

Experimental Flow Reactor Investigation on the Combustion Kinetics of Alternative Jet Fuels

Pradeep Singh*

Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA

Abstract

A comprehensive assortment of technical aviation fuels enabled AN experimental and numerical study on careful combustion chemistry and waste material formation bestowed in a very series of three complex elements. Part-I: Experimental Flow Reactor Study focuses on the characterization of forty two technical jet fuels and provides experimental evolution information for model development bestowed in Part-II: Model and Surrogate Strategy. Model validation supported the bestowed technical fuels here is bestowed in Part-III: Model Application on Technical Jet Fuels. The fuels investigated during this study cowl a broad vary of approved SAFs (Sustainable Aviation Fuels), candidates for approval, and technical product outside this ASTM-D7566 specification and is completed by reference fuels (ASTM-D1655). This includes SAF parts like HEFA (Hydroprocessed Esters and Fatty Acids), ATJ (Alcohol-To-Jet), SIP (Synthesized Iso-Paraffins), and Fischer-Tropsch-products similarly as their blends.

Keywords: Technical jet fuels; Synthetic fuels; Speciation; Soot precursor; Laminar flow reactor; Combustion dynamics

Introduction

High needs concerning safety alongside weight limitation and long life of craft build aviation one in every of the foremost troublesome sectors to decarbonize. The business depends on synthesized carbon neutral fuels (SAF: property Aviation Fuel) to realize their climate goals. Albeit different technologies like electric- or hydrogen-powered craft ar envisaged as long-run views, there's no alternative choice obtainable for long-distance flights within the mid-term. Consequently, many pathways for manufacturing carbon-neutral aviation fuels from renewable feedstock's are presently investigated [1]. The specification for artificial rotary engine fuels (ASTM-D7566) permits mixing up to fifty pastries of artificial parts to traditional crude oil-based fuel (ASTM-D1655). Above all specification of recent artificial routes could be an extremely dynamic field [2]. By the tip of 2020 seven artificial blend-stocks are annexed to the ASTM-D7566-20b:

Synthesized Paraffinic fuel (SPK), created by Fischer-Tropsch (FT) synthesis from numerous feedstock's,

Hydro processed Esters and Fatty Acids (HEFA) gained from mono-, di-, and triglycerides, free carboxylic acids or fatty acid alkyl radical esters, Synthesized Iso-Paraffins (SIP) created from hydro processed soured sugars via biotechnological processes [3]. SIP is presently restricted to ten pastry mixing fraction. Synthesized Paraffinic fuel and Aromatics (SPK/A) ar FT-Paraffins with addition of nonpetroleum alkylated lightweight aromatics. Alcohol-To-Jet artificial Paraffinic fuel (ATJ-SPK) created by dehydration, oligomerization and chemical action from biotechnologically accessible alcohols (currently isobutanol and ethanol), f) chemical change Hydrothermolysis Jet (CHJ) fuel supported a hydrothermal conversion and hydro treating operations of fats, oils and grease feedstocks, and g) Hydro processed Hydrocarbons, Esters and Fatty Acids (HC-HEFAs) that incorporate biomass from specific sources, to this point from protoctist (*Botryococcus braunii*). HC-HEFA is additionally restricted to ten pastries [4]. Additionally, Sasol's Semi- and Fully-Synthetic Jet Fuel (SSJF and FSJF) from the Secunda plant in South Africa is annexed to the United Kingdom MoD DEF-STAN 91-091 specification similarly on ASTM-D1655. While greenhouse gas emission savings primarily rely upon the feedstock of the SAF production, several have shown their ability to cut back the

particulate emission of assorted aero-engines in ground and flight tests e.g. [5] This can be of explicit interest once non-CO₂ climate effects like cloud formation or native landing field air quality are thought. These effects ar usually assigned to the reduction of the aromatic content of the fuel once alloyed with aromatic-free artificial parts. More modern experiments indicate the fuel's chemical element content being an improved parameter to predict the soot emission of a fuel than the aromatic content [6].

Fuels

The forty two fuels investigated during this study cowl a broad vary of approved SAFs, mix stocks, candidates for approval, and technical product outside this ASTM-D7566 specification. The set is completed by reference fuels (ASTM-D1655), covering a good varies of crude-based jet fuels. The fuels are nonheritable among totally different international comes, which give further information starting from generic check rig and burner results up to full size aero-engine measurements. Fuels ar shortly represented and joined to their comes and extra information obtainable.

The Fischer-Tropsch (FT) fuels investigated among the framework of the DLR project "Emission and Climate Impact Fuels" (ECLIF) embody the FSJF similarly as 3 different blends of Semi-Synthetic Jet Fuels (SSJF-3) provided by the South African FT-specialist SASOL [7]. For these certified fuels, ground and in-flight exhaust gas measurements are performed within the plume of the IAE V2527-A5 engines of DLR's A320 Advanced Technology analysis craft (DLR-ATRA). the selection of FT-Fuels is completed by six product streams conjointly provided by SASOL and a crude FT-product ("FT-Light")

*Corresponding author: Pradeep Singh, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06269, USA, E-mail: pradeeps@yahoo.co.in

Received: 03-Oct-22, Manuscript No. ogr-22-77794; Editor assigned: 06-Oct-22, Pre QC No ogr-22-77794 (PQ); Reviewed: 21-Oct-22, QC No. ogr-22-77794; Revised: 25-Oct-22, Manuscript No ogr-22-77794 (R); Published: 28-Oct-22, DOI: 10.4172/2472-0518.1000267

Citation: Singh P (2022) Experimental Flow Reactor Investigation on the Combustion Kinetics of Alternative Jet Fuels. Oil Gas Res 8: 267.

Copyright: © 2022 Singh P. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

from a Power-to-Liquid supply extending the information on the far side the restrictions of the ASTM specification. Hydro processed Esters and Fatty Acids (HEFA) are depicted by the fuels employed in NASA-DLRs ACCESS2 (Alternative Fuel Effects on Contrails and Cruise Emissions Study) campaign, specifically a 50:50 mix of low sulfur Jet A and HEFA-SPK fuel [8]. Ground and flight measurement results are obtainable for the CFM56-2-C1 National Aeronautics and Space Administration National Aeronautics and Space Administration craft. 3 additional HEFA (Paramount Refinery) blends are studied among the joint "NASA/DLR-Multidisciplinary mobile experiments" (ND-MAX) or ECLIF2 campaign, wherever ground and flight measurements with the DLR-ATRA are performed. These approved fuel blends are in the middle of 2 blends and a neat High temperature (HFP) HEFA product presently tested by Neste for aviation functions. Another bio-derived jet fuel investigated here is ARA chemical change hydrothermolysis (CH) fuel (ReadiJet™) obtained from AN engine (CFM56-5-C4) exhaust measurement campaign (airegEM) at AN engine check facility. This fuel conjointly fulfills the ASTM specification parameters and was approved recently [9]. The temperature for full prevalence of conversion is of interest, and might be unreal by each species CO₂ and water. All fuels that fulfill the ASTM specification needs exhibit terribly similar profiles with a span of solely eight K that is below the temperature accuracy of the experiment (± 10 K). This observation indicates similar reaction properties, i.e. ignition delay time and flame speed that is in keeping with previous findings and a key intention of the standardization of fuel properties. Noticeable variations solely occur for fuels that are clearly outside the specification needs, like for the FT-crude (FT-Light) product (lowest temperature) and therefore the ATJ (highest temperature). The FT-Light nearly solely consists of n-alkanes, whereas the key constituent of the ATJ fuel are 2 extremely branched alkanes containing tertiary carbons like iso-octane [10]. The ensuing tert-butyl radicals created by the ATJ are inert compared to alternative organic compound radicals and so exhibit delayed ignition as illustrious from the hydrocarbon index for spark ignition engine fuels. Moreover, it ought to be noted that the ATJ exhibits slightly staged profile shapes for water and O₂ as determined for iso-octane. The interested reader is stated Part-II of this series for an in depth examination of the reaction network of linear and branched alkanes as well as this fuels. In general, the fuels containing different parts exhibit lower soot precursor concentrations compared to fossil fuels and support the soot reducing properties according in several field experiments. Following the expectations, acyclic fuels (IHD ~ 0) exhibit all-time low concentrations in soot precursors. For the larger soot precursors (naphthalene and above) they're even shut or below the detection limit and seem to be negligible compared to alternative fuels. Just for the aromatic free fuels a dependency of the soot precursors with fuel's iso-alkane content will be drawn. The heavily branched ATJ exhibits the very best benzene concentration followed by the SASOL-IPK, HEFA and farnesane (SIP) and therefore the lowest concentration is seen for the n-alkane made FT-Light [11]. This FT-crude product conjointly includes a noticeable aliphatic compound content shifting the IHD higher than zero. However, the aliphatic compound content appears to not influence the soot precursor chemistry considerably during this case. The measured peak mole fraction adores neat decane. The largest quantity of soot precursor species was found for the hydrogen-lean FT-product streams and therefore the educational surrogates. Specifically SASOL-LD#2, SASOL-HN#2 and Jet screen surrogate JS-C1. None of those fuels are lined by the ASTM commonplace, however are of high interest for this systematic thought. Whereas SASOL-LD#2 follows the cipher trend of certified fuels, SASOL-HN#2 and JS-C1 fall below this trend once mono aromatic soot precursor species are thought of

(i.e. benzene). This will be probably joined to a rare content of multi-ring naphthenic (di- and tri- cycloalkanes) species in these fuels. The hydrotreated Jetscreen A1.3 conjointly exhibits a rise benzene concentration. This behavior may well be attributed to the chemical action of diaromatics towards cyclic naphthenes. Interestingly the SASOL-HN#2 achieves its IHD by a high quantity of mono-aromatic species whereas the JS-C1 will by di-aromatics [12]. JS-C1 consequently overshoots the trend of hydrocarbon whereas smaller aromatics are shaped in subpar quantity. SASOL-LD#2 exhibits a balanced mixture and consequently follows the trend. The disproportionately high levels of hydrocarbon (C₉H₈) for the fuels HN#1, HN#2 and JS-B3 (ReadiJet) will be joined to the noticeable amounts of indane (C₉H₁₀) content of the fuels. It's additionally noticeable that the variations between the extremely unsaturated fuels vanish once higher soot precursor species are thought [13].

Conclusion

Part-I of our trio on different aviation fuels covers the experimental framework for the following modeling approach. A several assortment on over forty technical fuel samples is bestowed and characterised here providing the idea for experimental and modeling work of this trio. Detailed examination is provided by measurements at the DLR high-temperature flow reactor. Fuels are elect from varied national and international large-scale comes, which might be joined to an oversized variety of complementary experiments like engine or inflight emission measurements. Quantitative evolution of combustion reaction intermediates is recorded for slightly rich ($\Phi = 1.2$) and lean ($\Phi = 0.8$) conditions [14]. This distinctive dataset provides systematic insights on the influence of the chemical composition on the combustion dynamics of with chemicals complicated fuels and is obtainable for additional model development. Reader is inspired to induce in grips with the authors for extra results obtained among this series. The general reaction behavior was found to be nearly identical once fuels fulfill the present specification. Conjointly fuel decay was determined to be wide freelance from the fuel composition and therefore the consumption of various chemical categories are similar in most fuels however every category shows a private decomposition behavior. The influence of the chemical composition of the fuel on the intermediate species pool was examined. The structure of alkanes (e.g. branched vs. linear) as major constituent of most fuels, was seen to dominate the intermediate species pool entirely once no alternative chemical categories are gift [15].

References

1. Wang M, Dewil R, Maniatis K, Wheeldon J, Tan T, et al. (2019) Biomass-derived aviation fuels: challenges and perspective. *Prog Energy Combust Sci* 74 31-49.
2. Drünert S, Neuling U, Zitscher T, Kaltschmitt M (2020) Power-to-Liquid fuels for aviation – processes, resources and supply potential under German conditions. *Appl Energy* 277: 115578.
3. Doliente SS, Narayan A, Tapia JFD, Samsatli NJ, Zhao Y, et al. (2020) Bio-aviation fuel: a comprehensive review and analysis of the supply chain components. *Front Energy Res* 8:
4. Lobo P, Hagen DE, Whitefield PD (2011) Comparison of PM emissions from a commercial jet engine burning conventional, biomass, and Fischer-Tropsch fuels. *Environ Sci Technol* 45: 10744-10749.
5. Brem BT, Durdina L, Siegerist F, Beyerle P, Bruderer K, et al. (2015) Effects of fuel aromatic content on nonvolatile particulate emissions of an in-production aircraft gas turbine. *Environ Sci Technol* 49: 13149-13157.
6. Moore RH, Thornhill KL, Weinzierl B, Sauer D, Kim J, et al. (2017) Biofuel blending reduces particle emissions from aircraft engines at cruise conditions. *Nature* 543: 411-415.

7. Kleine J, Voigt C, Sauer D, Schlager H, Scheibe M, et al. (2018) In situ observations of ice particle losses in a young persistent contrail. *Geophys Res Lett* 45:13553-13561.
8. Moore RH, Shook MA, Ziemba LD, DiGangi JP, Winstead EL, et al. (2017) Take-off engine particle emission indices for in-service aircraft at Los Angeles International Airport. *Sci Data* 4:
9. Speth RL, Rojo C, Malina R, Barrett SRH (2015) Black carbon emissions reductions from combustion of alternative jet fuels. *Atmos Environ* 105: 37-42.
10. Schripp T, Anderson B, Crosbie EC, Moore RH, Herrmann F, et al. (2018) Impact of alternative jet fuels on engine exhaust composition during the 2015 ECLIF ground-based measurements campaign. *Environ Sci Technol*, 52: 4969-4978.
11. Yang Y, Gao Z-yi, Zhao L-hua, Yang X, Xu F, et al. (2022) Sedentary lifestyle and body composition in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome* 14(1): 8.
12. Mancini AA, Ackerman JF, Richard LK, Stowell WR (2004) Method and Coating System for Reducing Carbonaceous Deposits on Surfaces Exposed to Hydrocarbon Fuels at Elevated Temperatures 8: 67-70.
13. Colket M, Heyne J, Rumizen M, Gupta M, Edwards T, et al. (2017) Overview of the National Jet Fuels Combustion Program. *AIAA J* 55: 1087-1104.
14. Kosir ST, Behnke L, Heyne JS, Stachler RD, Flora G, et al. (2019) Improvement in jet aircraft operation with the use of high-performance drop-in fuels. *AIAA Scitech Forum* 6: 56-59.
15. Colket M, Heyne J (2021) Fuel Effects on Operability of Aircraft Gas Turbine Combustors. (submitted. AIAA,). *Progress in Astronautics and Aeronautics*. 7: 67.