especially earlier screenings for diabetes and hypertension aiming to reduce the economic burden [32].

Conclusion

In conclusion, this study highlights the clustering of many traditional preventable risk factors that require lifestyle modification to jeopardize the increased risk of CHD. Holistic health promotion's programs should be considered when planning strategies to raise awareness about the preventive measures aiming to reduce the CHD risk among Saudis.

Moreover, continue to validate the FRS among Saudis since extrapolating other studies among non-Saudi populations might reveal misleading results taking in consideration the socioeconomic and behavioral and awareness about healthy choices as well as genetic characteristics of obesity. Awareness about the benefits of physical activity as a preventive measure might ameliorate clustering of traditional risk factors contributing CHD risk. The high proportion of risk factors mandates the conduct of community based surveys for early recognition and prevention of CHD and associated risk factors to reduce their impact.

Acknowledgment

References

1. Bize R, Johnson J, Plotnikoff R (2007) Physical activity level and health-related quality of life in the general adult population: a systematic review. Preventive Med 45: 401-415.

2. Lee GK, Lee LC, Liu CW, Lim SL, Shi LM, et al. (2010) Framingham risk score inadequately predicts cardiac risk in young patients presenting with a first myocardial infarction. Annals Academy Med 39: 163-167.

3. Soofi MA, Youssef MA (2015) Prediction of 10-year risk of hard coronary events among Saudi adults based on prevalence of heart disease risk factors. J Saudi Heart Assoc 27: 152-159.

4. Kanter R, Caballero B (2012) Global gender disparities in obesity: A review. Adv Nutr 3: 491-498.

5. Ali-Alzahrani H, Sehlo MG (2013) The impact of religious connectedness on health-related quality of life in patients with diabetic foot ulcers. J Relig Health 52: 840-850.

6. Saeed AA, Hamdan NA, Bahnassy AA, Abdalla AM, Abbas MA, et al. (2011) Prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension among Saudi adult population: a national survey. Int J Hypertens 17: 35-41.

7. Kavousi M, Elias-Smale S, Rutten JH, Leening MJ, Vliegenthart R, et al. (2012) Evaluation of newer risk markers for coronary heart disease risk classification: a cohort study. Ann Intern Med 156: 438-444.

8. AlHabib KF, Hersi A, AlFaleh H, Kurdi M, Arafah M, et al. (2009) The saudi project for assessment of coronary events (SPACE) registry: design and result of a phase 1 pilot study. Can J Cardiol 25: e255-8.

9. Hetari Y, Lyer A, Eldesouky SSM, Alaman ASM, Kusomani T (2014) Framingham risk score for the prediction of cardiovascular risk in Saudi population. El Med 2: 1-5

10. Abate N (2000) Obesity and cardiovascular disease. Pathogenetic role of the metabolic syndrome and therapeutic implications. J Diabetes Complicat 14: 154-174.

11. Rainwater DL, McMahan CA, Malcom GT, Scheer WD, Roheim PS, et al. (1999) Lipid and apolipoprotein predictors of atherosclerosis in youth: apolipoprotein concentrations do not materially improve prediction of arterial lesions in PDAY subjects. The PDAY Research Group. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 19: 753-761.

12. Peters SA, den Ruijter HM, Bots ML, Moons KG (2012) Improvements in risk stratification for the occurrence of cardiovascular disease by imaging subclinical atherosclerosis: a systematic review. Heart 98: 177-184.

13. Yeboah J, McClelland RL, Polonsky TS, Burke GL, Sibley CT, et al. (2012) Comparison of novel risk markers for improvement in cardiovascular risk assessment in intermediate-risk individuals. Jama 308: 788-795.

14. Pencina MJ, D'Agostino Sr RB, Larson MG, Massaro JM, Vasan RS (2009) Predicting the 30-year risk of cardiovascular disease: the framingham heart study. Circulation 119: 3078-3084.

15. D'Agostino Sr RB, Vasan RS, Pencina MJ, Wolf PA, Cobain M, et al. (2008) General cardiovascular risk profile for use in primary care: the Framingham Heart Study. Circulation 117: 743-753.

16. Reddy KS, Yusuf S (1998) Emerging epidemic of cardiovascular disease in developing countries. Circulation 97: 596-601.

17. Al-Eisa E, Al-Sobayel H (2012) Physical activity and health beliefs among saudi women. J of Nutrition and Metabolism.

18. Helfand M, Buckley DI, Freeman M, Fu R, Rogers K, et al. (2009) Emerging risk factors for coronary heart disease: a summary of systematic reviews conducted for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Ann Intern Med 151: 496-507.

19. Prasad D, Das B (2009) Physical inactivity: a cardiovascular risk factor. Ind J Med Sci 63: 33-42.

20. Ford ES, Giles WH, Mokdad AH (2004) The distribution of 10-year risk for coronary heart disease among US adults-Findings from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III. J Am Coll Cardiol 43: 1791-1796.

21. Ferket BS, Colkesen EB, Visser JJ, Spronk S, Kraaijenhagen RA, et al. (2010) Systematic review of guidelines on cardiovascular risk assessment: Which recommendations should clinicians follow for a cardiovascular health check? Arch Intern Med 170: 27-40.

22. Al-Nozha MM, Al-Hazzaa H, Arafah M, Al-Khadra A, Al-Mazrou Y, et al. (2005) Obesity in saudi arabia. Saudi Med J 26: 824-829.

23. Al-Nozha MM (2007) Prevalence of physical activity and inactivity among saudis aged 30-70 years. a population-based cross-sectional study. Saudi Medical J 28: 559-568.

24. Huang JH, Huang SL, Li RH, Wang LH, Chen YL, et al. (2014) Effects of nutrition and exercise health behaviors on predicted risk of cardiovascular disease among workers with different body mass index Levels. Int J Environ Res Public Health 11: 4664-4675.

25. Abdel-Megeid FY, Abdelkarem HM, El-Fetouh AM (2011) Unhealthy nutritional habits in university students are a risk factor for cardiovascular diseases. Saudi Med J 32: 621-627.

26. Gorman BK, Read JG, Krueger PM (2010) Gender, acculturation and health among Mexican Americans. J Health Soc Behav 51: 440-57.

27. Mooney SJ, Baecker A, Rundle AG (2013) Comparison of anthropometric and body composition measures as predictors of components of the metabolic syndrome in a clinical setting. Obes Res Clin Pract 7: e55-e66.

28. Berger JS, Jordan CO, Lloyd-Jones D, Blumenthal RS (2010) Screening for cardiovascular risk in asymptomatic patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 55: 1169-1177.

29. Hussein HA, Farhood HF, Wtwt MAA, Al-Husony AN (2014) Assessment of coronary heart disease risk factors and relation to nutritional state. J Babylon Univ/Pure and App Sci 22: 1829-1847.

30. Sheridan S, Pignone M, Mulrow C (2003) Framingham-based tools to calculate the global risk of coronary heart disease: A systematic review of tools for clinicians. J Gen Intern Med 18: 1039-1052.

31. Baynouna TM, Reval AD, Nagelkerke NJ, Jaber TM, Omar AO, et al. (2008) High prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates. An emerging health care priority. Saudi Med J 8: 1173-1178.

32. Ammouri AA (2008) Demographic differences in health promoting lifestyle of adult Jordanians. J Med J 42: 1-9.