

Enhancing Palliative Radiotherapy: Strategies to Overcome Barriers and Improve Patient Care

Smith Mmth*

Department of Radiotherapy, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, United States

Abstract

This literature review explores the barriers hindering the widespread implementation of palliative radiotherapy (PRT) in cancer care and proposes strategies to enhance its efficacy and availability. The study emphasizes the importance of specialized training for palliative care specialists in PRT and palliative care education for radiation oncologists. Additionally, the introduction of dedicated pathways and organizational models for PRT is recommended to streamline its integration into palliative care. The article underscores the need for comprehensive education and training to overcome these barriers, ultimately improving the quality of life for patients with advanced cancer.

Keywords: Palliative radiotherapy; Cancer-related symptoms; Symptom management; Supportive care; Palliative care; Radiation oncologists; Specialized training; Palliative care specialists; Quality of life

Introduction

Palliative radiotherapy (PRT) plays a pivotal role in the management of cancer-related symptoms, offering relief and improved quality of life to patients with advanced cancer. However, despite its recognized efficacy, the widespread implementation of PRT is impeded by various barriers that may hinder patient support during treatment. Proposed strategies include specialized training for supportive and palliative care specialists in PRT and palliative care training for radiation oncologists [1].

Specialized training for supportive and palliative care specialists: One key barrier to the effective use of PRT in palliative care is the lack of specialized training for supportive and palliative care specialists in radiotherapy techniques. Palliative care specialists are often well-versed in managing symptoms through medications and psychosocial support, but they may not have the necessary expertise in PRT [2]. To address this gap, specialized training programs can be developed to educate palliative care teams about the principles and practices of PRT.

These programs should focus on educating palliative care specialists about the indications for PRT, the potential benefits, and the management of common radiation-related side effects [3]. By enhancing the knowledge and skills of these professionals, patients can receive a more comprehensive and coordinated approach to symptom management, integrating both pharmaceutical and radiation-based interventions.

Palliative care training for radiation oncologists

Conversely, radiation oncologists who are primarily trained to deliver curative treatments may benefit from additional education in palliative care principles. While they are experts in radiotherapy techniques, radiation oncologists may not always have the expertise to address complex symptom management and psychosocial support effectively [4-6]. To bridge this gap, incorporating palliative care training into the curriculum of radiation oncologists during their residency and continuous medical education is crucial. Radiation oncologists should be equipped not only to plan and deliver PRT but also to assess and manage symptoms, rapidly refer patients to specialists for complex symptoms, and collaborate effectively in multidisciplinary palliative care teams. This comprehensive education can ensure that PRT is seamlessly integrated into the overall care plan for patients with

advanced cancer.

Dedicated pathways and organizational models

The introduction of dedicated pathways and organizational models for palliative radiotherapy (PRT) is a crucial step towards enhancing its effectiveness and ensuring that it becomes an integral part of palliative care for patients with advanced cancer [7]. These pathways and models serve as structured frameworks that guide healthcare providers in the strategic and coordinated use of PRT in the palliative setting.

Clear guidelines for appropriate use: Dedicated pathways for PRT offer clear and standardized guidelines for healthcare providers, including radiation oncologists and palliative care specialists, regarding the appropriate utilization of this treatment modality. These guidelines help in identifying which patients would benefit the most from PRT, considering factors such as the type and stage of cancer, the presence of specific symptoms, and the patient's overall condition. Having well-defined criteria for PRT eligibility ensures that it is administered when it is most likely to provide significant symptom relief and improve the patient's quality of life. This, in turn, prevents unnecessary radiation therapy in cases where its benefits may be limited [8].

Informed decision-making: These pathways enable informed decision-making by healthcare teams. They provide valuable insights into the potential benefits and risks associated with PRT, allowing healthcare providers to discuss treatment options with patients and their families more comprehensively [9]. Informed decision-making ensures that patients are actively involved in their care and can make choices that align with their preferences and goals.

Integration with supportive therapies: Organizational models that integrate PRT with other supportive therapies, such as hospice and palliative care services, are instrumental in optimizing patient care.

*Corresponding author: Smith Mmth, Department of Radiotherapy, Henry Ford Health System, Detroit, United States, E-mail: michsmith@hfhs.org

Received: 28-Aug-2023, Manuscript No. jpcm-23-115288; **Editor assigned:** 30-Aug-2023, PreQC No. jpcm-23-115288(PQ); **Reviewed:** 13-Sep-2023, QC No. jpcm-23-115288; **Revised:** 19-Sep-2023, Manuscript No. jpcm-23-115288(R); **Published:** 26-Sep-2023, DOI: 10.4172/2165-7386.1000563

Citation: Smith M (2023) Enhancing Palliative Radiotherapy: Strategies to Overcome Barriers and Improve Patient Care. J Palliat Care Med 13: 563.

Copyright: © 2023 Smith M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

This integration fosters a holistic approach to patient management, recognizing that cancer care extends beyond radiation treatment alone. By combining PRT with other forms of supportive care, patients receive a comprehensive and well-rounded treatment plan that addresses not only their physical symptoms but also their psychosocial and emotional needs [10]. This synergy between treatment modalities can lead to more effective symptom management and an overall improved quality of life for patients with advanced cancer.

Enhanced communication and coordination: Perhaps one of the most significant advantages of these organizational models is the improvement in communication and coordination among healthcare providers. PRT is often just one piece of the puzzle in the complex care of patients with advanced cancer. When it is seamlessly integrated with other palliative care services, healthcare teams collaborate more effectively [11-15]. This improved coordination ensures that patients receive timely and appropriate interventions. For example, if a patient's symptoms worsen or change, the communication channels are open for swift adjustments to the treatment plan, including PRT if necessary. This proactive approach prevents delays in care and minimizes the burden on patients and their families.

Conclusion

In conclusion, palliative radiotherapy is a valuable tool for alleviating cancer-related symptoms and improving the quality of life for patients with advanced cancer. However, the implementation of PRT in palliative care faces various barriers that can hinder its widespread use and impact patient support during treatment. To address these barriers and enhance the quality of PRT, specialized training for supportive and palliative care specialists in PRT and palliative care training for radiation oncologists should be prioritized. Additionally, the introduction of dedicated pathways and organizational models for PRT can improve its integration into palliative care. While evidence on innovative organizational models and training experiences remains limited, existing studies highlight the advantages of integrating PRT with supportive therapies. To collaborate in multidisciplinary palliative care teams. These frameworks provide clear guidelines for its use, promote informed decision-making, and facilitate integration with other supportive therapies. By doing so, they foster improved communication and coordination among healthcare providers, ultimately benefiting the well-being of patients and their families facing the challenges of advanced cancer. By implementing these strategies, healthcare providers can work together to overcome barriers and ensure that PRT is readily available and effectively utilized in the care of patients with advanced cancer, ultimately improving their quality of life during challenging times.

Acknowledgement:

None.

Conflict of interest:

Author declares no conflict of interest.

References

1. Todd A, Al-Khafaji J, Akhter N, Kasim A, Quibell R, et al. (2018) Missed opportunities: Unnecessary medicine use in patients with lung cancer at the end of life—An international cohort study. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 84:2802-2810.
2. Curtin D, Gallagher P, O'Mahony D (2021) Deprescribing in older people approaching end-of-life: Development and validation of STOPPFrail version 2. *Age Ageing* 50:465-471.
3. Vallianatos S, Huizinga CS (2021) Development of the Dutch Structure for Integrated Children's Palliative Care. *Children* 8:741.
4. Curtin D, Gallagher P, O'Mahony D (2021) Deprescribing in older people approaching end-of-life: Development and validation of STOPPFrail version 2. *Age Ageing* 50:465-471.
5. Lindsay J, Dooley M, Martin J, Fay M, Kearney A, et al. (2015) The development and evaluation of an oncological palliative care deprescribing guideline: The 'OncPal deprescribing guideline'. *Support Care Cancer Off J Multinatl Assoc* 23:71-78.
6. Bergstrom H, Branvall E, Helde-Frankling M, Bjorkhem-Bergman L (2018) Differences in discontinuation of statin treatment in women and men with advanced cancer disease. *Biol Sex Differ* 9:47.
7. Kutner JS, Blatchford PJ, Taylor DH Jr, Ritchie CS, Bull JH, et al. (2015) Safety and benefit of discontinuing statin therapy in the setting of advanced, life-limiting illness: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 175:691-700.
8. Marrs JC, Kostoff MD (2016) Discontinuation of Statins: What Are the Risks? *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 18:41.
9. Zhukovsky DS, Rozmus CL (2021) Symptom and Illness Experience for English and Spanish-Speaking Children with Advanced Cancer: Child and Parent Perspective. *Children* 8:657.
10. Mekelenkamp H, Schröder T (2021) Specialized Pediatric Palliative Care Services in Pediatric Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplant Centers. *Children* 8:615.
11. Baumann F, Hebert, S (2021) Clinical Characteristics of the End-of-Life Phase in Children with Life-Limiting Diseases: Retrospective Study from a Single Center for Pediatric Palliative Care. *Children* 8:523.
12. Pisani L, Hill NS, Pacilli AMG, Polastri M, Nava S (2018) Management of Dyspnea in the Terminally Ill. *Chest* 154:925-934.
13. Turner JP, Shakib S, Singhal N, Hogan-Doran J, Prowse R, et al. (2014) Statin use and pain in older people with cancer: A cross-sectional study. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 62:1900-1905.
14. Ravindrarajah R, Hazra NC, Hamada S, Charlton J, Jackson SHD, et al. (2017) Systolic Blood Pressure Trajectory, Frailty, and All-Cause Mortality >80 Years of Age: Cohort Study Using Electronic Health Records. *Circulation* 135:2357-2368.
15. Meyer-Junco L (2021) Time to Deprescribe: A Time-Centric Model for Deprescribing at End of Life. *J Palliat Med* 24:273-284.