

Evaluation of the Economic Impacts of COVID-19 with a Computable General Equilibrium-Multi-Agent System Model

Sebastien Mathouraparsad^{1*}, Sebastien Regis¹, Bernard Decaluwe² and Pierre Mendy³

¹Department of Economics, University of the Antilles, France

²Department of Economics, Laval University, Canada

³Department of Economics, Cheikh Anta Diop University, Senegal

Abstract

Senegal is a developing country in West Africa whose current economic crisis is intimately linked to the COVID-19 pandemic. Necessary containment measures brought with them a significant economic cost, and de-confinement was designed to obtain a quick economic recovery. At the same time, de-confinement was accompanied by new protective activity (physical distancing, limitations on internet activities, etc.). A lockdown implies a trade off in terms of economic and health impacts. Using a multi-agent model elaborated as an epidemiological model, we evaluate the effect of government policies to counter the COVID-19 pandemic and then use a Computable General Equilibrium model to evaluate the economic impacts of those policies. Those two models are combined in a top-down approach. Finally, we discuss the possibilities offered by a variety of scenarios when a pandemic appears in the economy.

Keywords: Senegal; Pandemy; Lockdown; CGE model; Agent based model

Introduction

Context of the study

Senegal is a developing country in West Africa whose current economic crisis is intimately linked to the COVID-19 pandemic. Globally, containment measures brought with them a significant economic cost, and de-confinement measures initiated by several countries were designed to bring about a quick economic recovery. At the same time, de-confinement was accompanied by new protective measures (physical distancing, working at home, etc.).

Changes in the proportion of healthy vs. infected people can affect economic activity and lead to such effects as reductions in productivity.

Research questions and objectives

Using a multi-agent model, we evaluated the effect of government policies to counter the pandemic. Most countries, especially low and middle income countries, were not prepared to face COVID-19 and were surprised by its spread across their territories. Thus, in addition to the advice given by national and international scientists specializing in biology, medicine, or economy, simulation and forecasting tools make it possible to understand the nature of the crisis and eventually to manage it in the short, medium, and long term [1].

Simulation technics are many and has been used in different context [2,3,4]. Many of these tools come from mathematical modeling, using for example, the SIR model and its extensions [5,6,7,8]. Some, such as neural networks, come from the field of artificial intelligence deep learning, for instance; see [9] or fuzzy neural networks [10].

We used a multi-agent system (hereafter, MAS) to simulate the effect of non-compliance to infection-prevention measures after de-confinement in Senegal. In computer science, MAS [11] is a distributed artificial intelligence system composed of a set of agents (each of which represents a process, a robot, a human being, etc.) that is placed in a particular environment and acts according to specific relationships.

The epidemiological model that we used was calibrated on Senegalese data and is particularly powerful because it allowed us to predict the

evolution of the pandemic, taking into account factors that were favorable to its reduction or to its expansion. As one example, respect for physical distancing by a certain percentage of the population will reduce the percentage of infected people. Conversely, large gatherings (e.g., unlimited access to beaches) could favor the expansion of the pandemic. Depending on the kind of measures imposed by the Senegalese authorities and the way the population reacted to the prescription of health authorities, the model made it possible to project the virulence of the pandemic and to measure the potential number of people affected.

General-equilibrium models have already been proposed to measure the impact of lockdown measures. Among these, the complete closure of certain non-priority sectors not only reduces production to zero during the months of containment but generate also, as an indirect effect, substantial reduction of production (with a resultant loss of employment) in non-confined sectors as well.

Mathouraparsad and Decaluwe identified the main economic channels through which the effects of the pandemic are felt and suggested ways to analyze these transmission mechanisms [12]. In addition to the supply shocks that affect non-priority sectors, other sectors may be affected by a decline in final demand. Declines in investment or postponements of productive investment are certainly important, but reduction in household income and consumption following job losses is equally significant. In addition, restrictions on international trade because of diminished global demand (including tourism) can have major effects on economic systems.

***Corresponding author:** Sebastien Mathouraparsad, Department of Economics, University of the Antilles, France, E-mail: sebastien.mathouraparsad@univ-antilles.fr

Received: September 09, 2021; **Accepted:** September 23, 2021; **Published:** September 30, 2021

Citation: Mathouraparsad S, Regis S, Decaluwe B, Mendy P (2021) Evaluation of the Economic Impacts of COVID-19 with a Computable General Equilibrium-Multi-Agent System Model. J Comm Med Health Educ 11: 721.

Copyright: © 2021 Mathouraparsad S, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

From our point of view, however, COVID-related distancing and other protective measures appear to have resulted in several simultaneous phenomena, all of which have affected the functioning of the labor market: (1) Telework and all forms of remote work; (2) Work stoppage for health reasons; (3) Time spent on health measures (Hand washing, Wearing sanitary equipment, Dressing and Undressing); and (4) Camouflaged or legal absenteeism (Workers' right of refusal).

Our research used an original model of pandemic spread calibrated on Senegalese data to infer the economic consequences of a laissez-faire strategy (In which no protective measures were employed) versus a lockdown strategy. An additional objective was to compare the effects on GDP of mitigation and recovery measures introduced by Senegalese authorities.

Materials and Method

The model is composed of two modules. One is an epidemiologic model based on the MAS approach. The model generates results on the evolution of the number of infected individuals and computes the ratio of sick people who are unable to work. Absenteeism is next introduced in each activity, and the CGE model simulates the effects on the rest of the economy.

A multi-agent system (MAS)

Silva used a MAS to simulate the health and economic effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, and Bouchnita and Jebrane employed a MAS to simulate the effects of lockdown by introducing age and comorbidities as risk factors [13,14]. Our approach also used a MAS, which had already been used in another region [15], together with risk factors (age, hypertension, and diabetes) to simulate the COVID-19 epidemic in Senegal.

For the MAS, we used and modified a model implemented on the NetLogo platform [16] called epiDEM Travel and Control [17,18,19] epiDEM, an epidemic model developed on NetLogo. Ease of adaptation and of redoing simulations, especially for countries with low resources, justified the choice of the well-known NetLogo platform and an open-access multi-agent epidemiological model. The epiDEM Travel and Control model focuses on the spread of a disease among agents who can travel among different regions (or not) while observing infected, recovered, and possibly quarantined people.

We characterize infected people by classifying them into two groups (mild and critical) according to age and risk factors. In our simulation, each agent represented a group of persons in the same age range. We adjust the parameters of the original model to allow free movement of people whether or not they were infected (no social distancing or quarantine) unless the scenario imposed lockdown. Each agent represented a group of 10,000 Senegalese. According to medical data [20], average-recovery-time—representing the average duration of the disease was thirty-seven days. In addition, because of the very high density of the Dakar region (the City of Dakar and its administrative region), we took population density into account as a factor of propagation.

For the start of the pandemic, and given the small number of identified cases when we launched the simulation (around 2,000 in May 2020), we multiplied the number of positive tests cases by eight to yield slightly fewer than 20,000 cases, or two infected agents (this calculation was based on studies that calculated the number of real cases). These two infected agents were introduced at the start of the simulation because the Dakar region is potentially the one that receives the “imported cases”, that is the infected people from abroad.

Specifics of the model

We distinguished two factors of production composite labor and composite capital. The composite labor factor was disaggregated into six segments according to level of qualification and a gender dimension.

A sector's production function is expressed as a Leontief-type function that combines fixed volume shares of value added and intermediate consumption. On the other hand, value added is a constant elasticity of substitution (CES) function that combines composite labor and composite capital. Composite labor is a CES function combining six categories of labor.

The initial factor endowments of households play an important role in transmitting the effects of the shock to households. Each household receives a share of factor income from unskilled labor, medium-skilled labor, high-skilled labor, and private capital. A fixed proportion of transfers from the government, the rest of the world, and other households, in addition to dividends paid by firms, also go to households. The structure of consumption that is affected by price changes is also decisive in the transmission of the effects of the shock to households.

The consumption of each product is valued at the price of the composite good. It is a LES-type function known as the Stone Geary linear expenditure system. It distinguishes between incompressible and discretionary consumption. The specificity of this demand function is that it includes a minimum basket of consumption that is, the volume of a product that the consumer must have available to maintain a minimum standard of living.

Life may not have a price, but it does have a cost. For decision makers, balancing between infections and growth is a challenge. We introduced an objective function of the government, assuming that government wanted to minimize both the loss generated by a reduction in production and the number of infected people. Our indicator of the magnitude of the loss is the following:

$$\text{Loss} = (1 - \alpha)\text{growth} - \alpha \text{Inf}$$

With Inf the number of infected people, growth the economic growth, α —the weight of the sanitary situation in the loss function which is calibrated to 0.15, meaning a greater importance to the economic situation.

This loss function determines opportunity cost by revealing the level of economic loss (decline in GDP) that can be reconciled with an increase in the number of infected cases. A decision-maker who wants to minimize loss can use this indicator to determine the extent of economic support required to achieve a level of GDP consistent with a given number of infected cases.

$$\frac{d\text{Inf}}{d\text{growth}} = 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

Modelling the labour market

On one hand, we segmented the labor market according to three levels of qualification the highly qualified (employees who had obtained at least a baccalaureate), the moderately qualified (those who had completed at least the primary-school cycle), and the unskilled (those with no education or those who had not completed the primary-school cycle). These were respectively distributed according to gender (men and women) to distinguishing 6 segments of the labor market.

On the other hand, we took into account the rigid character of the labor market by introducing unemployment endogenously in accordance with the wage-curve approach developed by [21]. Workers were assumed to be mobile within activities but not between segments of the labor market.

Composite labor demand is the level of total employment by sector using a CES aggregating function and distinguishing the combinations of worker types

$$LDC_j = B_j \left[\sum_l \theta_{lj}^{LD} L_{lj}^{LD} \right]^{\frac{1}{\sigma_j^{LD}}} \quad (2)$$

With LDC_j the composite labor demand in sector j L_{lj} the labor demand in workers of type l in sector j B_j the scale parameter, θ_{lj}^{LD} is the distributive share and σ_j^{LD} the elasticity of substitution.

We assume that the productivity of workers may be affected by the evolution of the disease. If a worker is infected he or she is out of the labor market. This creates absenteeism in the industry. To capture this aspect, we assign to the distributive share of workers demand θ_{lj}^{LD} a rate τ_l defined as the ratio of the number of infected cases by type l LDI_{lj} to the total number L_{lj} of type l workers in each activity.

$$\theta_{lj}^{LD} = \beta_{lj}^{LD} (1 - \tau_l) \quad (3)$$

$$\tau_l = \frac{\sum_j L_{lj} D_{lj}}{\sum_j L_{lj}} \quad (4)$$

With β_{lj}^{LD} the distributive share of the labor demand for type l workers in the sector j . This distributive share is calibrated with the data from the SAM. At the initial stage, the absenteeism rate τ_l is equal to zero. The number of infected workers LDI_{lj} is generated by the MAS.

Calibration and closure

The specification of the production functions, the behavior of household consumption, and import and export demand and supply require parameters that include the income elasticity of demand, the Frisch parameter, the elasticity of substitution between capital and labor, the elasticity of substitution between imported and local products, the CET elasticity between external and local sales and, finally, the elasticity of foreign demand. The exchange rate (the numeraire) and the current account balance in foreign currency are fixed.

The specification of the production functions, the behavior of household consumption, and import and export demand and supply require parameters that include the income elasticity of demand, the Frisch parameter, the elasticity of substitution between capital and labor, the elasticity of substitution between imported and local products, the CET elasticity between external and local sales and, finally, the elasticity of foreign demand. The exchange rate (the numeraire) and the current account balance in foreign currency are fixed.

Simulation exercises

In the aftermath of containment, most countries lifted the majority of restrictions with the exception of handwashing and social-distancing measures. As people were freed to move or travel, they carried the virus across borders. An upsurge of cases was then observed which led to a sharp increase in the number of patients. First, we simulated an exogenous increase in “imported” infections in the epidemiologic model to generate the number of ill workers and then introduced absenteeism into the sectors.

Laissez-faire scenario

In the “Laissez faire” scenario, we were interested in the effects of the

opening of borders and the multiplication of “imported” cases of COVID (that is, tourists or returning Senegalese who had been infected abroad. Our MAS simulation tool is able to evaluate the number of infected cases, the number of severe cases, and their distribution according to sex and age. All the calculations take into account the epidemiologic characteristics of the Senegalese population. The results show variations in patient rate by sector. Indeed, the MAS represents agents according to their status as active or inactive workers and distinguishes active workers by sector.

Lockdown scenario

In the Lockdown scenario, we considered a containment measure imposed by the authorities following the introduction of an initial case of the COVID. However, we assumed that a certain proportion of the population would not respect the sanitary prescription. In the absence of an estimate for Senegal, we approximate this proportion using information coming from in other countries. In our calculation we assume that 15 % of the population will not follow the measures imposed by the sanitary authorities.

The MAS simulated containment scenarios according to the amount of time between the start of the pandemic and containment measure (it could correspond to day, weeks or months) In the presentation of the results, we retained solely the immediate containment hypothesis as if the government decide on measure very quickly after the beginning of the pandemic. This was, however, obtained at the price of repercussions for economic activity. Containment implies the cessation of certain activities. There is, therefore, an exogenous supply shock. Basing our work on Mathouraparsad and Decaluwé, we introduced a multidimensional shock on both the demand and the supply sides [12].

A multidimensional supply shock

Measures to prevent the spread of COVID-19 are well known social distancing, closing of enterprises, compulsory confinement of the population, bans on movement, etc. It can probably be said that this is the first time in economic history that governments have taken the decision to stop producing goods and services altogether in many sectors. In doing so, the COVID-19 pandemic did not simply slow growth, as was the case in previous pandemics, but halted the production of entire sectors of the economy with immediate consequences for household incomes.

In order to measure the impact of these measures on the economy, we divided the industries into two distinct groups:

- “Priority” activities that were allowed to continue
- “Non-priority” activities that faced a ban

Classification of industries

Sectors that were allowed to remain open are considered “priority” sectors because they provide products or services deemed essential, including activities linked to the food chain, agriculture, food processing, grocery distribution, bakeries and other food producers; the food industry; and those that involve energy, financial services, telecommunications, etc. These sectors continued to operate “normally” and to respond to household demand even as they were subjected to chain reactions that revealed the interdependencies of economic systems. Thus, as a ripple effect, these activities (such as transport or fuel sales) were affected by the cessation of production in other sectors.

Secondly, “non-priority” sectors closed either because they could not meet the criterion of social distancing in their activities or because containment measures were aimed directly at them (highways, Port and airport, civil engineering, hotels and restaurants, drink services,

non-traded services, other services). In the end, services such as these were most heavily penalized.

In the CGE, short term wage rates are fixed, and the labor market adjustment is Keynesian. The increase (protected sectors) or decrease (priority and non-priority sectors) in labor demand results in a decrease or increase in unemployment.

In terms of simulation, we captured the effects of two month of confinement via a fall in the output of non-priority sectors equivalent to two months of production. It was therefore assumed that output during this period was zero or on average -17.0% of the annual production level

Loss of productivity in “protected” and “priority” sectors

Lockdown measures directly affect worker productivity through a variety of channels. Distancing measures, while possible in some sectors of activity, may be difficult to implement in others and may ultimately lead to a complete cessation of activities, with workers exercising their right to withdraw or businesses simply deciding to close. Health institutions and professionals may lack resources and some medical personnel may be infected, any of which may affect their labor productivity.

Moreover, an increase in the number of infections reduces the number of available workers, and legal regulations may mean that industries do not want to or are unable to replace workers in the short term. The economic shock will also depend upon the structure of the economic system; overall, however, absenteeism reduces the number of workers available. The sectors suffering from a reduction in the number of workers will increase pressure on available workers as they become relatively scarcer on the market. Businesses could adjust by requesting more effort from healthy workers and paying supplementary wages.

In the CGE, we changed the labor parameter in our production functions. The degree of decline in productivity varied from one industry to another and affected output differently, taking into account the nature of the technologies. The diagram of transmission mechanisms of the shock can be summarized as follows:

A lockdown period leads to production stoppage in “non-priority” sectors;

The resulting drop in production leads, by a knock-on effect, to a reduction in intermediate demand, a component of total demand for the “priority” sectors;

The decline of production in priority and non-priority sectors leads, at constant wages, to a reduction of employment, an increase in the number of unemployed, and a reduction in labor income; and

The drop in labor productivity generated by infected workers leads to a loss in total factor productivity that varies among sectors.

A multi-dimensional demand shock

In economic jargon, it is said that demand plays a “multiplier” role in economic activity, increasing production when the shock is positive and acting in the opposite direction when there is a downturn. We focused on two components of demand household consumption and demand from the rest of the world.

A Change in household behavior

During the COVID pandemic and related lockdowns, consumer behaviour is ambiguous, and the composition of the consumer’s market basket is affected.

First, a “cold” panic movement causes a rush on shops and an over-consumption of so called “priority” goods for fear of shortages (food, medications). This behavior, even if it takes the form of panic that causes queues, is completely rational. Uncertainty as to the duration of the pandemic and the decisions that governments may take provoke a precautionary reflex among consumers to stockpile essential and even non-essential products. At that point, as a sudden increase in demand creates a scarcity of products or brings about rationing (toilet paper, gas, etc.), the fear of shortage can actually cause a shortage.

On the other hand, consumers become scarcer in some markets during the period of confinement, and restrictions on mobility (border closures, limitations on regional mobility) may materialize in a drop in demand for certain food products (fresh produce, fish products, agricultural, etc.). This reduction leads to an oversupply, which pushes some producers to organize special operations to dispose of stock. In some cases producers of fresh meat, fruit, or vegetables may decide to destroy their production to avoid an excess of supply. The impact of all of these maneuvers on prices is therefore difficult to predict.

We must also expect a reduction in the consumption of so called “non-essential” goods and services. Obviously, lockdowns and production stoppages greatly reduce the need for transportation of both goods and people. Spending for durable goods (household appliances, renovation, clothing, e.g.) will be postponed, as will consumption of leisure and entertainment services (restaurants, shows, tourist trips, etc.) because these services are no longer provided.

In the absence of more precise local information, we assumed in the CGE that the rush on necessities (in particular, food) is equivalent to two month’s extra consumption (i.e., on average +17.0% of the annual budget for these products).

The consumption of non-essential goods is reduced proportionally to free up a budget sufficient to cover necessities. Finally, certain categories of expenditure are deemed to be incompressible, and their share in the budget cannot be changed (rents, fixed monthly fees, etc.).

Transactions with foreign countries

For many developing and developed countries, foreign transactions play an important role in economic activity. As a result of the global nature of the COVID-19 crisis, measures to contain and reduce activity in neighboring or partner countries can have a significant impact on national activity.

In this respect, the computation of sector based export intensities will give a precise idea of one channel of transmission of demand shock represented by a reduction in economic activity in the partner countries. Thus, even if local containment lasts only two months, the international effects of the pandemic could include reduced demand and lower prices for a much longer period.

The onset of the pandemic rapidly led to the imposition of significant restrictions and containment measures on travelers all over the world. These measures brought vacation travel to a standstill, and airports were emptied. The drop in tourist numbers produced a decline in activity in the hotel and restaurant sectors because travelers generally devote almost half of their budget to commercial services (hotels, restaurants, car rental, local transport, etc.).

Results

Comparison of the results between the two scenarios reveals that imposing lockdown within 24 hours of the onset of a pandemic drastically reduces the number of infections. According to the MAS, this is

a decline from 117 agents to 54, a decrease of around 630,000 patients. A smaller effect on worker productivity is noted in Lockdown scenario compared to the Laisser-Faire, given the lower number of patients. (Table 1)

Product	Laisser faire	Lockdown
Cereal	-0.079	-0.014
Tubleg	-0.085	-0.035
Fruitpf	-0.029	-0.043
Araolea	-0.056	-0.031
Autag	-0.057	-0.057
Bovovcap	-0.106	-0.041
Volail	-0.083	-0.017
Autelev	-0.05	-0.017
Pechpaqua	-0.078	-0.067
Extract	-0.087	-0.047
Viandp	-0.083	-0.033
Gras	-0.05	-0.033
Grains	-0.1	-0.014
Cfruitleg	0	0
Indlaitgl	-0.08	-0.02
Autalim	-0.076	-0.036
Autindna	-0.069	-0.032
Autorout	-0.133	-0.067
Portaero	-1	-0.7
Autgcivil	-0.1	-0.033
Autconstruc	-0.062	-0.031
Hotel	-0.04	-0.04
Restau	-0.033	-0.033
Telecom	-0.067	-0.052
NTSER	-0.069	-0.041
SRP	-0.083	-0.043
Autserv	-0.077	-0.031

Table 1: Variation of patient rate by sector (%)

In Laisser-faire scenario, we assumed a government that was totally passive in the face of the pandemic. An increase in the number of infected cases reduced the number of people available to work, taking into account legal regulations that may make it unappealing or impossible to replace workers in the short term. Economic shock will depend upon the structure of the economic system, but absenteeism will globally reduce the number of available workers. The sectors suffering from a reduction in the number of workers increase pressure on available workers who become relatively scarce on the market (Table 2).

Product	Laisser faire	Lockdown
Cereal	-10.885	-11.366
Araolea	-5.979	-10.064
Volail	-7.787	-4.812
Extract	-34.475	-25.101

Grains	-31.402	-7.234
Autalim	-27.656	-22.517
Portaero	-100	-46.351
Hotel	-16.262	-27.464
NTSER	-19.16	-23.155
Tubleg	-11.59	-14.926
Autag	-6.534	-14.37
Autelev	-3.897	-1.09
Viandp	-28.433	-17.309
Cfruitleg	9.909	2.315
Autindna	-26.528	-15.386
Autgcivil	-18.954	-46.725
Restau	-16.027	-39.364
SRP	-28.241	15.753
Fruitpf	-2.143	-15.649
Bovovcap	-9.131	-10.027
Pechpaqua	-8.488	-13.233
Gras	-14.365	-11.088
Indlaitgl	-29.123	-17.404
Autorout	-23.389	-46.721
Autconstruc	-7.779	-21.072
Telecom	-29.306	-34.459
Autserv	-19.835	-31.245

Table 2: Variation of composite labor demand (%)

In Lockdown scenario, the effects of absenteeism are more important for most sectors because of the shocks related to confinement (see below).

The drop in labor demand will generate a drop in sector based output (Table 3), but the results are of a different magnitude. In Laisser-faire scenario, more absenteeism leads to reduced economic activity compared to Lockdown scenario. In the latter scenario, however, economic activity is affected by a cessation of non-priority activities (supply shock) and by a reduction in household consumption because individuals are confined (demand shock).

Product	Laissez-faire	Lockdown
Cereal	-7.609	-7.977
Araolea	-3.746	-6.559
Volail	-3.691	-2.186
Extract	-13.214	-9.364
Grains	-6.348	-1.352
Autalim	-10.052	-8.068
Portaero	-68.733	-17.000
Hotel	-9.902	-17.037
NTSER	-14.002	-17.000
Tubleg	-8.127	-10.752
Autag	-4.139	-9.796

Autelev	-1.747	-0.469
Viandp	-2.413	-1.408
Cfruitleg	2.397	0.570
Autindna	-8.898	-5.004
Autgcivil	-6.317	-17.000
Restau	-6.507	-17.000
SRP	-6.745	3.330
Fruitpf	-1.311	-10.863
Bovovcap	-4.412	-4.907
Pechpaqua	-5.382	-8.784
Gras	-5.931	-4.544
Indlaitgl	-6.228	-3.580
Autorout	-7.893	-17.000
Autconstruc	-6.210	-17.000
Telecom	-5.999	-7.188
Autserv	-10.540	-17.000

Table 3: Variation in sector-based production (%)

(Table 3) shows the complex effect of the supply and demand shocks. In the laissez-faire scenario lower worker productivity tends to raise product prices. On the contrary in the Lockdown scenario, lower consumer demand tends to further reduce the price of goods (Table 4).

Product	Laissez-faire	Lockdown
Volail	5.073	-8.537
Ble	4.469	-5.91
Rizpaddy	6.691	-12.814
Melonp	8.426	-19.006
Agrum	2.75	-19.6
Acajou	8.95	-2.105
Ovin	7.683	-11.017
Laitbrut	8.168	-14.059
Viandovcap	5.351	-7.321
Rizdecort	6.046	-4.685
Laitass	5.948	-4.404
Autpext	7.53	1.88
Autpchim	4.986	-1.472
Pportaero	201.657	505.126
Peau	7.779	-2.052
Srestau	5.212	-9.032
NTSER	16.282	4.952
Milsorg	7.524	-12.498
Oignon	7.834	-9.613
Mangue	5.975	-11.919
Ara	5.328	-8.027
Autprodag	5.972	-7.791
Bovin	8.636	-11.415

Autpelev	4.54	1.287
Viandvolail	5.878	-6.286
Farinmils	7.386	-6.343
Autpalim	7.364	-1.531
Tabac	9.109	-2.876
Pmanuf	6.442	2.97
Pautgcivil	8.045	-3.861
Pelec	7.481	-1.616
Stelecom	5.132	-5.34
SRP	8.542	22.051
Mais	4.736	-11.609
Tomat	7.702	-12.395
Banane	3.584	-15.342
Pech	7.59	-3.054
Caprin	8.396	-16.751
Viandbov	5.667	-6.365
Huilbara	9.338	-2.552
Tomatcons	3.236	-5.989
Zircon	16.442	5.914
Azengrais	6.368	-0.284
Pautorout	10.189	-2.128
Paconstr	8.192	1.506
Shotel	8.249	-1.585
Autserv	16.711	-3.407

Table 4: Variation of price by market (%)

In the Laissez-faire scenario, as the market prices of local goods rise, agents are shifted to relatively cheaper imported goods. In the lockdown scenario, the fall in household consumption demand concerns both local and imported goods (Table 5).

Product	Laissez-faire	Lockdown
Volail	3.567	-20.025
Milsorg	4.7	-26.93
Oignon	4.98	-23.958
Ara	3.945	-17.79
Ovin	6.209	-18.573
Viandbov	4.337	-15.205
Tomatcons	1.941	-13.448
Autpext	-4.705	-4.72
Autpchim	-4.632	-10.46
Pelec	3.539	-10.917
SRP	5.454	39.329
Mais	-4.496	-24.297
Banane	1.331	-36.679
Autprodag	1.682	-17.906
Bovin	9.536	-19.842

Viandovcap	3.248	-18.197
Laitass	1.679	-11.336
Tabac	6.176	-13.827
Pmanuf	0.078	4.482
Stelecom	1.468	-14.992
Ble	-5.348	-5.795
Rizpaddy	1.888	-27.848
Agrium	-1.497	-47.145
Pech	7.937	-10.863
Autpelev	3.184	7.399
Rizdecort	1.087	-10.095
Autpalim	3.536	-6.083
Azengrais	-0.166	-6.625
Peau	4.546	-12.294
Autserv	13.696	-21.973

Table 5: Variation in imported goods (%)

The end result is a decrease in real GDP (-9.173 %) in Laissez-faire and -11.159% in the other) and an increase in unemployment (Table 6).

Qualification	Laissez-faire	Lockdown
LTQH	4.005	37.312
LMQH	-0.293	103.810
LTQF	2.449	49.062
LMQF	0.089	112.479

Table 6: Variation in the unemployment rate (%)

Remember that, in the labor market, wages are presumed to be rigid. The effect of cumulative absenteeism as a result of confinement has a stronger impact on the labor market in the Lockdown scenario.

Finally, the effects in terms of losses to the country's economy are greater if there is no containment (-25,347 in Laissez-faire compare to -17,585 in Lockdown). To cancel losses to the economy, the GDP would have to increase by 20.65% in Laissez-faire and 9% in Lockdown

Discussion and Conclusion

- A pandemic model can be associated with an economic model to evaluate the effects of a pandemic on production/consumption and, consequently, on the objective function of government.
- Our results show that, in the case of an imported pandemic, the exogenous increase of imported infected individuals could have significant negative impacts on the economy. Lockdown improves the health situation but generates more negative effects on the economy while, at the same time, reducing the function loss of government.
- Because of the function loss, a threshold could be introduced to trigger the lockdown of the economy, implying an economic cost that can be evaluated

References

- Currie CS, Fowler JW, Kotiadis K, Monks T, Onggo BS, et al. (2020) How simulation modelling can help reduce the impact of COVID-19. *J Simul* 14(2): 83-97.
- Prem K, Liu Y, Russell TW, Kucharski AJ, Eggo RM, et al. (2020) The effect of control strategies to reduce social mixing on outcomes of the COVID-19 epidemic in Wuhan, China: A modelling study. *Lancet Pub Hea* 5(5): e261-e270.
- Anastassopoulou C, Russo L, Tsakris A, Siettos C (2020) Data-based analysis, modelling and forecasting of the COVID-19 outbreak. *PloS one*, 15(3): e0230405.
- Hsiang S, Allen D, Annan PS, Bell K, Bolliger I, et al. (2020) The effect of large-scale anti-contagion policies on the COVID-19 pandemic. *Nature* 584(7820): 262-267.
- Wu JT, Leung K, Bushman M, Kishore N, Niehus R, et al. (2020) Estimating clinical severity of COVID-19 from the transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China. *Nat Med* 26(4): 506-510.
- Roda WC, Varughese MB, Han D, Li MY (2020) Why is it difficult to accurately predict the COVID-19 epidemic? *Infect Dis Model* 5: 271-281.
- Giordano G, Blanchini F, Bruno R, Colaneri P, Di filippo A, et al. (2020) Modelling the COVID-19 epidemic and implementation of population-wide interventions in Italy. *Nat Med* 26(6): 855-860.
- Ivorra B, Ferrández MR, Vela-pérez M, Ramos AMD (2020) Mathematical modeling of the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) taking into account the undetected infections. *Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simu* 88: 105303.
- Chimmula VKR, Zhang L (2020) Time series forecasting of COVID-19 transmission in Canada using LSTM networks. *Chaos Solitons Fract* 135: 109864.
- Al-Qaness MA, Ewees AA, Fan H, Abualigah L, Abd EM (2020) Marine predators algorithm for forecasting confirmed cases of Covid-19 in Italy, USA, Iran and Korea *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 17(10): 3520.
- Weiss G (1999) Multiagent systems: A modern approach to distributed artificial intelligence. MIT press.
- Mathouraparsad S, Decaluwe B (2020) Economic Impact of Confinement in Guadeloupe: A necessary but potentially recessive Rété a Kaz a Zot.
- Silva PC, Batista PV, Lima HS, Alves MA, Guimarães FG, et al. (2020) COVID-ABS: An agent-based model of COVID-19 epidemic to simulate health and economic effects of social distancing interventions. *Chaos Solitons Fract* 139: 110088.
- Bouchnita A, Jebrane A (2020) A hybrid multi-scale model of COVID-19 transmission dynamics to assess the potential of non-pharmaceutical interventions. *Chaos Solitons Fract* 138: 109941.
- Regis S, Manicom O, Doncescu A (2020) Soft computing tools for simulating COVID-19 transmission without barrier gestures. The case of Guadeloupe.
- Rustam F, Reshi AA, Mehmood A, Ullah S, On BW, et al. (2020) COVID-19 future forecasting using supervised machine learning models. *IEEE access* 8: 101489-101499.
- Yang C, Wilensky U (2011) NetLogo epiDem basic center for connected learning and computer-based modeling. NetLogo models library. Evanston, IL: Northwestern University.

18. Wang Z, Zhao H, Lai Z, Qin X (2016) Improved SIR EpiDEM model of social network marketing effectiveness and experimental simulation. *Sys Eng Theory Pract* 36: 2024-2034.
19. Smith MC, Broniatowski DA (2016) Modeling influenza by modulating flu awareness. *BMC* 28:262-271.
20. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, et al. (2020) Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 395(10229): 1054-1062.
21. Blanchflower D, Oswald A (1995) An introduction to the wage curve. *J Eco perspect* 9(3): 153-167.