

Effects of Intra-articular Injection of Anti-nerve Growth Factor Neutralizing Antibody on Pain in Osteoarthritis Rat

Aso K*, Izumi M, Okanoue Y and Ikeuchi M

Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, 185-1 Oko-cho Kohasu, Nankoku, Japan

Abstract

Background: Nerve Growth Factor (NGF) has been recognized as an important mediator of chronic knee pain caused by Osteoarthritis (OA). We investigated the effect of intraarticular injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody on pain-related behavior and histological changes in Mono-iodoacetate (MIA)-induced OA rats.

Methods: All rats had OA induced by intra-articular injection of 1 mg MIA. Anti-NGF neutralizing antibody (0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg) was intra-articularly or intraperitoneally administered at 14, 21, 28, 35 days after MIA injection. In the control group, saline was intraperitoneally administered in a same timing. Pain-related behavior was assessed by paw withdrawal threshold to punctate stimulation of the hind-paw. OA progression was assessed using Osteoarthritis Research Society International histological grading. The effect of combination of anti-NGF therapy and forced treadmill running (30 cm/s for 60 min: an intense amount for rats) on OA progression was also evaluated.

Results: Intra-articular injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody (0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg) and intraperitoneal injection (0.5 mg/kg) significantly reversed the OA-induced pain behavior. Analgesic effects of intra-articular injection on OA-induced pain behavior were significantly greater than intraperitoneal injection at a same dose. There were no significant differences in OA progression between control (MIA) and MIA plus anti-NGF antibody (intra-articular and intraperitoneal injection). Forced treadmill running did not affect the OA progression.

Conclusion: Analgesic effects of intra-articular injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody were greater than intraperitoneal injection, which means that intra-articular injection is an effective route for anti-NGF antibodies. The MIA-induced OA model failed to clarify histological differences caused by anti-NGF therapy or combination of the therapy with forced treadmill running. Future research should seek to confirm the influence of anti-NGF antibody on OA progression.

Keywords: Knee joint; Osteoarthritis; Pain; Nerve growth factor; Anti-nerve growth factor antibody; Intra-articular injection; Osteoarthritis rat model

Abbreviations: MIA: Mono-Iodoacetate; NGF: Nerve Growth Factor; IP: Intra-Peritoneal; IA: Intra-Articular.

Introduction

Knee pain is a major source of disability and hospital visit in patients with knee Osteoarthritis (OA). There is a variety of pharmacological interventions available for knee OA pain, including acetaminophen non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors, opioid, hyaluronic acid injections and corticosteroid injections. However, with disease progression, pain management using existing medication frequently becomes more challenging. Therefore, development of new therapies is strongly required. Nerve growth factor (NGF) has been recognized as an important mediator of chronic knee pain caused by OA [1,2]. The effects of NGF are mediated by at least two receptors with different binding affinity: the high affinity receptor TrkA [3] and the low affinity receptor p75 neurotrophin receptor [4]. NGF blockade can be achieved using antibodies or TrkA-IgG fusion protein that bind NGF and prevent its interaction with TrkA and p75 receptors. Recent clinical trials in patients with knee OA showed that therapies blocking NGF remarkably reduced joint pain [5,6]. In human OA, the levels of NGF are elevated in synovium [7]. The increased NGF expression in synovium was associated with symptomatic knee OA [7]. In our previous animal study, half of dorsal root ganglion neurons innervating the synovium in rat knee joints were TrkA immunoreactive [8]. The TrkA expression in synovium afferents gradually increased, with disease progression

in mono-sodium iodoacetate (MIA)-induced OA in rats [9]. These results support that increased NGF immunoreactive cells in synovium might contribute to OA pain. Here, we hypothesized that effects of local administration of anti-NGF antibody on OA pain were greater than systemic administration. Regarding adverse effects related anti-NGF antibody, a higher incidence of rapidly progressive osteoarthritis (RPOA) was observed in previous clinical trial of patients with knee OA [10,11]. However, the relevance between anti-NGF antibody and RPOA remains uncertain. Therefore, it is also important to evaluate the influence of anti-NGF antibody on OA progression in animal study. It has been reported that MIA injection into the rat knee joint disrupts chondrocyte metabolism, leading to cell death and subsequent loss of articular cartilage with synovitis and subchondral bone changes [12,13]. The joint damage observed in the MIA model is similar to the joint damage observed in OA [14,15]. This model is an established and well-characterized preclinical model of OA and can be used to study the effects of drugs on pain. The purpose of this study was to clarify effects

***Corresponding author:** Koji Aso, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Kochi Medical School, Kochi University, 185-1 Oko-cho Kohasu, Nankoku, Japan, Tel: +81-88-880-2386; Fax: +81-88-880-2388; ORCID: 0000-0003-3763-9564, E-mail: koji.aso@gmail.com

Received February 07, 2020; **Accepted** March 14, 2020; **Published** March 21, 2020

Citation: Aso K, Izumi M, Okanoue Y, Ikeuchi M (2020) Effects of Intra-articular Injection of Anti-nerve Growth Factor Neutralizing Antibody on Pain in Osteoarthritis Rat. J Pain Relief 9: 348.

Copyright: © 2020 Berry TS, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

of intra-articular (IA) injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody on pain and OA progression in MIA-induced OA in rats. Specifically, we investigated pain-related behavior and histological changes in different administration route (IA *versus* intraperitoneal (IP)). We also evaluated the effect of combination of anti-NGF therapy and forced treadmill running on histological changes.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Male Sprague-Dawley rats (6 weeks old, weight 250-300 g) were used in this study. All experiments were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Kochi University. All outcome measurements were made by an observer blinded to treatment.

Induction of OA

After being anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (30 mg/kg, intraperitoneal), all rats were injected with 1 mg of MIA in 25 μ l of saline (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) using a 27 G needle with a Hamilton syringe inserted through the patellar ligament into the intra-articular space of the left knee [9]. Previous study reported that 1 mg of MIA produces steady pain behavior and progressive joint damage [16]. We used 6 rats for each group.

Drugs

Anti-NGF neutralizing antibody (0.1 or 0.5 mg/kg in 50 μ l of saline) (anti-NGF-2.5S, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was intra-articularly or intraperitoneally administered at 14, 21, 28, 35 days after MIA injection. In the control group, 50 μ l saline was intra-articularly administered in a same timing.

Pain-related behavior tests

Pain-related behavior was assessed by paw withdrawal threshold to punctate stimulation of the hind-paw using von Frey filament. Rats were put inside a Plexiglas's cage placed on an elevated mesh steel platform. Von Frey filaments of varying bending forces (0.4, 0.6, 1, 1.4, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 26 g) were applied to the plantar surface of the bilateral paw in ascending order of bending force. Each filament was applied three times for approximately 2-3 s periods or until a withdrawal response was evoked. After a response, the paw was retested with the filaments in descending order until no response occurred at which point the filaments were again applied in ascending order until the response could once again be evoked. The final bending force to induce leg withdrawal was recorded three times. The median value was recorded as mechanical threshold of the paw [9].

Treadmill running protocol

The forced treadmill running was started after the first anti-NGF neutralizing antibody IP or IA injection, or saline injection in the running groups, (n=6 for each group). The rats were subjected to exercise 5 days a week for 6 weeks using a motor-driven treadmill designed for rodents at a constant speed of 30 cm/s for 60 min. The running distance in a day was 1080 m. The exercise is an intense amount for rats [16].

Histological evaluation of knee joint

Left knee joints were obtained after all pain-related behavior tests at 6 weeks after MIA injection. We used "6 weeks after MIA injection" as advanced stage knee OA [9]. The knee joints were placed in 10% formalin for 3 days, decalcified by formic acid for 12 days, and embedded in paraffin. Five-micrometer sections were cut and

stained with Safranin O and fast green. Histological changes of knee joints were scored according to the Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) grading system criteria (from 0 (best) to 5 (worst)) [17]. Data were presented as the median (interquartile range). The most severe lesion of the femoral condyle on each frontal section was scored. The histological scoring is performed on the three most severely affected consecutive sections (at 200 μ m intervals). The values for each parameter are then averaged across the three scored sections per knee joint. We compared the OARSI histological score between control (MIA), MIA plus anti-NGF (IP) and MIA plus anti-NGF (IA) (n= 6 rats for each group) in no running and running group.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with JMP, Version 10 (SAS Ins. Cary, NC). Two-way analysis of variance with Tukey's test was used to compare pain-related behavior tests. Kruskal-Wallis test with Steel-Dwass test was used to compare the OARSI histological scores. $P < 0.05$ was regarded as statistically significant.

Results

Pain-related behavior tests


MIA injection decreased mechanical threshold in the left hind paw but did not change it in right hind paw. IA injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody at a dose of 0.1 and 0.5 mg/kg and IP injection at a dose of 0.5 mg/kg significantly reversed mechanical hyperalgesia of the hind paw and the knee joint compared to control (MIA). Analgesic effects of IA injection on mechanical threshold in the knee joint and the hind paw were significantly greater than IP injection at a same dose (Figure 1).

Histological analysis of the knee joint

Histology of knee joints showed intra-articular injection of MIA into the knee progress articular cartilage and subchondral bone damage. Figure 2 shows representative photographs of knee joints. There were no significant differences in the OARSI score between all groups. The anti-NGF neutralizing antibody (IP and IA injection) and forced treadmill running did not affect the OA progression (Figure 3).

Discussion and Conclusion

This is the first study to evaluate effects of IA injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody on pain in MIA-induced OA in rats. Our






Figure 2: (A) shows that representative photographs of knee joints of anti-NGF (IP) (A and C), anti-NGF group (IA) (B and D) and MIA (E) in no treadmill running group. Figure B shows that representative photographs of knee joints of anti-NGF (IP) (F), anti-NGF group (IA) (G) and MIA (H) in treadmill running group.




Figure 3: The OARSI histological scores in no treadmill running group (A) and treadmill running group (B). Data were presented as the median (interquartile range). There were no significant differences in all groups.

results showed anti-NGF neutralizing antibody inhibited OA-induced pain behavior by MIA injection. The analgesic effects of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody IA injection were greater than IP injection. IA injection was effective even if the drug concentrations were ineffective by systemic administration. In rat MIA-induced OA, NGF and the receptor TrkA are up regulated in the synovium in the knee [9,18], and the up regulations are involved in the maintenance and development of OA pain [1]. Previous study showed that systemic administration of anti-NGF antibody attenuates OA pain behavior in MIA-induced knee OA [19-21]. Our results suggest that inhibition of local NGF is important in order to reduce the knee OA pain. IA injection of hyaluronic acid or corticosteroid is widely used as a pain treatment for knee OA. IA injection may be also an effective route for anti-

NGF antibodies. Indeed, recent double-blind and placebo-controlled study showed that IA injection of TrkA inhibitor in knee OA subjects reduced pain with a numerically functional gain and an acceptable safety profile [22]. Our histological analysis showed that administration of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody, forced treadmill running and the combination did not make significant differences in OA progression. Previous clinical trial showed that the event rate of RPOA increased as a function of the tanezumab dose, and administration of tanezumab combined with NSAID further increased the rate. The higher incidence of RPOA in the clinical trial suggests that the combination therapy of tanezumab and NSAID is unfavorable. In this study, we tried to clarify the effect of combination of anti-NGF therapy and forced treadmill running on OA progression for the first time. Because there

is concern that OA will be progressed by administration of anti-NGF antibody to highly active patients. However, our results showed that the combination did not have significantly greater OARSI score than the others. Consequently, the 1 mg MIA-induced OA model failed to clarify histological differences caused by anti-NGF therapy or combination of the therapy with forced treadmill running. The reasons are that 1 mg MIA-induced joint degeneration may be too severe and our study was not powered to detect rare adverse effects on joint structure. Previous study reported that treatment with tanezumab prevented gait deficiency and resulted in more severe cartilage damage in the rat medial meniscal tear model [23]. Meanwhile, no deleterious effects were observed in joints or bones in normal monkeys, rats, or mice administered high doses of anti-NGF monoclonal antibody [24]. Further investigation is needed to clarify RPOA in anti-NGF therapy. This study has several potential limitations. Adverse effects that included RPOA and joint replacement were more common in patients treated with anti-NGF antibody and NSAIDs than either treatment alone [2,10,11]. This study did not demonstrate combination effects of anti-NGF antibody with NSAIDs. Because previous studies already showed that the combination therapy is unfavorable, and our primary objectives were effects of IA injection of anti-NGF antibody on pain, therefore, we focused effects of the antibody alone. Second, we used anti-NGF neutralizing antibody for this study. We also did not evaluate differences in efficacy between anti-NGF neutralizing antibody and anti-NGF monoclonal antibody. The period of time after MIA injection affect OA progression [9]. For that reason, we might get different results in longer period of time after MIA injection. In conclusion, analgesic effects of IA injection of anti-NGF neutralizing antibody were greater than IP injection, which means that IA injection is an effective route for anti-NGF antibodies. The MIA-induced OA model failed to clarify histological differences caused by anti-NGF therapy or combination of the therapy with forced treadmill running. Future research should seek to confirm the rare adverse effects on joint structure in anti-NGF therapy.

Conflict of Interest

None of the authors have any conflicts of interest associated with this study.

Funding Sources

This study was supported by a grant from the Nakatomi Foundation.

Acknowledgement

We are very grateful to Kenichi Yagu (Kochi Medical School) and Reika Shiraishi (Kochi Medical School) for their valuable assistance regarding pain-related behavior tests and histological analysis.

Author Contributions

All authors approved the final version to be published. K.A. had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. K.A. designed the experiments, analyzed and interpreted results, and wrote the manuscript. K.A. did histological analysis and Reika Shiraishi did pain-related behavior tests. M.I., Y.O. and M.I. analyzed and interpreted the results.

References

- Watson JJ, Allen SJ, Dawbarn D (2008) Targeting nerve growth factor in pain. *Bio Drugs* 22: 349-359.
- Seidel MF, Wise BL, Lane NE (2013) Nerve growth factor: an update on the science and therapy. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 21: 1223-1228.
- Kaplan DR, Hempstead BL, Martin ZD, Chao MV, Parada LF (1991) The trk proto-oncogene product: a signal transducing receptor for nerve growth factor. *Science* 252: 554-558.
- Mallett S, Barclay AN (1991) A new superfamily of cell surface proteins related to the nerve growth factor receptor. *Immunol Today* 12: 220-223.
- Lane NE, Schnitzer TJ, Birbara CA, Mokhtarani M, Shelton DL, et al. (2010) Tanezumab for the treatment of pain from osteoarthritis of the knee. *New Engl J Med* 363: 1521-1531.
- Sanga P, Katz N, Polverejan E, Wang S, Kelly KM, et al. (2013) Efficacy, safety, and tolerability of fulranumab, an anti-nerve growth factor antibody, in the treatment of patients with moderate to severe osteoarthritis pain. *Pain* 154: 1910-1919.
- Stoppiello LA, Mapp PI, Wilson D, Hill R, Scammell BE, et al. (2014) Structural associations of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 66: 3018-3027.
- Aso K, Ikeuchi M, Izumi M, Sugimura N, Kato T, et al. (2014) Nociceptive phenotype of dorsal root ganglia neurons innervating the subchondral bone in rat knee joints. *Eur J Pain* 18: 174-1781.
- Aso K, Izumi M, Sugimura N, Okanou Y, Ushida T, et al. (2016) Nociceptive phenotype alterations of dorsal root ganglia neurons innervating the subchondral bone in osteoarthritic rat knee joints. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 24: 1596-1603.
- Schnitzer TJ, Ekman EF, Spierings EL, Greenberg HS, Smith MD, et al. (2015) Efficacy and safety of tanezumab monotherapy or combined with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the treatment of knee or hip osteoarthritis pain. *Ann Rheum Dis* 74: 1202-1211.
- Hochberg MC (2015) Serious joint-related adverse events in randomized controlled trials of anti-nerve growth factor monoclonal antibodies. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 23: S18-21.
- Kalishen DA (1987) Chemical model of osteoarthritis--a pharmacological evaluation. *The Journal of Rheumatology* 14: 130-131.
- Van Der Kraan PM, Vitters EL, Van De Putte LB, Van Den Berg WB (1989) Development of osteoarthritic lesions in mice by "metabolic" and "mechanical" alterations in the knee joints. *Am J Pathol* 13: 1001-1014.
- Guigamp C, Gegout-PP, Philippe L, Terlain B, Netter P, et al. (1997) Monoiodoacetate-induced experimental osteoarthritis: a dose-response study of loss of mobility, morphology, and biochemistry. *Arthritis Rheum* 40: 1670-1679.
- Guzman RE, Evans MG, Bove S, Morenko B, Kilgore K (2003) Monoiodoacetate-induced histologic changes in subchondral bone and articular cartilage of rat femorotibial joints: an animal model of osteoarthritis. *Toxicol Pathol* 31: 619-624.
- Galois L, Etienne S, Grossin L, Watrin-Pinzano A, Cournil-Henrionnet C, et al. (2004) Dose-response relationship for exercise on severity of experimental osteoarthritis in rats: a pilot study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 12: 779-786.
- Gerwin N, Bendele AM, Glasson S, Carlson CS (2010) The OARSI histopathology initiative - recommendations for histological assessments of osteoarthritis in the rat. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 18: S24-34.
- Orita S, Ishikawa T, Miyagi M, Ochiai N, Inoue G, et al. (2011) Pain-related sensory innervation in monoiodoacetate-induced osteoarthritis in rat knees that gradually develops neuronal injury in addition to inflammatory pain. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 12: 134.
- Xu L, Nwosu LN, Burston JJ, Millns PJ, Sagar DR, et al. (2016) The anti-NGF antibody muMab 911 both prevents and reverses pain behaviour and subchondral osteoclast numbers in a rat model of osteoarthritis pain. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 24: 1587-1595.
- Ishikawa G, Koya Y, Tanaka H, Nagakura Y (2015) Long-term analgesic effect of a single dose of anti-NGF antibody on pain during motion without notable suppression of joint edema and lesion in a rat model of osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 23: 925-932.
- Miyagi M, Ishikawa T, Kamoda H, Suzuki M, Inoue G, et al. (2017) Efficacy of nerve growth factor antibody in a knee osteoarthritis pain model in mice. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 18: 428.
- Krupka E, Jiang GL, Jan C (2019) Efficacy and safety of intra-articular injection of tropomyosin receptor kinase A inhibitor in painful knee osteoarthritis: a randomized, double-blind and placebo-controlled study. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage* 27: 1599-1607.
- LaBranche TP, Bendele AM, Omura BC, Gropp KE, Hurst SI, et al. (2017) Nerve growth factor inhibition with tanezumab influences weight-bearing and subsequent cartilage damage in the rat medial meniscal tear model. *Ann Rheum Dis* 76: 295-302.
- Gropp KE, Carlson CS, Evans MG, Bagi CM, Reagan WJ, et al. (2018) Effects of Monoclonal Antibodies against Nerve Growth Factor on Healthy Bone and Joint Tissues in Mice, Rats, and Monkeys: Histopathologic, Biomarker, and Microcomputed Tomographic Assessments. *Toxicol Pathol* 46: 408-420.