Opinion Open Access

Electrical Brain System Perspective for Alzheimer Disease Prevention and Therapy

Guy Cheron^{1,2,3*}, Axelle Leroy^{1,2}, Julian Cheron² and Laurence Ris^{4,5}

¹Laboratory of Neurophysiology and Movement Biomechanics, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

The Alzheimer disease (AD) is one of the most devastating neurodegenerative alteration of the brain in the elderly population. Clinically characterized as a progressive, neurodegenerative disease, including functional and cognitive impairments, AD is also well histopathologically demarcated by the presence of amyloid deposits and tau-related neurofibrillary tangles correlated with loss of synapses and neurons in crucial regions of the brain [1-3]. These pathological elements are well identified with cerebrospinal biomarkers (i.e., amyloid beta (A β 42) and phospho-tau (p-tau) levels) [4-6].

In spite of intense basic researches, the prevention and therapy remain largely problematic and must be urgently reinforced [6]. From the most optimistic view, a definitive biological solution seems not to be attainable before twenty years.

Following a system perspective the brain is considered as a complex network linking the different regions into privileged connected nodes such as small-world, hubs and rich clubs with hierarchical modularity [7-9]. Alteration of this system can be viewed as a possible final outcome in neurological disorders [9]. In addition, the dynamic of these networks and related brain functions mainly resulted from electrical oscillations [10-15] which can be approached with non-invasive electrophysiological tools.

Among these interventions, the transcranial direct or alternating current stimulation (tDCS/tACS) coupled with other tools issued from the brain computing interface (BCI), mental imagery (MI) and virtual reality stimulation (VRS) is one of the most promising approach [16,17]. Briefly, the tDCS/tACS method consists in the application of small intensity of current (~2 mA during ~20 min) applied by means of sponge electrodes placed on the skin head at privileged sites. The choice of the site for the anodal and cathodal current application is important because current induces excitatory effect on the neuronal network situated just under the anode and conversely induces inhibitory effect at the cathode. The neurophysiological effect consists of an increase or a decrease of the spiking threshold of the neurons [18]. This must conduct research effort to find new technological tools based on artificial manipulation of these neuronal oscillations in order to enhance or restore the failed communication described in AD and individuals with mild cognitive impairment (MCI). The non-invasive electrical stimulation may also represent very promising strategy to improve neural circuits functioning as a complement tool to pharmacotherapy [19].

The tDCS/tACS approach can be seen as rather simple but still must be carefully and systematically controlled, regarding the complexity of the involved neural network and the induced neural plasticity. To do so, tDCS/tACS must be combined with neuroimaging procedures (fMRI, MEG and EEG dynamic combined to transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)) to follow the involvement of the excitatory/inhibitory process. It was recently demonstrated that tDCS can improve cognition in AD and MCI patients [20-27] reinforcing the idea that this therapeutic avenue deserves to be urgently developed.

The tACS only differs from tDCS by the fact that sinusoidal currents are given at a specific frequency in place of continuous and constant currents. tACS directly modulates oscillatory brain activity in such a way that the stimulation frequency can be adapted to the frequency of the specific targeted oscillation of the brain. Although there is an exponential use of tDCS in different conditions, the application of tACS on the cerebral cortex and the cerebellum is only recently explored [28]. However, the related perspectives are very promising and may occupy a privilege position in future AD therapies.

The aim is to modulate neuronal oscillations correlated with sensorimotor and cognitive alterations in MCI and AD patients. As an example, alpha oscillation can be seen as representative of internal brain states and as a predictive index of sensory and cognitive performance [29].

In this context, Zaehle et al. (2010) [30] demonstrated that tACS set at the same alpha rhythm as the individual participant significantly enhanced the endogenous alpha power in parieto-central electrodes of the scalp and induced significant plasticity. In accordance to the spike timing dependent plasticity (STDP) rule, this effect was reproduced in artificial neural network. Following a STDP paradigm [31], a specific frequency input can produce long term potentiation (LTP) in the oscillating circuit only if it presents a similar resonance frequency in the circuit. Conversely, if the resonance frequencies between the input and the circuit are different a long-term depression (LTD) is produced. Such type of plasticity has been recently observed after gamma tACS (70 Hz) applied on the left primary motor cortex (anode) and the right cerebellum (cathode) producing a significant improvement of visuomotor performance [32].

Ultimately, it seems that the increase or decrease of the spiking threshold of the neurons explains the short-term effects of tDCS while the induced synaptic plasticity (LTD and LTP) account for the long-lasting effects. Still, the exact neural mechanisms underlying tDCS and tACS are largely unknown.

Attempts to treat AD with medication have shown controversial effects or minor efficacy [27,33]. Furthermore, ways to improve and stabilize the tDCS and tACS effects should be investigated. Future

*Corresponding author: Guy Cheron, Laboratory of Neurophysiology and Movement Biomechanics, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium, Tel: +3225553403; E-mail: gcheron@ulb.ac.be

Received January 19, 2019; Accepted February 11, 2019; Published February 18, 2019

Citation: Cheron G, Leroy A, Cheron J, Ris L (2019) Electrical Brain System Perspective for Alzheimer Disease Prevention and Therapy. J Alzheimers Dis Parkinsonism 9: 463. doi: 10.4172/2161-0460.1000463

Copyright: © 2019 Cheron G, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

²ULB Neuroscience Institut, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

³Laboratory of Electrophysiology, Université de Mons, Mons, Belgium

⁴Laboratory of Neuroscience, Université de Mons, Belgium

⁵Institut Santé UMONS, Université de Mons, Belgium

studies should also try to untangle the mechanisms sustaining longlasting effects of transcranial current stimulation, as it might interplay with pathological mechanisms of dementia neurodegeneration with either beneficial or deleterious side effects [33].

Finally, to move transcranial current stimulation into regular treatment, large-scale randomized and multi-site controlled studies that integrate these techniques into traditional methods such as pharmacological treatment and psycho-cognitive therapy should be conducted. Non-invasive brain stimulation is an awaited complement to the weak therapeutic arsenal of AD. Moreover, future large-scale clinical studies may demonstrate its efficiency in other types of dementia [27,33].

References

- He Y, Chen Z, Gong G, Evans A (2009) Neuronal networks in Alzheimer's disease. Neuroscientist 15: 333-350.
- Yu M, Engels MMA, Hillebrand A, van Straaten ECW, Gouw AA, et al. (2017) Selective impairment of hippocampus and posterior hub areas in Alzheimer's disease: An MEG-based multiplex network study. Brain 140: 1466-1485.
- Engels MMA, Yu M, Stam CJ, Gouw AA, van der Flier WM, et al. (2017) Directional information flow in patients with Alzheimer's disease. A sourcespace resting-state MEG study. Neuroimage Clin 15: 673-681.
- Canuet L, Pusil S, Lópe ME, Bajo R, Pineda-Pardo JÁ, et al. (2015) Network disruption and cerebrospinal fluid amyloid-beta and phospho-tau levels in mild cognitive impairment. J Neurosci 35: 10325-10330.
- Mattsson N, Lönneborg A, Boccardi M, Blennow K, Hansson O, et al. (2017) Clinical validity of cerebrospinal fluid Aß42, tau, and phospho-tau as biomarkers for Alzheimer's disease in the context of a structured 5-phase development framework. Neurobiol Aging 52: 196-213.
- Johnson SC, Koscik RL, Jonaitis EM, Clark LR, Mueller KD, et al. (2018) The Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention: A review of findings and current directions. Alzheimers Dement 10: 130-142.
- Stam CJ (2014) Modern network science of neurological disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci 15: 683-695.
- Guillon J, Attal Y, Colliot O, La Corte V, Dubois B, et al. (2017) Loss of brain inter-frequency hubs in Alzheimer's disease. Sci Rep 7: 10879.
- Braun U, Schaefer A, Betzel RF, Tost H, Meyer-Lindenberg A, et al. (2018) From maps to multi-dimensional network mechanisms of mental disorders. Neuron 97: 14-31.
- Bragin A, Engel J, Wilson CL, Fried I, Buzsáki G (1999) High-frequency oscillations in human brain. Hippocampus 9: 137-142.
- 11. Buzsáki G (2005) Theta rhythm of navigation: Link between path integration and landmark navigation, episodic and semantic memory. Hippocampus 15: 827-840.
- Yener GG, Basar E (2013) Brain oscillations as biomarkers in neuropsychiatric disorders: Following an interactive panel discussion and synopsis. Suppl Clin Neurophysiol 62: 343-363.
- 13. Watson BO, Buzsáki G (2015) Neural syntax in mental disorders. Biol Psychiatry 77: 998-1000.
- Aitken P, Zheng Y, Smith PF (2018) The modulation of hippocampal theta rhythm by the vestibular system. J Neurophysiol 119: 548-562.
- Giovanni A, Capone F, di Biase L, Ferreri F (2017) Oscillatory activities in neurological disorders of elderly: Biomarkers to target for neuromodulation. Front Aging Neurosci 9: 189.

- Angulo-Sherman IN, Rodríguez-Ugarte M, Sciacca N, Iáñez E, Azorín JM (2017) Effect of tDCS stimulation of motor cortex and cerebellum on EEG classification of motor imagery and sensorimotor band power. J Neuroengineering Rehabil 14: 31.
- Baxter BS, Edelman BJ, Sohrabpour A, He B (2017) Anodal transcranial direct current stimulation increases bilateral directed brain connectivity during motorimagery based brain-computer interface control. Front Neurosci 11: 691.
- Nitsche MA, Paulus W (2000) Excitability changes induced in the human motor cortex by weak transcranial direct current stimulation. J Physiol 527: 633-639.
- Ferrucci R, Bocci T (2019) Noninvasive cerebellar stimulation as a complement tool to pharmacotherapy. Curr Neuropharmacol 17: 14-20.
- André S, Heinrich S, Kayser F, Menzler K, Kesselring J, et al. (2016) At-home tDCS of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex improves visual short-term memory in mild vascular dementia. J Neurol Sci 369: 185-190.
- 21. Bystad M, Grønli O, Rasmussen ID, Gundersen N, Nordvang L et al. (2016) Transcranial direct current stimulation as a memory enhancer in patients with Alzheimer's disease: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Alzheimers Res Ther 8: 13.
- Mancuso LE, Ilieva IP, Hamilton RH, Farah MJ (2016) Does transcranial direct current stimulation improve healthy working memory?: A meta-analytic. J Cogn Neurosci 28: 1063-1089.
- Marceglia S, Mrakic-Sposta S, Rosa M, Ferrucci R, Mameli F, et al. (2016)
 Transcranial direct current stimulation modulates cortical neuronal activity in
 Alzheimer's disease. Front Neurosci 10: 134.
- Gonsalvez I, Baror R, Fried P, Santarnecchi E, Pascual-Leone A (2017)
 Therapeutic noninvasive brain stimulation in Alzheimer's disease. Curr Alzheimer Res 14: 362-376.
- 25. Liu CS, Rau A, Gallagher, et al. (2017) Using transcranial direct current stimulation to treat symptoms in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Neurodegener Dis Manag 7: 317-329.
- Roncero C, Kniefel, H, Service E, Thiel A, Probst S, et al. (2017) Inferior parietal transcranial direct current stimulation with training improves cognition in anomic Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. Alzheimers Dement 3: 247-253.
- Zhao H, Qiao L, Fan D, Zhang S, Turel O, et al. (2017) Modulation of brain activity with noninvasive transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): Clinical applications and safety concerns. Front Psychol 8: 685.
- Márquez-Ruiz J, Ammann C, Leal-Campanario R, Ruffini G, Gruart A, et al. (2016) Synthetic tactile perception induced by transcranial alternating-current stimulation can substitute for natural sensory stimulus in behaving rabbits. Sci Rep 6: 19753.
- 29. Cheron G, Petit G, Cheron J, Leroy A, Cebolla A, et al. (2016) Brain oscillations in sport: Toward EEG biomarkers of performance. Front Psychol 7: 246.
- Zaehle T, Rach S, Herrmann CS (2010) Transcranial alternating current stimulation enhances individual alpha activity in human EEG. PLoS One 5: e13766.
- Markram H, Lübke J, Frotscher M, Sakmann B (1997) Regulation of synaptic efficacy by coincidence of postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. Science 275: 213-215.
- Miyaguchi S, Otsuru N, Kojima S, Saito K, Inukai Y, et al. (2018) Transcranial alternating current stimulation with gamma oscillations over the primary motor cortex and cerebellar hemisphere improved visuomotor performance. Front Behav Neurosci 12: 132.
- 33. Hansen N (2012) Action mechanisms of transcranial direct current stimulation in Alzheimer's disease and memory loss. Front Psychiatry 3: 48.