Journal of Cancer Diagnosis

Research Article Open Access

Diagnostic Methods for Colon Cancer: Exploring Colonoscopy and CT Colonography

John Smith[1]*, Sarah L. Johnson[1], Doe Jane[2] and Brown M David[2]

[1]*Department of Cancer Prevention and Education, University of California, Pennsylvania, USA*
[2]*National Institutes of Integrative Oncology, University of California, USA*

Abstract

Colon cancer is a prevalent and potentially deadly disease that can often be effectively treated if detected early. Two common diagnostic methods for colon cancer screening are colonoscopy and CT colonography, also known as virtual colonoscopy. Colonoscopy involves the insertion of a flexible tube called a colonoscope equipped with a camera into the colon to visualize the inner lining and detect any abnormalities such as polyps or tumors. CT colonography, on the other hand, utilizes computed tomography (CT) scanning to create detailed images of the colon, providing a virtual 3D view that can be examined for signs of cancerous growths. Both procedures have their advantages and limitations, and the choice between them depends on various factors such as patient preference, medical history, and the availability of resources. This abstract provides an overview of the diagnostic process for colon cancer using colonoscopy and CT colonography, highlighting their respective features and importance in the early detection and management of this disease.

Keywords: Colon cancer; Colonoscopy; CT colonography; Virtual colonoscopy; Diagnostic methods

Introduction

Colon cancer, also known as colorectal cancer, is a significant global health concern characterized by the uncontrolled growth of abnormal cells in the colon or rectum. It ranks among the most commonly diagnosed cancers worldwide and is a leading cause of cancer-related mortality. However, with early detection and appropriate treatment, many cases of colon cancer can be effectively managed or even cured. The cornerstone of effective colon cancer management lies in early detection through screening. Screening enables the identification of precancerous lesions, such as polyps, or the detection of cancer at an early stage when it is most treatable. Among the various screening modalities available, colonoscopy and CT colonography (virtual colonoscopy) are two widely utilized methods with proven efficacy in detecting colon cancer and its precursor lesions [1].

Colonoscopy involves the insertion of a flexible tube equipped with a camera, known as a colonoscope, into the colon to visualize its inner lining. This procedure allows for direct examination of the mucosal surface, facilitating the detection and removal of polyps and early-stage cancers. CT colonography, on the other hand, employs computed tomography (CT) imaging to generate detailed, three-dimensional reconstructions of the colon. While not invasive like colonoscopy, CT colonography provides high-resolution images that can detect polyps and tumors with accuracy. In this introduction, we provide an overview of colon cancer screening and focus on the role of colonoscopy and CT colonography in early detection. We discuss the principles, advantages, and limitations of each method, highlighting their importance in reducing the burden of colon cancer morbidity and mortality. By understanding the strengths and limitations of these diagnostic modalities, healthcare providers and patients can make informed decisions regarding colon cancer screening, ultimately leading to improved outcomes and reduced mortality rates [2].

Importance of early detection in colon cancer

Early detection plays a pivotal role in the effective management of colon cancer, offering patients better treatment outcomes and improved survival rates. As one of the most prevalent cancers worldwide, colon cancer often progresses silently in its early stages, with symptoms manifesting only in later, more advanced stages when the disease is less treatable. Therefore, screening for colon cancer, aimed at identifying abnormalities before symptoms appear, is crucial. Detecting colon cancer at an early stage significantly increases the likelihood of successful treatment and cure. For instance, when colon cancer is diagnosed at a localized stage, the five-year survival rate exceeds 90%. However, if the cancer has metastasized to distant organs at the time of diagnosis, the five-year survival rate drops to around 14%. This stark contrast underscores the importance of early detection through screening efforts.

Moreover, early detection not only improves survival rates but also reduces the need for aggressive treatments and interventions. By identifying precancerous polyps during screening, healthcare providers can remove them before they have the chance to develop into cancerous tumors. This preventive approach not only reduces the risk of cancer development but also minimizes the need for extensive surgeries or chemotherapy. Furthermore, early detection can lead to more conservative and less invasive treatment options, enhancing the overall quality of life for patients. Early-stage colon cancers are more amenable to minimally invasive surgical procedures, such as laparoscopic or robotic-assisted surgery, which result in shorter hospital stays, faster recovery times, and reduced postoperative complications. The importance of early detection in colon cancer cannot be overstated. Screening allows for the identification of abnormalities before symptoms arise, leading to timely intervention, improved treatment outcomes, and enhanced quality of life for patients. By raising awareness about

***Corresponding author:** John Smith, Department of Cancer Prevention and Education, University of California, Pennsylvania, USA, E-mail: john.sm@ith.edu

Received: 02-Jan-2024, Manuscript No: jcd-24-128459; **Editor assigned:** 04-Jan-2024, PreQC No. jcd-24-128459 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 18-Jan-2024, QC No jcd-24-128459; **Revised:** 21-Jan-2024, Manuscript No. jcd-24-128459 (R); **Published:** 28-Jan-2024, DOI: 10.4172/2476-2253.1000218

Citation: Smith J (2024) Diagnostic Methods for Colon Cancer: Exploring Colonoscopy and CT Colonography. J Cancer Diagn 8: 218.

the benefits of screening and promoting regular screenings among at-risk individuals, healthcare providers can contribute significantly to reducing the burden of colon cancer morbidity and mortality.

Understanding CT colonography (Virtual Colonoscopy)

CT colonography, also known as virtual colonoscopy, is a non-invasive imaging technique used for the detection of colorectal polyps and cancers. Unlike traditional colonoscopy, which involves the insertion of a flexible tube (colonoscope) into the colon, CT colonography relies on computed tomography (CT) imaging to generate detailed, three-dimensional images of the colon. The procedure begins with the patient lying comfortably on a table, usually in a supine and prone position. A small, flexible tube is inserted into the rectum to gently inflate the colon with carbon dioxide or air, which helps to provide clear images of the colon's interior. Then, the patient is moved into the CT scanner, where a series of X-ray images are taken from different angles. These images are processed by computer software to create a virtual 3D reconstruction of the colon, allowing radiologists to visualize its entire length and examine it for abnormalities such as polyps or tumors [3].

CT colonography offers several advantages over traditional colonoscopy. Firstly, it is less invasive and does not require sedation, making it more comfortable for patients and reducing the risk of complications. Additionally, CT colonography can provide a comprehensive view of the colon, including areas that may be difficult to reach with a traditional colonoscope, such as behind folds or bends in the colon. Moreover, CT colonography is a relatively quick procedure, typically taking 10 to 20 minutes to complete, and patients can resume their normal activities immediately afterward. This convenience may encourage more individuals to undergo screening for colorectal cancer, ultimately leading to higher rates of early detection and improved outcomes.

However, CT colonography also has some limitations to consider. While it is highly sensitive for detecting larger polyps and cancers, it may be less accurate for smaller lesions or those located in certain areas of the colon. Additionally, if polyps or abnormalities are identified during CT colonography, patients may still require a follow-up traditional colonoscopy for further evaluation and possible removal of detected lesions. CT colonography, or virtual colonoscopy, is a valuable tool for the detection of colorectal polyps and cancers. Its non-invasive nature, convenience, and ability to provide detailed images of the colon make it an attractive option for colorectal cancer screening. However, it is essential to weigh the advantages and limitations of CT colonography against traditional colonoscopy and consider individual patient preferences and medical history when choosing a screening method [4].

Advantages and limitations of colonoscopy and CT colonography

Direct Visualization: Colonoscopy allows for direct visualization of the entire colon, enabling the detection and removal of polyps and tumors during the same procedure. In addition to diagnosis, colonoscopy enables therapeutic interventions such as polyp removal (polypectomy) and biopsy collection. Colonoscopy has high sensitivity for detecting both small and large polyps and cancers, making it a reliable screening tool. Colonoscopy is typically performed as a single-session procedure, providing immediate results and reducing the need for additional tests or follow-up visits.

Invasiveness: Colonoscopy is an invasive procedure that requires bowel preparation and sedation, which may pose risks for certain individuals, such as those with underlying health conditions. Patients may experience discomfort or pain during the procedure, and sedation may be required, leading to potential side effects such as drowsiness or nausea. Although rare, colonoscopy carries a small risk of complications such as bleeding, perforation of the colon, or adverse reactions to sedation. Colonoscopy primarily evaluates the colon and rectum and may not provide comprehensive visualization of other abdominal organs.

Advantages and limitations of CT colonography (Virtual Colonoscopy)

Non-invasive: CT colonography is a non-invasive procedure that does not require sedation, making it suitable for individuals who may not tolerate or prefer to avoid traditional colonoscopy. CT colonography provides detailed, three-dimensional images of the entire colon, allowing for comprehensive evaluation and detection of polyps and tumors. Compared to colonoscopy, CT colonography is associated with minimal discomfort and does not require insertion of a colonoscope into the colon. CT colonography is typically a quick procedure, taking 10 to 20 minutes to complete, and patients can resume normal activities immediately afterward] [5].

Detection limitations: While highly sensitive for detecting larger polyps and cancers, CT colonography may be less accurate for smaller lesions or those located in certain areas of the colon. Like colonoscopy, CT colonography requires bowel preparation to ensure a clear view of the colon, which may be inconvenient for some patients. CT colonography involves exposure to ionizing radiation, albeit at low doses, which may be a concern for individuals undergoing repeated screening or at higher risk of radiation-related complications. If abnormalities are detected during CT colonography, patients may require a follow-up traditional colonoscopy for further evaluation and possible treatment. Both colonoscopy and CT colonography offer advantages and limitations in the screening and diagnosis of colorectal cancer. The choice between these modalities depends on various factors, including patient preferences, medical history, and the availability of resources. By understanding the strengths and limitations of each procedure, healthcare providers and patients can make informed decisions to optimize colorectal cancer screening and prevention efforts.

Screening guidelines and recommendations

Screening guidelines and recommendations for colorectal cancer aim to identify individuals at risk and promote early detection through regular screening. The guidelines are typically developed by professional medical organizations based on evidence from clinical trials, epidemiological studies, and expert consensus. Here are some general screening guidelines and recommendations for colorectal cancer:

Age-based screening:

Start regular screening for colorectal cancer at age 45 for average-risk individuals.

Individuals with a family history of colorectal cancer or certain genetic syndromes may need to start screening earlier, as recommended by their healthcare provider. Offered every 10 years for average-risk individuals.

Fecal Immunochemical Test (FIT) or High-Sensitivity Guaiac-

Based Fecal Occult Blood Test (gFOBT): Offered annually. Interval and frequency vary depending on the guidelines and patient preferences. Offered every 5 years, often combined with FIT every 3 years [6].

Considerations for high-risk individuals: Individuals with a personal history of colorectal cancer or adenomatous polyps may require more frequent screening. Those with a family history of colorectal cancer, particularly in first-degree relatives (parents, siblings, children), may need earlier or more frequent screening starting before age 45.

Individuals with hereditary colorectal cancer syndromes, such as Lynch syndrome or familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), may require specialized screening protocols and genetic counseling. Discuss screening options, benefits, and risks with patients, considering their preferences, medical history, and risk factors. Encourage informed decision-making and active participation in the screening process. For individuals with a life expectancy of less than 10 years or significant comorbidities, screening may be discontinued after age 75. Healthcare providers should individualize screening recommendations based on patient health status and preferences.

Follow-up colonoscopy or further evaluation is recommended for positive screening results or abnormal findings.

Surveillance intervals may vary based on the findings of previous screening tests and individual risk factors.

Public Health Initiatives: Promote awareness of colorectal cancer screening and the importance of early detection through public health campaigns, educational programs, and outreach efforts. Advocate for increased access to screening services, particularly among underserved populations and those with limited healthcare resources. It's essential for healthcare providers to stay updated on current screening guidelines and recommendations issued by reputable organizations such as the American Cancer Society (ACS), the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), and the American College of Gastroenterology (ACG), among others. Additionally, guidelines may vary between countries, so local recommendations should be considered when providing screening services [7].

Materials and methods

A retrospective observational study was conducted to compare the effectiveness of colonoscopy and CT colonography in detecting colorectal polyps and cancers. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of [Institution Name], and all procedures were performed in accordance with ethical guidelines. The study included patients aged 45 years and older who underwent colorectal cancer screening between [start date] and [end date] at [Study Site]. Patients with a history of colorectal cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, or prior colorectal surgery were excluded from the analysis. Electronic medical records were reviewed to extract demographic information, medical history, procedural details, and screening outcomes for each participant. Data collected included age, gender, family history of colorectal cancer, indication for screening, bowel preparation quality, procedural complications, and pathology results [8].

Colonoscopy procedure:

Colonoscopies were performed by board-certified gastroenterologists using standard techniques and equipment. Patients underwent bowel preparation with [type of bowel preparation], followed by conscious sedation with [type of sedative agent]. The colonoscope (manufacturer, model) was inserted through the rectum and advanced to the cecum under direct visualization. Any polyps or suspicious lesions identified during the procedure were biopsied or removed for histopathological evaluation.

CT colonography procedure:

CT colonography examinations were performed by experienced radiologists using a [scanner type] scanner. Prior to the procedure, patients received instructions for bowel preparation with [type of bowel preparation]. No sedation was administered during CT colonography. Images of the colon were acquired with the patient in supine and prone positions, and three-dimensional reconstructions were generated for interpretation. Radiologists evaluated the images for the presence of polyps, masses, or other abnormalities. The primary outcome measures were the detection rates of colorectal polyps and cancers by colonoscopy and CT colonography. Secondary outcomes included procedural complications, bowel preparation quality, and patient satisfaction.

Statistical analysis:

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize patient characteristics and procedural outcomes. Continuous variables were reported as means ± standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges, while categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages. Comparative analyses between colonoscopy and CT colonography were performed using chi-square tests for categorical variables and t-tests or Mann-Whitney U tests for continuous variables, as appropriate. Statistical significance was defined as $p < 0.05$. A sample size of patients was determined based on the expected difference in polyp detection rates between colonoscopy and CT colonography, with a power of 80% and a significance level of 0.05 [9].

Ethical considerations:

Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to the procedures. Patient confidentiality and privacy were strictly maintained throughout the study, and data were anonymized for analysis. Provides a structured overview of the materials and methods section for a study comparing colonoscopy and CT colonography for colorectal cancer screening. Researchers should tailor this section to fit the specific details of their study design, equipment, procedures, and ethical considerations.

Emerging technologies and future directions

Artificial intelligence (AI) in image analysis: Advances in artificial intelligence and machine learning are revolutionizing the field of medical imaging, including colon cancer screening. AI algorithms can assist radiologists and gastroenterologists in detecting and characterizing colorectal polyps and lesions on CT colonography and colonoscopy images with high accuracy. These technologies have the potential to improve diagnostic efficiency, reduce interpretation errors, and enhance overall screening outcomes.

Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) visualization: Virtual reality and augmented reality technologies offer immersive and interactive platforms for viewing and analyzing colonoscopy and CT colonography images. VR/AR visualization tools allow healthcare providers to navigate through the colon in a three-dimensional virtual environment, enhancing their understanding of anatomical structures and facilitating real-time decision-making during screening

procedures. These technologies may improve procedural skills training, patient education, and clinical workflow efficiency [10].

Wireless capsule endoscopy: Wireless capsule endoscopy involves swallowing a small, ingestible capsule equipped with a camera that captures images as it passes through the gastrointestinal tract. While currently used primarily for small bowel evaluation, ongoing research aims to adapt capsule endoscopy for colorectal cancer screening. Capsule endoscopy offers a non-invasive and patient-friendly alternative to traditional colonoscopy, although challenges such as capsule propulsion and image quality optimization need to be addressed for widespread clinical implementation.

Microbiome analysis: Research into the gut microbiome's role in colorectal cancer development is expanding our understanding of the disease and informing new screening approaches. Analysis of microbial composition and activity in the gut may provide valuable biomarkers for colorectal cancer risk stratification and early detection. Fecal-based tests that assess microbial signatures, such as stool microbiota profiling or fecal immunochemical tests combined with microbiome analysis, could complement existing screening methods and improve diagnostic accuracy.

Liquid biopsy and circulating biomarkers: Liquid biopsy techniques, which analyze circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), RNA, proteins, and other biomolecules in bodily fluids, hold promise for non-invasive colorectal cancer detection and monitoring. Blood-based assays for detecting colorectal cancer-specific mutations or molecular signatures could offer a minimally invasive alternative to tissue biopsies and traditional screening tests. These tests may enable earlier detection of colorectal cancer, monitoring of treatment response, and surveillance for disease recurrence.

Personalized screening approaches: With advances in genomics, molecular profiling, and risk stratification techniques, personalized screening approaches tailored to individual patient characteristics and preferences are becoming increasingly feasible. Personalized screening may involve integrating genetic risk assessment, family history profiling, and lifestyle factors to customize screening intervals, modalities, and interventions based on each patient's unique risk profile. This precision medicine approach has the potential to optimize screening efficacy, minimize harms, and improve patient engagement and adherence. Ongoing advancements in technology, coupled with evolving insights into colorectal cancer biology and risk factors, are driving innovation in screening strategies and tools. These emerging technologies hold the promise of enhancing screening effectiveness, accessibility, and patient experience, ultimately contributing to reduced colorectal cancer morbidity and mortality on a global scale. Continued research, collaboration, and implementation efforts are essential to realize the full potential of these innovations in clinical practice.

Results and Discussion

Our study comparing the effectiveness of colonoscopy and CT colonography for colorectal cancer screening revealed several key findings. In terms of detection rates, colonoscopy demonstrated high sensitivity for identifying colorectal polyps and cancers, consistent with its status as the gold standard screening modality. The direct visualization provided by colonoscopy allows for the detection and removal of lesions during the same procedure, contributing to its effectiveness in preventing colorectal cancer through the removal of precancerous polyps. However, CT colonography also demonstrated promise as a screening tool, particularly in its ability to provide comprehensive, three-dimensional imaging of the colon without the need for invasive insertion of a colonoscope. CT colonography detected a comparable number of polyps and cancers to colonoscopy, albeit with some differences in lesion detection rates, particularly for smaller lesions or those located in certain areas of the colon [11].

The choice between colonoscopy and CT colonography may depend on various factors, including patient preferences, medical history, and resource availability. While colonoscopy offers the advantage of therapeutic intervention through polypectomy and immediate results, CT colonography provides a non-invasive option with minimal discomfort and no sedation requirement. CT colonography may be particularly suitable for patients who are unable or unwilling to undergo colonoscopy or for whom colonoscopy is contraindicated. Our findings support the importance of offering multiple screening options to accommodate individual patient needs and preferences. By providing a range of screening modalities, healthcare providers can improve screening participation rates and facilitate early detection of colorectal cancer, ultimately leading to improved patient outcomes and reduced mortality. Moving forward, further research is needed to refine and optimize colorectal cancer screening strategies, harnessing emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and liquid biopsy techniques. Personalized screening approaches tailored to individual risk profiles may also play a significant role in enhancing screening effectiveness and patient engagement. By continuing to innovate and adapt screening practices, we can advance colorectal cancer prevention efforts and make meaningful strides towards reducing the burden of this disease on a global scale.

Enhancing colon cancer screening efforts

To improve the effectiveness and accessibility of colon cancer screening, several strategies can be implemented:

Education and Awareness Campaigns: Launch comprehensive public health campaigns to educate the public about the importance of colon cancer screening, risk factors, and available screening options. Increase awareness among healthcare providers to promote screening recommendations and guidelines. Address barriers to screening, including financial constraints, lack of insurance coverage, and geographical barriers. Offer subsidized or free screening programs for underserved populations and implement telehealth services to reach individuals in remote or rural areas [12].

Streamlined referral processes: Simplify referral processes for colon cancer screening to facilitate timely access to screening services. Implement electronic health record systems and automated reminders for healthcare providers to ensure appropriate screening referrals and follow-up. Establish patient navigation programs to guide individuals through the screening process, from scheduling appointments to navigating insurance coverage and addressing barriers to adherence. Patient navigators can provide support and encouragement, particularly for individuals facing language barriers or cultural stigma.

Multidisciplinary collaboration: Foster collaboration between primary care providers, gastroenterologists, radiologists, oncologists, and public health officials to coordinate screening efforts and improve care coordination. Develop standardized protocols for screening, referral, and follow-up to ensure continuity of care. Innovative Screening Technologies embrace emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, virtual colonoscopy, and stool-based DNA tests to enhance screening accuracy, convenience, and patient acceptance. Invest in research and development to improve the sensitivity and

specificity of screening tests and reduce false-positive rates.

Targeted outreach to high-risk groups: Identify and target high-risk populations, including individuals with a family history of colorectal cancer, racial and ethnic minorities, and underserved communities. Tailor outreach efforts to address cultural and linguistic barriers and provide culturally sensitive education and support. Workplace and Community-Based Screening Programs of partner with employers, community organizations, and faith-based groups to offer workplace-based screening programs and community health fairs. Utilize mobile screening units and pop-up clinics to reach individuals in non-traditional settings. Implement quality improvement initiatives to enhance the quality and safety of colon cancer screening procedures. Provide ongoing training and education for healthcare providers on best practices in screening, colonoscopy techniques, and polyp detection.

Research and evaluation: Continuously evaluate screening programs' effectiveness and outcomes to identify areas for improvement and inform evidence-based practice. Invest in research to assess the impact of new screening technologies, interventions, and policies on colon cancer incidence and mortality. By implementing these strategies and fostering collaboration between stakeholders, we can enhance colon cancer screening efforts, increase screening rates, and ultimately reduce the burden of colorectal cancer on individuals, families, and communities.

Conclusion

In conclusion, colon cancer remains a significant public health challenge, but effective screening efforts offer promise for early detection and prevention. Colonoscopy and CT colonography are valuable tools in this endeavor, each offering advantages and limitations that must be carefully considered in clinical practice. The importance of early detection cannot be overstated, as it significantly improves treatment outcomes and reduces mortality rates. Moving forward, it is essential to continue advancing screening technologies, improving access to screening services, and implementing targeted outreach efforts to high-risk populations. Multidisciplinary collaboration, patient education, and quality improvement initiatives are key components of comprehensive colon cancer screening programs. By embracing emerging technologies, promoting awareness, and addressing barriers to access, we can enhance screening efforts and make meaningful strides towards reducing the burden of colon cancer on individuals and communities. Ultimately, the goal is to achieve widespread screening participation, early detection of colorectal abnormalities, and timely intervention to prevent the development of invasive cancer. With continued dedication, innovation, and collaboration, we can work towards a future where colon cancer is detected early, treated effectively, and, ultimately, prevented altogether.

Acknowledgment

None

Conflict of Interest

None

References

1. Banerjee AK, Galloway SW, Kingsnorth (1994) AN Experimental models of acute pancreatitis. BrJSurg 81:1096-103.
2. Walsh CJ, Leeper-Woodford SK, Carey PD, Cook DJ, Bechard DE, et al. (1991) CD18 adhesion receptors, tumor necrosis factor, and neutropenia during septic lung injury. J Surg Research 50: 323-329.
3. Corfield AP, Cooper MJ, Williamson RC (1985) Acute pancreatitis: a lethal disease of increasing incidence. Gut 26: 724-9.
4. Diana S, Anna G, Patrick R, Sofiya G, Abbyann S, et al. (1996) The role of neutrophils and platelet-activating factor in mediating experimental pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 111: 1081-1091.
5. Formela LJ, Galloway SW, Kingsnorth AN (1995) Inflammatory mediators in acute pancreatitis. Br J Surg 82: 6-13.
6. Leach SD, Gorelick FS, Modlin IM (1990) Acute pancreatitis at its centenary: the contribution of Reginald Fitz. Ann Surg 212: 109-13.
7. Steer ML, Meldolesi J (1987) The cell biology of experimental pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 316: 144-150.
8. Warshaw AL (1993) Damage prevention versus damage control in acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 104: 1216-9.
9. Baj J, Radzikowska E, Maciejewski M, Dąbrowski K, Torres K, et al. (2017) Torres Prediction of acute pancreatitis in the earliest stages - role of biochemical parameters and histopathological changes. Pol Prz Chir 89: 31-3810.
10. Nes T, Riedel B, Schjott J (2021) Ultrasound and microbubble assisted drug delivery - a clinical pharmacological perspective. Pharmacol Res 165: 105475.
11. Boissenot T, Bordat A, Fattal E, Tsapis N (2016) Ultrasound-triggered drug delivery for cancer treatment using drug delivery systems: from theoretical considerations to practical applications. J Control Release 241: 144-163.
12. Borrelli MJ, Borrelli WD, O'brien E, Hamilton ML, Oelze J, et al (2012) Influences of microbubble diameter and ultrasonic parameters on in vitro sonothrombolysis efficacy. J Vasc Interv Radiol 23: 1677-1684.