Advances in Crop Science and Technology
ISSN: 2329-8863
Commentary
Open Access

Drought and Salinity Tolerance in Crops: Advances in Molecular Breeding

Bhupendra Byanju*
Central Department of Environmental Science, Tribhuvan University, Nepal

Keywords: Drought tolerance; Salinity tolerance; Molecular breeding; Abiotic stress; Crop improvement; Genomic selection; Marker-assisted selection; QTL mapping; Transgenic crops; Gene editing; CRISPR-Cas9; Stress-responsive genes; Physiological traits; Plant resilience; Genetic engineering; Functional genomics; Plant biotechnology; Stress signaling pathways; Osmotic adjustment; Sustainable agriculture

Introduction

Agriculture is increasingly being affected by climate change, with drought and soil salinity emerging as two of the most critical abiotic stresses limiting crop productivity worldwide [1]. These environmental challenges not only reduce crop yield but also compromise food security, especially in arid and semi-arid regions. Traditional breeding methods have made some progress in developing stress-tolerant varieties; however, their pace is slow and often limited by the complex nature of stress tolerance traits, which are polygenic and influenced by environmental interactions [2]. In recent years, advances in molecular breeding have opened new avenues for enhancing drought and salinity tolerance in crops. By combining high-throughput genotyping, molecular markers, genome mapping, and gene-editing technologies, researchers are now better equipped to dissect the genetic basis of stress responses and accelerate the development of resilient crop varieties. This transformation represents a significant step forward in the pursuit of sustainable agriculture under changing climate conditions [3].

Description

Drought and salinity stress affect plant growth and development at multiple levels, including water uptake, photosynthesis, nutrient transport, and cellular metabolism. Drought causes water scarcity, while salinity leads to ion toxicity and osmotic stress [4]. Plants respond to these stresses through a complex network of physiological, biochemical, and molecular changes. Tolerant plants often exhibit traits such as deep root systems, stomatal regulation, osmolyte accumulation, antioxidant production, and activation of stress-responsive genes. Molecular breeding involves the identification and manipulation of these traits using genetic tools to develop improved varieties more efficiently than conventional breeding [5].

One key component of molecular breeding is marker-assisted selection (MAS), which uses DNA markers linked to stress-tolerance traits to select superior genotypes early in the breeding cycle. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping has identified several QTLs associated with drought and salinity tolerance in major crops like rice, wheat, maize, and barley [6]. Genomic selection (GS) further enhances breeding accuracy by incorporating genome-wide markers into predictive models. In addition, transgenic approaches have been used to introduce genes from other species that confer tolerance traits, such as DREB (Dehydration Responsive Element Binding) genes, HKT (High-affinity K+ Transporters), and LEA (Late Embryogenesis Abundant) proteins. The advent of CRISPR-Cas9 genome editing has added a new dimension, allowing precise modification of native genes to enhance tolerance without introducing foreign DNA, thus addressing some regulatory and consumer concerns [7].

Discussion

The integration of molecular breeding into crop improvement programs has significantly accelerated the development of stress-tolerant varieties. For instance, rice varieties with Saltol QTL for salinity tolerance have been successfully released in salt-affected areas. In wheat, pyramiding of QTLs for drought-related traits such as root depth and water-use efficiency has led to improved yields under water-limited conditions. The development of high-throughput phenotyping platforms has enabled breeders to accurately measure stress-related traits and correlate them with genetic markers. Moreover, transcriptomics, proteomics, and metabolomics have contributed to identifying novel genes and regulatory networks involved in stress responses, further enriching the pool of candidate genes for breeding [8].

Despite these advances, challenges remain. The polygenic nature of drought and salinity tolerance means that no single gene can provide complete resistance. Breeding efforts must focus on combining multiple traits and pathways that together contribute to resilience. Environmental variability and genotype-by-environment interactions complicate the expression of stress tolerance traits in the field. Moreover, the effectiveness of molecular breeding depends on the availability of diverse germplasm, advanced computational tools, and interdisciplinary collaboration between molecular biologists, agronomists, and breeders. Another concern is the acceptance of genetically modified crops in some regions, which influences the deployment of transgenic approaches. In contrast, genome editing techniques like CRISPR are increasingly seen as a more acceptable alternative due to their precision and minimal genomic disruption [9]. Policy and funding also play critical roles in facilitating the adoption of molecular breeding. Public investment in genomic research, capacity building, and infrastructure is essential for enabling developing countries to harness these technologies. Intellectual property rights, biosafety regulations, and open-access data sharing are key areas that require attention to ensure equitable and responsible use of molecular tools. Importantly, farmer participation in the breeding process ensures that the resulting varieties meet local needs and conditions, enhancing adoption and impact [10].

Conclusion

Molecular breeding represents a powerful and promising approach

***Corresponding author:** Bhupendra Byanju, Central Department of Environmental Science, Tribhuvan University, Nepal E-mail: bhupendrabjanju55@gmail.com

Received: 01-Mar-2025, Manuscript No: acst-25-164279, **Editor Assigned:** 03-Mar-2025, Pre QC No: acst-25-164279 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 17-Mar-2025, QC No: acst-21-164279, **Revised:** 23-Mar-2025, Manuscript No: acst-25-164279 (R), **Published:** 28-Mar-2025, DOI: 10.4172/2329-8863.1000800

Citation: Bhupendra B (2025) Drought and Salinity Tolerance in Crops: Advances in Molecular Breeding. Adv Crop Sci Tech 13: 800.

to developing crop varieties that can withstand drought and salinity—two of the most severe challenges in modern agriculture. Through tools such as marker-assisted selection, QTL mapping, transgenics, and CRISPR gene editing, researchers can now dissect complex traits with unprecedented precision and efficiency. While significant progress has been made, a holistic and multidisciplinary approach is essential to fully realize the potential of molecular breeding. This includes integrating cutting-edge science with practical fieldwork, addressing regulatory and social concerns, and ensuring access to technology across all farming communities. In an era of climate change and rising food demand, advances in molecular breeding offer hope for more resilient, productive, and sustainable agricultural systems. Investing in this frontier of crop science is not just a technological necessity—it is a strategic imperative for ensuring global food and nutritional security in the decades to come.

References

1. Teshome A, Fahrig L, Torrance JK, Lambert JD, Arnason TJ, Baum BR (1999). Maintenance of sorghum (Sorghum bicolor, Poaceae) landrace diversity by farmers' selection in Ethiopia. Economic botany 53: 79-88.
2. Thapa DB, Sharma RC, Mudwari A, Ortiz-Ferrara G, Sharma S, et al. (2009) Identifying superior wheat cultivars in participatory research on resource poor farms. Field Crops Res 112:124-130.
3. Voss J (1996) Participatory breeding and IDRC's biodiversity programme. In Participatory plant breeding: proceedings of a workshop on participatory plant breeding, 26-29.
4. Witcombe JR, Joshi KD, Gyawali S, Musa AM, Johansen C (2005) Participatory plant breeding is better described as highly client-oriented plant breeding. I. Four indicators of client-orientation in plant breeding.
5. Experimen Agric 41.
6. Witcombe JR, Joshi A, Goyal SN (2003) Participatory plant breeding in maize: A case study from Gujarat, India. Euphytica, 130: 413-422.
7. Witcombe JR, Joshi A, Joshi KD, Sthapit BR (1996) Farmer participatory crop improvement. I. Varietal selection and breeding methods and their impact on biodiversity. Experimen Agric 32:445 -460.
8. Witcombe JR, Joshi KD, Rana RB, Virk DS (2001) Increasing genetic diversity by participatory varietal selection in high potential production systems in Nepal and India. Euphytica 122:575-588.
9. Witcombe JR, Petre R, Jones S, Joshi A (1999) Farmer participatory crop improvement. IV. The spread and impact of a rice variety identified by participatory varietal selection. Experimen Agric 35: 471-487.
10. Tarekegne W, Mekbib F, Dessalegn Y (2019) Performance and Participatory Variety Evaluation of Finger Millet [Eleusine coracana (L.) Gaertn] Varieties in West Gojam Zone, Northwest Ethiopia. East Afr J Sci 13: 27-38.