

# Emerging Trends in Interventional Radiology for Post-Transplant Hepatic Complications

John Thomas\*

Department of Radiology and Health Science, Singapore

## Abstract

Liver transplantation stands as a critical intervention for individuals with end-stage liver disease, yet post-transplant hepatic complications persist as a significant clinical challenge. This abstract examines the burgeoning trends in interventional radiology (IR) aimed at addressing these complications. IR, leveraging advanced imaging and minimally invasive procedures, plays a pivotal role in the management of post-transplant hepatic complications. This review highlights the latest trends in the field, including innovative embolization techniques, advancements in stenting solutions, refined image-guided drainage procedures, personalized treatment planning through 3D printing, thermal ablation progressions, integration of artificial intelligence, and the increasing use of telemedicine for consultations. These trends hold promise in enhancing patient outcomes, minimizing complications, and broadening access to specialized care for liver transplant recipients. Effective collaboration among interventional radiologists, transplant surgeons, and healthcare professionals remains imperative in harnessing these advancements for optimal patient care.

**Keywords:** Interventional Radiology; Hepatic Complications; Liver transplantation; Artificial intelligence

## Introduction

Liver transplantation is a life-saving procedure for patients with end-stage liver disease. While the surgical techniques for transplantation have advanced significantly over the years, post-transplant complications can still occur, posing challenges for both patients and healthcare providers. Interventional radiology, a rapidly evolving field, has become an indispensable tool in diagnosing and treating hepatic complications following liver transplantation. In this article, we explore some of the emerging trends in interventional radiology for managing post-transplant hepatic complications [1].

The main advantage is the ability to treat the common complications via a percutaneous minimally invasive manner reducing the need for further surgery with the aim of preserving the function of the transplanted liver. As a result, interventional radiologists have become an important member in the multidisciplinary transplantation team. The commonest method of liver transplantation is an orthotopic type (OLT) where the donor organ is placed in the same anatomical location as the original.

## The role of interventional radiology

Interventional radiology (IR) involves the use of advanced imaging techniques, such as fluoroscopy, ultrasound, and CT scans, to guide minimally invasive procedures [2]. In the context of liver transplantation, IR plays a vital role in diagnosing and treating various complications that may arise after the surgery. These complications can include vascular issues, biliary complications, and infections. Let's delve into some of the emerging trends in this field: **Trans arterial embolization (TAE) and Trans arterial chemoembolization (TACE):** TAE and TACE are techniques that use catheters to access blood vessels supplying the liver. These procedures have evolved with the introduction of new embolic agents and drug-eluting beads. IR specialists can precisely target and treat post-transplant complications such as hepatic artery thrombosis and hepatic malignancies [3].

**Advanced stent technology:** The development of novel stent designs and materials has improved the management of complications like biliary strictures and leaks. Covered self-expandable metallic stents (SEMS) and drug-eluting stents (DES) are gaining popularity in the

management of biliary complications, allowing for better patency and reduced complications.

**Image-guided drainage procedures:** IR-guided percutaneous drainage procedures are becoming increasingly sophisticated. Emerging trends include the use of robot-assisted techniques and real-time image fusion, which enhance precision and minimize complications in managing fluid collections and abscesses [4].

**3D printing and patient-specific models:** 3D printing technology is being utilized to create patient-specific anatomical models, which help interventional radiologists plan and execute complex procedures with greater accuracy. This trend is especially valuable in intricate cases involving vascular reconstructions.

**Image-guided thermal ablation:** Techniques such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA) and microwave ablation (MWA) are being used to treat hepatocellular carcinoma and other hepatic malignancies. Emerging trends focus on refining the technology and optimizing treatment protocols for better outcomes [5].

**Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning:** AI and machine learning algorithms are being integrated into IR workflows. These technologies assist in image analysis, procedural planning, and outcome prediction, ultimately enhancing the precision and efficiency of interventions [6].

**Telemedicine and remote consultations:** Telemedicine has become more prevalent in the field of IR, enabling specialists to provide consultation and guidance remotely. This has proven especially valuable in regions with limited access to interventional radiology expertise [7].

**\*Corresponding author:** John Thomas, Department of Radiology and Health science, Singapore, E-mail: Thomasjahn124@gmail.com

**Received:** 04-Sep-2023, Manuscript No: roa-23-115217, **Editor assigned:** 06-Sep-2023, Pre-QC No: roa-23-115217 (PQ), **Reviewed:** 18-Sep-2023, QC No: roa-23-115217, **Revised:** 25-Sep-2023, Manuscript No: roa-23-115217 (R), **Published:** 30-Sep-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2167-7964.1000487

**Citation:** Thomas J (2023) Emerging Trends in Interventional Radiology for Post-Transplant Hepatic Complications. OMICS J Radiol 12: 487.

**Copyright:** © 2023 Thomas J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

## Discussion

The underlying causes for the development of portal hypertension in these patients include recurrence of the underlying liver disease, organ size mismatch, increased vascular resistance or impaired venous outflow, and transplant rejection. Therefore, the indications for TIPS are similar as those in no transplant patients with the main significant difference being the altered anatomy. In patients that have had the piggy-back surgical anastomosis, the normal transjugular approach for TIPS may not be suitable. We have presented our experience in a busy transplant centre and reviewed the related literature [8]. The main advantage to these procedures is that they can be performed via a percutaneous approach often negating the need for further surgery and extending the life of the liver transplant. Although these procedures do carry some morbidity- and mortality-related complications, they are generally less than related to surgery and have a proven track record [9]. The role of the interventional radiologist in the management of these patients has increased and will continue to do so due to the developing technology and endovascular treatment options available. The increasing long-term survival of these patients will likely also lead to further interventional procedures due to recurrence of symptoms with repeated procedures on some patients. There is also an increasing experience in rarer procedures such as TIPS in post-liver transplant patients with these patients providing a differing challenge than the normal cohort as described in the paper. We hope that this paper will be a reminder for all those clinicians who may be dealing with post-transplant patients and highlight the alternative options available to these patients [10].

## Conclusion

Interventional radiology has made remarkable strides in the diagnosis and treatment of post-transplant hepatic complications. The emerging trends in this field are driven by innovations in technology, improved imaging techniques, and a deeper understanding of the intricacies of liver transplantation. These trends promise to further enhance patient outcomes, reduce complications, and expand the reach of expert care to patients worldwide.

As IR continues to evolve, close collaboration between interventional radiologists, transplant surgeons, and other healthcare professionals will be essential to ensuring the best possible outcomes for patients undergoing liver transplantation and facing post-transplant hepatic complications.

## References

1. Rogers L, Barani I, Chamberlain M, Kaley TJ, McDermott M, et al. (2015) Meningiomas: knowledge base, treatment outcomes, and uncertainties. A RANO review. *J Neurosurg* 122: 4-23.
2. Sahgal A, Weinberg V, Ma L, Chang E, Chao S, et al. (2013) Probabilities of radiation myelopathy specific to stereotactic body radiation therapy to guide safe practice. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 85: 341-347.
3. Goldsmith BJ, Wara WM, Wilson CB, Larson DA (1994) Postoperative irradiation for subtotally resected meningiomas. A retrospective analysis of 140 patients treated from 1967 to 1990. *J Neurosurg* 80: 195-201.
4. Rogers L, Zhang P, Vogelbaum MA, Perry A, Ashby LS, et al. (2018) Intermediate-risk meningioma: initial outcomes from NRG Oncology RTOG 0539. *J Neurosurg* 129: 35-47.
5. Combs SE, Adeberg S, Dittmar JO, Welzel T, Rieken S, et al. (2017) Skull base meningiomas: long-term results and patient self-reported outcome in 507 patients treated with fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) or intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT). *BMC Cancer* 17: 254.
6. Buerki RA, Horbinski CM, Kruser T, Horowitz PM, James CD, et al. (2018) An overview of meningiomas. *Future Oncol* 14: 2161-2177.
7. Walcott BP, Nahed BV, Brastianos PK, Loeffler JS (2013) Radiation Treatment for WHO Grade II and III Meningiomas. *Front Oncol* 3: 227.
8. Anvari K, Hosseini S, Rahighi S, Toussi MS, Roshani N, et al. (2016) Intracranial meningiomas: Prognostic factors and treatment outcome in patients undergoing postoperative radiation therapy. *Adv Biomed Res* 5: 83.
9. Pollock BE, Stafford SL, Utter A, Giannini C, Schreiner SA, et al. (2003) Stereotactic radiosurgery provides equivalent tumor control to Simpson Grade 1 resection for patients with small- to medium-size meningiomas. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 55: 1000-1005.
10. Combs SE, Schulz-Ertner D, Moschos D, Welzel T, Rieken S, et al. (2013) Skull base meningiomas: Long-term results and patient self-reported outcome in 507 patients treated with fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy (FSRT) or intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT). *Radiother Oncol* 106: 186-191.